Mass Murder

Part 2

CDC: 1,524 DEAD 31,079 Injuries
Following Experimental COVID-19 mRNA 'Vaccines'

Definition for Mass Murder: The systematic killing of a population, either entirely or the majority thereof. A mass murder may be perpetrated with the tacit or active support of a community or a group in the society in which it occurred, and usually is a single event. History's largest mass murders have been attempts to exterminate an ethnic and other group—i.e., genocide.

It is very important that you know this is not about a virus. This is genocide first and foremost, yet being masked as a virus that no scientist in the world has ever been able to find this illusive virus. Koch Postulates, the "Gold" standard of virology has never been used to prove its existence. I have written on this fact many times before.



The CDC added more data today into the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS), a <u>U.S. Government funded database</u> that tracks injuries and deaths caused by vaccines.

The data goes through March 5, 2021, with <u>31,079 recorded adverse events</u>, including <u>1,524 deaths</u> following injections of the experimental COVID mRNA shots by Pfizer and Moderna.

From the 3/5/2021 release of VAERS data:

Found 31,079 cases where Vaccine is COVID19

V	↑ ↓	
Event Outcome	Count	Percent
Death	1,524	4.9%
Permanent Disability	630	2.03%
Office Visit	4,747	15.27%
Emergency Room	25	0.08%
Emergency Doctor/Room	5,781	18.6%
Hospitalized	3,470	11.17%
Hospitalized, Prolonged	7	0.02%
Recovered	11,412	36.72%
Birth Defect	42	0.14%
Life Threatening	1,072	3.45%
Not Serious	11,061	35.59%
TOTAL	† 39,771	+ 127.97%

Besides the recorded <u>1,524 deaths</u>, there were 5,806 visits to Emergency Room doctors, 630 permanent disabilities, and 3,477 hospitalizations.

The CDC also updated their <u>Selected Adverse Events Reported after COVID-19</u> <u>Vaccination</u> page on March 9th this past week, and according to this report, VAERS has received 1,637 reports of death following COVID "vaccinations" – more than 100 deaths than are in the VAERS data dump released today.

The CDC continues to state that not one of these recorded deaths following experimental COVID injections are related to the shots.

A review of available clinical information including death certificates, autopsy, and medical records revealed no evidence that vaccination contributed to patient deaths.

AstraZeneca COVID Vaccine Inoculations Halted in Many Countries Due to Fatal Blood Clots

As we reported yesterday, many countries in Europe (and now also Thailand) have halted the vaccinating of people with the AstraZeneca experimental vaccine after reports of fatal blood clots following the injections. See:

BREAKING: 9 European Nations Suspend Experimental AstraZeneca COVID Vaccines Due to Fatal Blood Clots Actually this went to 10 on Friday, March 12th, 2021.

And while the AstraZeneca COVID shots are not yet authorized for emergency use in the U.S., some have commented that the side effects for the mRNA "vaccines" currently issued EUAs in the U.S. for Pfizer and Moderna have just as many, if not more, adverse side effects, questioning whether any of these new experimental and non-FDA-approved COVID vaccines should be continued.

So we searched today's CDC data on adverse reactions to the two COVID "vaccines" being used in the U.S. for "pulmonary embolism," which is an "acute lung disease caused by a dislodged blood clot," and the reason why the AstraZeneca COVID shot is now being halted in about a dozen countries worldwide after two fatalities and others injured.

The CDC is reporting <u>120 cases of pulmonary embolisms</u>, including <u>12 DEATHS</u> following injections of the two experimental COVID mRNA injections currently in the U.S.

Seven of the deaths followed the Moderna mRNA COVID shot, while five deaths followed the Pfizer mRNA COVID shot.

This number is obviously far greater than the two deaths reported so far from pulmonary embolism following the AstraZeneca COVID shots being distributed around the world right now.

Can We Trust the CDC that NONE of These 1,637 Recorded Deaths are Caused by the Experimental COVID mRNA Shots?

The CDC has been caught many times since COVID-19 started elevating that death counts attributed to COVID by declaring that ALL deaths where there was a positive PCR test for COVID were assumed to be *caused* by COVID, even if the patient had pre-existing conditions, and even in some cases where the patient died due to an accident, such as a traffic accident.

Now it appears that they are doing the exact opposite, particularly with those over the age of 65 where the vast majority of recorded deaths have occurred following the experimental COVID injections, stating that pre-existing conditions are what caused the patient to die, and that in ZERO cases was the experimental COVID "vaccine" responsible.

