


5G

The Ultimate Directed Energy Weapon System

Part 3



If you've ever found yourself driving around your neighborhood, calling out the window for the family dog, you've probably thought about pet containment systems! A few weeks ago on a Sunday morning, our daughter-in-law texted on Facebook that one of the family's dogs had got out of the yard and everyone went out to locate the missing pet. We think he was looking for his master, who has been working in Hawaii for nearly a month. The family found it sitting in a driveway of a home about a mile away. The homeowner said the German shepherd had been sitting in the driveway for several hours.

My neighbor across the street from me installed an electric fence in his yard to keep his two dogs from leaving the yard. We thought about an electric fence several years ago

before our two dogs passed away a few years ago. I remember reading about the pros and cons of such electric fences.

Electric Fences: Pros

An electric fence is affordable for most people. Many neighborhoods and developments have strict codes governing the type of fencing, if any, that is allowed. Although electronic fencing can be expensive, it is often significantly less than the cost of materials and installation for traditional fencing, particularly the high-end options required in some places.

Electric fences give homeowners some flexibility. Electronic fences don't interfere with surrounding views or break up green spaces created by open property lines. In addition, an electronic fence can be installed more quickly than many conventional fencing options.

An electric fence allows a dog to roam. Electronic fences can be designed to cover up to 25 acres. They also are easily installed on difficult terrain that may be more challenging for traditional fencing.

Invisible fences are effective most of the time. Some pet owners opt for an electric fence because other alternatives have failed to keep their dog inside (the dog either climbs over or digs under a traditional fence). In most cases, dogs quickly learn to stay within the boundary line to avoid the warning signal and subsequent shock.

Electric Fences: Cons

The shock has to be fairly significant and painful. In order to deter the dog from venturing across the boundary, the shock has to be severe enough that it startles and alarms him. Many electric fence companies compare the shock the dog receives to the type of static electric shock we feel when we walk across carpet and then touch a metal door handle, but in order to really act as a deterrent, the shock needs to be more substantial than that.

An electric fence is a form of punishment. I don't recommend punishment as a training technique because it can lead to some unfortunate and dangerous associations. In the same way, a dog restrained by an electric fence may learn to associate the sensation of the shock (the punishment) with something completely unrelated to the fence. For instance, if the dog runs up to the boundary to greet another dog and is shocked, he may associate the other dog with his pain. Even a friendly dog can become agitated, fearful or even aggressive when a stimulus is consistently associated with pain or a threat.

We decided against the electric fence for these reasons. When my legs were good, I would walk Rusty and Lady out six to eight times a day on their leash, and let them

roam in the fields of the farm below our mail box stand, on what was a long dead-end street.

What I am going to share in this article will explain the relevance of electric fences for pets. The recent CDC six-foot distance for social distancing brought this to mind and you will learn that this is not just about social distancing.

Brandon Smith from Alt-Market.com has authored another brilliant piece, this time about the flawed arguments of vaccine zealots who try to force risky, dangerous vaccines on everyone.

All informed human beings should “rebel” against forced vaccinations, Smith argues. and he’s right. Forced vaccines are a form of **medical violence**, even “medical rape” against women and children. There is no argument in favor of forced vaccines that makes any sense at all, unless you believe every human being is a slave owned by the state.

Here’s the full article from Brandon Smith, [via Alt-Market.com](#):

Why the Public Should Rebel Against Forced Vaccinations

The debate over the morality and practicality of forced vaccinations has been raging for many years, long before the coronavirus ever hit the U.S. population. With the advent of the pandemic the narrative has shifted to one of “necessity”. The media and the majority of governments around the world now act as if mass vaccinations are a given; the “debate is over”, as collectivists like to say when they are tired of having to deal with any logical or factual complaints.

In the case of the novel coronavirus there is no vaccine yet; unless of course the virus was engineered or evolved in a lab (as more and more evidence is suggesting), and then perhaps there is one already developed. Typically, vaccines take years to test and produce, and whenever a vaccine is rushed onto the market very bad things tend to happen.

The vaccine debate often revolves around the issue of safety. Is a particular inoculation safe or poisonous? Does it have long term effects that are dangerous? Does it harm children with highly sensitive and underdeveloped body systems? These are valid concerns, but ultimately the fight over vaccines has less to do with medical safety or effectiveness and more to do with individual rights vs government demands.

