

# **ALERT: ELECTROSMOG – Extermination of ALL life by satanic CELL PHONE technology**

July 28 2023

Technology: the REAL DANGER; NOT Climate Change by CO2.

*“There is no question but that this must cease, and that cell phones are not safe at any power level, at any distance from the head, and for any duration.”*

Having received notification today of the recently released *Policy Brief on Electrosmog, for guidance to governments, legislators*, from the Cellular Phone Task Force, we ask you to take serious consideration of the following passages.

It is crucially important that we understand how every day, by using our cell phones and Wi-Fi, we are contributing to the vast electrosmog which is engulfing our planet and causing unquantifiable destruction to life. THIS MUST STOP.

The purpose of the said brief is to outline actions that are required to be taken by political leaders, religious leaders, environmental organizations, public schools, medical schools and doctors to educate the public and to begin to dismantle this existential threat to the earth.

All life is made of harmonic frequencies, NOT the frequency used by modern satanic technology.

*“The damage done to our health and our world by wireless devices and their infrastructure is caused not only by the microwave carrier frequencies, but also by the low-frequency modulation and pulsations that carry the transmitted information”*

The vast LIE of a COVID pandemic is not only being used to push a World Government and totalitarian state agenda, but also to cover the REAL agenda, the damage to ALL biological life, which has resulted in a global manifestation of a multitude of illnesses, only to be treated by chemical pharmaceutical drugs, which ultimately destroys. The end goal is EVIL and insane, a Sci-Fi script from hell.

We wonder, how much more upheaval, limitation, regulation and control of life will it take for humanity to wake up? At the start of the so-called COVID scam demic we said,

if everyone would throw away their cell phones the whole agenda would collapse. It still would. The globalists are banking on humanity's addiction to cell phones and Wi-Fi technology to keep their sick program going. We must STOP them. It is only a belief and dependency/addiction to the said system that perpetuates this continued insane agenda and it is contributing to the destruction of ALL life.

*“ Average citizens still do not even know that a mobile device emits radiation. Much less do they suspect that it is causing them brain damage or threatening their life and their future on this planet.”*

Please read the following critically important message from Arthur Firstenberg and his team:

The Cellular Phone Task Force is a non-profit membership organization. It was founded in July 1996 in response to the health and environmental threats posed by the launch of the wireless revolution in the United States.

Last fall, the [World Council for Health](https://worldcouncilforhealth.org/) <https://worldcouncilforhealth.org/> asked us to draft a Policy Brief on Electrosmog, for guidance to governments, legislators, environmental organizations, schools, and religious, political and community leaders. It is now finalized and available for distribution here and [on our website. https://cellphonetaskforce.org/electrosmog-policy-brief/](https://cellphonetaskforce.org/electrosmog-policy-brief/)

Guidance to governments, legislators, environmental organizations, schools, and religious, political and community leaders. If your organization wishes to join in supporting this brief, please [email us](#).

# Policy Brief on Electrosmog

Cellular Phone Task Force

---

Supported by:

World Council for Health

Antwerpen Nieuw Society 4.0

Three Aunties, Inc.

Ethical Environmental Consulting

## Key Points


- The study of electricity should be restored to biology and medicine.
- Personal wireless communication must be phased out because the radiation that carries all the messages is destroying life on earth.
- Mobile phones must be replaced with landline phones, WiFi with ethernet cables, and other wireless consumer devices with devices connected by wires and cables.
- Mobile phone antennas and masts must be phased out and removed.
- Wireless technology must be removed from vehicles.
- Smart meters must be replaced with analog meters.
- Smart highways, smart cities, and the Internet of Things must cease being developed and deployed.
- Radar stations must be limited in number, location and power.
- Radar (microwave) ovens should not be used for heating food.
- An international treaty on electrosmog, addressing radiation on land, in the oceans, and in space, must be drafted.

## Introduction

Electrosmog is the totality of the electric fields, magnetic fields, and electromagnetic radiation that bathes us 24/7 from all electrical and electronic devices, electric wires, power lines, and wireless devices and antennas. With wired communication, information is transmitted via the wires, and the electromagnetic fields (EMFs) and radiation are unintentional. Proper engineering can reduce these unwanted fields and radiation to a minimum.

