


Depopulation

#1 Global Issue Since 1968

Part 10

The opening information that comes from B.N. Frank might appear to be a nothing-burger in some folk's eyes, but it is in reality an evil insidious effort to mask further the implications of what is occurring in the roll out of 5G. B.N. Frank's post "***Analysis of a Gradual 5G Network Deployment Strategy Shows Potential Radiation Levels DO NOT Comply with Exposure Limits***" speaks to how 5G could simply execute many millions of people with malice or to do harm and the intention or desire to do evil; ill will. The entire article is eye-opening of how the U.S. and the global elite plan to depopulate the U.S. It would be well for the reader to share this particular segment with others.



High-speed internet is achievable, safer and more secure with a wired internet connection (see [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)). Research has already determined that [5G is biologically harmful](#). Cities worldwide AND entire countries have taken action to [ban, delay, halt, and limit installation](#) AS WELL AS issue moratoriums. Since 2018 there have been

reports of people and animals experiencing symptoms and illnesses where 5G has been installed (see [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)).

The [majority of scientists worldwide](#) oppose 5G until there are studies that say it is safe. Telecom executives [HAVE NOT](#) provided any. IEEE Consumer Electronics Magazine recently published [more damning research](#) on exposure risks. A recently published radiation analysis for 5G deployment in Austin, TX raises more red flags.

From [Environmental Health Trust](#):

Radiation Analysis in a Gradual 5G Network Deployment Strategy

by [Ahmad M. El-Hajj](#) and [Tarek Naous of the](#) Electrical & Computer Eng. Department of Beirut Arab University.

A 2020 paper analyzed the radio frequency radiation environment that would result if an mmWave-based 5G network was fully deployed in Austin Texas. The researcher first mapped the pre-existing LTE nodes and then laid out the real world design for the densification of cell towers and signal repeaters which would be needed in the City in order to fully build out a mmWave-based 5G network. The engineers then simulated the RF power densities that would be experienced in the outdoor environments should the 5G mmWave antennas be installed and they compared these RF levels to power levels of the currently installed LTE network.

The researchers created 2 heat-maps showing the simulated power densities before and after potential 5G deployment in Austin Texas.

The researchers conclude that, *“The presented results clearly show that the potential radiation levels that will be reached upon the roll out of 5G networks do not comply with all of the aforementioned exposure limits. This suggests that 5G mobile networks cannot yet be classified as safe for the public, and demands serious considerations before using mmWave communications for 5G networks, given the potential harms it could afflict on the public.”*

On a governmental level, the exposure limits for the power density need to be revised using today’s data and approaches to bridge the gap between the thresholds specified by the different institutes and commissions. On a technological and scientific level, the radiation exposure constraint can open the door for innovative 5G solutions targeted to limit the health risks and economic barriers associated with this problem.”

A. M. El-Hajj and T. Naous, [“Radiation Analysis in a Gradual 5G Network Deployment Strategy,”](#) 2020 IEEE 3rd 5G World Forum (5GWF), Bangalore, India, 2020, pp. 448-453, doi: 10.1109/5GWF49715.2020.9221314.

Doctors and scientists have asked for 5G moratoriums on Earth and in space (see [1](#), [2](#)). Opposition and warnings continue to increase about tens of thousands of satellites and

similar vehicles being launched for blasting 5G and Wi-Fi at Earth (see [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#), [9](#), [10](#), [11](#), [12](#), [13](#), [14](#), [15](#), [16](#), [17](#)), [global surveillance](#), and other purposes.




Fig. 9: Power Density Map of the Initial LTE Network




Fig. 10: Power Density Map of the Deployed 5G Network

[Other sources of wireless](#) emit [harmful radiation](#) too. Last year, the [World Health Organization](#) cautioned that high levels of [Electromagnetic Radiation](#) exposure (aka "[Electrosmog](#)") could lead to health issues in a significant portion of the population.

Nevertheless, in August, President Trump reintroduced his [proposal for a nationalized 5G network](#). The [DoD wants to own it](#) (with help from [Google](#)). The federal agency also wants to control the whole [Electromagnetic Spectrum](#). Hence the “Race for 5G” has only sped up in the U.S. despite warnings and opposition. If you and your loved ones aren’t being exposed to it yet – you may be soon (see [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#), [9](#), [10](#), [11](#), [12](#), [13](#), [14](#)).

The Culling of 7-billion People

Few people understand that the world’s elite are utilizing every bit of technology to reduce the population down to their desired goal of 500-million. As B.N. Frank has shown above, the wireless technology being unleashed on major cities around the world far and above exceed the safety limits. 5G, Geo-Engineering in the form of Chemtrails were among the earliest efforts to sicken and weaken the human immune system. The Coronavirus which China used to mask or hide the damage of 5G has proved to be very effective to fear-monger the world into receiving vaccines that will do massive harm to the public world-wide are based upon a pathogen that was given the name Covid-19, a rendition of the SARS virus of twenty years ago.

THE TEST IS THE VACCINE! DO NOT GET TESTED, NO MATTER WHAT!

<p>Nanoparticles for nasal vaccination Noemi Csaba et al. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2009.</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p>The great interest in mucosal vaccine delivery arises from the fact that mucosal surfaces represent the major site of entry for many pathogens. Among other mucosal sites, nasal delivery is especially attractive for immunization, <u>as the nasal epithelium is characterized by relatively high permeability</u>, low enzymatic activity and by the presence of an important number of immunocompetent cells. In addition to these advantageous characteristics, the nasal route could offer simplified and more cost-effective protocols for vaccination <u>with improved patient compliance</u>. The use of nanocarriers provides a suitable way for the nasal delivery of antigenic molecules. Besides improved protection and facilitated transport of the antigen, nanoparticulate delivery systems could also provide more effective antigen recognition by immune cells. These represent key factors in the optimal processing and presentation of the antigen, and therefore in the subsequent development of a suitable immune response. In this sense, the design of optimized vaccine nanocarriers offers a promising way for nasal mucosal vaccination. @THELIBCOMMIE</p>	<p>Hydrogel nanoparticles and nanocomposites for nasal drug/vaccine delivery</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p>Over the past few years, nasal drug delivery has attracted more and more attentions, and been recognized as the most promising alternative route for the systemic medication of drugs limited to intravenous administration. Many experiments in animal models have shown that nanoscale carriers have the ability to enhance the nasal delivery of peptide/protein drugs and vaccines compared to the conventional drug solution formulations. However, the rapid mucociliary clearance of the drug-loaded nanoparticles can cause a reduction in bioavailability percentage after intranasal administration. Thus, research efforts have considerably been directed towards the development of <u>hydrogel nanosystems</u> which have <u>mucoadhesive properties</u> in order to maximize the residence time, and hence <u>increase the period of contact with the nasal mucosa and enhance the drug absorption</u>. It is most certain that the high viscosity of hydrogel-based nanosystems can efficiently offer this mucoadhesive property. This update review discusses the possible benefits of using hydrogel polymer-based nanoparticles and <u>hydrogel nanocomposites</u> for drug/vaccine delivery through the intranasal administration.</p>	<p>Nasal vaccine innovation</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p>The current vaccine market is gaining momentum in the development of <u>alternative administration routes</u>, namely intranasal, oral, topical, <u>pulmonary, vaginal, and rectal</u>; the nasal route offers the most promising opportunity for vaccine administration. It can enhance convenience, safety, elicit both local and systemic immune responses; thus potentially provide protection from pathogens at the site of entry. Nasal vaccine innovation comes with both opportunities and challenges. The innovative strategies used by industry and researchers to overcome the hurdles are discussed in this article: these include live-attenuated vaccines, adjuvants, mucoadhesives, particulate delivery systems, virus-like particles, vaccine manufacture, <u>challenges of regulatory authorities</u>, and the nasal vaccine impact on market potential. Critical issues for effective nasal vaccination are the antigen-retention period that enables its interaction with the lymphatic system and choice of an adjuvant that is nontoxic and induces the required immune response. Co-adjuvanting by means of a <u>mucoadhesive technology</u> addresses some of these issues. ChiSys[®], a natural bioadhesive with proven intranasal safety profile, has already demonstrated efficacy for several nasally delivered vaccines including norovirus. With the looming threat of a pandemic, alternatives such as intranasal vaccination will ultimately facilitate <u>greater public compliance and rapid mass global vaccination</u>.</p>
---	--	---

★ AND THERE WILL BE MUCH GREATED "PUBLIC COMPLIANCE" WHEN THE PUBLIC IS BEING TOLD THEY'RE TAKING A TEST AND NOT A VACCINE, AND THEY MUST TAKE THIS "TEST" IN ORDER TO GO TO WORK, SCHOOL, ETC...

