

Jesuit Liar

Part 2

Fauci Warns There Are Five Stages in a Pandemic... and We Are Still in the First Stage

January 20, 2022



Dr. Fauci is living his best life because of the Covid pandemic so he never wants it to end.

Fauci is worth more than \$10 million and he's being paraded around like a celebrity so it is in his best interest to keep Covid going for as long as possible.

Dr. Fauci this week joined the opening day of Klaus Schwab's Davos Agenda where he trashed the First Amendment and whined about Covid 'disinformation' being spread in the U.S.

"One of the things the entire world is facing, but we certainly are facing it in a very, very disconcerting way in the United States is the amount of disinformation that is accompanying what should be a problem that everyone pulls together against a common enemy, which is the virus," he said. "We have disinformation that is entirely destructive to a comprehensive public health endeavor."

Fauci said there are five stages in a pandemic and we're only in stage one.

The five phases of a pandemic according to Lord Fauci:

1. Negative impact

WE ARE HERE

2. Deceleration

"It is an open question as to whether or not Omicron is going to be the live virus vaccination that everyone is hoping for, because you have such a great deal of variability with new variants emerging," he said.

3. Control

"Control means you have it present, but it is present at a level that does not disrupt society," Fauci says.

4. Elimination

The elimination of a pandemic occurs when the virus still exists in the world but it has been eradicated from certain regions or countries. Fauci gives the example of polio, which has been eradicated from many countries in the Global North. Fortune [reported](#). The world is still far from achieving that with COVID, scientists say.

5. Eradication

Fauci conceded Covid will never be eradicated.

"That's not going to happen with this virus," Fauci said.

If you read part 1 of this cretin you have figured out why there was such a push for the vaxxes in the first place. As the narrative of this psy-op is falling apart, it is going to spark "payback" and retribution for all those who died needlessly, and will die because they were vaxxed by the government-health-pharmacy plan to depopulate the world, but especially in the United States, where now the data is showing the U.S. has the highest mortality from the "bioweapon" and Europe has a higher rate of injuries, permanent


disabilities, but less fatalities. This was all engineered and as the statistics are building the case for a call for a Nuremberg 2.



	A year ago	Now
Vaccinated can spread COVID	Conspiracy Theory	Truth
Vaccinated are susceptible to new variants and reinfection	Conspiracy Theory	Truth
COVID vaccines can cause blood clots and other serious side effects	Conspiracy Theory	Truth
3rd and 4th shot	Conspiracy Theory	Truth
New shots every year/half a year	Conspiracy Theory	Truth
Shots for young children	Conspiracy Theory	Truth
Total segregation of the society	Conspiracy Theory	Truth
Camps for the unvaccinated	Conspiracy Theory	Truth
Unvaccinated unable to work	Conspiracy Theory	Truth
Harder access of medical care for unvaccinated	Conspiracy Theory	Truth
Secret contracts between Pfizer and governments	Conspiracy Theory	Truth

This cretin craves the camera and he once said his goal was to be on the Tonight Show. He is 81 years of age and has been a pathological liar from his youth. His hours of health and safety announcements on television talk and news programs will be the death knell of Dr. Death Anthony Fauci's power. The talent agency who fired Laura Logan did so at Dr. Fauci's behest will soon regret their action as the truth comes out about this monster. As a Jesuit coadjutor Dr. Death will never recant, confess, or acknowledge his crimes. If you watched any of the Senate hearings conducted by Senator Rand Paul of Kentucky, Dr. Fauci admitted nothing, even lying under oath. He is a sociopath and psychopath according to more than a dozen professionals who have

observed him. The sad part of this entire evil cretin is that he will never spend a day in federal prison. Any trial will be snarled up in legal battles and delays or worse, suicide.



Since Dr. Tony Fauci is central in the Covid-crimes you need to understand his and Bill Gates obsession with the eugenics and depopulation movement.


Eugenics is an attempt to produce genetically desirable children and to terminate the lives of those considered to be genetically inferior. Elements of eugenics exist everywhere. For example, when given the choice, people produce children with partners whom they find attractive. Part of them hopes that those attractive qualities will manifest in the offspring. A more extreme form is infanticide: killing children usually because of physical or mental deformity; a practice as old as humanity. In Ancient Greece, Plato and Socrates (c. 470-399 BC) suggested ways of breeding to promote the superior traits of the elite, as sickly infants were deliberately left to die of exposure.

Today, there is a sensible and ethical side of what is often called eugenics. Examples include disabled children having operations to save their lives and individuals with learning difficulties asking for sterilization because they can't manage contraception and don't want children. But institutions like the state, prisons, and medical facilities, as well as privileged elites, use eugenics as a way of trying to exterminate the elements of society whom they consider to be a burden. What started out as selective breeding and

infanticide has today developed into forced sterilization and genetic editing to produce “designer babies.” This thinking came with the discovery of CRISPR and also forms certain depopulation agendas.

Robert F. Kennedy’s new book has an entire chapter of Dr. Fauci’s funded experiments used on orphans in New York, and children of color.

In 1866 in Imperial Russia, the obstetrician Vasili Florinskii authored a book advocating for scientific methods to improve human traits. Given the poverty of Russia at the time, there were no medical facilities to realize Florinskii’s ideas. Russia would not endure a eugenics programme until the Bolsheviks seized power in 1917. In Germany, the term “racial hygiene” (Rassenhygiene) was coined in 1895 by the biologist Dr. Alfred Ploetz (1860-1940). The notion later informed Nazi thinking on eugenics.



Dr. T.J. Coles is an associate researcher at the Organization for Propaganda Studies, a columnist with Axis of Logic, a contributor to numerous publications (including CounterPunch and Truthout) and the author of several books including *Manufacturing Terrorism* (Clairview Books), *Human Wrongs* (iff Books) and *Privatized Planet* (New Internationalist). He presents his overview on an incredible story of the pathology of cretins like Dr. Fauci.