In other words, the more important questions are: Should social engineering by governments and elites be allowed? Do people have the right to determine how their bodies are medically augmented or manipulated? Does the “security of the majority” take precedence over the civil liberties of the individual? And if so, who gets to determine what freedoms will be taken away?

The Legal Argument

The purveyors of the forced vaccination philosophy usually make a legal or technical argument first before they appeal to the idea of “the greater good”. They do this because they know that public perception often assumes (wrongly) that legal authority is the same as moral authority.

In 1905, the US Supreme Court was presented with *Jacobson vs. Massachusetts*, a case involving the subject of state enforced smallpox vaccination. The defendant argued on the grounds of the 14th Amendment that his bodily liberty was being violated by the state if he was subjected to arbitrary vaccination without his consent. The state and the Supreme Court felt differently (of course). The Supreme Court ruled against *Jacobson* on the grounds that his refusal to take the vaccine put other people “at risk”, and that “for the common good” states have certain “police powers” that supersede personal liberties.

Whenever liberty movement activists argue against forced vaccinations on constitutional grounds, THIS is the counter-argument that the government and statist will make. They will bring up *Jacobson vs. Massachusetts* and then claim that is the end of the discussion.

Essentially, the Supreme Court argued that the federal government could not interfere with state imposed forced vaccinations on the grounds of states’ rights and the 10th Amendment. Most people in the liberty movement will find this rather ironic, as it is bizarre to hear about the federal government defending states’ rights. But, this support of the 10th Amendment is highly selective.

First, let’s not forget that the Supreme Court has been wrong many times in the past. In the *Dredd Scott* case in 1834, the Supreme Court ruled in favor of slavery and the right of states to enforce the institution. They also argued that the 5th Amendment protected slave owners because freeing slaves meant depriving owners of their “property”.

The Supreme Court’s habit is to defend states’ rights and the 10th Amendment when people’s individual liberties are being quashed. However, if a case involves states protecting citizens from federal intrusion, the court flips and attacks states’ rights when they work in favor of individual liberty or self-determination.

The *Jacobson vs. Massachusetts* case may be the reason why Trump and the federal government have mostly left the lockdowns and emergency actions to the states. The legal precedence was already established in 1905 on quarantines and forcing vaccinations through state police powers, so it only follows that the establishment would utilize the states to carry out such measures in the near future.

The “states vs federal government” debate sets up a false paradigm. There is no separation between state and federal governments when it comes to tyranny – both sides love it, though they pretend to be opposed to each other at times. That is to say, whether it is the federal government violating your constitutional rights or the state

government violating your constitutional rights, the Supreme Court is often comfortable with both.

The truth they don't want to discuss is that at bottom the Bill of Rights overrules them regardless of federal precedent or the 10th Amendment. The key to the Bill of Rights is that each American citizen has INHERENT LIBERTIES that supersede both federal and state power. These rights are inalienable. They cannot be violated today, and the law cannot be adjusted to violate them tomorrow. These rights and freedoms are ETERNAL.

The Supreme Court hisses with a forked tongue about the "spirit of the constitution" but ignores the clear and concrete intent as stated by the Founders. Statists argue in favor of the "living document" philosophy when it suits them as a means to change the original meaning and laws put forth in the Bill of Rights because this allows them to violate citizen freedoms under the guise of "legality". But "legality" is not the same a morality. Legality is meaningless, and the Supreme Court is meaningless if it acts against the constitutional bedrock of the Bill of Rights and individual liberty as they have done numerous times in the past.

The Moral Argument

So, if we cannot rely on legality to protect us from state tyranny, what can we rely on? Forced vaccine advocates will say that morality is on their side as well, for if a person does not vaccinate they are putting the rest of society at risk of infection. Therefore, your individual rights must be violated in order to protect the rights of the rest of society. The problem is that *Jacobson vs Massachusetts* makes no logical argument supporting this assertion, and neither do forced vaccine proponents.

Look at it this way: How can a person that is not vaccinated "harm" people that are vaccinated? How are they putting those people at risk? If the vaccine actually works, then vaccinated people are safe from infection, aren't they? So, the only person "at risk" is the person that chose not to vaccinate. This comes down to personal choice, there is no question of "the greater good" or social risk.

I find it fascinating that the people that argue fervently in favor of forced vaccinations (people like Bill Gates) also tend to be the same people that argue in favor of abortion rights. So, "my body my choice" is acceptable when it comes to women ending the lives of unborn children, but "my body my choice" is not acceptable when it comes to mass vaccinations even though an unvaccinated person is a threat to no one.

