

Fool Me Once, Shame on You Fool Me Twice, Shame on Me

From here down to the top of page 3 is all excrement (lies)


"The spread of coronavirus could DOUBLE during influenza season - but scientists say getting the flu shot could lower your risks during the 'upcoming twindemic'."

Influenza outbreaks may enable the spread of the novel coronavirus, a new European study suggests.

Researchers from Belgium, Italy, Norway and Spain created a mathematical model of SARS-CoV-2 transmission during the early days of the pandemic.

They found up to a 2.5-fold increase in coronavirus cases among the period in which the flu was co-circulating.

The team, led by the Max Planck Institute for Infection Biology in Berlin, says the findings suggest the need to increase flu vaccination not just to prevent influenza but also to curb the coronavirus, which has killed more than 900,000 people worldwide.



A new study, led by the Max Planck Institute for Infection Biology in Berlin, found between a two-fold and a 2.5-fold increase in COVID-19 cases during the period in which the flu was co-circulating.



The team hypothesizes that people who recently had the flu are at higher risk of developing coronavirus. Pictured: Members of the medical staff treat a patient in the COVID-19 intensive care unit at the United Methodist Memorial Medical Center in Houston, Texas, July 28, 2020.

Previous research has found that co-circulating viruses can lead to increased spread or severity.

A US [study](#) from earlier this year found that flu infection in the respiratory tract can increase the expression of the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor.

This is the receptor the coronavirus uses to enter and infect human cells before spreading throughout the body.

For the new study, published on pre-print server site [medRxiv.org](#), the team looked at four European countries where COVID-19 deaths peaked between March 2020 and April 2020.

They developed a population-based model of coronavirus cases and deaths, assuming deaths occurred in one percent of all cases.

Additionally, researchers incorporated the stringency index, an assessment of the number and of the strictness of control measures such as lockdowns, travel restrictions and closures of schools and businesses.

Results showed between a two- and 2.5-fold increase of coronavirus spread during the period when both influenza and coronavirus co-existed.


It's unclear why the two viruses are linked, but one hypothesis is that people who recently had the flu are at higher risk of developing COVID-19.

The team also predicts that those who received the flu shot are at a lower risk of contracting SARS-CoV-2 infection.

For example, in Italy, higher rates of flu vaccination have been linked with lower rates of death from COVID-19, corresponding author Dr. Matthieu Domenech de Cellès of the Max Planck Institute for Infection Biology told Reuters.

He added that a U.S. study of nearly 11,700 people tested for COVID-19 found that those who had flu vaccines were less likely to have a positive test.

None of these studies proves that flu vaccines affect spread of COVID-19 or an individual's risk for it, and other factors might explain the associations.



The chart on the previous page is what the public health agencies are still telling the public as of September 16, 2020. The problem with this chart is that it is all excrement! I am calling it for what it really is. **THEY LIED AND ARE STILL LYING!**

The CDC just two weeks ago changed the official records. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention issued a report late last week showing that just **9,683** Americans, or **6%**, died with only COVID-19 listed on their death certificates, leading to a social media trending topic on the issue.

The other 94% of deaths were listed as having at least one additional medical condition linked to their death.


Some of the underlying diseases listed by the CDC include influenza and pneumonia, respiratory failure, hypertensive disease, diabetes, vascular and unspecified dementia, cardiac arrest, heart failure and renal failure.

Twitter proponents on both sides of the issue made their case that the data either proved that the CDC had been wrong all along or that that health officials have said from the start that comorbidities were more likely to cause a person to die from COVID-19 anyway.

At least **182,885** people have died from coronavirus in the United States, according to data compiled by Johns Hopkins University. That is a bald face lie! The CDC has long said that pre-existing health conditions can cause people to experience more severe COVID-19 symptoms.

Many health experts, including Dr. Anthony Fauci, director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, have rejected claims that coronavirus deaths are being exaggerated. The Jesuit liar Dr. Fauci with his face mask down below!





Every time Dr. Fauci opens his mouth up, you know he is lying as his story changes with the direction of the wind. Do as he says, not as he does!



Microsoft co-founder and philanthropist Bill Gates is feeling “pessimistic” about the foreseeable future, warning that COVID-19 deaths, including in the US, could

skyrocket again to the levels registered during the first wave of the pandemic, unless governments jointly take decisive action.

Bill Gates told [The Telegraph](#) at the launch of the annual Goalkeepers report, which reviews the world's progress against the UN's Sustainable Development program, that the raging healthcare crisis could draw the world backward as far as to the 1990s in terms of headway.