However, there is probably a good reason why a majority of healthcare workers who work with seniors are refusing the experimental COVID shots, since they have a front row seat to see exactly how these patients react in the days and weeks following COVID injections.

One CNA (Certified Nursing Assistant) has gone public with what he has seen with the residents he has worked with, and the video of his testimony has now been viewed over

280,000 times on our **Rumble Channel**, and over 88,000 times on our **Bitchute Channel**.

One viewer offered their own observations with their mother after she received a COVID injection in the comment section on the Rumble video:

My 90 year old mother HAD beginning stages of dementia. Nothing terribly serious. She forgot things a lot, and would often tell you the same things over again whenever you talked to her.

But her mind was still pretty good for being 90. She liked to do Sudoku puzzles and Jumbles.

She had eye issues that she was dealing with for about a year. She had gotten periodic shots in her eyes this past year to help her with her eye issues. She could still see things, but maybe not as clearly as she should.

But...then she took the vaccine, when she had told me previously that she no intention of taking the vaccine. She would further state that she had never even taken a flu vaccine.

Less than 1 month later, her health has spiraled downward since then. Now her speech is slurred (as if she had a stroke—but she has not); her vision has gone down so much this past month, such that all she sees is colors and shapes; and her dementia has spiraled to the point that she thinks it's 1935 or 1945.

She recently fell in her home and knocked over the TV and either broke or bruised her ribs–I assume because she couldn't see, though she may be having balance issues now, as well.

Doctors are preparing to send her to a rehab facility for 3-4 weeks.

I doubt I'll ever see her alive again—especially if she gets the second shot.

I don't expect to be allowed to visit her in a facility in KY, which is backwards when it comes to dealing with COVID restrictions and lockdowns.

So, when I see a reasonably healthy 90 year old exhibit stroke signs (without having had a stroke), almost totally lose their vision, and begin exhibiting signs of advanced dementia all within a month period after having taken the experimental mRNA COVID Vaccine, I don't think I can blame this all on a brown recluse spider bite or vitamin deficiency.

I only hope that this post will give you pause if you or someone elderly you know is preparing for this shot.

My one question is if you are not elderly, and are in somewhat good health and you don't feel that you are in any danger from taking this shot, is it possible that side effects

will just show up at a later time with you, perhaps when you are already sick and your health is compromised.

I mean, it's not like my Mom had immediate side effects. Stories I have read indicate that it has often taken 3-4 weeks.

Again, no way will I ever take this shot. But I sincerely wish those getting the shot the best of luck!

This kind of information is being censored by the corporate media and Big Tech, and now they have begun to call those of us who publish this kind of information "domestic terrorists" for even daring to say or publish anything negative about these experimental COVID shots.

February 16, 2021

Vaccines filled with deadly toxins

Gina Richmann, [Feb 15, 2021 at 6:00 PM]

"I gathered all vaccine ingredients into a list and contacted Poison Control. After intros and such, and asking to speak with someone tenured and knowledgeable, this is the gist of that conversation.

Me: My question to you is how are these ingredients categorized? As benign or poison? (I ran a few ingredients, formaldehyde, Tween 80, mercury, aluminum, phenoxyethanol, potassium phosphate, sodium phosphate, sorbitol, etc.)

He: Well, that's quite a list... But I'd have to easily say that they're all toxic to humans... Used in fertilizers... Pesticides... To stop the heart... To preserve a dead body... They're registered with us in different categories, but pretty much poisons. Why?

Me: If I were deliberately to feed or inject my child with these ingredients often, as a schedule, obviously I'd put my daughter in harm's way... But what would legally happen to me?

He: Odd question... But you'd likely be charged with criminal negligence... perhaps with intent to kill... and of course child abuse... Your child would be taken away from you... Do you know of someone's who's doing this to their child? This is criminal...

Me: An industry... These are the ingredients used in vaccines... With binding agents to make sure the body won't flush these out... To keep the antibody levels up indefinitely...

He: WHAT?!
Your conclusion?

The man was beside himself. He asked if I would email him all this information. He wanted to share it with his adult kids who are parents. He was horrified and felt awful he didn't know... his kids are vaccinated and they have health issues..."

~ By Iris Figueroa

INGREDIENTS TO VACCINES – You CANNOT make an educated decision without being educated.

Here are just SOME vaccine ingredients. These are being INJECTED into your kids;

Formaldehyde/Formalin – Highly toxic systematic poison and carcinogen.

Betapropiolactone – Toxic chemical and carcinogen. May cause death/permanant injury after very short exposure to small quantities. Corrosive chemical.

Hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide – May cause damage to the liver, cardiovascular system, and central nervous system. May cause reproductive effects and birth defects.

Aluminum hydroxide, aluminum phosphate, and aluminum salts – Neurotoxin. Carries risk for long term brain inflammation/swelling, neurological disorders, autoimmune disease, Alzheimer's, dementia, and autism. It penetrates the brain where it persists indefinitely.

Thimerosal (mercury) – Neurotoxin. Induces cellular damage, reduces oxidation-reduction activity, cellular degeneration, and cell death. Linked to neurological disorders, Alzheimer's, dementia, and autism.

Polysorbate 80 & 20 – Trespasses the Blood-Brain Barrier and carries with it aluminum, thimerosal, and viruses; allowing it to enter the brain.

Glutaraldehyde – Toxic chemical used as a disinfectant for heat sensitive medical equipment.

Fetal Bovine Serum – Harvested from bovine (cow) fetuses taken from pregnant cows before slaughter.

Human Diploid Fibroblast Cells – aborted fetal cells. Foreign DNA has the ability to interact with our own.

African Green Monkey Kidney Cells – Can carry the SV-40 cancer-causing virus that has already tainted about 30 million Americans.

Acetone – Can cause kidney, liver, and nerve damage.

E.Coli – Yes, you read that right.

DNA from porcine (pig) Circovirus type-1

Human embryonic lung cell cultures (from aborted fetuses)

You can view all of these ingredients on the CDCs website. I encourage everyone to do their own research. Look up the MSDS on these chemicals. Read the thousands of peer reviewed studies that have evaluated the biological consequences these chemicals can have on the body, especially when being injected.

Fact check vaccine ingredients here:

https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pubs/pinkbook/downloads/appendices/b/excipient-table-2.pdf

COVID-19 mRNA Shots Are Legally Not Vaccines Joseph Mercola March 5th, 2021



Did you know that mRNA COVID-19 vaccines aren't vaccines in the medical and legal definition of a vaccine? They do not prevent you from getting the infection, nor do they prevent its spread. They're really experimental gene therapies.

I discussed this troubling fact in a recent <u>interview with molecular biologist Judy Mikovits</u>, Ph.D. While the Moderna and Pfizer mRNA shots are labeled as "vaccines," and news agencies and health policy leaders call them that, the actual patents for Pfizer's and Moderna's injections more truthfully describe them as "gene therapy," not vaccines.

Definition of 'Vaccine'

Neither Moderna nor Pfizer claims this to be the case for their COVID-19 "vaccines." In fact, in their clinical trials, they specify that they will not even test for immunity.

Unlike real vaccines, which use an antigen of the disease you're trying to prevent, the COVID-19 injections contain synthetic RNA fragments encapsulated in a nano lipid carrier compound, the sole purpose of which is to lessen clinical symptoms associated with the S-1 spike protein, not the actual virus.

They do not actually impart immunity or inhibit the transmissibility of the disease. In other words, they are not designed to keep you from getting sick with SARS-CoV-2; they only are supposed to lessen your infection symptoms if or when you do get infected.

As such, these products do not meet the legal or medical definition of a vaccine, and as noted by David Martin, Ph.D., in the video above, "The legal ramifications of this deception are immense."

15 U.S. Code Section 41

As explained by Martin, 15 U.S. Code Section 41 of the Federal Trade Commission Act² is the law that governs advertising of medical practices. This law, which dictates what you may and may not do in terms of promotion, has for many years been routinely used to shut down alternative health practitioners and companies.

"If this law can be used to shut down people of good will, who are trying to help others," Martin says, "it certainly should be equally applied when we know deceptive medical practices are being done in the name of public health."

Per this law, it is unlawful to advertise:

"... that a product or service can prevent, treat, or cure human disease unless you possess competent and reliable scientific evidence, including, when appropriate, well-controlled human clinical studies, substantiating that the claims are true at the time they are made."

What Constitutes 'The Greater Good'?

Martin points to the 1905 Supreme Court ruling in Jacobson vs. Massachusetts,⁴ which essentially established that collective benefit supersedes individual benefit. To put it bluntly, it argued that it's acceptable for individuals to be harmed by public health directives provided it benefits the collective.

Now, if vaccination is a public health measure that is supposed to protect and benefit the collective, then it would need to a) ensure that the individual who is vaccinated is rendered immune from the disease in question; and b) that the vaccine inhibits transmission of the disease.

Only if these two outcomes can be scientifically proven can you say that vaccination protects and benefits the collective — the population as a whole. This is where we run into problems with the mRNA "vaccines."