We are now being pushed into the Second Phase of the Global Lockdown with a pathogen {called Covid-19}, yet it was patented several times in the past twenty years as part of the SARS family of corona viruses. But do you realize that this was all planned years ago. Even public resistance for taking a vaccine was calculated and

planned to execute people. You can read the proof above. 5G, Chemtrails, and a number of pathogens will be unleashed upon us. There are too many of us. Read this document very closely. It was published in 2009, but was planned decades prior!

The above **confidential** document reveals the alternative plan for those who refuse to take the vaccine. The latest poll shows that 61% of the American public plan to refuse to get the vaccine of choice. The problem is the U.S. Department of Defense has a \$8 billion dollar contract to produce 500-million doses of a vaccine, enough to give everyone two shots a few months apart. President Trump said the vaccine will not be mandatory, but do not bet on that it will become mandatory under the political pressure of evil Dr. Anthony Fauci and others, including Bill Gates.

Dr. Judy Mikovits said that this coronavirus vaccine could kill 50 million Americans over the next 40 years. The versions being released by Pfizer and Moderna require storage at minus 70 degrees Celsius (minus 94 F) and might be far more deadly than even she originally estimated.

Why so cold? Children's Health Defense explained in an August 6th article, "mRNA vaccines undergoing Covid-19 clinical trials, including the Moderna vaccine, rely on a nanoparticle-based "carrier system" containing a synthetic chemical called polyethylene glycol (PEG)."

The use of PEG (polyethylene glycol) in drugs and vaccines is increasingly controversial due to the well-documented incidence of adverse PEG-related immune reactions, including life-threatening anaphylaxis.

Roughly seven in ten Americans may already be sensitized to PEG, which may result in reduced efficacy of the vaccine and an increase in adverse side effects. If a PEG-containing mRNA vaccine for Covid-19 gains FDA approval, the uptick in exposure to PEG will be unprecedented—and potentially disastrous.

Moderna documents and publications indicate that the company is well aware of safety risks associated with PEG and other aspects of its mRNA technology but is more concerned with its bottom line. Lipid nanoparticles cause hyper-inflammatory responses in the body, leading to severe reactions, hospitalization and potentially Death.

Why are LNPs (Lipid Nanoparticles) used in these vaccines? As Children's Health Defense further explains:

Lipid Nanoparticles (LNPs) "encapsulate the mRNA constructs to protect them from degradation and promote cellular uptake" and, additionally, rev up the immune system (a property that vaccine scientists tamely describe as LNPs' "inherent adjuvant properties")

In other words, the LNPs are adjuvants, meaning they are designed to cause hyper-inflammatory responses in human beings, once injected. This is done in an effort to induce the creation of antibodies that then allow the vaccine manufacturer to claim high “effectiveness” rates, even when those very same adjuvants cause severe adverse reactions.

According to recent vaccine trials conducted by Moderna, 100% of human subjects in the high-dose vaccine trial group experienced adverse reactions.

So why are Moderna and Pfizer using mRNA (messenger RNA) in a vaccine? They want to reprogram human DNA as an experiment and see what happens to our progeny. Critics in the UK say a side effect is sterilization which is good for the Powers That Ought Not to Be because they want to rid the earth of 6-7 billion plus commoners.

Update at 4:50 AM. From the Australian Independent:

These new vaccines are in an entirely new class all by themselves. They are known as “messenger RNA” (mRNA) vaccines, and they work by hijacking the protein-making machinery in your cells...

When Moderna was just finishing its Phase I trial, The Independent wrote about the vaccine and described it this way: “It uses a sequence of genetic RNA material produced in a lab that, when injected into your body, must invade your cells and hijack your cells’ protein-making machinery called ribosomes to produce the viral components that subsequently train your immune system to fight the virus.”

“In this case, Moderna’s mRNA-1273 is programmed to make your cells produce the coronavirus’ infamous coronavirus spike protein that gives the virus its crown-like appearance (corona is crown in Latin) for which it is named,” wrote The Independent.

End Update:

The Astra Zeneca vaccine does not require minus 70 degree Celsius storage and transport facilities because they are not using mRNA to reprogram the human body. But they are using a human fetus as an adjuvant. An adjuvant helps the vaccine to develop a heightened immune response. In this case they are using MRC-5 which is from the lung tissue of an aborted 14 week old Caucasian male. Adjuvants stir up your immune system. MRC-5 which is the DNA from that aborted baby is seen by your body as an invasion and mounts a defense.

American children have so many vaccine injuries by the time they are 21 that over half have diseases and syndromes that nobody had seen in these numbers before the 1960s when Big Pharma began rolling out so many more vaccines. Half of all youth age 21 and below have physical damage from the up to 72 vaccines required for school admission.

Now we know why Israel has opted to use the Russian vaccine and refused Pfizer, Moderna and Astra Zeneca's offers.

The modern diet, the pesticides, the herbicides, the vaccines and the drugs of Big Pharma have created an epidemic on inflammatory conditions in modern men, women and children. Are we prepared to treat hyper-inflammatory cases by the tens of millions? The Englishwoman in the video below shows the Astra Zeneca insert which admits to using MRC-5 or human fetus body parts. She also says that the British government is looking for an AI system to help them collate and interpret the information when vaccine injuries and deaths mount up.

<https://www.brighteon.com/embed/e536da0c-7935-41eb-babe-416034e154c3>

Bill Gates once smirked and said people are not paying attention to this virus but they will pay attention to the next one. (Are you prepared for Bioweapon 2?)

I think it is best that we skip this vaccine. Let everyone in the Gates family, in Big Pharma, in Corporate Media, on Wall Street, in the House and Senate, at the CDC and NIH take this vaccine first.

Of course I would still refuse these vaccines because I do not believe Bill Gates should have the right to reprogram the DNA of 7 billion people just because he thinks it is a good idea.

The death rate is very low for people who were not going to die anyway from heart disease, cancer, COPD, drug overdoses, terminal kidney and liver diseases, automobile wrecks and suicide. If we all took vitamin D-3, the covid death rate would be less than the flu. I would add Quercetin and zinc to bring it even lower.

This is the article in which Dr. Mikovits said 50 million Americans could die from this vaccine. But notice she does not mention the nanoparticles which could very easily be far more dangerous to human health than regular molecules which do produce inflammations. Hyper-inflammations might cause serious illness and death.

Dr. Mikovits: Vaccine Could Kill Tens of Millions in America

<https://vidrebel.wordpress.com/2020/10/13/dr-mikovits-vaccine-could-kill-tens-of-millions-in-america/>

I mentioned Bill Gates smirking while hinting at the release of Bioweapon 2.

Bill Gates Smirked. Will People Die?

<https://vidrebel.wordpress.com/2020/07/06/bill-gates-smirked-will-people-die/>

I implied that the Corporate Media are as despicable as politicians and Big Pharma.

Matt Lauer made \$25 million a year reading the morning news. He had perks. He demanded a button be placed on his desk so that he could electronically lock Gentile

women inside his office. Matt Lauer raped women so badly that ambulances had to be called to his NBC office. But that was before The MeToo# Movement.

Whopping Tales Of The Mainstream

<https://vidrebel.wordpress.com/2020/06/01/whopping-tales-of-the-mainstream>

I must warn you that we are headed into a Depression far worse than 1933 America or 1923 Germany.

Just How Bad Will This Depression Get?

<https://vidrebel.wordpress.com/2020/11/13/just-how-bad-will-this-depression-get/>

References: I must admit that when I first saw this article I decided to post part of it to warn everyone.

<https://www.distributednews.com/475569.html>

Their source was Children's Health Defense

<https://childrenshealthdefense.org/>

[Video Rebel's Blog](#)

Chemtrail Poisoning

Clifford Carnicom, perhaps the foremost Chemtrail researcher claims an impeccable military source told another researcher that the Chemtrail conspiracy is being carried out by a combination of the secret military and the pharmaceutical industry, both for biological warfare purposes and to make more billions for the drug companies.

<http://www.rense.com/general39/finally.htm>

And yes, the Bush crime group was involved.

It states that SARS was developed as a race-specific disease whose fatality rate was intended to be 80% against Asian races, but performed disappointingly by not killing well enough.

According to Carnicom's source, freeze-dried bacteria or virus is attached to very fine polymer filaments for dispersal, and the metals released along the fibers (barium, aluminum) are designed to heat up from the sun and provided a good medium for the survival of the micro-organisms.

