

# Here We Go Again!

Confusion Following Large COVID-19 Outbreak on the Celebrity Eclipse:  
100 to 1,500 Infected



By Jim Walker on December 9, 2022

The *Celebrity Eclipse* docked in Sydney, Australia with, depending upon which news outlet you read, anywhere from 100 to over 1,500 people infected with COVID-19.

The Daily Mail reports that “*far more than 1,500 people*” on the cruise ship operated by Celebrity Cruises were positive for COVID-19.

The Australian newspaper reports that around 300 people on the ship, which just completed a cruise to New Zealand, were infected.

9News reports that around 100 tested positive on the cruise ship, after mentioning that the NSW government classified the ship as a “[tier two](#)” (30-99 positive cases per 1,000 people) and further clarifying that 4% of the ship was positive for coronavirus (the *Celebrity Eclipse* has around 2,850 passengers and approximately 1,271 crew members).

The newspapers also quoted different passenger capacities for the Celebrity ship ranging from [2,850](#) to [3,420](#).

It does not appear that any of the Australian newspapers are including the number of infected ship employees in their estimates.



Cruise lines refuse to voluntarily disclose the total number of infected people (guests and crew members) who become infected on their cruise ships. [9News](#) says that according to Celebrity Cruises, about 4 per cent of people on the cruise ship are positive with COVID-19. Celebrity chose not to disclose the number of guests and crew on the ship, the total number of people infected on the ship or whether the percentage includes both guests and crew members.

There have been a consistently large number of COVID-19 outbreaks on cruise ships calling on Australian ports.

[Majestic Princess](#) – 800 infected guests (Sydney);

[Quantum of the Seas](#) – 400 infected guests (heading toward Queensland);

[Queen Elizabeth](#) – Between 310 and 465 guests and crew (10 to 15 percent of nearly 2,100 passengers and around 1,000 crew members) (blocked by Bali);

[Coral Princess](#) – 290 infected guests and crew (Fremantle);

[Grand Princess](#) – 200 infected guests (Melbourne);

[Ovation of the Seas](#) – 129 infected guests and 2 crew member (New Zealand)

[Majestic Princess](#) – 116 infected guests (Tahiti).

Princess Cruises' *Majestic Princess*, which docked in Sydney on Thursday, has also been classed as a "tier 2" cruise ship. Princess will not disclose the number of guests or crew infected. But "tier 2" means that the ship has between around 120 and

approximately 400 infected guests and crew given its capacity of 3,560 passengers and 1,346 crew members. As noted above, [Majestic Princess had as many as 800 infected guests a month ago.](#)



According to the Daily Mail, *“40,194 cases were confirmed in New South Wales (this week) and 48 people died in the past seven days (in the territory). The ‘fourth-wave’ of Covid infections currently underway is a mix of several variants, including BA4 and BA5, BQ1.1, XBB and BA 2.75.”* No mention any of the deaths occurred on any of the cruise ships mentioned.

The newspaper quotes Deakin University epidemiologist Catherine Bennett who bizarrely says *“the fact that so many cases were identified is a good thing, comparatively speaking. . . because many thousands more people who have the virus but don’t know it would be in Sydney’s workplaces, entertainment venues, shops and schools right now. These are 1,500 cases that we know about, they will be advised to play it safe wear masks and isolate. They are not adding a lot to the infection in community because infection rates are already up.”*

Does this epidemiologist really think that unloading 1,500 infected tourists will not cause an increase in disease into the local community leading to the involvement of costly shore side medical providers, hospitalization, an occasional death and the effects of long COVID?

Again, as in almost all of the cruise ships reporting infection of passengers and crew, we are never told where their cabins were located, but more importantly, the ages of the infected individuals. This would be important to know because in the original incident in

January, 2020, the 15 passengers who died on the “*Diamond Princess*” were all in their 70s and 80s. Virtually every cruise ship that had infections for the alleged Covid-19 stopped reporting specifics regarding age were lacking in the media.