The British biologist Sir Francis Galton (1822-1911) coined the term eugenics (meaning of good birth) and described it as *“the science of improving inherited stock, not only by judicious mating’s, but by all the influences which give more suitable strains a better chance.”* Galton was inspired by his cousin, Charles Darwin (1809-82), who was cautious about eugenics. The influential book *An Essay on the Principle of Population* (1798) written by the economist, Thomas Malthus (1766-1834), also influenced Galton. In it, Malthus argued that unlimited population growth was unsustainable. More inspiration came from Herbert Spencer (1820-1903), the sociologist who coined the term *“survival of the fittest.”* In 1907, Galton established the University of London’s Eugenics Laboratory.

In Germany, Wilhelm Schallmayer (1857-1919) authored popular books concerning selective breeding among elites to further “civilization. Just as US eugenicist groups were sponsored by corporate foundations, Schallmayer was promoted by Krupp, the German industrial giant. Most early feminists opposed abortion, so eugenics was, in many ways, a proxy for abortion. In the 1900s, Germany’s Bund für Mutterschutz (Organization for Maternal Protection) saw feminists and socialists advocate for eugenics in an effort to own their bodies and gain credence in the male-dominated science milieu. The BfM was established by Elisabeth Bouness (Ruth Bré, 1862-1911), who fostered support groups for single, unwed mothers. Other, more right-wing eugenicists like Agnes Bluhm (1862-1943), dismissed the BfM’s utopianism. Men, conversely, tended to see eugenics as an opportunity to further their control over female bodies.

Inspired by Malthus, the British Eugenics Education Society was founded in 1907 by Sybil Gotto (1885-1955) and headed by Galton. Forty-percent of its members were women. For many feminists, male dominant sexuality produced *“maimed, diseased, unwanted babies.”* Dora Marsden (1882-1960), editor of *Freewoman*, opposed the Eugenics Education Society (EES) as *“a conspiracy against the poor.”* The Swedish feminist Ellen Key (1849-1926) explained that society should protect all *“except that which gives occasion to a weak offspring and produces bad conditions for the development of that offspring.”*

Eugenicists relied on biology to try to define superior and inferior characteristics. They utilized statistics to try to prove that the lowest classes of people were outbreeding the highest. EES members like the mathematician and later Ministry of Food statistician, David Heron (1881-1969), explained that: *“higher net fertility is shewn... to be very markedly correlated with the most undesirable social factors (sic).”* We now know that poverty can cause serious cognitive problems in developing brains. At the time, poverty-related impaired cognitive function – then called *“feeble mindedness”* – was falsely attributed to genetics. A 1912 report by the Committee to Consider the Eugenic Aspect of Poor Law Reform refers to the *“feeble-minded”* – who could not work and were of negative value to the capitalist class – as *“the chief burden on the public purse,”* as they required health and social care; both of which were still in their infancy. British eugenicists failed in their lobby to legalize for forced sterilization, passing instead the Mental Deficiency Act 1913, which sought to institutionalize people with particular

needs. Many British female eugenicists opposed the Act because it primarily targeted single mothers.

The British economist John Maynard Keynes (1883-1946) is credited with influential theories concerning state-planning over national economies. Keynes believed that law and other coercive measures could be imposed on population abroad to improve their living standards by reducing their overall numbers. In 1914, for instance, he wrote: *"In many, if not in most, parts of the world there actually exists at the present time a denser population than is compatible with a high level of economic wellbeing."*

According to some scholars, 19th-century pedigree animal breeding inspired U.S. eugenics, with the American Breeders Association splintering to form the eugenics movement. By the 1910s, U.S. states, particularly in the south, hosted "Better Babies" competitions, in which the mental and physical characteristics of infants were measured and tabulated by eugenicists. Writing for American Breeders Magazine in 1910, C.B. Davenport (1866-1944), director of the Cold Spring Harbor Station for Experimental Study of Evolution, argued that *"society must protect itself"* from the so-called feeble-minded by *"segregation"* or even sterilization. American eugenicists established the Carnegie-funded Eugenics Record Office.

The U.S. socialist, feminist, and birth control advocate, Margaret Sanger (1879-1966), opened America's first birth control clinic in New York in 1916. Sanger also helped to create the organization Planned Parenthood. But these progressive steps masked another agenda. Sanger was a strong advocate for eugenics, even speaking about the subject at a Ku Klux Klan meeting to white supremacists, who had an interest in wiping out the Black population. In 1924, the psychologist and feminist, Leta Stetter Hollingworth (1886-1939), explained that *"the very intelligent are those who rise in the world of competition, and who are also able to produce children like themselves."* Sponsored by eugenics societies, *"Fitter Families"* competitions awarded medals, inscribed with: *"Yea, I have a goodly heritage."*

In the U.S., eugenicists were behind the Immigration Act 1924 which limited the entering into the U.S. of foreign paupers whom the establishment considered genetically inferior. In 1927, US Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes affirmed the constitutionality of forced sterilization when he decreed in the case of Carrie Buck (who was erroneously thought to have had learning difficulties) vs. John Hendren Bell (a eugenicist): *"three generations of imbeciles are enough."* (Buck's mother had also been misdiagnosed with mental disorders.) After Buck vs. Bell, a dozen US states felt empowered to enact sterilization laws against the institutionalized.

By the mid-1930s, eugenicists recognized their failure to turn their theory and practice into a science. But there was no clear demarcation and eugenicist ideas developed as *"reform eugenics."* The majority of eugenics sterilization in the U.S. was conducted between 1930 and 1960. Into the 1970s, federally-funded U.S. medical facilities continued to quietly perform forced sterilization, usually on Black women; the most high-profile case being that of Minnie and Mary Relf, aged 12 and 14. Other cases included

the sterilization of Mexican migrant women by Californian obstetricians. In 1975, the federally-funded Indian Health Service sterilized 25,000 Indigenous women.

As part of its population-reducing, one-child policies, between 1971 and 2013, Chinese state and local authorities forced 200 million sterilizations and over 330 million abortions on lower-class women, offering wealthier families the chance to offset their breeding by paying “*social compensation*” fees. As part of India’s so-called Emergency, declared in 1975 in response to population booms, India began the forcible sterilization of 10 million people by 1977. Later, allegedly softer measures were imposed, such as financial incentives for poor families to undergo sterilization: 90 percent of the victims were women and included 4.6 million people in the year 2012 alone. In the poorest state, Bihar, 13,000 medical camps were established to sterilize 650,000 women.