Let's hope this is the smoking-gun whistle-blower that will get our representatives off their asses to hold immediate hearings. Have we all been infected by something already?

The participation of the pharmaceutical industry ought to show us that "free-enterprise" capitalism has come to its final fruition: pure unadulterated evil. And if Bush's father and his cronies are involved it should help us get this terrible man and his gang out of office.

Let's hope the military source of this article will continue to give information. Maybe when our Senators and Representatives realize that they too are in the bull's eye of this bio-war operation they will wake up and do something.

Read every line of the Rense article carefully.

////////////////////////////////////

A Meeting
By Clifford E Carnicom
Jul 26 2003

A meeting has taken place recently between an investigative researcher and a well-placed military source. The identity of both parties is to be protected. The source has intimate knowledge of at least one aspect of the aerosol operations, and asserts the following:

1. The operation is a joint project between the Pentagon and the pharmaceutical industry.
2. The Pentagon wishes to test biological diseases for war purposes on unsuspecting populations. It was stated that SARS is a failure as the expected rate of mortality was intended to be 80%.
3. The pharmaceutical industry is making trillions on medications designed to treat both fatal and non-fatal diseases given to populations.
4. The bacteria and viruses are freeze-dried and then placed on fine filaments for release.
5. The metals released along with the diseases heat up from the sun, creating a perfect environment for the bacteria and viruses to thrive in the air supply.
6. Most countries being sprayed are unaware of the activities and they have not consented to the activities. He states that commercial aircraft flying are one of the delivery systems.
7. Most of the "players" are old friends and business partners of the senior Bush.
8. The ultimate goal is the control of all populations through directed and accurate spraying of drugs, diseases, etc.

9. People who have tried to reveal the truth have been imprisoned and killed.

10. This is the most dangerous and dark time that I have experienced in all of my years of serving this country.

This information is relayed without qualification, as I am knowledgeable in the level of integrity of the researcher that has made this information available to the public. There is both risk and restraint that has been exercised in the preparation of this statement.

Clifford E Carnicom

////////////////////////////////////

Jeff Rense posted a qualifying statement in 2003 regarding the Cliff Carnicom information:

Finally - A Chemtrail Aerosol Breakthrough?
By Clifford E Carnicom
July 27, 2003

[Note from Jeff Rense- We know Clifford Carnicom to be as fine a private sector citizen/patriot researcher as we have ever worked with. His numerous appearances on the program addressing and presenting his tireless efforts to scientifically resolve the chemtrail aerosol mystery are remarkable, graphic testimony to his studied, determined, methodical approach to unmasking this ongoing aerial operation. Therefore, we take seriously the following news release from Clifford.

The portion of the statement regarding a SARS '80% mortality rate' suggests an ethno-specific bio-weapon aimed at the mainland Chinese Asian population. As we know, SARS and apparent mutations thereof, made their way to Canada (and the US) primarily via Asians who had been in - or had come into contact with people who had been in - the SARS hot zones in China and Hong Kong. In fact, the recent list of photos of SARS fatalities in Canada showed that the vast majority of victims were Asian in national origin. We are taking all of this under advisement with an open mind and will watch to see what kind of feedback and comments come in.]

////////////////////////////////////

Below is testimony from an anonymous government source who was speaking about the Geo-Engineering of Chemtrail spraying. I would add that this has been going on for more than twenty-five years. In the last four or five years they have been adding to the soup mix, coal "fly ash" residue from coal-fired power plants. "Fly ash" has to be removed from coal-fired power plants and hauled away to land-fills in covered dump trucks and rail cars. This ash is loaded with heavy metals, such as, depending on where the coal was mined: including arsenic, lead, mercury, cadmium, chromium and selenium, as well as aluminum, antimony, barium, beryl, boron, chlorine, cobalt, manganese, molybdenum, nickel, thallium, vanadium, and zinc.

“So, you haven't yet figured out the airborne conditioning-agent release program that is being operated by the government. I'll give you some hints, but first I'll give you a little information about myself.

I'm a retired government scientist with an advanced degree in one of the health-related disciplines. I do not use my real name for fear of retaliation, either against me, or against members of my family. I always try to route my e-mail communications so that they appear to come from another source, usually from one somewhere within the government. Sometimes I use the internet resources of the public library - whatever it takes to hide my true identity and confuse those who don't want the sort of information I possess to fall into the public's hands.

I have a small circle of friends who are, or were, in key positions within our military and several government agencies. These are not the very top level personnel, but mid to upper mid-level people, scientists and analysts, who are in positions where they can see the day-to-day activities of what's going on, who are charged with implementing the details, but who are never quite privy to the schemes behind the work they do.

Each of my contacts has been able to supply me with a portion of the puzzle, but separately, none of them understands the whole story. I'll tell you what I've pieced together so far. But I have to admit that this information frightens me because there is no place I can go with it. What am I supposed to do - write my congressman? I believe that would be like signing my own death warrant.

This whole chemtrail issue is related to the plan for decreasing the world population to around 450-500 million -- and starting with the U.S. first.”

Why? The people of the U.S. are the only ones with even the remotest chance of stopping this. That is, if they knew about it. That's why the U.S. has to be the first to go. You have to understand that the world's elite covet the U.S. for its geographic diversity - and they would love to return this country to its condition as it existed prior to Columbus setting foot here, but without the Native Americans this time of course.

You may have already heard how several national parks have been designated as world biospheres - that's the plan for all of North America, a giant nature park and playground that will be devoid of annoying human beings (that would be us). And the people actually involved in doing the spraying, as well as those who will take part in the rest of the plans, are being duped into believing they will be spared, that is, permitted to live and remain on this continent as administrators and caretakers of this vast nature preserve.”

////////////////////////////////////

I understand that about 150 to 200 thousand people are projected as necessary for maintenance purposes. But it's likely these people will not be Americans. Those in

charge wouldn't want to risk the possibility of some sort of revolt, so the workers will probably be brought in from Europe and Asia.

There are rumors floating about the internet that the Chemtrails are part of some sort of secret program the government is doing to protect the U.S. from future biological attacks. Nothing could be further from the truth. The plan is to sensitize, or condition, the U.S. population to being wiped out by influenza A. Over the past few years, people have been encouraged to get flu shots to protect them against the generally non-lethal strains that circulate through the population during flu season. Cumulative flu shots weaken the human immune system, with each successive flu shot.

Each year the U.S. government has guessed which strains were most likely to spread. They seem to always guess right - don't they?

This flu season, the government protected people with a trivalent vaccine that included the A/Beijing/262/95-like (H1N1) and the A/Sydney/5/97-like (H3N2). It also contained the B/Beijing/184/93-like hemagglutinin antigens.

For those not familiar with virology, the H and N refer to proteins on the outside of the virus, the hemagglutinin and neuraminidase, which are responsible for the virus attaching to, and then invading, a host cell. The public has been quite pleased with the success of the vaccines offered so far. But that will change in the future.

Researchers at the US Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases (or USAMRIID) at Fort Detrick in Frederick MD have reconstructed and modified the H1N1 Spanish Flu virus, making it far more deadly than it ever was back when it was responsible for the 1918-1919 flu pandemic that killed over 20 million worldwide and over 500,000 here in the U.S.

Consider that it could have killed many more, but back then, people couldn't hop on a jet and travel from New York to L.A. in five hours. Now, our ability to travel will increase the spread and will be our downfall.

The flu vaccines contain killed virus and protect the body well against challenge by that particular strain, but work poorly against other strains not included in the inoculation. At some point, the vaccine stockpile will include the more lethal modification of the 1918 H1N1 in its live form.

Most people receiving this vaccine will simply be renewing their annual flu shot, and the vaccine will still include the inactivated version of the more benign form of H1N1 (as was included in this year's vaccine).

The presence of the milder strain in the inoculum will slow down the progression of the more lethal H1N1 form, so people will become sick more slowly - but they will still eventually die. It will just take a few weeks longer.

In the meantime, they will be carriers for the lethal form of the virus, passing it on to everyone with whom they make contact. And as people hear that others are dropping dead from the flu, they will flock to get their own vaccination. And the entire population will be more receptive to infection because their lungs will have been pre-conditioned to guarantee it.

If you will remember back to 1968 and '69, the Hong Kong flu, which was influenza A type H3N2, killed over 30,000 people in the U.S. alone. That was a fortuitous learning event for some because it taught them that the flu could still conceivably be used to wipe out a population. But at the same time, it pointed out the need to precondition the populace so that those who might normally be resistant could be rendered susceptible. Hence the development of the vaccine program and the aerial spraying procedures to condition the population.