Some vaccine advocates will then claim that unvaccinated people could be host to "mutations" that threaten herd immunity. The problem is that there is no evidence to support this argument. The vast majority of viruses tend to mutate into LESS deadly or infectious strains, not more deadly. The only mitigating factors would be if a virus was deliberately designed or engineered to mutate in an unnatural manner.

If a virus is designed to mutate into a vastly different and more deadly strain that can attack vaccinated persons then the vaccine was never useful to begin with, and forced vaccinations are pointless. Once again, if the vaccine is effective then there is simply no basis for the position that an unvaccinated person puts vaccinated people in danger.

The Conformity Argument

The next argument by pro-forced vaccination people is to ask “why”? Why do you care if you are vaccinated? What do you have to worry about? Just go along to get along, right...?


This argument reminds me of a common anti-gun narrative: Why do you need to carry a gun? Why frighten other people? The chances you will need it are slim, right...?

The most important answer to the gun question is *“Because it’s my right to carry and I plan to exercise it. Also, your fear of guns does not take precedence over my constitutional freedoms.”* The same goes for forced vaccination: Because it is my right to refuse to have ANY pharmaceutical product injected into my body. Your fears of infection do not matter to my constitutional rights. If you want to take the vaccine then that is your choice. Leave me out of it.

Arguing about hypothetical threats is a waste of time. I carry a firearm because I have the right to have a means of defense just in case I need it. I refuse vaccinations because I have a right to avoid potential bodily harm just in case I have suspicions of a faulty product.



And is there reason to be concerned about faulty vaccines? Absolutely! Mass vaccinations programs that were rushed to the public have a track record of harming people's health.



With globalists like Bill Gates, an obsessive [champion of depopulation](#) at the forefront of the Covid-19 effort, I have no plans to accept any coronavirus vaccine. Bill Gates has [funded numerous experimental vaccine trials](#) through the World Health Organization, including [Polio vaccination programs](#). It was these [same programs](#) that led to [viral outbreaks of polio](#) in various countries and hundreds of paralyzed children. In fact, the vaccines caused more cases of Polio than the wild-type virus. This is VERIFIED FACT, admitted by the WHO and other mainstream sources, though numerous leftist media outlets continue to deny it. The one thing for sure about Bill Gates is that modesty is not one of his virtues. In interviews of Bill Gates, he cannot contain his glee for his projects.

Let me remind you that when the Jonas Salk polio vaccines were introduced in the mid-1950's, 98 million Americans received a polio vaccine laced with a known carcinogen known as SV-40. Most of an estimated 65 million recipients died as much as thirty years before what the Bible defines as a full life! Fortunately I am one of those who did not die of the Salk polio vaccine. I remember well receiving the polio shots at Liberty Elementary School by the school nurse and others from Dr. Salk's team.

Since Dr. Salk did his work at the University of Pittsburgh, the city of Pittsburgh schools received the three shots early in the delivery of the polio vaccine. The facts only became known in early 1960. Children received two shots of the vaccine, two to four weeks apart, and then the third shot seven months later. *"Dr. Salk finds this spacing best pulls the trigger of the body's gun mechanism, flooding billions of protective*

antibodies into the bloodstream. It is these antibodies which builds a wall between children and paralytic polio.”

In response to a report that “The CDC quietly removed pages from their web site that admitted a link between Simian Virus 40 (SV40), the polio vaccine, and cancer.” This is what appears on the Snopes’s page.

What's True

A virus that has been found in certain types of cancer in humans was also present in polio vaccines administered in the U.S. at one time.

What's False

No evidence establishes that anyone ever contracted cancer from a polio vaccination, nor did the CDC 'admit' such had happened or remove pages about the topic from their web site.

The fact is the CDC did remove their admission, it is still on the Internet, and even more indicting, is a video by the doctor who was responsible for the SV40 and raised the issue with CDC scientists. I have seen the video several times over the past twenty years and it mysteriously disappears and then reappears on the Internet, as the industry removes it when it reappears. My point her is that the so-called fact check web page of Snopes is backed by those desiring to remove or discredit truther’s sites.

At most, the WHO and Gates can claim that the infections were “accidental”. But if this is the case, it would still suggest that vaccines developed by Gates Foundation programs and the WHO should not be trusted.