"We've been set back about 25 years", he said. "I would expect substantially more deaths from the indirect effects than from the direct effects [of the virus]", the Democratic donor and philanthropist explained, as a slew of indicators from infant mortality to hunger and the availability of education have moved into reverse, as suggested by the report.

In the introduction to the document, Bill and his wife Melinda Gates, founders of their namesake charity fund, drew parallels with the Spanish flu outbreak in 1918, which sparked "a set of mutually exacerbating catastrophes" at the time.

"In the blink of an eye, a health crisis became an economic crisis, a food crisis, a housing crisis, a political crisis. Everything collided with everything else", the duo wrote, assuming that such a snowball of catastrophes is "an apt description" for the COVID-19 crunch as well.

Fool me once, shame on you...



Fool me twice, shame on me...

Copyright © 1997 United Feature Syndicate, Inc.

Although having no illusions about the long-term damage the corona crisis has already inflicted internationally, Gates still hopes that a vaccine coupled with effective cooperation between nations will bear fruit.

"By next summer, we'll be getting vaccines out to all the countries of the world", he said. *"Even at a 60 per cent vaccination level, you should be able to stop almost all of the exponential disease spread"*, he shared, adding that he is optimistic that next year the numbers of coronavirus patients and related deaths will be cut down dramatically, with the world finding a way out of the crisis by sometime in 2022.

Bill Gates says the US's two major public health authorities can no longer be trusted in its coronavirus response after they succumbed to political pressure from the Trump administration. Look who is calling the pot black now!

The software billionaire questioned whether a Covid-19 vaccine approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) could be trusted as safe and effective, while saying the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) had been "written out of the picture" by the White House. Look who is calling the pot black!

Speaking in a Bloomberg Television interview on Tuesday morning, Mr. Gates said the FDA had lost credibility after commissioner Stephen Hahn exaggerated the benefits of blood plasma before backtracking the next day.

"Historically, just like the CDC was viewed as the best in the world, the FDA had that same reputation as a top-notch regulator but there's been some cracks with some of the things they've said at the commissioner level," Mr. Gates said.

"We saw with the completely bungled plasma statements that when you start pressuring people to say optimistic things, they go completely off the rails. The FDA lost a lot of credibility there." What credibility does Bill Gates have with his murders in Africa and India!

Donald Trump has claimed a vaccine would be available before the November election, with both Joe Biden and Kamala Harris saying they wouldn't trust a candidate rushed out by the president's administration.

In another interview with 'CNBC' aired on Tuesday, he said a vaccine would likely not be ready until December or January at the earliest. Pfizer, which requested an expansion from the FDA of its late-stage trial, would be the only one that might seek an emergency license by the end of October.

Mr. Gates also said political interference at the CDC had diminished its ability to effectively respond to the pandemic, echoing the sentiment of House Democrats that opened an investigation into allegations of interference at the agency by the Trump administration. Such arrogance of Bill Gates defies his credulity!

"The CDC is largely being written out of the picture because you have people at the White House who aren't epidemiologists, saying what a great job they've done, and so it's no longer a set of experts," he told Bloomberg.

The Microsoft founder has been on a media blitz in the past week criticizing response to the coronavirus pandemic from the Trump administration, the government's federal agencies, and the leadership

In an interview with 'Stat News', he said the response had been mismanaged situation every step of the way.

"It's shocking. It's unbelievable — the fact that we would be among the worst in the world," he said.

Wife Melinda Gates, meanwhile, was on Axios on HBO saying more people have died in the U.S. than in other countries due to a "lack of leadership". She lies as good as Bill.


"We've had — terrible leadership on this issue quite frankly. And science should never be politicized. Science is about getting at the truth," she said.



In 'New York Magazine', Mr. Gates said the U.S. would have been expected to have the best coronavirus response if not for a "unique U.S. stupidity".

"I mean, it's crazy. And yet we end up being as bad as health systems that have spent half as much, countries that don't have a CDC," he said.

To The ‘New York Times’, he said he lobbied the White House and Congress to add an extra \$4 billion to the next coronavirus relief stimulus so poor countries could get Covid-19 vaccines.



"I've got to call these people up and make the pitch to them that this really makes sense — and I totally, totally believe it makes sense," he said.