Koch's Postulates

- 1890 Robert Koch Established List of Criteria to Judge Whether or Not a Given Microbe Was Responsible for a Given Disease
 - The organism must be present in every case of the disease
 - The organism must be isolated from the diseased host and grown in pure culture
 - The specific disease must be reproduced when the pure culture is inoculated into a healthy susceptible host
 - The organism must be recovered from the experimentally infected host

The purpose of the chemicals in the Chemtrails is to help the viral envelope fuse with lung cells, permitting easier penetration and infection. As of November 19th, 2020, reports from health agencies are reporting two new strains of Covid-19, supposedly more viral than earlier in March. How do they know when they do not have samples which can be studied by researchers? A pathogen cannot be called a virus until and not less than determined by the Koch Postulates. See the chart of these on the next page.

The CDC told independent researchers there are no slide samples of Covid-19 when they requested samples for research study. This means the so-called Covid-19 was never tested using the Koch Postulates. They lied from the very beginning!

But what about those few individuals who don't succumb to the flu?

Probability alone demands that there will be some who survive - pockets of the population that are either not reached or somehow (and this is less likely) are resistant to the lethal H1N1 strain. At this point you must also remember that our military personnel have been immunized against a variety of pathogens, including the anthrax bacillus. Ten to fifteen percent of the public also use vitamin and nutrient supplements, which the FDA has been trying to ban from store shelves.

For those geographically isolated areas where the flu doesn't do its job, it's a fairly simple matter to lay down anthrax spores and then send in what's left of the military to take care of anyone still breathing.

The anthrax spraying will probably come under the guise of a flu protection program to save those still alive after the epidemic. And the military, having been exposed to civilians with the flu, will eagerly await their own flu shots.

I should emphasize that this is a last resort scenario. Those orchestrating the plan will not want to use anthrax until all other possibilities are exhausted - this because of the long-term viability of anthrax spores. To scatter them over the countryside would mean the area would be dangerous for use by humans, at least those not vaccinated against the bacteria.

And think about this for a moment. Why do you suppose agencies like Fish and Wildlife are so eager to reintroduce wolves and other species into areas of the country which haven't seen these animals for generations. It's all part of the plan to restore this land to what the elite envision as its early paradise-like state, with wild animals freely roaming the uninhabited plains and forests.

Granted, it will take some time to clean up the place and to maybe destroy a lot of small towns that might otherwise be considered a blight on the landscape.

But for the global elite it will be a small price for us to pay for their enjoyment.

////////////////////////////////////

I graduated college in June, 1969 and became very interested in what I had been hearing about a plan to depopulate the world. In my last two years of college, I had to read Paul Ehrlich's book, *'The Population Bomb'*. I began reading published articles and papers on how to deal with the population growth issue. When I began seminary a year later, that book was required reading in a course on Theological Ethics. In that course, the students were exposed to demographics and population studies from

visiting faculty from the University of Pittsburgh. The University of Pittsburgh and Pittsburgh Theological Seminary offered joint degree-programs for students earning dual-degree programs at both schools. Several of my classmates were enrolled in these programs and later went on to become college faculty. One of my college classmates, a year ahead of me shared with what is part of The New Order of the Barbarians. The transcript of the first tape appears below. The two names introduced below, Dr. Lawrence Dunegan and Dr. Richard Day. Dr. Dunegan was one of a group of young medical students attending a lecture series where Dr. Day was the speaker, from the Rockefeller Foundation. Dr. Dunegan stated that they were not to take notes or tape the presentation, but he later put his recollections to tape. If anyone doubts there is a secret plan to "depopulate" the Earth, Dr. Dunegan's three tapes leaves no room for doubt of their plan to "depopulate" the U.S..

The New Order of the Barbarians

Part ONE Editorial Note: This is a transcript of the first of three tapes on the "New Order of Barbarians", referred to on the tapes simply as the "new world system." Tapes one and two, done in 1988, are the reminiscences By Dr. Lawrence Dunegan, of a speech given March 20, 1969 by Dr. Richard Day, an insider of the "Order", whose credentials are given in an interview with Dr. Dunegan on tape three.

The moderator in the final taped interview with Dr. Dunegan is Randy Engel, National Director, U.S. Coalition for Life. It's interesting to note that Dr. Dunegan "spilled the beans" in 1988. According to the bio information, Dr. Day died shortly thereafter, in 1989. It could be a coincidence since Dr. Day was elderly when he died, and then again....

Most of you reading this are very well aware, already, of many of the details involved in the diabolical plan to bring about a New World Order. As much as we all know, hearing about the "HOW" from the words of an insider is spine chilling. I believe these tapes COULD change many lives and awaken many more people to the REALITY of what lies ahead if we don't stop the process. There are many more millions of U.S. than there are the planners. The useful idiots – their words, not ours – who are helping the process along know NOT what they do. If they DID know, they would stop, because they would KNOW that they, too, will be either terminated or become part of a GLOBAL SLAVE CAMP.

Dr. Dunegan reveals not just "WHAT" is intended for America and all people in the world, but "HOW" the controllers intend to carry out their plan. He covers topics such as:

- IS there a power, A force or a group of men organizing and redirecting change?
- "Everything is in place and nobody can stop us now . . ."
- People will have to get used to change – everything will change, constantly
- The "REAL" and the "STATED" goals
- Population Control
- Permission to have babies
- Redirecting the purpose of sex - sex without reproduction and reproduction without sex

- Sex education as a tool of World Government
- Encouraging homosexuality... Sex, anything goes
- Euthanasia and the "Demise Pill"
- Limiting access to affordable medical care makes eliminating the elderly easier
- Planning the control over medicine
- Elimination of private doctors
- New Difficult to diagnose and untreatable diseases
- Suppressing cancer cures as a means of population control.
- Inducing heart attacks as a form of assassination
- Education as a tool for accelerating the onset of puberty and pushing evolution and MUCH, MUCH MORE

Tapes available at Florida Pro-Family Forum, P.O. Box 10569, Highland City Florida 33846-1059 \$20.00.

Begin tape one... Dr. Lawrence Dunegan

THE NEW ORDER OF BARBARIANS

IS THERE A POWER, A FORCE OR A GROUP OF MEN ORGANIZING AND REDIRECTING CHANGE?

There has been much written, and much said, by some people who have looked at all the changes that have occurred in American society in the past 20 years or so, and who have looked retrospectively to earlier history of the United States, and indeed, of the world, and come to the conclusion that there is a conspiracy of sorts which influences, indeed controls, major historical events, not only in the United States, but around the world. This conspiratorial interpretation of history is based on people making observations from the outside, gathering evidence and coming to the conclusion that from the outside they see a conspiracy. Their evidence and conclusions are based on evidence gathered in retrospect. Period.

I want to now describe what I heard from a speaker in 1969 which in several weeks will be 20 years ago. The speaker did not speak in terms of retrospect, but rather predicting changes that would be brought about in the future. The speaker was not looking from the outside in, thinking that he saw conspiracy, rather, he was on the inside, admitting that, indeed, there was an organized power, force, group of men, who wielded enough influence to determine major events involving countries around the world. And he predicted, or rather expounded on, changes that were planned for the remainder of this century.

As you listen, if you can recall the situation, at least in the United States in 1969 and the few years thereafter, and then recall the kinds of changes which have occurred between then and now, almost 20 years later, I believe you will be impressed with the degree to which the things that were planned to be brought about have already been accomplished. Some of the things that were discussed were not intended to be accomplished yet by 1988. [Ed. Note: the year Dr. Dunegan made this tape] but are intended to be accomplished before the end of this century. There is a timetable; and it was during this session that some of the elements of the timetable were brought out.

Anyone who recalls early in the days of the Kennedy Presidency . . . the Kennedy campaign . . . when he spoke of "progress in the decade of the '60s"; that was kind of a cliché in those days - "the decade of the '60s." Well, by 1969 our speaker was talking about the decade of the '70s, the decade of the '80s, and the decade of the '90s. So that...

I think that terminology that we are looking at. . . looking at things and expressing things, probably all comes from the same source. Prior to that time I don't remember anybody saying "the decade of the '40s and the decade of the '50s.