By contrast, with wireless communication, the radiation is the product. Radiation substitutes for wires in transmitting information. Wireless *means* radiation. The ability to use a mobile device everywhere on earth means that every square meter of the earth must be irradiated at all times. Mobile devices operate in the microwave spectrum, with the result that the entire planet is now swimming in a sea of microwave radiation that is millions to billions of times stronger than the radiation from the sun and stars with which life evolved (1).

## USA, UK, AU Allow the Most Microwave Radiation Exposure



Life is based not only on chemistry but more fundamentally on electricity (2, 3). The unimpeded flow of electrons is essential to the functioning of our nerves, heart, and metabolism (3).

Interference with these electric currents causes neurological diseases, heart disease, metabolic diseases such as diabetes, and cancer (4). Organisms that have a very high metabolism, such as bees and other insects, are being wiped out (5, 6). Thousands of studies document the devastating effects of wireless radiation on mammals, birds, insects, amphibians, and forests (7).

Because EMFs are not a foreign substance to living beings, a toxicology model does not apply and there is not a dose response: reducing the power does not reduce the effect. Even a signal that is almost immeasurably weak can interfere with normal biological functioning (8). *“While the dose rate/SAR concept is adequate for description of acute thermal effects, it is not applicable for chronic exposures to N[on]T[hermal] M[icro]W[aves].”* (9). Even at near-zero power levels, microwave radiation has been

found to change the structure of DNA (10) and alter brain waves (11). Some studies have even found an *inverse* dose response. When the power of the radiation was reduced 1000-fold, damage to the blood-brain barrier *increased* (12). A review of 113 studies found that radiation with the *lowest* power tended to cause the *greatest* ecological damage (5). In another review of 108 experimental studies, a lower exposure level tended to have a *greater* biological effect, and the difference was highly significant ( $p < 0.001$ ) (13).

**The damage done to our health and our world by wireless devices and their infrastructure is caused not only by the microwave carrier frequencies, but also by the low-frequency modulation and pulsations that carry the transmitted information.** *“Thus modulation can be considered as information content embedded in the higher frequency carrier wave that may have health consequences beyond any effect from the carrier wave directly”* (14). No matter what the carrier wave, the modulation is the same because it has to carry the same information. Therefore using light as the carrier wave, as is being done over short distances with WiFi, or using sound as the carrier wave, as is being done in the oceans, **does not reduce the harm.**

The finding that only a single two-hour exposure to a mobile phone during their lifetime, even when the power of the phone was reduced 100-fold, caused permanent brain damage in young rats (15) makes it likely that we are raising, and perhaps have already raised, a generation of children with brain damage. **There is no question but that this must cease, and that cell phones are not safe at any power level, at any distance from the head, and for any duration.**

### **Purpose of This Document**

**This policy brief provides an overview of an immediate threat to life on earth—**even more immediate than climate change—that has been allowed to get completely out of control because it has been completely ignored. **The source of the threat is a technology** to which everyone has become addicted, and which, during the past two and a half decades, has become firmly entrenched in every aspect of life. Although more scientific studies have been published on the health and environmental effects of EMFs than on almost any other pollutant except for mercury and tobacco smoke, the impact of all this research upon public policy to date has been zero. Average citizens still do not even know that a mobile device emits radiation. Much less do they suspect that it is causing them brain damage or threatening their life and their future on this planet. The purpose of this brief is to outline actions that are required to be taken by political leaders, religious leaders, environmental organizations, public schools, medical schools and doctors to educate the public and to begin to dismantle this existential threat to the earth.