In 1976 a swine flu scare enabled the initiation of a government funded mass vaccination program. The vaccine was faulty and was canceled in less than 10 weeks after causing hundreds of cases of Guillain-Barre syndrome, a rare neurological condition that leads to temporary paralysis and sometimes death.

In 2008, Swiss company Novartis tested a Bird Flu vaccine on the homeless and poor population of Poland. The vaccine trial paid participants \$2, and they were told the inoculation was for the “normal flu”. According a homeless center in the area at least 21 people died right after they participated in the trial.

A GlaxoSmithCline executive by the name of Moncef Slaoui was recently tapped by Donald Trump to head up the government’s effort to develop a coronavirus vaccine. This appointment should be highly concerning to the public. Why? Because Glaxo has a dark history in vaccine development, including an incident in Argentina in 2007-2008 when they were fined after a pneumonia vaccine trial allegedly caused the deaths of at least 14 babies. Slaoui was in charge of Glaxo’s vaccine division at the time. This appointment speaks to inept or dishonest medical advice when it comes to making recommendations for presidential appointments.

Statists that argue in favor of forced vaccination will dismiss all of these examples as mere “accidents” that are “rare”. Others will claim that fighting the pandemic is worth the risk of a “few deaths” due to some faulty vaccines. But this does not address the core issue of the battle against forced vaccination programs. Does a minority of elites in government or even a majority of useful idiots in the general population have the right to declare ownership of your body in the name of an arbitrary “greater good”? I say no, which is why I will NOT be conforming to any forced vaccine measures and I am willing to take extreme actions to defend myself from them if necessary.

As mentioned above, if a vaccine works, then there is no need to force people to take it. It will protect those that want it and the only risk is to those that choose not to use it. Frankly, the people in charge of the vaccine effort are not to be trusted, they have open ideological agendas that are questionable to say the least. Allowing them to dictate what goes into our bodies is akin to slavery at best, and possible mass death at worst.

The Corona Vaccine is the Mark of the Beast

The Corona vaccine will change your DNA by the injection of foreign DNA into you. The pulsed electrical vibration from 5G will open up cell membranes allowing the new DNA to enter. This information comes straight from the Gates Foundation’s own literature and Bill Gates’ public statements.

The new DNA will include Gene encoding poly proteins. Once the vaccine is administered a band aid like patch will be put on what is called the vaccine tattoo. It is comprised of micro needle arrays which will produce a quantum dots based record invisibly encoded into the skin of your vaccination history.

This patch has been developed by Micron and is already available. The quantum dots is composed of nano crystals only visible by near infrared light. The pattern of quantum dots will be unique to each person during the Fall of 2020 after some high energy generated catastrophes and the intentional crashing of the world’s currencies.

The military will be used to enforce vaccinations. Bio sensors will be dispersed throughout the body via the tattoo. They will link you to the blockchain which is a ledger recording all bitcoin transactions. Bitcoin is a cryptocurrency or digital medium of exchange. The new monetary system is called Libra. Microsoft has already developed this and its patent number is 2020 060606.

The unique pattern embedded below the surface of the skin is the “Mark” of the Beast. On the surface it will appear as an X. The X coloration is called ‘**Lucifer Rays**’. The bio sensors will also monitor the person’s behavior and mental state. They will record the person’s vaccination history and identify who each person is and bio sensors will verify that you have changed DNA. The tattoo patch will be administered on the hand or forehead according to personal preference. It will be a proof of certificate allowing a person to travel, work or go to school.

Through the 5G Phase Array you will be connected to the Cloud which is the infrastructure of the Beast System. Then you will be linked to the Blockchain which links to the cryptocurrency. The Beast System is a package of technologies you will be part of the Hived Mind and no longer have independent thought. You will lose focus and awareness and no longer be fully human. The tattoo will act as an antenna to receive and send 5G signals. Sound scary? It's worse!

Because of the radiation involved there will be health problems such as breathing and sleep disorders, cardiac disruptions and chronic inflammation, mental illness, cancer and even mutations. This vaccine is an existential threat to humanity, people who refuse to take it will be social outcasts and be given weird looks. They will not be able to be employed. If they try to enter a public building they will set off an alarm and be removed and quarantined. This transition began with the 2001 Patriot Act of George W. Bush!