Moderna's SEC filings, which Martin claims to have carefully reviewed, specifies and stresses that its technology is a "gene therapy technology." Originally, its technology was set up to be a cancer treatment, so more specifically, it's a chemotherapy gene therapy technology.

As noted by Martin, who would raise their hand to receive prophylactic chemotherapy gene therapy for cancer you do not have and may never be at risk for? In all likelihood, few would jump at such an offer, and for good reason.

Moreover, states and employers would not be able to mandate individuals to receive chemotherapy gene therapy for cancer they do not have. It simply would not be legal. Yet, they're proposing that all of humanity be forced to get gene therapy for COVID-19.

COVID-19 Vaccines — A Case of False Advertising

Now, if the COVID-19 vaccine really isn't a vaccine, why are they calling it that? While the CDC provides a definition of "vaccine," the CDC is not the actual law. It's an agency empowered by the law, but it does not create law itself. Interestingly enough, it's more difficult to find a legal definition of "vaccine," but there have been a few cases. Martin provides the following examples:

- •lowa code "Vaccine means a specially prepared antigen administered to a person for the purpose of providing immunity." Again, the COVID-19 vaccines make no claim of providing immunity. They are only designed to lessen symptoms if and when you get infected.
- •Washington state code "Vaccine means a preparation of a killed or attenuated living microorganism, or fraction thereof ..." Since Moderna and Pfizer are using synthetic RNA, they clearly do not meet this definition.

Being a manmade synthetic, the RNA used is not derived from anything that has at one point been alive, be it a whole microorganism or a fraction thereof. The statute

continues to specify that a vaccine "upon immunization stimulates immunity that protects us against disease ..."

So, in summary, "vaccine" and "immunity" are well-defined terms that do not match the endpoints specified in COVID-19 vaccine trials. The primary endpoint in these trials is: "Prevention of symptomatic COVID-19 disease." Is that the same as "immunity"? No, it is not.

There Are More Problems Than One

But there's another problem. Martin points out that "COVID-19 disease" has been defined as a series of clinical symptoms. Moreover, there's no causal link between SARS-CoV-2, the virus, and the set of symptoms known as COVID-19.

How is that, you might ask? It's simple, really. Since a vast majority of people who test positive for SARS-CoV-2 have no symptoms at all, they've not been able to establish a causal link between the virus and the clinical disease.

Here's yet another problem: The primary endpoint in the COVID-19 vaccine trials is not an actual vaccine trial endpoint because, again, vaccine trial endpoints have to do with immunity and transmission reduction. Neither of those was measured.

What's more, key secondary endpoints in Moderna's trial include "Prevention of severe COVID-19 disease and prevention of infection by SARS-CoV-2." However, by its own admission, Moderna did not actually measure infection, stating that it was too "impractical" to do so.

That means there's no evidence of this gene therapy having an impact on infection, for better or worse. And, if you have no evidence, you cannot fulfill the U.S. Code requirement that states you must have "competent and reliable scientific evidence ... substantiating that the claims are true."

Why Are They Calling Them Vaccines?

As noted by Martin, you cannot have a vaccine that does not meet a single definition of a vaccine. So, again, what would motivate these companies, U.S. health agencies, and public health officials like Dr. Anthony Fauci to lie and claim that these gene therapies are in fact vaccines when, clearly, they are not?

If they actually called it what it is, namely "gene therapy chemotherapy," most people would — wisely — refuse to take it. Perhaps that's one reason for their false categorization as vaccines. But there may be other reasons as well.

Here, Martin strays into conjecture, as we have no proof of their intentions. He speculates that the reason they're calling this experimental gene therapy technology a "vaccine" is because by doing so, they can circumvent liability for damages.

You're being lied to. Your own government is violating its own laws. They have shut down practitioners around the country, time and time again, for violating what are called 'deceptive practices in medical claims.' Guess what? They're doing exactly that thing. ~ David Martin, Ph.D.

As long as the U.S. is under a state of emergency, things like PCR tests and COVID-19 "vaccines" are allowed under emergency use authorization. And as long as the emergency use authorization is in effect, the makers of these experimental gene therapies are not financially liable for any harm that comes from their use.

That is, provided they're "vaccines." If these injections are NOT vaccines, then the liability shield falls away, because there is no liability shield for a medical emergency countermeasure that is gene therapy.

So, by maintaining the illusion that COVID-19 is a state of emergency when in reality it is not, government leaders are providing cover for these gene therapy companies so that they can get immunity from liability.