GIVING EUGENICS A BAD NAME

In 1920, the German jurist Karl Binding (1841-1920) and the psychiatrist Alfred Hoche (1865-1943) co-authored the book, ‘*Permitting the Destruction of Life Unworthy of Living*’ (Die Freigabe der Vernichtung Lebensunwertes Lebens), in which they gave legal and medical justifications for murder as mercy killing (euthanasia). Their text cites eugenics and social Darwinism. Rejected by most physicians at the time, the Binding-Hoche thesis informed Nazi eugenics policy.


Between the mid-1920s and the close of the Second World War, 60,000 Americans were forcibly sterilized. After the Nazis gave eugenics a bad name, U.S. sterilization typically occurred behind closed doors in institutions.

In 1930s Germany, conditions including epilepsy, manic-depression, and schizophrenia were attributed solely to Mendelian regressive genes. When the Nazis came to power in 1933, they legislated to have physicians send patients with particular needs, including physical and mental, to sterilization courts where judges, panels, witnesses, and medical professionals would decide their reproductive fate. The Nazis promoted Lebensborn (Fount of Life) to increase the birth-rate of “Aryan” children. At least 350,000 Germans were forcibly sterilized by the Nazis up to 1945. Because sterilization was legal in many U.S. states, the Nazis were not tried at Nuremberg for eugenics.

By the mid-1930s, more than half of Germany’s physicians had joined the Nazi Party, seeing themselves as “*biological soldiers*” in the war against racial impurity. “*Defective*” German children were left to die of starvation and exposure in unheated wards. Others were poisoned with cyanide. Nazi Germany’s forced sterilization law was also imposed on the countries it occupied, including Austria and Norway. The mass murder of “*unfit*” adults was usually conducted by morphine injections and the issuance of fake death certificates. By 1939, over 200,000 people had been murdered in the T4 eugenics programme.

One of the more unusual chapters in the history of the eugenics-depopulation agenda pushed by some elites concerns the Georgia Guidestones. Elberton, Georgia, is known as the granite capital of the world. In 1979, one Robert C. Christian (pseudonym)

commissioned Joe H. Fendley (c. 1935-2005), President of the Elberton Granite Finishing Company, to construct the five, capped slabs of the 19-foot-high monument to “The Age of Reason.” The Guidestones’ inscribed “ten commandments” include



Depopulation and eugenics: **“Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature. Guide reproduction wisely improving fitness and diversity.”**

We’ve seen how the elites feared being outbred by the riffraff. This theme continued into the late-1960s, with fears that third world populations were breeding out of control and threatening the resources of the privileged. Many of the theories were presented as humanitarian, that the poor hadn’t enough food and space to live worthwhile lives. This was popularized by the biologist, Paul Ehrlich (born 1932); whose book *‘The Population*

Bomb (1968) presented an internationalist argument for population control through education, contraception, and financial inducements. Under cover of philanthropy, the book pushed an environmental agenda. Notably absent from the book is a critique of capitalism, which observes that children starve because poor countries export food to rich markets, that big industry poisons children as a consequence of profit-seeking, and health systems are often privatized.

Founded in the same year as Ehrlich's book, the Club of Rome was set up by Aurelio Peccei and Alexander King. Its book *The Limits to Growth* (1972, Universe Books) made much the same arguments as Ehrlich. In 1988, the Club of Rome welcomed a speech by Britain's Prince Philip (born 1921). In the same year, the noble Prince told German media: *"In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, to contribute something to solving overpopulation,"* and in doing so exposed the antihuman thread that underlies some of the environmental movement. Infamously, another Club of Rome endeavor, *The First Global Revolution* (1991), argued that humans need a common enemy. Where states and religious minorities fail to act as that enemy, *"the new enemy"* would be *"humanity itself."* Of course, the Club of Rome's limited budget was no match for the oil industry, which swamped public opinion with climate change denial propaganda, so their agenda largely failed.

Climate change deniers attacked sustainability initiatives such as the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development's document, Agenda 21, a nonbinding, failed roadmap towards sustainability. In fairness to those who see conspiracy, the UN Environment Programme's co-founder and energy businessman, Maurice Strong (1929-2015), told the Earth Summit 1992: *"We are now a species out of control. Our very success is leading us to a dangerous future... [O]verall, this [population] growth cannot continue... Population must be stabilized."*

The global corporate system has extracted material wealth from the third world, including food and minerals. In doing so, it has facilitated third world overpopulation (China pop. 1.4bn, India 1.3bn), because poor people tend to have more children than middle-class people due to factors including lack of sex education, the unavailability of contraception, religious indoctrination, male domination, and efforts to increase the likelihood of offspring survival by bearing large numbers of children.

In richer countries, like those of North America, Europe, and Australasia, fertility has declined drastically. The wealth that is sucked out of the third world causes socio-economic changes in the West, where people have affluence in the form of smartphones and packaged holidays but no real wealth in terms of affordable housing or savings. This makes it difficult to raise families. It takes two people to make a baby. That means if the fertility rate is two children per female in her lifetime, global population will not significantly fall or rise above its present rate. Globally, the average number of children per female was 4.7 in 1950, hence the rapid growth of the world's population. But by 2017, it was 2.4. Across Western Europe, it is 1.7, meaning that Europe is in demographic decline.

Unlike the ideologies of the recent past, like those of the Club of Rome, today's depopulation agenda has coupled with big oil. The Michael Moore production, Planet of the Humans (2020), uses outdated and often false information about renewable energy to attack the green movement (big oil agenda) while simultaneously interviewing privileged middle-aged-to-elderly white males about how people of color in poor countries are killing the planet (Club of Rome-type agenda). The filmmakers' solution to overconsumption is depopulation.

EUGENICS TODAY

Today, genetic screening and gene editing have the potential to realize the dreams of eugenicists. Part of their agenda is to own life, while the agenda of the corporations to which some of them ally is purely to make money. This can be done, in part, via patenting genes: the building blocks of life.