The reason the government purchased guillotines years ago is because the plan is to use them in the later phase. Social distancing of 6 feet away will mean 6 feet underground. By taking the Corona vaccine you will modify your DNA and self-mutate and adapt to a new environment and a whole new world; And at the same time. Not even be cognizant that your DNA has been changed. The pulsed electrical system of 5G will soon be turned on and the world will be full of entrained and genetically modified people who have no clue that they are being controlled. Note the term "entrained" as it is a new word for many of you unfamiliar with the dangers of 5G and, it literally means in Chemistry: "To carry (suspended particles, for example) along in a current or into the vapor phase."

It was in January, 2020, when the FBI arrested Dr. Charles Lieber, a professor of Harvard, and developer of these nano-particles, invisible to the human eye, but can be inserted into injectable vaccines. These nano-particles are designed to be a data base about the very person who receives one. The fact that anyone submits to a cheek Q-tipped swab also in the process of obtaining your DNA, also receives pathogen microbes of the so-called COVID-19. Dr. Lieber was a Harvard highly-paid scientist doing work for the Chinese Communist Party. We can only speculate how much Dr. Lieber has done for the Chinese in the delivery of pathogens laced with nano-particles.

Back to my opening remarks in this article about those electric pet fences, this will shock you to your core. As the hell-bent rush to activate 5G technology, those satellites will turn you and your residence property into an electrical fence prison. The 5G satellite technology and its network will be able to use GPS coordinates to establish a "foot print" picture of the individual down to the size of a 4-inch square area. We have known for two decades how powerful the optics of the surveillance satellites are. It was during the first Gulf War in Iraq that the KH-12 satellite (Key Hole) lit up at night when flying over Iraq, Egypt and the Red Sea. Scientists who subsequently investigated on the ground the Infrared images photographed at night revealed the pulverized sand held the daylight Sun's heat, and at night gave off a heat signature which showed the Hebrew route of the Exodus to the edge of the Red Sea at Yom Suph.

Science fiction, it is not. Every man, woman, and child will have changed DNA, and the content of the data base in those nano-particles will provide an electrical fence that will keep the individual “locked-down.” You will not be able to escape the confines of your residence property. Disobey and try to leave your reservation, (your residence) and you will be given a painful dose of 5G electrocution, painful enough to knock you off your feet, and if that is not enough to get your compliance, they will be able to “modulate” the EMF up to the 60-Gigahertz, which will kill you instantly. They may have prepared a scale of gradual incremental zap dosage to a dissident person they consider essential in the New World Order.

The familiar chart below shows what occurs when 5G is “modulated” and 60-Gigahertz is executed, instant death results when 5G energy absorbs the oxygen in one’s lungs!



The roll out of 5G technology is a “massive health experiment” that will have “disastrous consequences” for the human race, according to the first major university study into the controversial wireless service — and a coalition of 200 leading scientists and doctors are calling for an [urgent stop](#) to the roll out.

For those who don’t know, 5G is the latest wireless system that telecommunications companies are trying to implement to service wireless communication. It’s designed to allow faster downloads of more data. It uses the 28, 37 and 39 GHz bands, also known

as millimeter wave (mmW) spectrum. 5G, which comes from the term 5th generation, is designed to work in conjunction with what former CIA head David Petraeus called the Internet of Things or IoT.



The agenda is to hook every single material thing on the earth, as well as humans themselves, onto a vast planet-wide web where everything and everyone become nodes on the network – connected by microchips which are nano-size and can be inhaled (like smart dust).

Many people are encouraging the advancement of 5G by their desire at all costs for convenience and speed (give me my multiple gigabit downloads now!). People are foolishly valuing this over privacy, safety and health.

5G is not merely an upgrade of wireless infrastructure; it is a giant leap towards the erection and installation of a total technological control grid. The agenda is to cover the entire Earth – including rural areas – with the 5G electromagnetic blanket, so that its effects can literally not be escaped by anyone living on this planet.

If I haven't got your attention yet, please take a look at the videos on the Internet, where FCC (Federal Communications Commission) head Tom Wheeler lays out how 5G is going to happen – and consequences be damned.

This guy is another former corporate lobbyist using the good old “revolving door” at the top of the business-political world. He now occupies a key governmental office to promote the agenda of his former industry (telecommunications). He is advocating the rollout of a game-changing technology (with toxic and cancerous side effects for some) that's going to affect all life on Earth, but he doesn't want to wait for safety standards. Later in the video, Wheeler ignores and dodges questions about the wireless radiation-cancer connection.