### **Notes**

1. Aleksandr S. Presman. *Electromagnetic Fields and Life* (NY: Plenum Press 1970), p. 31, Figure 11.
2. Robert O. Becker. *The Body Electric* (NY: Morrow 1985).
3. Sulman, Felix Gad. *The effect of air ionization, electric fields, atmospherics and other electric phenomena on man and animal*. American Lecture Series, Publ. no. 1029 (Charles C. Thomas Publisher, Springfield IL, 1980; 398 pp.).
4. Arthur Firstenberg. *The Invisible Rainbow: A History of Electricity and Life* (White River Junction, VT: Chelsea Green 2020, 560 pp.).
5. Cucurachi et al. A review of the ecological effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMF). *Environment International* 51: 116-140 (2013). <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160412012002334/pdf?isDTMRedir=true&download=true>
6. Alain Thill. Biologische Wirkungen elektromagnetischer Felder auf Insekten. *Umwelt Medizin Gesellschaft* 33(3) Suppl: 1-27 (2020). [https://kompetenzinitiative.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Thill\\_2020\\_Review\\_Insekten.pdf](https://kompetenzinitiative.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Thill_2020_Review_Insekten.pdf)
7. Blake Levitt, Henry C. Lai and Albert M. Manville II. Effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields on flora and fauna, Part 2 impacts: how species interact with natural and man-made EMF. *Reviews on Environmental Health* 37(3): 327-406 and Supplements 1-4 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1515/reveh-2021-0050>
8. Allan H. Frey. Is a toxicology model appropriate as a guide for biological research with electromagnetic fields? *Journal of Bioelectricity* 9(2): 233-234 (1990). <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.3109/15368379009119811>
9. Igor Belyaev. Duration of Exposure and Dose in Assessing Nonthermal Biological Effects of Microwaves. In *Dosimetry in Bioelectromagnetics* (CRC Press 2017), pp. 171-184.
10. Y. Belyaev et al. Resonance effect of millimeter waves in the power range from  $10^{-19}$  to  $3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ W/cm}^2$  on *Escherichia coli* cells at different concentrations. *Bioelectromagnetics* 17: 312-321 (1996). [https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/\(SICI\)1521-186X\(1996\)17:4%3C312::AID-BEM7%3E3.0.CO;2-6](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/(SICI)1521-186X(1996)17:4%3C312::AID-BEM7%3E3.0.CO;2-6)
11. William Bise. Low power radio-frequency and microwave effects on human electroencephalogram and behavior. *Physiological Chemistry and Physics* 10(5): 387-398. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/751078/>

12. Bertil R. R. Persson et al. Blood-brain barrier permeability in rats exposed to electromagnetic fields used in wireless communication. *Wireless Networks* 3: 455-461 (1997). <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1023/a:1019150510840.pdf>
13. Andrew Wood, Rohan Mate and Ken Karipidis. Meta-analysis of in vitro and in vivo studies of the biological effects of low-level millimetre waves. *Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology* 31: 606–613 (2021). <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41370-021-00307-7.pdf>
14. Carl F. Blackman. Evidence for disruption by the modulating signal. *BioInitiative Report*, Section 15, July 2007. [https://bioinitiative.org/wp-content/uploads/pdfs/sec15\\_2007\\_Modulation\\_Blackman.pdf](https://bioinitiative.org/wp-content/uploads/pdfs/sec15_2007_Modulation_Blackman.pdf)
15. Leif G. Salford et al. Nerve cell damage in mammalian brain after exposure to microwaves from GSM mobile phones. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 111(7): 881-83 (2003). <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1241519/pdf/eh>
16. Paul Brodeur. *The Zapping of America* (NY: W.W. Norton 1977).
17. Guntis Brūmelis, Valdis Balodis, and Zanda Balode. Radio-frequency electromagnetic fields: The Skrunda Radio Location Station case. *Science of the Total Environment* 180: 49-50 (1996). <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/0048969795049134>
18. Anton Kolodynski and Valda Kolodynska. Motor and psychological functions of school children living in the area of the Skrunda Radio Location Station in Latvia. *Science of the Total Environment* 180: 87-93 (1996). <https://wifiinschools.com/uploads/3/0/4/2/3042232/kolodynski.pdf>
19. Zanda Balode. Assessment of radio-frequency radiation by the micronucleus test in bovine peripheral erythrocytes. *Science of the Total Environment* 180: 81-85 (1996). <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/0048969795049231>
20. Liepa and Valdis Balodis. Monitoring of bird breeding near a powerful radar station. *The Ring* 16(1-2): 100. Abstract (1994).
21. Valdis Balodis et al. Does the Skrunda Radio Location Station diminish the radial growth of pine trees? *Science of the Total Environment* 180: 57-64 (1996). <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/0048969795049207>
22. Tūrs Selga and Maija Selga. Response of *Pinus sylvestris* L. needles to electromagnetic fields: cytological and ultrastructural aspects. *Science of the*