Dr. Anthony Fauci has a \$100 million conflict of interest which is why he was opposing Trump. He is in league with Bill Gates who has pledged [\\$100 million](#) for Fauci to play with. The National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease (NIAID, a division of National Institutes of Health, NIH) director Dr. Anthony Fauci, who was against using chloroquine, said in an interview with CNN's Anderson Cooper, “**There's no magic**

drug for coronavirus right now,” and lectured, “Let me put it into perspective for the viewers .. there has been anecdotal non-proven data that it [chloroquine] works... but when you have an uncontrolled trial you can never definitely say that it works.”

I have warned that the CDC takes private money through its foundation. Gates even gave CDC Foundation [\\$13.5 million](#). I do not believe we can trust Fauci in the least. The CDC nor the NIH should **NEVER** be allowed to be bought in this way. It is Gates who wants to [inject us all with microchips](#). I seriously do not understand if all his money has simply gone to his head.

Trump should fire Fauci first and secondly shut down all private donations from anyone, especially Bill Gates. This is a matter of **NATIONAL SECURITY**. Gates should be investigated and all his connections. Anyone who sold all their stocks and bonds just in advance should be hauled out for Insider Trading. But these people typically also **OWN** the SEC. Trump needs to appoint a Special Prosecutor and never someone from New York City.

Last fall, Netflix premiered a three-part documentary that promises viewers a rare look at the inner life of one of history's most controversial businessmen. Over three hours, *Inside Bill's Brain* shows us a rare emotional side to Bill Gates as he processes the loss of his mother and the death of his [estranged](#) best friend and Microsoft cofounder, Paul Allen.

Mostly, though, the film reinforces the image many of us already had of the ambitious technologist, insatiable brainiac, and heroic philanthropist. *Inside Bill's Brain* falls into a common trap: attempting to understand the world's second-richest human by interviewing people in his sphere of financial influence. Keep in mind Bill Gates dropped out of college in his second year at Harvard University.

In the first episode, director Davis Guggenheim underlines Gates's expansive intellect by interviewing Bernie Noe, described as a friend of Gates.

“That’s a gift, to read 150 pages an hour,” says Noe. *“I’m going to say it’s 90 percent retention. Kind of extraordinary.”*

Guggenheim doesn't tell audiences that Noe is the principal of [Lakeside School](#), a private institution to which the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation has given \$80 million. The filmmaker also doesn't mention the extraordinary conflict of interest this presents: The Gates used their charitable foundation to enrich the private school [their children attend](#), which charges students [\\$35,000 a year](#).

The documentary's blind spots are all the more striking in light of the timing of its release, just as news was trickling out that Bill Gates met multiple times with convicted sex offender Jeffrey Epstein to discuss collaborating on charitable activities, from which Epstein stood to generate millions of dollars in management fees. Though the

collaboration never materialized, it nonetheless illustrates the moral hazards surrounding the Gates Foundation's \$50 billion charitable enterprise, whose sprawling activities over the last two decades have been subject to remarkably little government oversight or public scrutiny.



While the efforts of fellow billionaire philanthropist Michael Bloomberg to use his wealth to win the presidency foundered amid intense media criticism, Gates has proved there is a far easier path to political power, one that allows unelected billionaires to shape public policy in ways that almost always generate favorable headlines: charity.

When Gates [announced](#) in 2008 that he would step away from Microsoft to focus his efforts on philanthropy, he described his intention to work with and through the private sector to deliver public-goods products and technologies, in the same way that Microsoft's computer software expanded horizons and created economic opportunities. Describing his approach by turns as "[creative capitalism](#)" and "[catalytic philanthropy](#)," Gates oversaw a shift at his foundation to leverage "all the tools of capitalism" to "connect the promise of philanthropy with the power of private enterprise."

The result has been a new model of charity in which the most direct beneficiaries are sometimes not the world's poor but the world's wealthiest, in which the goal is not to help the needy but to help the rich help the needy.

Through an investigation of more than 19,000 charitable grants the Gates Foundation has made over the last two decades, '*The Nation*' has uncovered close to \$2 billion in tax-deductible charitable donations to private companies—including some of the largest businesses in the world, such as GlaxoSmithKline, Unilever, IBM, and NBC Universal Media—which are tasked with developing new drugs, improving sanitation in the developing world, developing financial products for Muslim consumers, and spreading the good news about this work.

The Gates Foundation even gave \$2 million to '*Participant Media*' to promote Davis Guggenheim's previous documentary film '*Waiting for Superman*', which pushes one of the foundation's signature charity efforts, charter schools—privately managed public schools. This charitable donation is a small part of the \$250 million the foundation has given to media companies and other groups to influence the news.



The billionaire class: Warren Buffett (left) and Bill Gates, two of the Gates Foundation's three trustees, sharing a laugh.