Here's a summary of his points:

- 5G will **penetrate material objects better**: thanks to “brilliant engineers”, 5G radiation will be even harder to shield yourself against;
- 5G will be **infrastructure-intensive**: the plan is to erect even more radiating towers in every corner of the planet, adding a new meaning to the concept of **electromagnetic soup**;
- 5G will make **tens of billions of dollars** for its owners through “unanticipated and unintended” consequences (after this point, Wheeler slams his fist on the podium and says, “That’s damn important”);
- 5G is going to go ahead **without the FCC waiting for governmental standards** (Wheeler proudly proclaims that “unlike other countries”, the US cares about being “first out of the gate” (i.e. economically). He suggests we “turn innovators loose” rather than wait for committees to decide things. He defiantly declares that, “We won’t wait for the standards”);
- 5G will require the **sharing of frequencies with the military**;
- 5G is the **technological basis for the IoT** (Wheeler states that “hundreds of millions of microchips” will be in everything [and everyone if they get their way]);
- All parts of the Earth will be covered, so there will be **urban and rural radiation saturation**.

The Ultimate Technological Control Grid

Like all things in the New World Order, 5G can be understood on many levels. Yes, it’s about companies rushing forward to make money using unsafe technology and unproven products (a very familiar theme throughout human history – see tobacco, Big Pharma drugs, vaccines, GMOs, etc.).

However, that’s only the surface level. That is nothing new. The deeper level is really about the construction of a total technological control grid whose ultimate purpose is to know what you’re thinking and feeling, and then be able to change what you’re thinking and feeling so as to control your actions. In other words, complete technological slavery. Like many aspects the worldwide conspiracy, most people who push forward their particular aspect of the overall agenda have no idea of the deeper ramifications or where the whole thing is headed.

Human Skin and Sweat Ducts Are Antennae and Can be Influenced (Controlled) by 5G Technology

If you think that’s farfetched to connect 5G and the IoT with a technological control grid, consider the latest evidence.

Do you know that our skin (the largest organ in our body) directly responds to 5G? Are you aware that our sweat ducts act as antennae? Do you know that our sweat ducts can receive signals? We are energetic beings, first and foremost. We vibrate and exude frequencies. To affect people energetically is to affect them physically.

Some people in the mainstream may have been shocked to discover the extent of CIA spying on their lives, but that is truly the tip of the iceberg. If the technology already

exists to affect, influence and (as we will learn) control the human frequency field and energetic structure, how close are we already to technological slavery?

Consider these findings by Israeli scientist Dr. Ben-Ishai:

“[The 5G frequencies] will zap [us] with wavelengths that will interact with the geometrical structure of our skin ... is there a health implication?”

...

[In 2008] We found that sweat ducts work like helical antennas ... the sweat duct was an integral part of the mechanism for the absorption of energy, electromagnetic, between 75-100 GHz, and that if you changed the character of the sweat duct, i.e. made it work, you could actually change that absorption at some point, and if you could do that you could trace how a person is under stress.

...

Gal Shafirstein also came to the same conclusion ... he actually looked at SAR rates as well. He did this because the American army had commissioned him to explain why their 94Ghz proud dispersal gun made people run away when the beam touched them ... if you are unlucky enough to be standing there when it hits you, you feel like your body is on fire ... he found the SAR rate was going very high within sweat duct compared to the surrounding tissue ... so there's already evidence that there could be effects on us.

...

The important thing ... is the these structures (papilla) are also on the same basic dimensional level as radio waves from anything from 60 gig to 100 gig (5G).“

Microwaves affect water in a different way to more solid matter. Essentially, as Washington's Blog notes, the [Same Frequencies Used for Pain-Inflicting Crowd Control Weapons Form the Foundation of the Network that Will Tie Together More Than 50 Billion Devices As Part of the Internet of Things](#). Ben-Ishai finishes his talk by saying that, within a matter of 2 years, we shall all be flooded with 5G and it will be affecting our skin and sweat ducts – regardless of the health effects. He highlights that the current standards do not consider the SkinRad Effect (skin radiation effect) when assessing possible health risk issues, which is just like how the wireless industry clings to their outmoded idea of SAR (a thermal measure of wireless radiation) and ignores the damaging, non-thermal effects of wireless radiation.

The [Environmental Health Trust](#) had a lot to say about 5G:

“The use of sub-terahertz (Millimeter wave) communications technology (cell phones, Wi-Fi, network transmission antennas) could cause humans to feel physical pain via nociceptors,” stated Dr. Yael Stein, MD, who wrote a letter to the Federal Communications Commission about 5G Spectrum Frontiers.”