The patenting of life began in 1971. Dr. Ananda Chakrabarty (1938-2020) of the giant corporation, General Electric, applied to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) to register a genetically-modified organism (GMO), which he'd engineered to eat oil spills. The PTO rejected the application on the grounds that living things are not patentable. Chakrabarty appealed to the Court of Customs and Patent Appeals, whose judges voted in his favor. The decision allowed anything but a "complete" human being to be patented. Since then, the race to patent every gene and combination means that corporations and entrepreneurs own 20 percent of the human genome via 40,000 patents. In 1984, after one John Moore (1945-2001) attended the University of California-Los Angeles for cancer treatment, the University together with the Sandoz Pharmaceutical Corp. obtained and patented his spleen cells (making \$3 billion in the process), without Moore's knowledge or consent. In 1990, the Supreme Court of California ruled that Moore had no rights over his cells. By 2003, 127,000 patent applications were filed for human genes and sequences. The significance of this is directly related to the Covid vaxxines, which "modify" and "change" one's DNA, and brings into question who owns you after being vaxxed!

What is called "consumer eugenics" is already prevalent in many countries and future possibilities widely discussed in magazines in recent years. Through preimplantation genetic diagnosis, physicians routinely test embryos for hundreds of genes. Parents can select embryos with certain genes to avoid particular diseases – or to pick the sex of their future child.

In 1998, the geneticist Dr. David J. Galton, a relative of Sir Francis, wrote not of the immorality of eugenics but of the need for better regulation: *"To prevent such abuses in the future statutory bodies, such as a genetics commission, should be established to provide guidance and rules of conduct."* In 2003, the geneticist Charles Epstein wrote: *"modern human genetics and medical genetics... are eugenic – if not a literal return to the eugenics of the past, at least a reincarnation of that eugenics in a new guise."* Between 2005 and 2016, dozens of female prisoners were sterilized in California without their informed consent. Historian Daniel J. Kevles says that humanity "now

possesses tools to reshape its own hereditary capacities, perhaps even to realize the dream of eugenicists that human beings might take charge of their own evolution.”

Today, dozens of gene-editing companies offer services in agbiotech, biobanking, cell therapy, DNA sequencing, stems, synthetic biology, and vaccines. These profit-seeking companies have the potential to work in the interests of eugenicists; for example, by editing genes to enhance certain traits desired by parents. Under the section “New Humans,” the UK Ministry of Defense notes that out to the year 2036: *“The application of advanced genetics could challenge current assumptions about human nature and existence.”* It goes on to note that, *“[i]nitially employed for medical purposes, breakthroughs in these areas could be put to ethically questionable uses.”* Examples include *“the super-enhancement of human attributes, including physical strength and sensory perception.”* It concludes that *“[e]xtreme variation in attributes could arise between individuals, [or] between societies, creating additional reasons for conflict.”* Another section of the report notes that “biotechnology and genetic engineering may be combined to create ‘designer’ bio-weapons to target crops, livestock, or particular ethnic groups” (emphasis in original). The document ends with reference to the final step in eugenics: ethnic cleansing. In referencing neutron weapons, which kill life and leave property undamaged, the MoD states: *“The ability to inflict organic destruction, while leaving infrastructure intact, might make it a weapon of choice for extreme ethnic cleansing in an increasingly populated world.”*

CONCLUSION

This article has traced the thread of eugenics from our ancient past to the trans- and post-humanist visions of gene-editing tech-heads. The article has documented how eugenics can infringe upon human rights and even lead to genocide.

A final thought concerns elder care and social security in economically neoliberal states. In the UK, the policies of the ruling Tory party (2010-present) have, in the last decade, killed a quarter of a million economically useless Britons via fiscal austerity: old people who needed later-life care, disabled people claiming social security, and the most impoverished who depended on the state for their survival.

In the minds of policymakers, eugenics is alive and well. This includes Bill Gates, Dr. Fauci, and even the pope secretly.

Dr. T.J. Coles is an associate researcher at the Organization for Propaganda Studies, a columnist with Axis of Logic, a contributor to numerous publications (including CounterPunch and Truthout) and the author of several books including *‘Manufacturing Terrorism’* (Clairview Books), *‘Human Wrongs’* (iff Books) and *‘Privatized Planet’* (New Internationalist).

A peek inside Dr. Anthony Fauci’s home office reveals he’s surrounded by images of himself — including his own bobble head and a life-size portrait on the wall.

The glimpse into the nation's top infectious disease expert's workspace started making the rounds on social media Wednesday after an eagle-eyed Twitter user noticed the images of Fauci.

The footage of President Biden's chief medical adviser first appeared in the ["Fauci" documentary](#) released in September last year.

In the documentary, Fauci, 81, can be seen sitting at his computer typing what appears to be an email as a canvas print of his likeness looms over him.

Dr. Anthony Fauci can be seen sitting at his desk as a canvas print of his likeness looms over him.



A framed photo of what appears to be Fauci's face can also be seen perched on a bookshelf behind him.

And a Dr. Fauci bobble head is spotted on a different shelf next to a photo of his daughter.

Dr. Anthony Fauci sets up many of his TV interviews in front of his office bookcase. The inside look into Fauci's home office was ridiculed on social media after Twitter user Karl Dierenbach on Wednesday shared a Photo-shopped snapshot of Fauci sitting at his desk.



He edited the image to make it appear as if Fauci was staring at himself on the computer screen — instead of the email he was actually writing.

“Fauci in his office, surrounded by pictures of Fauci, watching that scene from ‘Fauci’ where Fauci is in his office surrounded by pictures of Fauci,” Dierenbach tweeted alongside the digitally altered image.



Dr. Anthony Fauci’s bookcase featuring family photos, a baseball card, and a bobble head of himself.

He also drew red arrows pointing to the strange artwork and images Fauci had set up throughout his office.

Some Twitter users were quick to brand Fauci a “*narcissist*,” while others labeled his office setup “*just weird*.”

“*Nothing weird, just Fauci in his office Faucin’ it up*,” another Twitter user wrote. Dr. Fauci demands every morning all news media articles mentioning himself be placed in his “In” box, a practice he has followed for years according to office staff.



When Senator Rand Paul accused Dr. Teflon Tony Fauci of being responsible for the death of millions, Fauci responded to the effect that he was not responsible for the death of anyone. Senator Rand Paul’s questions and accusations never shook Tony Fauci and stood toe-to-toe with the Kentucky senator.