Disney Is Not the Greatest Place on Earth to Work

Peter Dreier

"It's been a quite unprecedented development, the amount that the Gates Foundation is gifting to corporations.... I find that flabbergasting, frankly," says Linsey McGoey, a professor of sociology at the University of Essex and author of the book '*No Such Thing as a Free Gift*'. "*They've created one of the most problematic precedents in the history of foundation giving by essentially opening the door for corporations to see themselves as deserving charity claimants at a time when corporate profits are at an all-time high.*"

McGoey's research has anecdotally highlighted charitable grants the Gates Foundation has made to private companies, such as a \$19 million donation to a [Mastercard affiliate](#) in 2014 to "*increase usage of digital financial products by poor adults*" in Kenya. The credit card giant had already articulated its keen business interest in cultivating new clients from the developing world's 2.5 billion unbanked people, McGoey says, so why did it need a wealthy philanthropist to subsidize its work? And why are Bill and Melinda Gates getting a tax break for this donation?

In a recent candid interview, Bill Gates outlined that, despite the comparatively small threat of Coronavirus, he and his colleagues "don't want a lot of recovered people" who have acquired natural immunity. They instead are hoping we become reliant on vaccines and anti-viral medication.

Shockingly, Gates also suggests people be made to have a digital ID showing their vaccination status, and that people without this "digital immunity proof"

would not be allowed to travel. Such an approach would mean very big money for vaccine producers.

On March 24 Bill Gates gave a highly revelatory 50-minute interview (above) to [Chris Anderson](#). Anderson is the Curator of TED, the non-profit that runs the TED Talks.

The Gates interview is the second in a new series of daily '[Ted Connects](#)' interviews focused on COVID-19. The series' website says that:

TED Connects: Community and Hope is a free, live, daily conversation series featuring experts whose ideas can help us reflect and work through this uncertain time with a sense of responsibility, compassion and wisdom."

Anderson asked Gates at [3:49](#) in the video of the interview – which is quickly climbing to three million views – about a '[Perspective' article by Gates that was published February 28](#) in the *New England Journal of Medicine*.

"*You wrote that this could be the once-in-a-century pandemic that people have been fearing. Is that how you think of it, still?*" queried Anderson.

"*Well, it's awful to say this but, we could have a respiratory virus whose case fatality rate was even higher. If this was something like smallpox, that kills 30 percent of people. So this is horrific,*" responded Gates.

"*But, in fact, most people even who get the COVID disease are able to survive. So in that, it's quite infectious – way more infectious than MERS [Middle East Respiratory Syndrome] or SARS [Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome] were. [But] it's not as fatal as they were. And yet the disruption we're seeing in order to knock it down is really completely unprecedented.*"

Gates reiterates the dire consequences for the global economy later in the interview. "We need a clear message about that," Gates said [starting at 26:52](#).

"It is really tragic that the economic effects of this are very dramatic. I mean, nothing like this has ever happened to the economy in our lifetimes. But ... bringing the economy back and doing [sic] money, that's more of a reversible thing than bringing people back to life. So we're going to take the pain in the economic dimension, huge pain, in order to minimize the pain in disease and death dimension."

However, this goes directly against the imperative to balance the benefits and costs of the screening, testing and treatment measures for each ailment – as successfully promulgated for years by, for example, the [Choosing Wisely campaign](#) – to provide the maximum benefit to individual patients and society as a whole.

Even more importantly, as noted in an [April 1 article in OffGuardian](#), there may be dramatically more deaths from the economic breakdown than from COVID-19 itself.

“By all accounts, the impact of the response will be great, far-reaching, and long-lasting,”

Kevin Ryan wrote in the article. Ryan estimated that well over two million people will likely die from the sequelae of the lock-downs and other drastic measures to enforce ‘social distancing.’

Millions could potentially die from suicide, drug abuse, lack of medical coverage or treatment, poverty and lack of food access, on top of other predictable social, medical and public-health problems stemming from the response to COVID-19.

Gates and Anderson did not touch on any of those sequelae. Instead, they focused on rapidly ramping up testing and medical interventions for COVID-19.

Gates said [at 30:29](#) in the interview that he and a large team are moving fast to test anti-virals, vaccines and other therapeutics and to bring them to market as quickly as possible.

The Gates Foundation and Wellcome Trust with support from Mastercard and now others, created this therapeutic accelerator to really triage out [candidate therapeutics]...You have hundreds of people showing up and saying, ‘Try this, try that.’ So we look at lab assays, animal models, and so we understand which things should be prioritized for these very quick human trials that need to be done all over the world.”