Think about it – our natural rhythm is something close to the Schumann Resonance of the Earth, which is 7.83 Hz. We are talking about frequency bands not hundreds, not thousands, not millions, but actually billions times this frequency! 28 GHz is 28,000,000,000 (28 billion) cycles per second! Now we have electronic devices that operate in the THz band (TeraHertz, which is trillions of Hertz).

To say this is completely unnatural is the understatement of the millennium. It is completely out of balance, disharmonious and insane. It is wreaking havoc on our energy fields.

The IoT (Internet of Things)

So what is this IoT? Bruce Schneier [describes](#) it like this:

*“Broadly speaking, the Internet of Things has three parts. There are the **sensors** that collect data about us and our environment: smart thermostats, street and highway sensors, and those ubiquitous smartphones with their motion sensors and GPS location receivers. Then there are the **“smarts”** that figure out what the data means and what to do about it. This includes all the computer processors on these devices and — increasingly — in the cloud, as well as the memory that stores all of this information. And finally, there are the **actuators** that affect our environment. The point of a smart thermostat isn’t to record the temperature; it’s to control the furnace and the air conditioner. Driverless cars collect data about the road and the environment to steer themselves safely to their destinations.*

You can think of the sensors as the eyes and ears of the internet. You can think of the actuators as the hands and feet of the internet. And you can think of the stuff in the middle as the brain. We are building an internet that senses, thinks, and acts.

This is the classic definition of a robot. We’re building a world-size robot, and we don’t even realize it.

...

This world-size robot is actually more than the Internet of Things. It’s a combination of ... mobile computing, cloud computing, always-on computing, huge databases of personal information, the Internet of Things ... autonomy, and artificial intelligence ... It’ll get more powerful and more capable through all the interconnections we’re building. It’ll also get much more dangerous.”


Schneider is a computer expert so his idea of dangerous seems to be limited to software flaws and hacking vulnerabilities. However, the conspiracy goes much deeper than that.

The IoT is slated to become the technological control grid in which every single material thing, living and non-living, is attached, and in so doing loses its independence and free will. The IoT is a fake, synthetic version of the already existent network of Nature that surrounds us. However, the dark force behind this agenda cannot control that grid, so is seeking to replace it with one that is detrimental to human health and in which all the nodes on the network can be controlled.

Time to Wake Up – and Fast

This dark agenda – this Synthetic Agenda, this Transhumanist Agenda – to erect a total technological control grid is unfurling way too fast for comfort. We all have to wake up – and fast.

THE **DEATH SCIENCE** DEPOPULATION TRIFECTA



JOIN THE FIGHT FOR HUMAN RESISTANCE
AGAINST "DEATH SCIENCE."

NATURALNEWS.COM
Defending Health, Life and Liberty

With every year that passes, our technological evolution keeps outpacing our spiritual evolution. This can only lead to one thing: technology will fall into the hands of people with little or no scruples who will be happy to use it to further their own ends and enslave others.

Luckily, some people are waking up to, even people in positions of power such as a group of mayors in Ohio who have filed a lawsuit to stop 5G towers being erected in their counties, cities and municipalities.

This really is a race against time. If this technological control grid is rolled out in sufficient breadth and depth of reach, and intensity, it will seriously impact our ability to resist it, because it contains the capability to suppress that very resistance. We are living the plot of a science fiction film – but it's real life and the consequences are deadly.




Will humanity be able to recognize the truth quickly enough? Will we be able to stop the insanity and the construction of networks that enslave us?

Ponder how the illustration below will resemble life in the near future, “control” your life, your family, etc. Think about the fact that you will no longer have “free will”, that for all intent and purpose, you will be just like my neighbor’s poor dogs in an EMF prison that run around in the yard!

Outdoor Wireless Dog Fence System Safe and Harmless

Keep Your Pets in Safe Zone, No More Neighbors' Complaints



Ideal Work Environment: Outdoor, Open Area

**The general population
doesn't know what is
happening
and it doesn't even know...
that it doesn't know**

FCC approves SpaceX to deploy up to 1 million small antennas for Starlink internet network




5G technology has been making a lot of noise lately, and it's surrounded by controversy. It's unfortunate when claims made about the safety of 5G technology, and wireless technology in general are done so without any supporting evidence because it simply furthers the idea that there are no safety concerns when it comes its potential impact on human health. There seems to be a lingering idea out there that 5G technology is completely safe and poses no threat to human health, and any information that does suggest and provide evidence for the claim seems to be constantly brushed off by the mainstream media.