In the spring of 2022, the World Health Organization (W.H.O.) issued a ‘global alert’ about a new form of severe hepatitis that was killing children.

Then just days after the W.H.O. announcement, a new scientific study was “coincidentally” published that concluded Covid-19 vaccination has the ability to cause severe autoimmune hepatitis.

Now, just a few months later, we have authorities, with the help of the mainstream media, striking the fear of god into parents across the UK in regard to the safety of their children because of an alleged spate of sudden deaths among kids due to what is normally a mild bacterial infection known as Strep A (*Streptococcus A*).

**But with –**

- Official Government reports proving COVID Vaccination causes immense damage to the immune system reminiscent of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome,
- Official European mortality data proving excess deaths among children have increased by 552% ever since the European Medicines Agency approved the Covid-19 vaccine for children,

- Secret data published by the Centers for Disease Control confirming at least 118,000 children & young adults have died suddenly in the USA since the Covid-19 vaccine roll-out, and
- UK Government data confirming Covid-19 vaccinated children are up to 137x more likely to die than unvaccinated children,

**Is it time authorities finally admitted we have now witnessed more than enough “coincidences” to confirm that Covid-19 vaccination is the most likely cause of children suddenly dying of Strep An infection?**

And according to the UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA, there were “[851 cases of scarlet fever and invasive Group A Strep reported in week 46](#)”, compared to an average of 186 for the preceding years”.

The UKHSA has also confirmed that scarlet fever, caused by a bacteria called group A streptococci, which also causes other respiratory and skin infections such as strep throat and impetigo, **‘is usually a mild illness’**.

The agency has also confirmed that ‘there is no evidence that a new strain is circulating.’

**So why are we suddenly seeing so many children dying due to the bacteria? With an alleged 16 deaths as of December 9th.**

The most likely argument you’ll hear from authorities is that is due to the lockdowns that were introduced in 2020 in response to the alleged Covid-19 pandemic. They will claim that the lack of human interaction will have reduced immunity among children to simple infections.

But the last full lockdown ended in March 2021, and all schools reopened at the same time. So surely we should have seen an increase in mild diseases turning into serious diseases among children in the winter of 2021 if the lack of human interaction had reduced immunity among children to simple infections?

As we all know, that didn’t happen. So what other common denominators could possibly be causing children to suffer serious disease or death due to what would have otherwise been mild infections prior to 2020?


All evidence points to that common denominator being the Covid-19 injection, which was first offered to all children aged 12 to 15 in September 2021, and then offered to children aged 5 to 11 in February of 2022.

And now the UK Medicine Regulator has “authorized” the Pfizer vaccine for use in infants and children aged 6 months to 4 years as of December 6th.

# IS MY COUGH A COLD OR COVID-19?

Experts such as Professor Jonathan Ball, virologist at the University of Nottingham, say data suggests that in as many of 70 per cent of cases, coronavirus has symptoms similar to a common cold. Meanwhile, official advice is that if you have a

temperature above 37.8C, feel hot to touch on your chest or back, or if you have a new, persistent cough, you should stay home for seven days. Other cold-like symptoms may be indicators and some offer this chart (below) as a rough guide...



| SYMPTOMS             | CORONAVIRUS          | COLD      | FLU                    |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|------------------------|
| HEADACHES            | Sometimes            | Rare      | Common                 |
| FEVER                | Common               | Rare      | Common                 |
| FATIGUE              | Sometimes            | Sometimes | Common                 |
| SNEEZING             | No                   | Common    | No                     |
| RUNNY OR STUFFY NOSE | Rare                 | Common    | Sometimes              |
| SHORTNESS OF BREATH  | Sometimes            | No        | No                     |
| SORE THROAT          | Rare                 | Common    | Sometimes              |
| COUGH                | Common (usually dry) | Mild      | Common (usually dry)   |
| ACHES AND PAINS      | Sometimes            | Common    | Common                 |
| DIARRHOEA            | Rare                 | No        | Sometimes for children |

All of this has been and is being done despite the fact we know that children do not suffer serious disease due to Covid-19, and the Covid-19 injections do not prevent infection or transmission. In fact, the official evidence suggests they actually increase the likelihood of infection.