The accelerator was [launched March 10](#) with approximately \$125 million in seed funding. Three days later [Gates left Microsoft](#).

Not long before that, on January 23, Gates’s organization the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations (CEPI) announced it will fund three programs to develop COVID-19 vaccines. These are the advancing of DNA-vaccine candidates against MERS and Lassa fever, the development of a “*molecular clamp’ platform*” that “*enables targeted and rapid vaccine production against multiple viral pathogens,*” and the manufacture and Phase 1 clinical study of an mRNA vaccine against COVID.

“The programmes will leverage rapid response platforms already supported by CEPI as well as a new partnership. The aim is to advance nCoV-2019 vaccine candidates into clinical testing as quickly as possible,” according to a news release.

Then at [32:50 in the video](#), Anderson asked whether the blood serum from people who have recovered from a COVID infection can be used to treat others.

“I heard you mention that one possibility might be treatments from the serum, the blood serum of people who had had the disease and then recovered. So I guess they’re carrying antibodies,” said Anderson. *“Talk a bit about that and how that could work and what it would take to accelerate that.”*

[Note that Anderson did not ask Gates about, instead, just letting most of the population – aside from people most vulnerable to serious illness from the infection, who should be quarantined — be exposed to COVID-19 and as a result very likely recover and develop life-long immunity. As [at least one expert](#) has observed, “*as much as ninety-nine percent of active cases [of COVID-19] in the general population are ‘mild’ and do not require specific medical treatment*” to recover.]

“*This has always been discussed as, ‘How could you pull that off?’*” replied Gates. “*So people who are recovered, it appears, have very effective antibodies in their blood. So you could go, transfuse them and only take out white cells, the immune cells.*”

However, Gates continued, he and his colleagues have dismissed that possibility because it’s “*fairly complicated – compared to a drug we can make in high volume, you know, the cost of taking it out and putting it back in probably doesn’t scale as well.*”

Then a few seconds later, at [33:45](#), Gates drops another bomb:
We don’t want to have a lot of recovered people [...] To be clear, we’re trying – through the shut-down in the United States – to not get to one percent of the population infected. We’re well below that today, but with exponentiation, you could get past that three million [people or approximately one percent of the U.S. population being infected with COVID-19 and the vast majority recovering]. I believe we will be able to avoid that with having this economic pain.”

It appears that rather than let the population be exposed to the virus and most develop antibodies that give them natural, long-lasting immunity to COVID-19, Gates and his colleagues far prefer to create a vast, hugely expensive, new system of manufacturing and selling billions of test kits, and in parallel very quickly developing and selling billions of antivirals and vaccines.

And then, when the virus comes back again a few months later and most of the population is unexposed and therefore vulnerable, selling billions more test kits and medical interventions.

Right after that, [at 34:14](#), Gates talked about how he sees things rolling out from there.
Eventually what we’ll have to have is certificates of who’s a recovered person, who’s a vaccinated person [...] Because you don’t want people moving around the world where you’ll have some countries that won’t have it under control, sadly. You don’t want to completely block off the ability for people to go there and come back and move around. So eventually there will be this digital immunity proof that will help facilitate the global reopening up.” [Sometime on the afternoon of March 31 the last sentence of this quote was edited out of the official TED video of the interview. Fortunately, recordings of the complete interview are [archived elsewhere](#).]

In the October 2019 [Event 201 novel-corona virus-pandemic](#) simulation co-sponsored by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, the World Economic Forum and a division of the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, a poll that was part of the

simulation said that [65% of people in the U.S.](#) would be eager to take a vaccine for COVID-19, “even if it’s experimental.” Actually it has flipped to the negative 65%!

This will be tremendously lucrative.

Vaccines are very big business: this [Feb. 23 CNBC article](#), for example, describes the vaccine market as six times bigger than it was 20 years ago, at more than \$35 billion annually today, and providing a \$44 return for every \$1 invested in the world’s 94 lowest-income countries.

Notably, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation – which has an endowment of \$52 billion – has given more than \$2.4 billion to the World Health Organization (WHO) since 2000, according to a [2017 Politico article](#). (While over the same time frame countries have reduced their contributions to the world body, particularly after the 2008-2009 depression, and now account for less than one-quarter of the WHO’s budget.) The WHO is now coordinating approximately [50 groups](#) around the world that are working on candidate vaccines against COVID-19.

The *Politico* article quotes a Geneva-based NGO representative as saying Gates is “*treated liked a head of state, not only at the WHO, but also at the G20,*” and that Gates is one of the most influential people in global health.