The meme on the next page appeared at Patriot Posts as a reaction to Dr. Fauci’s denial of any responsibility for deaths of anyone. For those of you unfamiliar with the person on the left is the late Jim Jones. The following link will provide you an overview of Jim Jones. **9 Things You Should Know About Jim Jones and the ...**

<https://www.thegospelcoalition.org/article/9...>

More than 900 people, many of them children, died in a mass murder-suicide in 1978 by drinking cyanide-laced punch at the order of cult leader Jim Jones. Jim Jones was an enigmatic personality back in the days of the hippy era.

In 1965, when Jones was in his mid-30s, he ordered the Peoples Temple moved to California. He drifted away from traditional Christian teachings, describing himself in messianic terms and claiming he was the reincarnation of figures like Christ and Buddha. He also claimed that his goal all along was communism, and, in a twist on the famous dictum that religion is the “opiate of the masses”, that religion was merely his way of making Marxism more palatable.

By the 1970s, the Peoples Temple, now based in San Francisco, had gained significant political influence. Jones's fierce advocacy for the downtrodden earned him the admiration of leftwing icons like Angela Davis and Harvey Milk and the support of groups like the Black Panthers – a tragically misguided political affinity, given that more than two-thirds of Jonestown's eventual victims were African American.



Dr. Fauci is clearly a despot that should never have been allowed to happen, and yet it is emblematic of many in government public service that are able to evade audit or oversight of proper checks and balance system. **Most people fail to realize that Dr. Fauci controls the "purse strings" of billions of dollars in research money that are beholden to him, or else defunded in their research.**

New evidence has emerged that suggests that Dr. Anthony Fauci not only initiated efforts to cover up evidence pointing to a lab origin of SARS-CoV-2 but actively shaped a highly influential academic paper that excluded the possibility of a lab leak.

Fauci's involvement with the paper wasn't acknowledged by the authors, as it should have been under prevailing academic standards. Neither was it acknowledged by Fauci himself, who denied having communicated with the authors when asked directly while testifying before Congress last week.

The article, Proximal Origin, was co-authored by five virologists, four of whom participated in a Feb. 1, 2020, teleconference that was hastily convened by Fauci, who serves as director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), and Jeremy Farrar, who heads the UK-based Wellcome Trust, after public reporting of a potential link between the Wuhan Institute of Virology in China and the COVID-19 outbreak.

The initial draft of Proximal Origin was completed on the same day the teleconference, which wasn't made public, took place. Notably, at least three authors of the paper were privately telling Fauci's teleconference group both during the call and in subsequent emails that they were 60 to 80 percent sure that COVID-19 had come out of a lab.

Until now, it wasn't known what role, if any, Fauci played in shaping the contents of the article, which formed the primary basis for government officials and media organizations to claim the "natural origin" theory for the virus. While the contents of emails previously released under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) show the Proximal Origin paper clearly conflicts with the authors' private views on the virus' origin, it was unclear if the authors had preemptively reshaped their views to please Fauci or if Fauci himself had an active role in shaping the article.

As the head of NIAID, Fauci controls a large portion of the world's research funds for virologists. At least three virologists involved in the drafting of Proximal Origin have seen substantial increases in funding from the agency since the paper was first published. Any interference by Fauci in the paper's narrative would present a serious conflict of interest.

Emails Show That Fauci, Collins Exerted Influence

Newly released notes taken by House Republican staffers from emails that still remain largely redacted clearly point to Fauci having been actively engaged in shaping the article and its conclusion. The GOP lawmakers gained limited access to the emails after a months-long battle with Fauci's parent body, the Department of Health and Human Services.

The new emails reveal that on Feb. 4, 2020, one of the article's co-authors, virologist Edward Holmes, shared a draft of Proximal Origin with Farrar. Like Fauci, Farrar controls the disbursement of vast amounts of funding for virology research.

Holmes prefaced his email to Farrar with the note that the authors “did not mention other anomalies as this will make us look like loons.” It isn’t known what other anomalies Holmes was referring to, but his statement indicates that Proximal Origin may have omitted certain anomalies of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, suggesting that the paper may have been narrative-driven from the start.

During Fauci’s teleconference, participants had discussed at least two anomalies specific to the virus—the virus’s furin cleavage site, which has never been observed in naturally occurring SARS coronaviruses, and the pathogen’s unusual backbone, which fails to match any known virus backbone.

Farrar almost immediately shared Holmes’s draft with Fauci and Collins via email, while excluding other participants of the teleconference. The ensuing email thread containing discussion among the three suggests that the reason for the secretiveness may have been that they were shaping the content of the paper itself, something that has never been publicly acknowledged.

It’s notable that the email thread included only the three senior members of the teleconference. Using Farrar as a conduit to communicate with the authors may have been seen by Fauci and Collins as adding a layer of deniability.

Fauci, Collins Express Concern Over ‘Serial Passage’

During a Feb. 4, 2020, email exchange among the men, Collins pointed out that Proximal Origin argued against an engineered virus but that serial passage was “still an option” in the draft. Fauci appeared to share Collins’s concerns, noting in a one-line response: “?? Serial passage in ACE2-transgenic mice.”

Serial passage is a process whereby a virus is manipulated in a lab by repeatedly passing it through human-like tissue such as genetically modified mice, which mimic human lung tissue. This is notable given that during the Feb. 1 teleconference, at least three of Proximal Origin’s authors had advised Collins and Fauci that the virus may have been manipulated in a lab through serial passage or by genetic insertion of certain features.

One day after Fauci and Collins shared their comments, on Feb. 5, 2020, Farrar emailed Fauci and Collins stating that “[t]he team will update the draft today and I will forward immediately—they will add further comments on the glycans.”

The reference to glycans is notable as they are carbohydrate-based polymers produced by humans. The push by Fauci, Collins, and Farrar to have the paper’s authors expand on the issue of glycans appears to confirm that they were exerting direct influence on the content of Proximal Origin.

According to Rossana Segreto, a microbiologist and member of the virus origins search group DRASTIC; emphasizing the presence of glycans in SARS-CoV-2 might suggest that Fauci and his group were looking to add arguments against serial passage in the

lab. A study later found that Proximal Origin's prediction on the presence of the O-linked glycans wasn't valid.