So let's take a look at the evidence and then you can decide for yourself whether to believe [the official narrative that 'a lack of exposure to strep A during the height of the pandemic'](#) is to blame for a sudden rise in children dying, or whether the Covid-19 injections may in fact be to blame.

## A trip down Memory Lane – Hepatitis

On April 15 2022, the World Health Organization [issued a global alert](#) about a new form of severe acute Hepatitis with an unknown etiology (cause) affecting previously healthy

children in the UK over the last month. Cases have also been notified in Spain and Ireland. Tests have excluded all previously known Hepatitis viruses.

The announcement came after the UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) had [detected higher than usual rates of liver inflammation](#) (hepatitis) in children.

Then, just a few days later, a new scientific study was published on 21st April 2022 that concluded Covid-19 vaccination can elicit a distinct T cell-dominant immune-mediated hepatitis (liver inflammation) with a unique patho-mechanism associated with vaccination-induced antigen-specific tissue-resident immunity requiring systemic immunosuppression.

The [NHS began rolling out the Pfizer Covid-19 injection to five million 5 to 11-year-old](#) children in the UK at the beginning of April 2022. It had previously been administering it to young children deemed vulnerable since the end of 2021.

Is it just a coincidence that a mere few weeks later an extremely concerning number of children were suffering hepatitis of unknown cause? A form of hepatitis so severe that children required liver transplants or lost their lives?

That's for you to decide, but you can read more about it [here](#).

COVID Vaccination destroys the Immune System & can cause Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome.

The UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) used to publish a weekly Vaccine Surveillance Report, with each report containing four weeks' worth of data on Covid-19 cases, hospitalizations, and deaths by vaccination status.


We analyzed 5 of these published Vaccine Surveillance Reports containing data from August 16th 2021 to January 2nd 2022, in order to get a clear picture of the effect the Covid-19 vaccines were having on the immune systems of the vaccinated population, and this is what we found...

*The UKHSA Vaccine Surveillance Reports used for our investigation can all be found here –*

- ['Covid-19 Vaccine Surveillance Report – Week 37' \(Published by PHE\)](#)
- ['Covid-19 Vaccine Surveillance Report – Week 41' \(Published by UKHSA\)](#)
- ['Covid-19 Vaccine Surveillance Report – Week 45' \(Published by UKHSA\)](#)
- ['Covid-19 Vaccine Surveillance Report – Week 49' \(Published by UKHSA\)](#)
- ['Covid-19 Vaccine Surveillance Report – Week 1 – 2022' \(Published by UKHSA\)](#)

You were told that the Pfizer Covid-19 mRNA injection had a vaccine effectiveness of 95%.

The following graph illustrates the increase/decrease in vaccine effectiveness by the month among each age group over a period of 5 months from 16th Aug 21 to 2nd Jan 22.



[Source](#)

The first booster shots were administered in week 37 of 2021, and this graph illustrates clearly how they provided a boost in vaccine effectiveness in the following two months. But unfortunately, it also shows how short-lived this boost was with the effectiveness of the Covid-19 vaccines falling to frightening levels between weeks 49 and 52.

Real-world vaccine effectiveness dropped to the lowest levels yet across all age groups except for the over 70's between December 6th and January 2nd, but the over 70's still dropped into negative effectiveness.

The expected further boost to 40 to 69-year-olds did not materialize and instead, a huge tumble in vaccine effectiveness was recorded, dropping to -151% in 40-49-year-olds.

Vaccine effectiveness also tumbled in the 30-39-year-old age group to minus-123%, despite the booster jab being administered to millions in week 49.