Meanwhile, officials around the world are doing their part to make sure everyone social distances, self-isolates and/or stays locked down.

If you had been going down the rabbit hole of COVID-19 research for long enough, a few things would be astounding to you. First, how uninformed, uncurious, or deceptive reporters in the corporate media are on a matter of life and death. Second, how much publicly available information about COVID-19 is on the internet contradicts what is reported and said by Health Experts on cable news. Finally, it is impossible to believe Dr. Anthony Fauci enjoys a 62% approval rating.

Of course, part of the reason Dr. Fauci enjoys this level of trust is that reporters who interview him put a sort of religious faith in every word he utters. Having worked with doctors for years, I don’t suffer from any such affliction. There are some great ones, some awful ones, and some who are great at one thing and not another.

It is also quite reasonable for doctors to disagree. Medicine is the art of applying science and it is rarely “settled.” This healthy tension is why patients get second opinions. Yet during the COVID-19 pandemic, only one doctor has had almost no pushback in any public interview. This is journalistic malpractice, but not surprising. Most of the corporate media agree with his recommendations or can use panic porn clicks.

However, if there were a courageous and intelligent reporter who could score an interview with Dr. Fauci, here is a list of questions I would suggest.

1. How is COVID-19 a novel virus?

Dr. Fauci, can you please explain how COVID-19 is a novel virus when it has an overlap with the structure of SARS at a rate of 79%? In addition, there are several human coronaviruses that we have dealt with seasonally for years. Is “novel,” as in completely new, an unnecessarily shocking term?

I cannot find a reference to [MERS that calls it a “novel” virus](#). All coronaviruses have the telltale spike protein we have all become familiar with and [MERS was less similar to SARS than COVID-19 is](#). Why add the qualifier to COVID-19 when even the NIH published an article with the following “facts” on June 26, 2020:

Only minor differences have been found between the genome sequences of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV

In another section of the same paper, it says:

Genome sequence analysis has shown that SARS-CoV-2 belongs to the Betacoronavirus genus, which includes Bat SARS-like coronavirus, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV [6].

SARS-CoV-2 possesses a genomic structure which is typical of other betacoronaviruses.

Typical and novel are hardly synonyms. Framing the virus as something completely new makes it scarier.

[Coronavirus: Why Everyone Was Wrong. It is Not a “New Virus”. “The Fairy Tale of No Immunity”](#)

2. Why should we worry about COVID mutations?

Often news reports are discussing new mutations of COVID-19. Aren’t virus mutations expected and common? It appears that there has not been a mutation that significantly increases the way the virus works in the body making it more deadly or dangerous.

[Viruses mutate](#). This is not news and breathless reports about it in the case of COVID-19 only serve to increase panic. The changes to the virus so far are helpful in documenting its spread. There has not been a single change that warrants additional concern and it is not surprising a single patient may have multiple mutations. Mutations generally happen when the virus replicates. It does this inside the body’s cells.

3. Doesn’t COVID-19 behave like SARS?

Both SARS and COVID-19 have a spike protein that uses angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE-2) receptors to enter the respiratory tract cells, correct? I understand COVID-19 may be more efficient at doing so, but the cell entry is highly similar?

The method of cell entry was documented to be the same as SARS on January 23, 2020, in the [Journal of Virology](#).

4. Why not give patients hydroxychloroquine and zinc?

In [2005 the NIH did a study on chloroquine](#) that found it was effective in inhibiting and eliminating the SARS virus in vitro. It affected the functioning of the ACE-2 receptor. It is

also a zinc ionophore that allows zinc to more efficiently enter the cell. [In 2010 an NIH study demonstrated zinc interfered with the replication of coronaviruses](#). What is the scientific basis to reject its use in the outpatient setting where there are significant observational studies indicating it is effective in early illness?

When asked, Dr. Fauci said he would take this medication if he were diagnosed with COVID-19. It is likely because he clearly [understands the drug's safety profile, how it acts, and previous studies on it](#). His failure to combat the media narrative of hydroxychloroquine being a terribly dangerous drug was astounding. Standing silently by while governors and professional boards interfere with the doctor-patient relationship by prohibiting or denying prescription is unconscionable.

There is no requirement for a double-blind randomized study for off-label use of an FDA approved drug at the approved dosage. Observational studies are more than acceptable in the medical and research community. The decision to use this medication needs to remain between a doctor and a patient.

