4,000 Patents: Why the 'Novel' SARS-CoV-2 Virus Isn't so Novel

By Dr. Joseph Mercola

Since 1999, at least 4,000 patents involving coronavirus have been filed, including patents detailing key features of the so-called "novel" SARS-CoV-2 virus. In 2002, Ralph Baric, Ph.D. and colleagues at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, filed a patent on recombinant coronavirus, and within a year, we got the world's first SARS outbreak.



Story at-a-glance:

In the early 2000s, David Martin, Ph.D., founder of M-CAM International, <u>started finding</u> large numbers of patents that violate biological and chemical weapons laws.

In 1999, <u>Dr. Anthony Fauci</u> funded research to create "an infectious replication-defective recombinant coronavirus." In 2002, Ralph Baric, Ph.D. and colleagues at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, filed a patent on recombinant <u>coronavirus</u>, and within a year, we got the world's first SARS outbreak.

Since 1999, at least 4,000 patents involving coronavirus have been filed, including patents detailing key features of the so-called "novel" <u>SARS-CoV-2 virus</u>.

The 2001 anthrax attack, which came out of medical and defense research, led to the passage of the PREP Act, which removed liability for manufacturers of emergency medical countermeasures.

The funds for entitlement programs and pensions will dry up by 2028, at which point the <u>drug industry</u> will go bankrupt as well. With a burgeoning population that is sick from the COVID jabs, we need to prepare new systems to care for each other.

In this interview, we continue our coverage of the <u>COVID</u> "<u>plandemic</u>" by speaking to David Martin, Ph.D., who has done a phenomenal job uncovering the paper trail behind the virus now known as <u>SARS-CoV-2</u>.

As it turns out, this is not a novel virus at all, as patents and government grants detailing key features of the virus go back two decades. Martin finished his doctorate at the University of Virginia in 1995, after which he was hired on to the medical school faculty in radiology and orthopedic surgery.

In 2006, he set up the first medical device clinical trials organization for the University of Virginia — a company called IDEAmed — which conducted medical device clinical trials for <u>U.S. Food and Drug Administration</u> (FDA) submission. So, he has an extensive background working with FDA clinical trials.

Monitoring biological weapons violations

In 1998, he founded another company called M-CAM International, which is focused on finding ways to bring intellectual property into conventional finance. M-CAM also started auditing the U.S. patent system at the request of the U.S. Congress.

In the early 2000s, M-CAM worked with the Senate Banking Committee and was a contractor for the U.S. Treasury to expose white collar criminal activity around intellectual property and tax fraud. In doing that work, Martin also discovered something else.

"Quite alarmingly, we found an enormous number of patents [detailing] biological and chemical weapon violations," Martin says. "That was not something we were looking for. I let people know this was not something we set out to find. This is something that landed in our lap."

"I developed a technology a decade earlier called linguistic genomics, which is a means by which you can look at unstructured text data and find the metaphoric meaning inside of what is being communicated."

"As you can imagine, if people of ill intent are trying to do something, they often hide what they're doing in plain sight, but they use language that is not conventional."

"So, when you find a patent, for example, on a blast-resistant pathogen from a rocket-propelled grenade — did you hear what I just said? 'A blast-resistant pathogen from a rocket-propelled grenade.' Does that sound like it's a common way to inoculate a population or does that sound like [a bioweapon]?"

"And so, finding a number of bioweapons patents, we started taking into account some very serious things. I published once a year the literal global phonebook of every biological and chemical weapon violation that took place anywhere in the world."

"[It tells you] the who, the where, the who funded it, what their addresses are. It was ... used by U.S. law enforcement, intelligence communities and elsewhere around the world to track things that were being done inappropriately. And, it was in 1999 [that] we started detecting that there seemed to be an alarming event around coronavirus, which we're going to get into."

Coronavirus identified as a potential vaccine vector

As explained by Martin, in 1999, the National Institutes of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), headed by Dr. Anthony Fauci, identified coronavirus as a possible vaccine vector.

At the time, the disclosed rationale was to try to come up with an HIV vaccine, and to that end, Fauci, in 1999, funded research to create "an infectious replication-defective recombinant coronavirus."

In 2002, Ralph Baric, Ph.D. and colleagues at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, filed a patent on recombinant coronavirus, and within a year, we got the world's first SARS outbreak.

The real Anthony Fauci

"For more background on Fauci, be sure to read Robert F. Kennedy Jr.'s book "The Real Anthony Fauci," which details how Fauci's promotion of AZT during the 1980s ended up killing hundreds of thousands of people. And the pattern we're seeing with coronavirus is basically a repeat of previous behavior. Martin says:

"It's important to realize that at the time [in 1984 when Fauci became director of the NIAID] we were transitioning from an STD environment in which syphilis and gonorrhea and those types of STDs were the things that we were concerned about ..."

"HIV became a political and social hot potato because it was associated in many respects with lifestyle branding, and as a result it became a political issue to essentially identify a class of the population that could be the basis for research without consideration."