The newly released emails don't reveal what additional discussions may have taken place among Fauci, Collins, and Farrar in the ensuing days. Perhaps that's partly because Farrar had noted on another email thread addressed to Fauci's teleconference group that scientific discussions should be taken offline.

Online Version Appears to Incorporate Fauci, Collins Suggestions

Eleven days later, on Feb. 16, 2020, Proximal Origin was published online. The paper argued aggressively for a natural origin of SARS-CoV-2.

An immediate observation from an examination of the Feb. 16 version of Proximal Origin is that "glycans," the term that Farrar, Fauci and Collins wanted to emphasize, is cited 12 times. We don't know to what extent glycans were discussed in the Feb. 4 draft as it remains concealed by National Institute of Health (NIH) officials.

An item of particular significance is that the Feb. 16 version omits any mention of the ACE2-transgenic mice that Fauci had initially flagged in his Feb. 4 email to Collins and Farrar. While the Feb. 16 version of Proximal Origin acknowledges that a furin cleavage site could have been generated through serial passage using animals with ACE2 receptors, the cited animals in the Feb. 16 version were ferrets—not transgenic mice.

The authors' use of ferrets is peculiar not only because the term "transgenic mice" was almost certainly used in the Feb. 4 version but also because it was known at the time that the Wuhan Institute of Virology was conducting serial passage experiments on coronaviruses using ACE2 transgenic mice.

Even more conspicuously, the reference to ferrets was removed entirely from a March 17 updated version of the paper. In its place, a passage was added that stated "such work [serial passage experiments with ACE2 animals] has also not previously been described," in academic literature—despite the fact that the Wuhan Institute's work with ACE2 transgenic mice has been extensively described in academic papers.

Published Version of Proximal Origin Was Altered

Following the online publication of Proximal Origin on Feb. 16, 2020, the article was published in the prominent science journal Nature on March 17. In addition to the changes surrounding the transgenic mice, a number of other notable edits were made to strengthen the natural origin narrative.

On March 6, 2020, the paper's lead author, Kristian Andersen, appeared to acknowledge the inputs from Collins, Farrar, and Fauci, when he emailed the three to say, *"Thank you again for your advice and leadership as we have been working through the SARS-CoV-2 'origins' paper."*

Perhaps most strikingly, the most often publicly cited passage from the March 17 version of the paper, “*we do not believe that any type of laboratory-based scenario is plausible,*” doesn’t appear in the Feb. 16 version. Additionally, while the Feb. 16 version states that “*genomic evidence does not support the idea that SARS-CoV-2 is a laboratory construct*” the March 17 version was altered to state that “*the evidence shows that SARS-CoV-2 is not a purposefully manipulated virus.*”

Similar changes in language are evident in various parts of the March 17 version. For example, a section that stated “*analysis provides evidence that SARS-CoV-2 is not a laboratory construct*” was amended to read “analyses clearly show that SARS-CoV-2 is not a laboratory construct.”

The March 17 version also omits an entire section from the February 16 version that centered on an amino acid called phenylalanine. According to Segreto, a similarly situated amino acid in the original SARS virus had “*mutated into phenylalanine as result of cell passage in human airway epithelium.*” Segreto surmises that the Proximal Origin authors might have deleted this section so as not to highlight that the phenylalanine in SARS-CoV-2 might have resulted from serial passage in a lab.

Segreto’s analysis is backed up by the fact that another section in the Feb. 16 version which states that “*experiments with [the original] SARS-CoV have shown that engineering such a site at the S1/S2 junction enhances cell–cell fusion,*” was reworded in the March 17 version to leave out the word “*engineering.*” Indeed, while the Feb. 16 version merely downplayed the possibility of the virus having been engineered in a lab, in the March 17 version, the word “**engineered**” was expunged from the paper altogether.

Another sentence omitted from the March 17 version noted that “[i]nterestingly, 200 residents of Wuhan did not show coronavirus seroreactivity.” Had the sentence remained, it would have suggested that, unlike other regions in China, no SARS-related viruses were circulating in Wuhan in the years leading up to the pandemic. That makes natural spillover less likely. The director of the Wuhan Institute of Virology, Shi Zhengli, herself admitted that she never expected a SARS-related virus to emerge in Wuhan. When viruses emerged naturally in the past, they emerged in southern China.

Shi’s credibility already was coming under fire for failing to disclose that she had the closest known relative of SARS-CoV-2 in her possession for seven years—a point noted early on by Segreto. Additionally, the Wuhan Institute took its entire database of viral sequences offline on Sept. 12, 2019. Despite the Wuhan Institute’s documented deletion and concealment of data, Proximal Origin’s central argument is that SARS-CoV-2 had to be natural since its backbone didn’t match any known backbones.

However, even before the March 17 version was published, Segreto had stated publicly that Proximal Origin’s central backbone argument was inherently flawed, precisely because there was no way of knowing whether the Chinese lab had published the relevant viral sequences.

Fauci, Collins, Farrar Roles Improperly Concealed

The email exchange among Fauci, Farrar, and Collins presents clear evidence that the three men took an active role in shaping the narrative of Proximal Origin. Indeed, a careful comparison of the Feb. 16 and March 17 versions show that the changes made fail to reflect any fundamental change in scientific analysis.

Instead, the authors employed linguistic changes and wholesale deletions that appear to have been designed to reinforce the natural origin narrative.

Close scrutiny of the email discussions by the three scientists also suggests that there was no legal justification for redacting any of the newly released information in the first place.

Science journals require that contributions to scientific papers need to be acknowledged. According to Nature's publishing guidelines, "[c]ontributors who do not meet all criteria for authorship should be listed in the Acknowledgements section." The newly revealed sections of the still-redacted emails appear to confirm that Fauci, Farrar, and Collins met the criteria for acknowledgement but their names have never appeared on any published version of Proximal Origin, suggesting that the three didn't want their involvement in the paper's creation to be known.

Collins Asked Fauci 'to Help Put Down' Fox News Story

A final email released by the House Republicans shows that Collins wrote Fauci several months later on April 16, 2020, telling him that he had hoped that Proximal Origin would have "settled" the origin debate, but it apparently hadn't since Bret Baier of Fox News was reporting that sources were confident the virus had come out of a lab.