5. Doesn't the wide range of symptoms make sense?

In 2003 an [article in New Scientist](#) documented that patients who died from SARS actually died of a cytokine storm. This appeared to be well known in the research community. There are 4,735 NIH-funded studies on the phenomenon after the SARS outbreak. Was this overlooked in preparing for the pandemic response? Doesn't this immune response explain the myriad of symptoms in severe disease?

The panic porn industry loves the range of symptoms that COVID-19 causes. Unfortunately for them, these are all explained by the overwhelming damage that can be caused by an immune system overreaction. [Blood clots, organ damage, and heart dysfunction](#) can all be caused as the immune system attacks the body's own tissue.

6. How does the government respond to broader immunity than you expected?

There are now several studies that indicate that some significant portion of the population has T-cell or long-term immunity to COVID-19 due to exposure to coronaviruses in the past. How does this research impact public health measures and the approach to the virus?

Immunologists had theorized about this idea for some time in order to explain the resilience of children and the fact that some members of a household that contained an infected person never fell ill despite close contact. It also may explain so-called "asymptomatic" cases.

The PCR type test looks for pieces of the COVID-19 virus RNA. It does not determine whether the virus is alive or dead. A T-cell response would leave viral debris in the body for some period of time. A PCR test given in the absence of symptoms may detect this debris, giving the impression of an "asymptomatic" case.

Because I actively look for information and follow the data about COVID-19, it is easy to see there is a lot of good news. This is not a novel virus and it works in ways very similar to SARS. Cytokine storms have been studied for years and there are some good therapies available. Death rates are falling likely because doctors and patients are employing these therapies.

The virus is fading in the sun belt and there are very good data to believe there are large swaths of the population that will not become ill with it. With improved treatment and some level of immunity in the population, everyone should feel confident in our ability to protect the vulnerable and return to a much more normal life.

The nation should not be discussing wearing goggles, additional lockdowns, testing asymptomatic people, and maintaining any policy that limits the civil liberties of its citizens. There is every reason to take greater steps toward reopening the economy, our schools, and normal life.

We have been the subject or better yet, a victim of a cruel hoax created by corrupt and dishonest so-called public health experts, largely upon greed and ideology. As that large map illustration of the U.S. on page 3 shows an exaggeration of incredible crap, so we will again be hyped by the charts below, as to why you need a flu shot.

Dr. Matthieu Domenech de Cellès of the Max Planck Institute for Infection Biology told Reuters, and these are his exact words:

"de Cellès said, in advance of 'the upcoming 'twindemic" of seasonal flu and COVID-19 in the northern hemisphere, 'our results suggest the need to increase vaccination against influenza,' which may not only reduce the burden of influenza but also limit COVID-19 cases"

Health officials have been urging Americans to get their flu shots if they haven't already to better prepare the US against the coronavirus outbreak.



The symptoms of the flu and COVID-19 are very similar, including fever, coughing and shortness of breath.

The vaccine won't protect people against the new virus, but it could help healthcare workers better detect cases when people come in with non-specific symptoms, such as fever and coughing. Doctors also add that it could help prevent the public from catching a flu strain that makes them more susceptible to coronavirus.

The spread of coronavirus could DOUBLE during influenza season - but scientists say getting the flu shot could lower your risks during the 'upcoming twindemic'.

In the field of statistics, statistics can be framed to support the agenda of the group wanting to win the argument. Even how one designs the charts and graphs can be made to manipulate the data. Statistics can lie and liars can figure. Throughout this

past year, the media only threw soft ball questions at Dr. Fauci and the same has been a similar pattern when Bill Gates gets air time on all the propaganda news stations. I've listened to dozens of hours of physicians and researchers being interviewed, and how it is that Dr. Anthony Fauci and Bill Gates especially have lied to the public, even smirking and giggling in their answers while live on camera.



These charts are bald-face lies!



I would bet that because of their devious mindset they will let loose another pathogen very soon, only this time they will enhance its virility to make the public think we should have never challenged them the first time around, that we should have obeyed their

instructions. They are going to say, "It's all our fault for questioning them for their expertise on this pandemic, I prefer to call it a scam-demic, and the evidence is far greater than needed to prove my point."



Jon Rappoport, author of "The Matrix Revealed", said on September 17th, 2020, in his report:

Trump aides and CDC loyalists are at war over the CDC's handling of COVID reports. Charges; counter-charges.

A core issue is the veracity of CDC weekly updates on case and death numbers.

These are included in the "Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)." This is a long-standing, highly regarded, and widely referenced CDC publication.

Medical professionals (who aren't known for their ability to think straight) rely on these CDC numbers.