"The notion by Fauci was that people with HIV had already made decisions that somehow entitled them to less humanity. As a result, the clinical trials around developing both management techniques as well as potential treatments ... were done in a very reckless fashion. Numerous people died in [those] clinical trials, and by the way, still are ..."

"He has been obsessed about this HIV situation as a platform to, essentially, use humans that he determines to be some form of sub-human for clinical trials. It is a

horrific blight on the United States' medical establishment that we have been willing to allow this to go on in the name of science, in the name of health promotion, since 1984, without any significant disruption or check."

The first SARS outbreak

The first SARS outbreak occurred in late 2002 going into 2003 in China. <u>Curiously, before Baric's team invented and patented a recombinant infectious replication-defective coronavirus, no one had ever heard of SARS.</u>

"I'm not drawing a causal relationship," Martin says. "I'm making an observation that humans and what we call coronavirus seem to have cohabitated this earth for hundreds of thousands of years."

"And then we manipulate that [virus] in 1999. We start playing around with putting it into different animals and different human cell line models, and then in 2003, we have SARS. Like a lot of other things, it's an observation worth noting."

"What makes the observation more problematic, obviously, is this was happening during the unfortunate results of the 2001 anthrax attack, which as you know came out of federal labs ..."

"[It] became very clear that this was not [due to] a bad actor, per se. This was medical and defense research gone bad that got into the public and people died. But the real benefit, if you will, of the anthrax attack was the passage of the PREP Act."

Anthrax attack provided desired liability removal

Inside the PREP Act we now have the carte blanche removal of liability for manufacturers of medical countermeasures. As noted by Martin, the PREP Act has "made <u>pharmaceutical companies</u> much more capable of instilling terror in the population, coercing a population into taking an untested measure, and doing so with absolute impunity."

Curiously, while Martin's annual report on bioweapons patents was, with only a few exceptions, appreciated and used by agencies around the world, when it comes to the information he has amassed on coronavirus, not a single agency anywhere in the world has been willing to address it.

"No one ... seems to be willing to look at the fact that beginning in 2016 we started seeing very alarming language being used, which was 'coronavirus poised for human emergence.' This was in patents, but also in scientific publications. And when you start referring to a coronavirus allegedly poised for human emergence, after the World Health Organization has declared SARS eradicated, there's something desperately wrong with that picture."

Racketeering and organized crime

The biggest alarm bell was published Feb. 12, 2016, by <u>EcoHealth Alliance president Peter Daszak</u> who, according to Martin, has been "<u>the money laundering agent</u>" for <u>gain-of-function</u> research coronaviruses after the U.S. implemented a moratorium on that kind of research in 2014. Rather than close it down, this research was simply moved over to China instead. In 2015, <u>Daszak stated</u>:

"To sustain the funding base beyond the crisis, we need to increase the public understanding of the need for medical countermeasures, such as a pan influenza or a pan coronavirus vaccine."

"A key driver is the media and the economics will follow the hype. We need to use that hype to our advantage to get to the real issues. Investors will respond if they see profit at the end of the process."

That statement was made by Daszak in 2015, and was published in the spring of 2016. The statement "set off alarm bells very loudly within my organization," Martin says, "because when you have somebody who is promoting gain-of-function research, and clearly blurring the line on what is even legal … saying we need 'media to create the hype' … and 'investors will follow if they see profit' … that doesn't sound like public health."

"To me, that sounds like organized crime. That sounds like racketeering, and we need to raise this issue."

What the coronavirus patents show

In all, since 2002, some 4,000 patents have been filed on the genome, vaccines and detection of coronavirus. According to Martin, this is alarming, "because you don't file patents on something that you don't intend to commercialize."

Evidence of intended commercialization can also be found by looking at the dates of certain patents by certain companies.

April 28, 2003, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention filed a patent on the genome of the SARS coronavirus. Five days later, Sequoia Pharmaceuticals received a \$935,000 grant and filed U.S. Patent 7151163 for a treatment for that same virus.

"How can you file a patent for the treatment of a virus that was only discovered five days earlier?"

"That sounds like an inside job," Martin says. "Because you cannot have a pathogen identified and a cure for it in five days, when all of the information was held from the public, because when the CDC filed its patent on the genome of coronavirus, it paid to keep that patent secret."

"So, somebody somewhere knows that this thing was going to turn out to be a moneymaker ... The proliferation of proprietary controls around SARS Coronavirus probably exceeds at least by two or three times most other pathogens ..."

"Dana Farber had a monoclonal antibody patent system that came out of three NIH grants. Their patent 7750123 on the monoclonal antibody for SARS-Cov treatment took place in 2003."

So, while we've been told that SARS-CoV-2 is something we've never seen before, there are 4,000 patents and patent applications that say otherwise. The same can be said for the testing and the COVID shots. For example, Pfizer filed the first S1 spike protein vaccine patent on coronavirus in 1990 — 30 years ago.