Collins asked Fauci whether the NIH could do something "to help put down this very destructive conspiracy" that seemed to be "growing momentum." Collins also suggested that he and Fauci ask the National Academy of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) to weigh in. As was revealed in previous emails released under FOIA, Fauci's group had pushed NASEM in early Feb. 2020 to promote the natural origin narrative.

Fauci told Collins that the lab leak theory was a "shiny object" that would go away in time. However, the next day, Fauci took responsive action when he categorically dismissed the possibility of a lab origin of COVID-19 during on April 17, 2020, White House press conference. In doing so, Fauci cited the Proximal Origin paper as corroboration of his claims. Notably, Fauci feigned independence, telling reporters that he couldn't recall the names of the authors. Unbeknownst to reporters and the public at the time, four out of the five authors had participated in Fauci's Feb. 1, 2020, teleconference.

Now, we know that Fauci had involvement in shaping the very article that he cited.

Fauci's intervention at the April 17 White House briefing was effective, since media interest in the lab leak theory quickly waned. It didn't resurface until May 2021, when

former New York Times science writer Nicholas Wade published an article discussing the likelihood of a lab leak. Wade noted that “[a] virologist keen to continue his career would be very attentive to Fauci’s and Farrar’s wishes.”

Notably, Segreto had raised a similar concern after Proximal Origin was first published in February 2020, asking whether certain virologists were scared that if the truth came out, their research activities would be curtailed. As these documents leak out and are exposed there is now an effort underway to dismiss Covid as nothing more than a cold or a mild flu. That was a factual statement but for one fact, and that is at least four inserts were made contain 18 fragments of the HIV1 genome and 4 fragments of the SARS2 genome. It was confirmed by Dr. Luc Montagnier, the Nobel Peace Prize recipient for his discovery of HIV. Dr. Montagnier confirmed it had to be done in an lab with high-quality equipment, inferring the use of CRISPR to make it into the bioweapon that Covid-19 is.

What is making them begin to retract 2 years’ worth of totalitarian edicts? There was mention of there being beta tests of different aspects of data collection, i.e. COVID was a test run, perhaps to be followed by more panics, e.g. food, to make the necessary data collection more robust. It was a test run to see how to most effectively use panic to achieve a desired result, to achieve a more complete buy-in. I suspect that something occurred a few weeks ago when Klaus Schwab and the World Bank Director Christine Lagarde had to fly down to Rothschild Island at the South Pole for a super-secret meeting that has led to the WEF into “punting” mode in the UK, which seems to be reaching the mainstream of the U.S. through unofficial channels. Another possibility is that they are preparing the next step in the “Global Reset” plan to activate the New World Order. All of this brings us full circle back to the remarks of Dr. Death Anthony Fauci about we are still in “Phase 1” of a Pandemic!

The next item on the World Economic Forum agenda is likely to be a Cyber Crime. Note closely the information from their own words.

THE “WAR GAME”-Are the Globalists Planning a Cyber Attack? Israel, IMF Lead 10-Country Simulation of Major Attack on Global Financial System



Dr. Fauci's use of the word "Pandemic" is nothing more than a euphemism for the umbrella term for the "Great Reset". Covid was never a pandemic by all previous definitions.

Shortly before omicron (moronic) madness, Israel conducted a "war-game" simulation against a hypothetical new variant. When the name "Israel" was brought into this picture you saw pieces of the puzzle being revealed. I was working on my "Mass Murder" series and shared a great deal of information that puts Israel right in the middle of this global New World Order mess. Part #47 will provide a lot of background to its present role in the Klaus Schwab Rothschild – Prince Charles of Wales – Jacob Rothschild – Pope Francis Antichrist System!

The exercise was the first of its kind around the world and tested [the country's capability of managing a new strain](#).

As [jns](#) explains:

The drill will test the country's ability to coordinate and manage things like local/regional lockdowns, monitoring and oversight of the new variant, including vaccine testing, providing economic support for the population and ensuring that the education system can successfully adjust.

"The State of Israel is in an excellent situation. We beat the fourth wave and we are on our way to exiting the Delta variant," said Israeli Prime Minister Naftali Bennett, according to the statement.

"At the same time, however, we are always looking ahead and preparing for the continuation of the campaign. We are not 'closing up shop.' Neither are we closing down the management systems and the order of battle against the virus. On the contrary, we are continuing to hold drills and to challenge ourselves," he added. [The virus is in reality humanity]

With the situation in much of the rest of the world worsening with respect to the pandemic, said Bennett, *"Israel is safe and protected."* But, he added, *"to maintain this and safeguard the continuity of normal life, we must continue to closely monitor the situation and prepare for any scenario."*

[Here's The Harsh Reality: Today, The Biggest Risk For Global Catastrophe Isn't What It Was 50 Years Ago...](#)

[This presentation](#) PROVES WITHOUT DOUBT that America is in for a major fight that will put you and your family in the firing line, literally... So make sure you watch this presentation while it's still online...



The omicron variant was 'discovered' in South Africa around two weeks after Israel conducted the war game simulation.

TWO WEEKS BEFORE OMICRON WAS "DISCOVERED", ISRAEL RAN A "WAR GAME" SIMULATION FOR A "HYPOTHETICAL" NEW VARIANT. NOW TRY TO TELL ME THE WHOLE THING WASN'T ORCHESTRATED...

— Alyssa Jo♥ (@AlyssaMary03) [December 7, 2021](#)

Israel recently led another "war game" simulation that involved 10 countries. How often have we heard that term?

But this table top exercise simulated a major cyber-attack on the global financial system to analyse its impacts on global foreign exchange and international markets.

In conjunction with the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the exercise meant to ensure global cooperation to the digital attack.

Exclusive: Israel led a 10-country simulation of a major cyber-attack on the global financial system in an attempt to increase cooperation that could help to minimize any potential damage to financial markets and banks <https://t.co/iRLvMdU6GR>

— Reuters Business (@ReutersBiz) [December 9, 2021](#)

[#Israel](#) led a simulation of a major cyber attack on the global financial system with the [#US](#), the [#UK](#), the [#UAE](#), [#Austria](#), [#Switzerland](#), [#Germany](#), [#Italy](#), the [#Netherlands](#) and [#Thailand](#) in an attempt to increase cooperation. pic.twitter.com/ksZ2pD7xbi

— gdh international (@gdhint) [December 9, 2021](#)

A group of 10 nations led by Israel have conducted a 10-day cyber-attack simulation on the IMF which included creating fake news to spark chaos in worldwide markets.