Now that Trump aides are taking issue with the MMWR, defenders are circling the wagons. One such defender called the MMWR "the holy of holies."

So I had to write this article.

I had to revisit the 2009 Swine Flu case-counting fiasco.

You see, that summer, while the CDC was reporting thousands of Swine Flu cases in the US, they had secretly...

Stopped counting the numbers of cases.

The person who discovered this was Sharyl Attkisson, the star investigative reporter for CBS News.

And Attkisson found out what was going on.

The overwhelming number of test samples, routinely gathered from the most likely Swine Flu patients in the US, were coming back from labs with...

No sign of Swine Flu or any other kind of flu.

My, my.

Attkisson published a piece about this massive scandal on the CBS News website. At that point, her investigation was...

Shut down.

No other major news outlet in the world picked up on her story and ran with it into the rabbit hole. The blackout was universal.

I eventually interviewed Attkisson. Here is a key excerpt:

Rapoport: In 2009, you spearheaded coverage of the so-called Swine Flu pandemic. You discovered that, in the summer of 2009, the Centers for Disease Control, ignoring their federal mandate, [secretly] stopped counting Swine Flu cases in America. Yet they continued to stir up fear about the "pandemic," [through their MMWR reports] without having any real measure of its impact. Wasn't that another investigation of yours that was shut down? Wasn't there more to find out?

Attkisson: The implications of the story were even worse than that. We discovered through our FOI efforts that before the CDC mysteriously stopped counting Swine Flu cases, they had learned that almost none of the cases they had counted as Swine Flu was, in fact, Swine Flu or any sort of flu at all! The interest in the story from one [CBS] executive was very enthusiastic. He said it was "the most original story" he'd seen on the whole Swine Flu epidemic. But others pushed to stop it [after it was published on the CBS News website] and, in the end, no [CBS television news] broadcast wanted to touch it. We aired numerous stories pumping up the idea of an epidemic, but not the

one that would shed original, new light on all the hype. It was fair, accurate, legally approved and a heck of a story. With the CDC keeping the true Swine Flu stats secret, it meant that many in the public took and gave their children an experimental vaccine that may not have been necessary.

---end of interview excerpt---

The Swine Flu vaccine caused a number of severe injuries around the world.

About three weeks after Attkisson's findings were published on the CBS News website, the CDC, obviously in a panic, decided to double down. If one lie is exposed, tell an even bigger one. A much bigger one.

Here, from a November 12, 2009, WebMD article is the CDC's response: "*Shockingly, 14 million to 34 million U.S. residents - the CDC's best guess is 22 million - came down with H1N1 swine flu by Oct. 17 [2009].*" ("22 million cases of Swine Flu in US," by Daniel J. DeNoon).

Are your eyeballs popping? They should be.

In the summer of 2009, the CDC secretly stops counting Swine Flu cases in America, because the overwhelming percentage of lab samples from the most likely Swine Flu patients shows no sign of Swine Flu or any other kind of flu.

There is no Swine Flu epidemic. It's a hoax.

Then, the CDC estimates there are 22 MILLION cases of Swine Flu in the US.

Since 1987, I've been documenting lies and scandals at the CDC. Here I'm just recounting one. But it's sufficient to show that the "holy of holies," the CDC MMWR, is on the level of three random rocks found in the desert, which are then called a sacred church founded by aliens from Saturn.

My advice to Trump aides is: keep digging into the CDC. Publish your findings in detail. You're going to uncover rubble and rubbish posing as science on a scale you can't imagine."

With what we now know, and eventually the truth rises to the surface; and it breaks the walls the censors and fake fact checkers use to contain resistance, it should be clear enough that when you know the truth, you no longer fear the propaganda lies and falsified data statistics. The words of Jesus Christ says it best: "***And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free.***" -(John 8:32).

This is what the Corona virus was all about:



The global elite managed to deceive a nation's leaders into believing they could overrule the U.S. Constitution, and silence the Christian Church. The virus was used to see how far the Rothschild's could push the American public into submission without any pushback. I have said since January, 2020, that this was the real goal of the global elite. The Corona virus was a "trial run" for a forthcoming "Global Lock Down" when winter comes in a couple months.

Pastor John MacArthur and pastor Chuck Baldwin were to my knowledge the only two that have stood their grounds to resist the tyranny of an out of control government, filled with corrupt bureaucrats and politicians who are easily bribed, bought off, or blackmailed. Wake up folks! Do not fall into the trap of my title for this article.

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, EvanTeachr@aol.com
www.pastorbobreid.com
<http://jesusisthewaytheruththelife.com/node/22>