That's a far cry from the alleged 95% effectiveness you were told about.

**But what does a positive/negative vaccine effectiveness actually mean?**

# SYMPTOMS OF 5G EXPOSURE VS. CORONAVIRUS INFECTIONS

| SYMPTOM                              | 5G | CORONAVIRUS |
|--------------------------------------|----|-------------|
| Sperm / Testicular Damage            | ✓  | ✓           |
| Neuropsychiatric Damage              | ✓  | ✓           |
| Cellular DNA Damage                  | ✓  | ✓           |
| Apoptosis (Cell Death)               | ✓  | ✓           |
| Cardiac / Blood Pressure Disruptions | ✓  | ✓           |

**NATURALNEWS.COM**  
Defending Health, Life and Liberty

Vaccines work by simulating a viral attack and provoking the immune system into responding as if you have had the virus. They are supposed to train the immune system to the point where you develop natural immunity to the virus.

Therefore, vaccine effectiveness is really a measure of the immune system performance of the vaccinated compared to the immune system performance of the unvaccinated.

The data published by the UKHSA confirms that the real-world effectiveness of the Covid-19 injections (***really a measure of immune system performance***) wains significantly in a short amount of time.

But, unfortunately for the vaccinated population, rather than the immune system returning to the same state it was prior to vaccination, the immune system performance begins to rapidly decline, making it inferior to that of the unvaccinated.

However, the UK Government data does prove that a booster dose of the vaccine can give a short-term boost to the immune system.

But, unfortunately, this same data shows that the immune system performance then begins to decline even faster than it has been prior to the booster dose being given.

This data, therefore, suggests that the vaccinated population will now require an endless cycle of booster shots to boost their immune systems to a point where it does not fail but is inferior to that of the unvaccinated population.

Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome is a condition that leads to the loss of immune cells and leaves individuals susceptible to other infections and the development of certain types of cancers. In other words, it completely decimates the immune system.

**Therefore, could we be seeing some new form of Covid-19 vaccine-induced acquired immunodeficiency syndrome?**

Facebook certainly seems to think so.

Our original investigation on the above was shared by a reader on Facebook on the same day that we published the article, and Facebook took it upon themselves to immediately remove the post and label it as “misinformation”.

However, the reader who shared our article disputed Facebook’s censorship and on the 27th of October they finally responded to confirm that they were in fact wrong, the investigation was entirely correct, and that the reader’s Facebook post is now back on the highly censored social media platform.


[Source](#)

And if we are **seeing some new form of Covid-19 vaccine-induced acquired immunodeficiency syndrome**, it would certainly explain why we’re suddenly seeing so many children suffer serious diseases and death this year due to what would have otherwise have been mild infections prior to the roll-out of the Covid-19 injections.

I do not hear any comments to the fact that as of the middle of December, 2022, we now have 7,000 **5G** satellites beaming down wireless energy all around the planet 24/7 and this number will reach 40,000+ in just two years. **5G** radiation sickness increases daily as 8-billion people are being radiated at energy levels for which the human body is

not equipped to deal with. Besides birds, insects, every human being is being microwaved with **5G** radiation poisoning doing irreparable damage to the human body.

**DID YOU KNOW THAT 5G OPERATES AT THE RESONANT FREQUENCY OF THE OXYGEN MOLECULE ???**



**THIS CAUSES OXYGEN MOLECULES IN THE AIR TO VIBRATE, AND MAKES IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR THE OXYGEN TO BE ABSORBED BY OUR LUNGS . . .**

**THE RESULT ???**

**~SIMILAR TO COVID:**

**SUFFOCATION**

<https://scientists4wiredtech.com/wireless-at-60-ghz-has-unique-oxygen-absorption-properties>

<https://reclaimthelaw.org>

Telecom Giant Offers Girl Scouts New Patch in Exchange for Promoting 'Wonders of **5G**'

Courtesy of Swiss telecom giant Ericsson, Girl Scouts across America this year were offered a new way to earn a special uniform patch: learning about the wonders of **5G** cellphone technology and, in some cases, promoting it.