For example, an article written in *'Forbes'* states *"Fortunately, science already tells us that 5G almost certainly poses no danger to humans. Unless you value unfounded conspiracies over bona fide science."* This is a confusing statement, and in the eyes of many it seems to be false because there are countless amounts of doctors and scientists who have been publishing peer-reviewed science regarding the potential health impacts of 5G technology, as well as the wireless technology currently employed that predates 5G.

For example, a study published in 2019 in *'Frontiers in Public Health'* is one of many that raises concerns about 5G technologies. It points out that "novel 5G technology is being rolled out in several densely populated cities, although potential chronic health or environmental impacts have not been evaluated and are not being followed. "It goes on to emphasize that the range and magnitude of potential impacts of 5G technologies are under-researched, although important biological outcomes have been reported with millimeter wavelength exposure."

All these scientists are asking for is to conduct appropriate safety testing before this new technology is rolled out. Again, this has been emphasized in multiple studies by scientists, and politicians are starting to raise concerns. Senator Richard Blumenthal recently emphasized this point in a hearing. As you can see, this is far from a mere conspiracy theory. There are legitimate concerns, and any source claiming that there are no concerns should be highly questionable.



A paper published in 2018 in *'Environmental Research'* titled "Wi-Fi is an important threat to human health" points out that,

Repeated Wi-Fi studies show that Wi-Fi causes oxidative stress, sperm/testicular damage, neuropsychiatric effects including EEG changes, apoptosis, cellular DNA damage, endocrine changes, and calcium overload."

The paper was published by Martin L. Pall, PhD, a Professor Emeritus of Biochemistry and Basic Medical Sciences at Washington State University who has also published report titled "5G: Great risk for EU, U.S. and International Health! Compelling Evidence for Eight Distinct Types of Great Harm Caused by Electromagnetic Field(EMF) Exposures and the Mechanism that Causes Them." In that report he emphasizes states that:

"Putting in tens of millions of 5G antennae without a single biological test of safety has got to be about the stupidest idea anyone has had in the history of the world."

Did you know that there are thousands of peer-reviewed studies that call into question unnatural sources of electromagnetic radiation in general. A few years ago, more than 200 scientists petitioned the United Nations about this issue. The scientist leading the appeal was Dr. Martin Blank, Ph.D., from the Department of Physiology and Cellular Biophysics at Columbia University. At the time of the appeal, Blank and multiple other researchers published a paper in the European Journal of Oncology about it, titled “International Appeal: Scientists call for protection from non-ionizing electromagnetic field exposure.”

The paper explains,

We are scientists engaged in the study of biological and health effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields (EMF). Based upon peer-reviewed published research, we have serious concerns regarding the ubiquitous and increasing exposure to EMF generated by electric and wireless devices. These include – but are not limited to – radio-frequency radiation (RFR) emitting devices, Wi-Fi, broadcast antennas, smart meters...

Numerous recent scientific publications have shown that EMF affects living organisms at levels well below most international and national guidelines. Effects include increased cancer risk, cellular stress, increase in harmful free radicals, genetic damages, structural and functional changes of the reproductive system, learning and memory deficits, neurological disorders, and negative impacts on general well-being in humans. Damage goes well beyond the human race, showing evidence of harmful effects on both plant and animal life.

Final Thoughts

It’s truly wonderful to see more and more people come together in the face of adversity. When it comes to measures imposed upon the population without our consent, it’s important to continue sharing information and science that for some reason seems to be constantly ignored within the mainstream. In a day and age where a digital authoritarian Orwellian fact-checker is making its rounds, the censorship of information is only waking more people up. There is a huge shift in consciousness occurring on our planet in several different areas of life. Now more than ever, more and more people are starting to question the actions taken by those who we choose to govern us. Do they really have our best interests in mind? Are we really living in a democracy when so many concerns, like 5G, go completely ignored? What’s really going on here? Why don’t they address the concerns that are being raised? The fact that the movement of concerned people who are asking questions is growing and growing every single year is an encouraging thought. We are definitely heading in the right direction.

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, EvanTeachr@aol.com
www.pastorbobreid.com