So I think this overall plan and timetable had taken important shape with more predictability to those who control it, sometime in the late '50s. That's speculation on my part. In any event, the speaker said that his purpose was to tell us about changes which would be brought about in the next 30 years or so . . . so that an entirely new world-wide system would be in operation before the turn of the century. As he put it, "We plan to enter the 21st Century with a running start. **Everything is in place and nobody can stop us now . . .**"

He said – as we listened to what he was about to present – he said, "**Some of you will think I'm talking about Communism. Well, what I'm talking about is much bigger than Communism!**"

At that time he indicated that there is much more cooperation between East and West than most people realize. In his introductory remarks he commented that he was free to speak at this time because now, and I'm quoting here, "everything is in place and nobody can stop us now." That's the end of that quotation. He went on to say that most people don't understand how governments operate and even people in high positions in governments, including our own, don't really understand how and where decisions are made.

He went on to say that... he went on to say that people who really influence decisions are names that, for the most part, would be familiar to most of us, but he would not use individuals' names or names of any specific organization. But, that if he did, most of the people would be names that were recognized by most of his audience. He went on to say that they were not primarily people in public office, but people of prominence who were primarily known in their private occupations or private positions.

The speaker was a doctor of medicine, a former professor at a large Eastern university, and he was addressing a group of doctors of medicine, about 80 in number. His name would not be widely recognized by anybody likely to hear this, and so there is no point in giving his name. The only purpose in recording this is that it may give a perspective to those who hear it regarding the changes which have already been accomplished in the past 20 years or so, and a bit of a preview to what at least some people are planning for the remainder of this century so that we – or they – would enter the 21st Century with a flying start. Some of us may not enter that Century.

His purpose in telling our group about these changes that were to be brought about was to make it easier for us to adapt to these changes. Indeed, as he quite accurately said, they would be and he hopes that we, as sort of his friends, would make the adaptation more easily if we knew somewhat beforehand what to expect.

"PEOPLE WILL HAVE TO GET USED TO CHANGE . . ."

Somewhere in the introductory remarks he insisted that nobody have a tape recorder and that nobody take notes, which for a professor was a very remarkable kind of thing to expect from an audience. Something in his remarks suggested that there could be negative repercussions against him if his... if it became widely known what he was about to say to... our group... if it became widely known that he spilled the beans, so to speak.

When I heard that, first I thought maybe that was sort of an ego trip, somebody enhancing his own importance. But as the revelations unfolded, I began to understand why he might have had some concern about not having it widely known what was said, although this... although this was a fairly public forum where he was speaking, [where the] remarks were delivered. But, nonetheless, he asked that no notes be taken... no tape recording be used – suggesting there might be some personal danger to himself if these revelations were widely publicized.

Again, as the remarks began to unfold, and I saw the rather outrageous things that were said – at that time they certainly seemed outrageous -- I made it a point to try to remember as much of what he said as I could, and during the subsequent weeks and months and years, to connect my recollections to simple events around me, both to aid my memory for the future in case I wanted to do what I'm doing now - record this. And also, to try to maintain a perspective on what would be developing, if indeed, it followed the predicted pattern - which it has!

At this point, so that I don't forget to include it later, I'll just include some statements that were made from time to time throughout the presentation... just having a general bearing on the whole presentation. One of the statements was having to do with change. People get used . . the statement was, "**People will have to get used to the idea of change, so used to change, that they'll be expecting change. Nothing will be permanent.**"

This often came out in the context of a society of... where people seemed to have no roots or moorings, but would be passively willing to accept change simply because it was all they had ever known. This was sort of in contrast to generations of people up until this time where certain things you expected to be, and remain in place as reference points for your life. So change was to be brought about, change was to be anticipated and expected, and accepted, no questions asked. Another comment that was made . . from time to time during the presentation was, "**People are too trusting. People don't ask the right questions.**"

Sometimes, being too trusting was equated with being too dumb. But sometimes when... when he would say that and say, "People don't ask the right questions," it was almost with a sense of regret, as if he were uneasy with what he was part of, and wished that people would challenge it and maybe not be so trusting.

THE REAL AND THE "STATED" GOALS

Another comment that was repeated from time to time... this particularly in relation to changing laws and customs... and specific changes... he said, **"Everything has two purposes. One is the ostensible purpose which will make it acceptable to people; and second, is the real purpose which would further the goals of establishing the new system and having it."**

Frequently he would say, **"There is just no other way. There's just no other way!"**

This seemed to come as a sort of an apology, particularly when... at the conclusion of describing some particularly offensive changes. For example, the promotion of drug addiction which we'll get into shortly.

POPULATION CONTROL

He was very active with population control groups, the population control movement, and population control was really the entry point into specifics following the introduction. He said the population is growing too fast. Numbers of people living at any one time on the planet must be limited or we will run out of space to live. We will outgrow our food supply and we will over-pollute the world with our waste.

PERMISSION TO HAVE BABIES

People won't be allowed to have babies just because they want to or because they are careless. Most families would be limited to two. Some people would be allowed only one, and the outstanding person or persons might be selected and allowed to have three. But most people would [be] allowed to have only two babies. That's because the zero population growth [rate] is 2.1 children per completed family. So something like every 10th family might be allowed the privilege of the third baby.

To me, up to this point, the word "population control" primarily connoted limiting the number of babies to be born. But this remark, about what people would be "allowed" and then what followed, made it quite clear that when you hear "population control" that means more than just controlling births. It means control of every endeavor of an entire... of the entire world population; a much broader meaning to that term than I had ever attached to it before hearing this. As you listen and reflect back on some of the things you hear, you will begin to recognize how one aspect dovetails with other aspects in terms of controlling human endeavors.

REDIRECTING THE PURPOSE OF SEX - SEX WITHOUT REPRODUCTION AND REPRODUCTION WITHOUT SEX

Well, from population control, the natural next step then was sex. He said sex must be separated from reproduction. Sex is too pleasurable, and the urges are too strong, to expect people to give it up. Chemicals in food and in the water supply to reduce the sex

drive is not practical. The strategy then would be not to diminish sex activity, but to increase sex activity, but in such a way that people won't be having babies.

CONTRACEPTION UNIVERSALLY AVAILABLE TO ALL

And the first consideration then here was contraception. Contraception would be very strongly encouraged, and it would be connected so closely in people's minds with sex, that they would automatically think contraception when they were thinking or preparing for sex. And contraception would be made universally available. Nobody wanting contraception would be... find that they were unavailable.

Contraceptives would be displayed much more prominently in drug stores, right up with the cigarettes and chewing gum. Out in the open, rather than hidden under the counter where people would have to ask for them and maybe be embarrassed. This kind of openness was a way of suggesting that contraceptions . . . that contraceptives are just as much a part of life as any other items sold in the store. And, contraceptives would be advertised. And, contraceptives would be dispensed in the schools in association with sex education!

SEX EDUCATION AS A TOOL OF WORLD GOVERNMENT

The sex education was to get kids interested early, making the connection between sex and the need for contraception early in their lives, even before they became very active. At this point I was recalling some of my teachers, particularly in high school and found it totally unbelievable to think of them agreeing, much less participating in, distributing of contraceptives to students. But, that only reflected my lack of understanding of how these people operate. That was before the school-based clinic programs got started.

Many, many cities in the United States by this time have already set up school-based clinics which are primarily contraception, birth control, population control clinics. The idea then is that the connection between sex and contraception introduced and reinforced in school would carry over into marriage. Indeed, if young people – when they matured – decided to get married, marriage itself would be diminished in importance. He indicated some recognition that most people probably would want to be married... but that this certainly would not be any longer considered to be necessary for sexual activity.

TAX FUNDED ABORTION AS POPULATION CONTROL

No surprise then, that the next item was abortion. And this, now back in 1969, four years before Roe vs. Wade. He said, "**Abortion will no longer be a crime. Abortion will be accepted as normal**", and would be paid for by taxes for people who could not pay for their own abortions. Contraceptives would be made available by tax money so that nobody would have to do without contraceptives. If school sex programs would lead to more pregnancies in children that was really seen as no problem. Parents who think they are opposed to abortion on moral or religious grounds will change their minds when it is their own child who is pregnant. So this will help overcome opposition to

abortion. Before long, only a few die-hards will still refuse to see abortion as acceptable, and they won't matter anymore.

ENCOURAGING HOMOSEXUALITY. SEX, ANYTHING GOES

Homosexuality also was to be encouraged. **"People will be given permission to be homosexual."**

That's the way it was stated. They won't have to hide it. And elderly people will be encouraged to continue to have active sex lives into the very old ages, just as long as they can. Everyone will be given permission to have sex, to enjoy however they want. Anything goes. This is the way it was put. And, I remember thinking, "how arrogant for this individual, or whoever he represents, to feel that they can give or withhold permission for people to do things!" But that was the terminology that was used.