Officials of US, UK, UAE, Austria, Swiss, Germany, Italy, Netherlands & Thailand took part with World Bank & IMF

— James Motil (@SleeperCandidat) [December 9, 2021](#)

[Reuters](#) reported:

The simulated “war game”, as Israel’s Finance Ministry called it and planned over the past year, evolved over 10 days, with sensitive data emerging on the Dark Web. The simulation also used fake news reports that in the scenario caused chaos in global markets and a run on banks.

The simulation — likely caused by what officials called “sophisticated” players — featured several types of attacks that impacted global foreign exchange and bond markets, liquidity, integrity of data and transactions between importers and exporters.

“These events are creating havoc in the financial markets,” said a narrator of a film shown to the participants as part of the simulation and seen by Reuters.

Israeli government officials said that such threats are possible in the wake of the many high-profile cyberattacks on large companies, and that the only way to contain any damage is through global cooperation since current cyber security is not always strong enough.

“Attackers are 10 steps ahead of the defender,” Micha Weis, financial cyber manager at Israel’s Finance Ministry, told Reuters.

Participants in the initiative, called “Collective Strength”, included treasury officials from Israel, the United States, the United Kingdom, United Arab Emirates, Austria, Switzerland, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands and Thailand, as well as representatives from the International Monetary Fund, World Bank and Bank of International Settlements.

The narrator of the film in the simulation said governments were under pressure to clarify the impact of the attack, which was paralysing the global financial system.

“The banks are appealing for emergency liquidity assistance in a multitude of currencies to put a halt to the chaos as counterparties withdraw their funds and limit access to liquidity, leaving the banks in disarray and ruin,” the narrator said.

The participants discussed multilateral policies to respond to the crisis, including a coordinated bank holiday, debt repayment grace periods, SWAP/REPO agreements and coordinated delinking from major currencies.

Rahav Shalom-Revivo, head of Israel’s financial cyber engagements, said international collaboration between finance ministries and international organizations *“is key for the resilience of the financial eco-system.”*

The simulated digital attack is a reminder of the [World Economic Forum's Cyber Polygon](#).

Klaus Schwab has made prior warnings that COVID-19 would pale in comparison to a cyber-attack. This is likely what is dead ahead!

From the [WEF](#):

What is Cyber Polygon?

Cyber Polygon is a unique cybersecurity event that combines the world's largest technical exercise for corporate teams and an online conference featuring senior officials from international organisations and leading corporations.

Cyber Polygon 2021

Leaders of global organizations and state representatives met at the online conference to analyse [the current trends and risks](#) posed by increased interconnectivity. One of the talking points was the resilience of digital ecosystems and the promotion of a safe digital future by means of mutual efforts, justice, and equality. More than 7 million people from 78 countries tuned in to watch the Cyber Polygon online conference.

The technical training was designed for the participants to exercise their skills in repelling a massive supply chain attack on a business-critical system in real time, followed by a full-scale investigation of the incident. A total of 200 organizations from 48 countries took part in the technical training. Cybersecurity and digital sustainability are gaining momentum across all continents, nations and trades, and even traditional industries now recognize cybersecurity as their strategic priority and seek to develop their cyber defence competencies.

The event also incorporated an expert track for field professionals which featured insightful presentations by cybersecurity experts. This track addressed an array of current cyber risks and threats, as well as the key aspects of developing a mature cybersecurity posture. That so many of these events are actually dress rehearsals disguised as such but play out in real time within proximity of the event, as example of "Event 201, a high-level pandemic exercise to illustrate preparedness."

This new narrative is more frightening than another new variant! At least then we knew where we were headed. But this sudden shift of "the pandemic is ending" makes zero sense on the "keep the sheep compliant" scheme. I can only shudder to think what new evil they are going to come out with next.

Once the genocidal die off from the vaccines is over, people will become much more compliant. If you are working 12 plus hours per day just to meet basic needs, there is no time or energy to resist; especially if some critical need like medical treatment or prescription drugs is withheld. Or the unvaxxed get scapegoated and the vaxxed begin attacking them like minorities were treated in the 1950's. U.K. Prime Minister Boris Johnson announced that his country is to move into mitigated COVID restrictions from January 27, dropping the legal requirement for face masks and COVID passports for

entry into certain venues while leaving open the possibility for individual businesses to “voluntarily” require COVID job certification.

Politicians in every country need huge amounts of money for campaigning (besides being greedy narcissist's) and they get that money by “selling” their allegiance to corporations who give them money, but NOT individuals who vote but give very little money. Unless politicians are FORCED to ban corporate money & lobbying, you will witness WORLD WIDE COMMUNISM IN YOUR LIFETIME and destroying the lives of your grand-children. Bigger is NOT better! You might want to give some thought about taking some of you assets out of the bank as well. In the event of a collapse, the banks are legally able to take your assets as theirs to use as they need. You may not know that but it was signed into law in the past ten years.

My instincts suggest we are on the verge of a global collapse of the world economy. If you want more information on how that will explain the crazy flip flopping that is going on read my article **“The Corona of 5G”** at the link just below:

I just posted a related article titled: **“The Corona of 5G”** that has a linked video by Mark Steele, who goes into what **5G** is really all about. Do read it and watch Mark Steele's video. Here is the link: [The Corona of 5G](#)

If you just want to view the Mark Steele video, use the link just below:

<https://seed177.bitchute.com/CL6NVTZ5Q3Yv/0DvpXsU70zD7.mp4>

THIS WILL EXPLAIN WHY YOU DO NOT WANT TO TAKE VACCINES, FLU SHOTS, OR EVEN USE THE FREE VIRUS TEST KITS. IF YOU HAVE TAKEN A VAXX, HOPE IT WAS A PLACEBO. IF YOU HAD A REACTION TO THE SHOT IT WAS NOT LIKELY TO BE A PLACEBO. YOU HAVE TWO YEARS OR LESS UNTIL YOU ARE DEAD!

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, EvanTeachr@aol.com
www.pastorbobreid.com