Beyond developing their camping skills, participating in a food drive to aid the hungry and donating pajamas for seniors, Girl Scouts across America this year were offered a new way to earn a special uniform patch: learning about the wonders of **5G** cellphone technology and, in some cases, promoting it.

The opportunity came courtesy of Ericsson, the Swedish telecommunications giant, which sponsored the “Ericsson Limited Edition **5G** & IoT” (Internet of Things) patch program.

The program, still available on at least one Girl Scout website, targets all age levels, from Daisies (kindergarten-age Scouts) to Ambassadors (those in high school), with an array of activities intended to “introduce Girl Scouts to **5G** and the Internet of Things.”

These include watching “[Explaining 5G to Kids](#),” a five-minute video featuring Mats, a bearded Ericsson employee, as he chats with Siofra, Freya and two other squirming but charming children, who speak English with what sounds like hints of Swedish accents.

Mats explains that **5G** is a “new technology for the mobile phone. So everything will be much better.” He explains that the [technology](#) could allow the kids’ toys to connect. “Wouldn’t that be cool?” he asks. “This is what Ericsson is doing,” Mats explains. “This is what **5G** can do.”

Other recommended activities sound more like do-it-yourself advertising. High school-age members on [one Girl Scout site](#) are encouraged to:

*“Find a cell tower and make a video explaining how **5G** would change the world for you. Share the video you made with a friend or fellow Girl Scout. Or, with an adult’s permission, post your video on social media and tag @gsheartofnj, @ericsson, #girlscoutstalk**5G**.”*

And Scouts of all ages are invited to *“discuss with your troop or an adult how mmWave spectrum is safe and does not cause harm to our health.”*

Some health experts, who are concerned that [wireless radiation](#) poses a [health risk to children](#), criticize the Ericsson program as an improper and inaccurate form of industry marketing.

*“Anytime corporations advertise directly to children, I’m very suspicious,” Dr. Jerome Paulson, a pediatrician and emeritus professor in George Washington University’s department of environmental and occupational health, told ProPublica. “It would be like Exxon Mobil sponsoring a patch on climate change.”*

Paulson previously chaired the Council on Environmental Health at the American Academy of Pediatrics, which has criticized the Federal Communications Commission’s wireless-radiation standards for failing to protect children.

The [Environmental Health Trust](#), an activist nonprofit that first spotted the Ericsson program, recently sent a [letter of protest](#) to the Girl Scouts’ national office, saying the patch materials *“misleadingly state that [5G networks](#) and cellphones are safe,”* and urging their removal from all Girl Scout websites.

The 10 signers included *“former Girl Scouts and parents of Scouts,”* the chair of the obstetrics, gynecology and reproductive sciences department at Yale’s medical school, the former president of Microsoft Canada and a Swedish scientist who has conducted influential epidemiological studies on [cellphone radiation](#).


In an emailed statement, Vidya Krishnan, global chief learning officer for Ericsson, who sits on the Girl Scouts National Board, defended the program:

*“The [Ericsson Girl Scouts 5G patch](#) has the sole purpose of educating our next generation about the latest [wireless technologies](#) that are shaping their lives and their future. Educational awareness is the only intention and impact.”*

(In October, the Girl Scouts of Northeast Texas honored Krishnan as a *“Woman of Distinction”* at its annual fundraising luncheon, where a *“presenting sponsorship”* went for \$100,000 and individual tickets sold for \$300.)

The Girl Scouts, of course, are hardly strangers to the world of commerce. They have long been renowned for their annual cookie sales — the Scouts call it “the largest girl-led entrepreneurial program in the world” — which raise about \$800 million

annually for local activities. Girls are eligible for special “Cookie Business” badges by honing their sales pitches and tapping into market research.