In this regard, clothing was mentioned. Clothing styles would be made more stimulating and provocative. Recall back in 1969 was the time of the mini skirt, when those mini- skirts were very, very high and revealing. He said, **"It is not just the amount of skin that is exposed that makes clothing sexually seductive, but other, more subtle things are often suggestive,"**

. . things like movement, and the cut of clothing, and the kind of fabric, the positioning of accessories on the clothing. **"If a woman has an attractive body, why should she not show it?"** was one of the statements.

There was not detail on what was meant by "provocative clothing," but since that time if you watched the change in clothing styles, blue jeans are cut in a way that they're more tight-fitting in the crotch. They form wrinkles. Wrinkles are essentially arrows. Lines which direct one's vision to certain anatomic areas. And, this was around the time of the "burn your bra" activity. He indicated that a lot of women should not go without a bra. They need a bra to be attractive, so instead of banning bras and burning them, bras would come back. But they would be thinner and softer allowing more natural movement. It was not specifically stated, but certainly a very thin bra is much more revealing of the nipple and what else is underneath, than the heavier bras that were in style up to that time.

Technology. Earlier he said . . sex and reproduction would be separated. You would have sex without reproduction and then technology was reproduction without sex. This would be done in the laboratory. He indicated that already, much, much research was underway about making babies in the laboratory. There was some elaboration on that, but I don't remember the details, how much of that technology has come to my attention since that time. I don't remember . . I don't remember in a way that I can distinguish what was said from what I subsequently have learned as general medical information.

FAMILIES TO DIMINISH IN IMPORTANCE

Families would be limited in size. We already alluded to not being allowed more than two children. Divorce would be made easier and more prevalent. Most people who

marry will marry more than once. More people will not marry. Unmarried people would stay in hotels and even live together. That would be very common - nobody would even ask questions about it. It would be widely accepted as no different from married people being together.

More women will work outside the home. More men will be transferred to other cities, and in their jobs, more men would travel. Therefore, it would be harder for families to stay together. This would tend to make the marriage relationship less stable and, therefore, tend to make people less willing to have babies. And, the extended families would be smaller, and more remote. Travel would be easier, less expensive, for a while, so that people who did have to travel would feel they could get back to their families... not that they were abruptly being made remote from their families.

But one of the net effects of easier divorce laws combined with the promotion of travel, and transferring families from one city to another, was to create instability in the families. If both husband and wife are working and one partner gets transferred the other one may not be easily transferred. So one either keeps his or her job and stays behind while the other leaves, or else gives up the job and risks not finding employment in the new location. Rather a diabolical approach to this whole thing!

EUTHANASIA AND THE "DEMISE PILL"

Everybody has a right to live only so long. The old are no longer useful. They become a burden. You should be ready to accept death. Most people are. An arbitrary age limit could be established. After all, you have a right to only so many steak dinners, so many orgasms, and so many good pleasures in life. And after you have had enough of them and you're no longer productive, working, and contributing, then you should be ready to step aside for the next generation.

Some things that would help people realize that they had lived long enough, he mentioned several of these... I don't remember them all... here are a few: Use of very pale printing ink on forms that people... are necessary... to fill out, so that older people wouldn't be able to read the pale ink as easily and would need to go to younger people for help. Automobile traffic patterns - there would be more high-speed traffic lanes . . . traffic patterns that would . . . that older people with their slower reflexes would have trouble dealing with and thus, lose some of their independence.

LIMITING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE MEDICAL CARE MAKES ELIMINATING THE ELDERLY EASIER

A big item – [that] was elaborated at some length – was the cost of medical care would be burdensomely high. Medical care would be connected very closely with one's work, but also would be made very, very high in cost so that it would simply be unavailable to people beyond a certain time. And unless they had a remarkably rich, supporting family, they would just have to do without care.

And the idea was that if everybody says, "**Enough! What a burden it is on the young to try to maintain the old people,**" then the young would become agreeable to

helping Mom and Dad along the way, provided this was done humanely and with dignity. And then the real example was - there could be like a nice, farewell party, a real celebration. Mom and Dad had done a good job. And then after the party's over they take the "demise pill."

PLANNING THE CONTROL OVER MEDICINE

The next topic is Medicine. There would be profound changes in the practice of medicine. Overall, medicine would be much more tightly controlled. The observation was made, "**Congress is not going to go along with national health insurance. That** (in 1969), he said, "**is now, abundantly evident. But it's not necessary. We have other ways to control health care.**"

These would come about more gradually, but all health care delivery would come under tight control. Medical care would be closely connected to work. If you don't work or can't work, you won't have access to medical care. The days of hospitals giving away free care would gradually wind down, to where it was virtually non-existent. Costs would be forced up so that people won't be able to afford to go without insurance. People pay... you pay for it, you're entitled to it.

It was only subsequently that I began to realize the extent to which you would not be paying for it. Your medical care would be paid for by others. And therefore you would gratefully accept, on bended knee, what was offered to you as a privilege. Your role being responsible for your own care would be diminished.

As an aside here – this is not something that was developed at this time... I didn't understand it at the time — as an aside, the way this works, everybody's made dependent on insurance. And if you don't have insurance then you pay directly; the cost of your care is enormous. The insurance company, however, paying for your care, does not pay that same amount. If you are charged, say, \$600 on your part, they pay \$300 or \$400. And that differential in billing has the desired effect: It enables the insurance company to pay for that which you could never pay for. They get a discount that's unavailable to you. When you see your bill you're grateful that the insurance company could do that. And in this way you are dependent, and virtually required to have insurance.

The whole billing is fraudulent.

Anyhow, continuing on now... access to hospitals would be tightly controlled. Identification would be needed to get into the building. The security in and around hospitals would be established and gradually increased so that nobody without identification could get in or move around inside the building. Theft of hospital equipment, things like typewriters and microscopes and so forth would be "allowed" and exaggerated; reports of it would be exaggerated so that this would be the excuse needed to establish the need for strict security, until people got used to it. And anybody moving about in a hospital would be required to wear an identification badge with photograph and . . . telling why he was there . . . employee or lab technician or visitor or whatever.

This is to be brought in gradually — getting everybody used to the idea of identifying themselves — until it was just accepted. This need for ID to move about would start in small ways: hospitals, some businesses, but gradually expand to include everybody in all places! It was observed that hospitals can be used to confine people... for the treatment of criminals. This did not mean, necessarily, medical treatment. At that... at that time, I did not know the word "Psycho-Prison" as in the Soviet Union, but without trying to recall all the details, basically, he was describing the use of hospitals both for treating the sick and for confinement of criminals for reasons other than the medical well-being of the criminal. The definition of criminal was not given.

ELIMINATION OF PRIVATE DOCTORS

The image of the doctor would change. No longer would he be seen as an individual professional in service to individual patients. But the doctor would be gradually recognized as a highly skilled technician... and his job would change. The job is to include things like executions by lethal injection. The image of the doctor being a powerful, independent person would have to be changed. And he went on to say, **"Doctors are making entirely too much money. They should advertise like any other product."**

Lawyers would be advertising too. Keep in mind this was an audience of doctors being addressed by a doctor. And it was interesting that he would make some rather insulting statements to his audience without fear of antagonizing us. The solo practitioner would become a thing of the past. A few die-hards might try to hold out, but most doctors would be employed by an institution of one kind or another. Group practice would be encouraged, corporations would be encouraged, and then once the corporate image of medical care... as this gradually became more and more acceptable, doctors would more and more become employees rather than independent contractors. And along with that, of course, unstated but necessary, is the employee serves his employer, not his patient.

So that's... we've already seen quite a lot of that in the last 20 years. And apparently more on the horizon. The term HMO was not used at that time, but as you look at HMOs you see this is the way that medical care is being taken over since the National Health Insurance approach did not get through the Congress. A few die-hard doctors may try to make a go of it; remaining in solo practice, remaining independent, which, parenthetically, is me. But they would suffer a great loss of income. They'd be able to scrape by, maybe, but never really live comfortably as would those who were willing to become employees of the system. Ultimately, there would be no room at all for the solo practitioner, after the system is entrenched.

NEW DIFFICULT TO DIAGNOSE AND UNTREATABLE DISEASES

Next heading to talk about is HEALTH & DISEASE. He said there would be new diseases to appear which had not ever been seen before. Would be very difficult to diagnose and be untreatable — at least for a long time. No elaboration was made on this, but I remember, not long after hearing this presentation, when I had a puzzling

diagnosis to make, I would be wondering, "Is this ... was what he was talking about? Is this a case of what he was talking about?". Some years later, as AIDS ultimately developed, I think AIDS was at least one example of what he was talking about. I now think that AIDS probably was a manufactured disease.