- Total Environment* 180: 65-73  
(1996). <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0048969795049215>
23. Magone. The effect of electromagnetic radiation from the Skrunda Radio Location Station on *Spirodela polyrhiza* (L.) cultures. *Science of the Total Environment* 180: 75-80  
(1996). <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0048969795049223>
24. *Microwave News*. Latvia's Russian radar may yield clues to RF health risks. September/October, pp. 12-13  
(1994). <https://www.microwavenews.com/sites/default/files/sites/default/files/back-issues/s-o94issue.pdf>
25. National Telecommunications and Information Administration. NTIA Spectrum Compendium, 2700-2900 MHz, 1 September 2014. [https://www.ntia.doc.gov/files/ntia/publications/compendium/2700.00-2900.00\\_01SEP14.pdf](https://www.ntia.doc.gov/files/ntia/publications/compendium/2700.00-2900.00_01SEP14.pdf)
26. Advantages and disadvantages of weather radar. <https://lidarradar.com/info/advantages-and-disadvantages-of-weather-radar>
27. Mark Broomhall. *Report for the United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) and International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN)* (2017). <https://ehtrust.org/wp-content/uploads/Mt-Nardi-Wildlife-Report-to-UNESCO-FINAL.pdf>
28. Arthur Firstenberg. Cell towers on the ocean floor. 12 January 2022. <https://cellphonetaskforce.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Cell-towers-on-the-ocean-floor.pdf>
29. Lindy Weilgart. *The Impact of Ocean Noise Pollution on Fish and Invertebrates*. OceanCare and Dalhousie University. 1 May 2018. <https://thegreentimes.co.za/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/impact-of-ocean-noise-pollution-on-fish-and-invertebrates.pdf>
30. Jason D. Godfrey and David M. Bryant. Effects of radio transmitters: review of recent radio-tracking studies. In: M. Williams, ed., *Conservation Applications of Measuring Energy Expenditure of New Zealand Birds: Assessing Habitat Quality and Costs of Carrying Radio Transmitters* (Wellington, New Zealand: Dept. of Conservation), pp. 83-95 (2003).
31. David Mech and Shannon M. Barber. *A Critique of Wildlife Radio-Tracking and Its Use in National Parks*. Jamestown, ND: U.S. Geological Survey, Northern



Prairie Wildlife Research Center

(2002). [https://eplanning.blm.gov/public\\_projects/nepa/51689/167135/203600/E2\\_A\\_CRITIQUE\\_OF\\_WILDLIFE\\_RADIO-TRACKING.pdf](https://eplanning.blm.gov/public_projects/nepa/51689/167135/203600/E2_A_CRITIQUE_OF_WILDLIFE_RADIO-TRACKING.pdf)

32. John C. Withey et al. Effects of tagging and location error in wildlife radiotelemetry studies. In: Joshua J. Millspaugh and John M. Marzluff, eds., *Radio Tracking and Animal Populations* (San Diego: Academic), pp. 43-75 (2001).
33. Roger Burrows, Heribert Hofer, and Marion L. East. Demography, extinction and intervention in a small population: the case of the Serengeti wild dogs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 256: 281-92 (1994). <https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/epdf/10.1098/rspb.1994.0082>
34. Roger Burrows. Population dynamics, intervention and survival in African wild dogs (*Lycaon pictus*). *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 262: 235-45 (1995). <https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/abs/10.1098/rspb.1995.0201>
35. Jon E. Swenson et al. Effects of ear-tagging with radiotransmitters on survival of moose calves. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 63(1): 354-58 (1999).
36. Moorhouse, Tom P. and David W. Macdonald. Indirect negative impacts of radio-collaring: Sex ratio variation in water voles. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 42: 91-98 (2005). [http://bearproject.info/old/uploads/publications/A20%201999%20Effects%20of%20ear%20tagging\\_moose%20.pdf](http://bearproject.info/old/uploads/publications/A20%201999%20Effects%20of%20ear%20tagging_moose%20.pdf)
37. *Reader's Digest*. The Snow Tiger's Last Stand. November 1998.
38. Buchner K, Rivasi M. The International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection: Conflicts of interest, corporate capture and the push for 5G. European Parliament report. Brussels June 2020. <https://ehtrust.org/the-international-commission-on-non-ionizing-radiation-protection-conflicts-of-interest-corporate-capture-and-the-push-for-5g/>

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, [EvanTeachr@aol.com](mailto:EvanTeachr@aol.com)  
[www.pastorbobreid.com](http://www.pastorbobreid.com)