And the Girl Scouts have offered other patches sponsored by corporations. Among them: Fidelity Investments, which sponsors a “girls’ guide to managing money.” One Texas chapter offered a patch for “Fluor Engineering Month.”

The Ericsson 5G patch was first made available in March 2021 through the website of the Northeast Texas council of the Girl Scouts.

Ericsson’s U.S. headquarters is in Plano, Texas, and the company, which boasts of being “[the leading provider of 5G network equipment in the U.S.](#),” has been involved with the area’s Girl Scouts program for several years. Ericsson has focused on promoting interest in science, technology, engineering and math careers, known as STEM, where girls are historically underrepresented.

(The company’s Facebook page includes photos of [hardhat-wearing Girl Scouts](#) on a 2018 field trip to an Ericsson training center with mock cell towers and transmitters.)

A second Ericsson executive serves on the local Girl Scouts board, and, according to public disclosures, Ericsson has donated more than \$100,000 annually to the northeast Texas council for the past three years.

Ashley Crowe, chief program officer for the Girl Scouts of Northeast Texas, said 697 Girl Scouts have obtained the Ericsson **5G** patch.

Crowe praised Ericsson's support for the Girl Scouts, saying, *"I for one would never feel exploited by Ericsson,"* but she added that she was unaware of health concerns about children's exposure to cellphone radiation. *"I had never even heard about that,"* she said. *"This has not been brought to our attention at all."*

After ProPublica's inquiries about the matter, the patch program was removed from the Texas council's website.

(A spokesperson for the council asserted that *"the patch program was removed from our site at the beginning of October,"* explaining that *"the Ericsson **5G** IoT patch program was funded by Ericsson as a one-year optional program for local Girl Scouts and concluded September 30, 2022."* However, a ProPublica reporter saw the patch on the Texas site as late as Nov. 21.)

It remains available on the website of [a New Jersey Girls Scouts council](#).

A spokesperson for Girl Scouts Heart of New Jersey submitted a statement on behalf of its CEO, Natasha Hemmings, asserting that *"the safety and well-being of our Girl Scouts is and always has been our top priority."* The statement continued:

*"In line with our mission, we partner with numerous organizations and corporations, including Ericsson, to expand access to education and to empower girls to become leaders of tomorrow."*

The national office for Girl Scouts of the USA did not respond to multiple requests for comment.

Scientific concern about whether cellphone radiation poses a human health hazard, including increased risk of cancer, fertility issues or other problems, has been rising in recent years. ([ProPublica recently explored this issue in detail.](#))

The research includes a massive U.S. government study that in 2018 found "clear evidence" that cellphone radiation caused cancer in lab animals. Some researchers have also warned of special risk to children, citing studies showing that their developing brains absorb more radiation because of their thinner, smaller skulls.

The American Academy of Pediatrics has echoed this concern, urging the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to revise its exposure standards, saying they don't adequately protect children.

More than 20 foreign governments have adopted protective measures or recommended precautions regarding wireless radiation, with many of them focused on limiting exposure to children.

The [European Environment Agency](#) offers similar guidance, noting:

*“There is sufficient evidence of risk to advise people, especially children, not to place the handset against their heads.”*

The wireless industry and U.S. regulators, including the FCC and U.S. Food and Drug Administration, deny that there is any proven health risk for anyone. They dispute that the technology poses any special hazard to children and don’t advocate any precautions.

The FCC’s [“Wireless Devices and Health Concerns”](#) page, for example, notes that “some parties” recommend safety measures, *“even though no scientific evidence currently establishes a definitive link between wireless device use and cancer or other illnesses.”*

It then states, in bold:

***“The FCC does not endorse the need for these practices.”***

*Originally published by [ProPublica](#).*

*Peter Elkind is a reporter covering government and business for ProPublica.*

*The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of Children's Health Defense.*

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, [EvanTeachr@aol.com](mailto:EvanTeachr@aol.com)  
[www.pastorbobreid.com](http://www.pastorbobreid.com)