SUPPRESSING CANCER CURES AS A MEANS OF POPULATION CONTROL... CANCER.

He said, "**We can cure almost every cancer right now. Information is on file in the Rockefeller Institute, if it's ever decided that it should be released. But consider - if people stop dying of cancer, how rapidly we would become overpopulated. You may as well die of cancer as something else.**"

Efforts at cancer treatment would be geared more toward comfort than toward cure. There was some statement ultimately the cancer cures which were being hidden in the Rockefeller Institute would come to light because independent researchers might bring them out, despite these efforts to suppress them. But at least for the time being, letting people die of cancer was a good thing to do because it would slow down the problem of overpopulation.

INDUCING HEART ATTACKS AS A FORM OF ASSASSINATION

Another very interesting thing was heart attacks. He said, "**There is now a way to simulate a real heart attack. It can be used as a means of assassinating.**"

Only a very skilled pathologist who knew exactly what to look for at an autopsy, could distinguish this from the real thing. I thought that was a very surprising and shocking thing to hear from this particular man at that particular time. This, and the business of the cancer cure, really still stand out sharply in my memory, because they were so shocking and, at that time, seemed to me out of character.

He then went on to talk about nutrition and exercise, sort of in the same framework. People would not have to... people would have to eat right and exercise right to live as long as before. Most won't. This, in the connection of nutrition, there was no specific statement that I can recall as to particular nutrients that would be either inadequate or in excess. In retrospect, I tend to think he meant high salt diets and high fat diets would predispose toward high blood pressure and premature arteriosclerotic heart disease. And that if people who were too dumb or too lazy to exercise as they should then their dietary... their circulating fats go up and predispose to disease.

And he said something about diet information — about proper diet — would be widely available, but most people — particularly stupid people, who had no right to continue living anyway — they would ignore the advice and just go on and eat what was convenient and tasted good. There were some other unpleasant things said about food. I just can't recall what they were. But I do remember of... having reflections about wanting to plant a garden in the backyard to get around whatever these contaminated foods would be. I regret I don't remember the details... the rest of this... about nutrition and hazardous nutrition.

With regard to Exercise. He went on to say that more people would be exercising more, especially running, because everybody can run. You don't need any special equipment or place. You can run wherever you are. As he put it, "people will be running all over the place." And in this vein, he pointed out how supply produces demand. And this was in reference to athletic clothing and equipment. As this would be made more widely available and glamorized, particularly as regards running shoes, this would stimulate people to develop an interest in running and – as part of a whole sort of public propaganda campaign – people would be encouraged then to buy the attractive sports equipment and to get into exercise.

Again... well in connection with nutrition he also mentioned that public eating places would rapidly increase. That... this had a connection with the family too. As more and more people eat out, eating at home would become less important. People would be less dependent on their kitchens at home. And then this also connected to convenience foods being made widely available – things like you could pop into the microwave. Whole meals would be available pre-fixed. And of course, we've now seen this... and some pretty good ones.

But this whole different approach to eating out and to previously prepared meals being eaten in the home was predicted at that time to be brought about – convenience foods. The convenience foods would be part of the hazards. Anybody who was lazy enough to want the convenience foods rather than fixing his own also had better be energetic enough to exercise. Because if he was too lazy to exercise and too lazy to fix his own food, then he didn't deserve to live very long.

This was all presented as sort of a moral judgment about people and what they should do with their energies. People who are smart, who would learn about nutrition, and who are disciplined enough to eat right and exercise right are better people – and the kind you want to live longer.

EDUCATION AS A TOOL FOR ACCELERATING THE ONSET OF PUBERTY AND EVOLUTION

Somewhere along in here there was also something about accelerating the onset of puberty. And this was said in connection with health, and later in connection with education, and connecting to accelerating the process of evolutionary change. There was a statement that **"we think that we can push evolution faster and in the direction we want it to go."**

I remember this only as a general statement. I don't recall if any details were given beyond that.

BLENDING ALL RELIGIONS — THE OLD RELIGIONS WILL HAVE TO GO

Another area of discussion was RELIGION. This is an avowed atheist speaking. And he said, **"Religion is not necessarily bad. A lot of people seem to need religion, with its mysteries and rituals – so they will have religion."**

But the major religions of today have to be changed because they are not compatible with the changes to come. The old religions will have to go. Especially Christianity. Once the Roman Catholic Church is brought down, the rest of Christianity will follow easily. Then a new religion can be accepted for use all over the world. It will incorporate something from all of the old ones to make it more easy for people to accept it, and feel at home in it. Most people won't be too concerned with religion. They will realize that they don't need it.

CHANGING THE BIBLE THROUGH REVISIONS OF KEY WORDS

In order to do this, the Bible will be changed. It will be rewritten to fit the new religion. Gradually, key words will be replaced with new words having various shades of meaning. Then, the meaning attached to the new word can be close to the old word. And as time goes on, other shades of meaning of that word can be emphasized, and then gradually that word replaced with another word.

I don't know if I'm making that clear. But the idea is that everything in Scripture need not be rewritten, just key words replaced by other words. And the variability in meaning attached to any word can be used as a tool to change the entire meaning of Scripture, and therefore make it acceptable to this new religion. Most people won't know the difference; and this was another one of the times where he said, **"the few who do notice the difference won't be enough to matter."**

THE CHURCHES WILL HELP

Then followed one of the most surprising statements of the whole presentation: He said, **"some of you probably think the churches won't stand for this," and he went on to say, "The churches will help us!"**

There was no elaboration on this; it was unclear just what he had in mind when he said, "the churches will help us!" In retrospect, I think some of us now can understand what he might have meant at that time. I recall then only of thinking, "no they won't!" and remembering our Lord's words where he said to Peter, "Thou art Peter and upon this rock I will build my Church, and gates of Hell will not prevail against it."

So... yes, some people in the churches might help. And in the subsequent 20 years we've seen how some people in churches have helped. But we also know that our Lord's Words will stand, and the gates of Hell will NOT prevail.

RESTRUCTURING EDUCATION AS A TOOL OF INDOCTRINATION

Another area of discussion was Education. And one of the things in connection with education that I remember connecting with what he said about religion, was – in addition to changing the Bible – he said that the classics in Literature would be changed. I seem to recall Mark Twain's writings was given as one example. But he said, the casual reader reading a revised version of a classic would never even suspect that there was any change. And, somebody would have to go through word by word to even

recognize that any change was made in these classics; the changes would be so subtle. But the changes would be such as to promote the acceptability of the new system.

MORE TIME IN SCHOOLS, BUT THEY "WOULDN'T LEARN ANYTHING."

As regards education, he indicated that kids would spend more time in schools, but in many schools they wouldn't learn anything. They'll learn some things, but not as much as formerly. Better schools in better areas with better people – their kids will learn more. In the better schools, learning would be accelerated. And this is another time where he said, "We think we can push evolution."

By pushing kids to learn more, he seemed to be suggesting that their brains would evolve, that their offspring would evolve – sort of pushing evolution – where kids would learn and be more intelligent at a younger age. As if this pushing would alter their physiology. Overall, schooling would be prolonged. This meant prolonged through the school year. I'm not sure what he said about a long school day, I do remember he said that school was planned to go all summer, that the summer school vacation would become a thing of the past. Not only for schools but for other reasons. People would begin to think of vacation times year round, not just in the summer.

For most people, it would take longer to complete their education. To get what originally had been in a bachelor's program would now require advanced degrees and more schooling. So that a lot of school time would be just wasted time. Good schools would become more competitive. I inferred when he said that, that he was including all schools – elementary up through college – but I don't recall whether he said that.

Students would have to decide at a younger age what they would want to study and get onto their track early, if they would qualify. It would be harder to change to another field of study once you get started. Studies would be concentrated in much greater depth, but narrowed. You wouldn't have access to material in other fields, outside your own area of study, without approval. This seem to be more... where he talked about limited access to other fields... I seem to recall that as being more at the college level, high school and college level, perhaps. People would be very specialized in their own area of expertise. But they won't be able to get a broad education and won't be able to understand what is going on overall.

CONTROLLING WHO HAS ACCESS TO INFORMATION

He was already talking about computers in education, and at that time he said anybody who wanted computer access, or access to books that were not directly related to their field of study would have to have a very good reason for so doing. Otherwise, access would be denied.