"Regardless of what part of the story we look at, the patent record is full of thousands of patents where commercial interests funded by NIAID and the National Institutes of Health have been building the economic cabal around coronavirus. This is not a new thing. It hasn't been a new thing."

"And regrettably, we're being told continuously that somehow or another there's something novel about this experience, despite the fact that every single part of what we are told is being detected with PCR ... the injections, every single one of those things has been known and isolated for over 30 years."

Dr. David E. Martin presented the evidence months ago and to show you the level of corruption in the Justice Department, no Federal prosecutor wants to take on this case. Dr. Martin handed the Justice Department a classic "RICO" case that could be prosecuted and won in a matter of days. The evidence is a slam dunk and yet the government will not touch it! Why? The Justice Department is corrupt from the local level where Federal Assistant District Attorneys file and prosecute cases right to the very top at the Jesuit-Vatican-controlled U.S. Supreme Court. This is rigged against the public by the Illuminati [code word for Jesuits] to destroy the Constitution from within.

There is no one coming to save the American people, there is only one hope and that is for Christians who believe in Revelation 3:10.

Consider how this is inconsistent with the evidence in how deceit and deception has confused the public.

For people who already caught Covid and beat it, there are ZERO reasons to get a Covid vaccine, and ZERO reasons that the US government should force it on them

S.D. Wells from (Natural News): Anyone who was born before the measles shot knows that the vaccine wasn't necessary if they already had the measles. Natural immunity lasts a long, long time, and much longer than any artificial kind conjured up by vaccine

pathogens. Science determined that immunity from measles is lifelong, therefore negating any need for a measles vaccine post-infection, and the same goes for chicken pox. That means there are zero validated reasons for vaccination and only chances of health detriment can come from it.

That brings us to Covid, and sure, it's not the same virus, since it was created in a lab, but natural immunity is still natural immunity, so let's take a look at the science behind it all, then make an informed judgment call.

Mass media and CDC spread disinformation about Covid vaccination and it spreads like cancer – and it is NOT science-based information, just propaganda to push dirty jabs

Natural immunity after infection is very important, and vital to human evolution, along with the evolution of viruses, bacteria, pathogens and parasites. We all have to "adapt and adopt" or we lose. Survival of the fittest has never been more realized than right now. The genetically engineered Covid viruses are morphing, changing and adapting to these weak antibodies that vaccinated sheeple are producing, so any vaccine-conjured immunity is worthless within just months.

See also "Damn You To Hell, You Will Not Destroy America" - Here Is The 'Spartacus COVID Letter' That's Gone Viral.

In a groundbreaking study done by the Cleveland Clinic on 50,000 of their employees, they discovered the China Flu jabs did not provide any more immunity for the vaccinated 95 percent group than for the (non-vaccinated) 5 percent group who already caught Covid and beat it. More science? You got it. In another recent study conducted at Washington University, researchers found "ample plasma cells in the bone marrow of those recovering from Covid," and that was a year after recovery.

That's science-based evidence that provides proof there is natural immunity with memory for Covid in the human body, and that it's longer lasting than any provided by vaccines, as proven also by every pharma talking head who's demanding booster shots already. In other words, these Covid-recovered patients are at near ZERO risk of getting sick from the Covid virus again, and the researchers described their findings as "significant and durable."

The researchers even issued a non-competing interest statement, a no-funding statement, and declarations that they followed all relevant ethical guidelines. The study was also approved by Cleveland Clinic's Institutional Review Board.

Top 8 reasons NOT to get a Covid vaccine:

- 1. Shots provide zero immunity to Covid.
- 2. Shots don't stop transmission of Covid.
- 3. Shots are NOT proven to keep you from getting a "bad case" of Covid.
- 4. Shots are dangerous, with common side effects of blood clots and myocarditis.
- 5. Shot effectiveness, if any, wanes within a few months.

- 6. Booster shots are even more dangerous, introducing billions MORE prions into the bloodstream, brain, heart and vital cleansing organs.
- 7. Natural immunity is far more powerful than anything the vaccines might deliver and it's much longer lasting.
- 8. The Covid vaccines wipe out any natural immunity and destroy all the antibodies your body already created.

See also Lt. Gen Frewen, Commander of the COVID-Force Operation in Australia, "I will make sure everyone gets a dose by Christmas 2021."

Follow the science and you will discover how worthless and dangerous the Covid clot shots really are. They are being pushed by some of the most evil people on the planet, who want to take away all of your rights, freedom and immunity by forcing into your body their dirty clot shots, and send you on a one-way-ticket to the place from which you most likely will never return (where they experiment on you with deadly drugs and choking tubes) ... the hospital.

Is someone you know suffering from post-Covid-vax Spike Protein Syndrome? Tune your truth news dial to Pandemic.news for the best ways to keep your body healthy, your home safe, and your constitution protected.

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, EvanTeachr@aol.com
www.pastorbobreid.com
http://jesusisthewaythetruththelife.com/node/22