SCHOOLS AS THE HUB OF THE COMMUNITY

Another angle was that the schools would become more important in people's overall life. Kids in addition to their academics, would have to get into school activities unless they wanted to feel completely out of it. But spontaneous activities among kids – the thing that came to my mind when I heard this was sandlot football and sandlot baseball

teams that we worked up as kids growing up. I said the kids wanting any activities outside of school would be almost forced to get them through the school. There would be few opportunities outside.

Now the pressures of the accelerated academic program, the accelerated demands, where kids would feel they had to be part of something – one or another athletic club or some school activity – these pressures he recognized would cause some students to burn out. He said, **"the smartest ones will learn how to cope with pressures and to survive. There will be some help available to students in handling stress, but the unfit won't be able to make it. They will then move on to other things."**

In this connection, and later on in the connection with drug abuse and alcohol abuse, he indicated that psychiatric services to help would be increased dramatically. In all the pushing for achievement, it was recognized that many people would need help, and the people worth keeping around would be able to accept and benefit from that help, and still be super-achievers. Those who could not would fall by the wayside and therefore were sort of dispensable – "expendable" – I guess is the word I want.

Education would be lifelong. Adults would be going to school. There'll always be new information that adults must have to keep up. When you can't keep up anymore, you're too old. This was another way of letting older people know that the time had come for them to move on and take the demise pill. If you get too tired to keep up with your education, or you got too old to learn new information, then this was a signal – you begin to prepare to get ready to step aside.

SOME BOOKS WOULD JUST DISAPPEAR FROM THE LIBRARIES

In addition to revising the classics, which I alluded to a while ago - with revising the Bible, he said, **"some books would just disappear from the libraries."**

This was in the vein that some books contain information or contain ideas that should not be kept around. And therefore, those books would disappear. I don't remember exactly if he said how this was to be accomplished. But I seem to recall carrying away this idea that this would include thefts. That certain people would be designated to go to certain libraries and pick up certain books and just get rid of them. Not necessarily as a matter of policy – just simply steal it. Further down the line, not everybody will be allowed to own books. And some books NOBODY will be allowed to own.

CHANGING LAWS

Another area of discussion was laws that would be changed. At that time a lot of States had blue laws about Sunday sales, certain Sunday activities. He said the blue laws would all be repealed. Gambling laws would be repealed or relaxed, so that gambling would be increased. He indicated then that governments would get into gambling. We've had a lot of state lotteries pop up around the country since then. And, at the time, we were already being told that would be the case. **"Why should all that gambling money be kept in private hands when the State would benefit from it?"**

was the rationale behind it. But people should be able to gamble if they want to. So it would become a civil activity, rather than a private, or illegal activity.

Bankruptcy laws would be changed. I don't remember the details, but just that they would be changed. And I know subsequent to that time they have been. Antitrust laws would be changed, or be interpreted differently, or both. In connection with the changing anti-trust laws, there was some statement that in a sense, competition would be increased. But this would be increased competition within otherwise controlled circumstances. So it's not a free competition. I recall of having the impression that it was like competition but within members of a club. There would be nobody outside the club would be able to compete. Sort of like teams competing within a professional league... if you're the NFL or the American or National Baseball Leagues, you compete within the league but the league is all in agreement on what the rules of competition are – not a really free competition.

ENCOURAGEMENT OF DRUG ABUSE TO CREATE A JUNGLE ATMOSPHERE

Drug use would be increased. Alcohol use would be increased. Law enforcement efforts against drugs would be increased. On first hearing that, it sounded like a contradiction. Why increase drug abuse and simultaneously increase law enforcement against drug abuse? But the idea is that, in part, the increased availability of drugs would provide a sort of law of the jungle whereby the weak and the unfit would be selected out. There was a statement made at the time: **"Before the earth was overpopulated, there was a law of the jungle where only the fittest survived."**

You had to be able to protect yourself against the elements and wild animals and disease. And if you were fit, you survived. But now we've become so civilized – we're over civilized – and the unfit are enabled to survive, only at the expense of those who are more fit. And the abusive drugs then, would restore, in a certain sense, the law of the jungle, and selection of the fittest for survival. News about drug abuse and law enforcement efforts would tend to keep drugs in the public consciousness. And would also tend to reduce this unwarranted American complacency that the world is a safe place, and a nice place.

ALCOHOL ABUSE

The same thing would happen with alcohol. Alcohol abuse would be both promoted and demoted at the same time. The vulnerable and the weak would respond to the promotions and, therefore, use and abuse more alcohol. Drunk driving would become more of a problem; and stricter rules about driving under the influence would be established so that more and more people would lose their privilege to drive.

RESTRICTIONS ON TRAVEL

This also had connection with something we'll get to later about overall restrictions on travel. Not everybody should be free to travel the way they do now in the United States. People don't have a need to travel that way. It's a privilege! It was a kind of a high-handed way it was put. Again, much more in the way of psychological services would be made available to help those who got hooked on drugs and alcohol.

The idea being, that in order to promote this – drug and alcohol abuse to screen out some of the unfit people who are otherwise pretty good – would also be subject to getting hooked. And if they were really worth their salt they would have enough sense to seek psychological counseling and to benefit from it. So this was presented as sort of a redeeming value on the part of the planners. It was as if he were saying, **"you think we're bad in promoting these evil things — but look how nice we are – we're also providing a way out!"**

THE NEED FOR MORE JAILS, AND USING HOSPITALS AS JAILS

More jails would be needed. Hospitals could serve as jails. Some new hospital construction would be designed so as to make them adaptable to jail-like use.

[end of tape one]

If anyone wants to listen to all three tapes of Dr. Dunegan, they were accessible on the Internet a few years ago and I imagine if you search for them it will be possible to listen to the three tapes by Dr. Dunegan.

As a student of history, I can state that everything noted in the transcript of Tape #1 has occurred since he recorded his memory in the late 1980s.

We have read yesterday that the Top pathologist Dr. Roger Hodkinson told government officials in Alberta during a zoom conference call that the current coronavirus crisis is *"the greatest hoax ever perpetrated on an unsuspecting public."*

Hodkinson's comments were made during a discussion involving the Community and Public Services Committee and the clip was subsequently uploaded to YouTube.

Noting that he was also an expert in virology, Hodkinson pointed out that his role as CEO of a biotech company that manufactures COVID tests means, *"I might know a little bit about all this."*

"There is utterly unfounded public hysteria driven by the media and politicians, it's outrageous, this is the greatest hoax ever perpetrated on an unsuspecting public," said Hodkinson.

The doctor said that nothing could be done to stop the spread of the virus besides protecting older more vulnerable people and that the whole situation represented *"politics playing medicine, and that's a very dangerous game."*

Hodkinson remarked that *"social distancing is useless because COVID is spread by aerosols which travel 30 meters or so before landing,"* as he called for society to be re-opened immediately to prevent the debilitating damage being caused by lockdowns.

Hodkinson also slammed mandatory mask mandates as completely pointless.

“Masks are utterly useless. There is no evidence base for their effectiveness whatsoever,” he said.

“Paper masks and fabric masks are simply virtue signalling. They’re not even worn effectively most of the time. It’s utterly ridiculous. Seeing these unfortunate, uneducated people – I’m not saying that in a pejorative sense – seeing these people walking around like lemmings obeying without any knowledge base to put the mask on their face.”

The doctor also slammed the unreliability of PCR tests, noting that *“positive test results do not, underlined in neon, mean a clinical infection, ”and that all testing should stop because the false numbers are “driving public hysteria.”*

Hodkinson said that the risk of death in the province of Alberta for people under the age of 65 was *“one in three hundred thousand,”* and that it was simply *“outrageous” to shut down society for what the doctor said “was just another bad flu.”*

“I’m absolutely outraged that this has reached this level, it should all stop tomorrow,” concluded Dr. Hodkinson.

Hodkinson’s credentials are beyond question, with the MedMalDoctors website affirming his credibility.

“He received his general medical degrees from Cambridge University in the UK (M.A., M.B., B. Chir.) where he was a scholar at Corpus Christi College. Following a residency at the University of British Columbia he became a Royal College certified general pathologist (FRCPC) and also a Fellow of the College of American Pathologists (FCAP).”

“He is in good Standing with the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Alberta, and has been recognized by the Court of Queen’s Bench in Alberta as an expert in pathology.”

In case the above video gets deleted by YouTube, a backup via Bitchute is available [here](#).

THIS IS AN INVISIBLE WAR AND YOU AND I ARE THE ENEMY. OUR ONLY HOPE IS THE LORD JESUS CHRIST RAPTURING BELIEVERS OUT OF HERE!!

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, EvanTeachr@aol.com
www.pastorbobreid.com
<http://jesusisthewaythetruththelife.com/node/22>