Under the Cover of 'Emergency'

As noted by Martin, if state governors were to lift the state of emergency, all of a sudden the use of RT PCR testing would be in violation of 15 U.S. Code FTC Act, as PCR tests are not an approved diagnostic test.

"You cannot diagnose a thing [with something] that cannot diagnose a thing," Martin says. "That a misrepresentation. That is a deceptive practice under the Federal Trade Commission Act. And they're liable for deceptive practices."

Importantly, there's no waiver of liability under deceptive practices — even under a state of emergency. This would also apply to experimental gene therapies. The only way for these gene therapies to enjoy liability shielding is if they are vaccines developed in response to a public health emergency. There is no such thing as immunity from liability for gene therapies.

Propaganda and Vaccine Rollout Run by Same Company

Martin brings up yet another curious point. The middleman in Operation Warp Speed is a North Carolina defense contractor called ATI. It controls the rollout of the vaccine. But ATI also has another type of contract with the Department of Defense, namely managing propaganda and combating misinformation.

So, the same company in charge of manipulating the media to propagate government propaganda and censor counterviews is the same company in charge of the rollout of "vaccines" that are being unlawfully promoted.

"Listen," Martin says. "This is a pretty straight-forward situation. You're being lied to. Your own government is violating its own laws ... They have thrown this book [15 U.S. Code Section 41] on more people than we can count.

They have shut down practitioners around the country, time and time again, for violating what are called 'deceptive practices in medical claims' ... Guess what? They're doing exactly that thing."

Martin urges listeners to forward his video to your state attorney, governor, representatives and anyone else that might be in a position to take affirmative action to address and correct this fraud.

Defense contractors are violating FTC law, and gene therapy companies — not vaccine manufacturers — are conducting experimental trials under deceptive medical practices. They're making claims of being "vaccines" without clinical proof, and must be held accountable for their deceptive marketing and medical practices.

CDC Owns Coronavirus Patents

On a side note, the CDC appears to be neck-deep in this scam pandemic, and is therefore wholly unsuitable to investigate the side effects of these experimental COVID-19 therapies. As noted by Martin, it's like having a bank robber investigate its own crime.

Details about this came out in the documentary "<u>Plandemic</u>," in which Martin explained how the CDC has broken the law — in one way or another — related to its patenting of the 2003 SARS virus.

Martin is a national intelligence analyst and founder of IQ100 Index, which developed linguistic genomics, a platform capable of determining the intent of communications. In 1999, IBM digitized 1 million U.S. patents, which allowed Martin's company to conduct a review of all these patents, sending him down a proverbial "rabbit trail" of corruption.

In 2003, Asia experienced an outbreak of SARS. Almost immediately, scientists began racing to patent the virus. Ultimately, the CDC nabbed ownership of SARS-CoV (the virus responsible for SARS) isolated from humans.

So, the CDC actually owns the entire genetic content of that SARS virus. It's patented under U.S. patent 7776521. They also own patents for detection methods, and for a kit to measure the virus.

U.S. patent 7279327,⁵ filed by the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, describes methods for producing recombinant coronaviruses. Ralph Baric, Ph.D., a professor of microbiology and immunology who is famous for his chimeric coronavirus research, is listed as one of the three inventors, along with Kristopher Curtis and Boyd Yount.

According to Martin, Fauci, Baric and the CDC "are at the hub" of the whole COVID-19 story. "In 2002, coronaviruses were recognized as an exploitable mechanism for both good and ill," Martin says, and "Between 2003 and 2017, they [Fauci, Baric and CDC] controlled 100% of the cash flow to build the empire around the industrial complex of coronavirus."

How the CDC Broke the Law

The key take-home message Martin delivers in "Plandemic" is that there's a distinct problem with the CDC's patent on SARS-CoV isolated from humans, because, by law, naturally occurring DNA segments are prohibited from being patented.

The law clearly states that such segments are "not patent eligible merely because it has been isolated." So, either SARS-CoV was manmade, which would render the patent legal, or it's natural, thus rendering the patent on it illegal.

However, if the virus was manufactured, then it was created in violation of biological weapons treaties and laws. This includes the Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989, passed unanimously by both houses of Congress and signed into law by George Bush Sr., which states:⁶

"Whoever knowingly develops, produces, stockpiles, transfers, acquires, retains, or possesses any biological agent, toxin, or delivery system for use as a weapon, or knowingly assists a foreign state or any organization to do so, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for life or any term of years, or both. There is extraterritorial Federal jurisdiction over an offense under this section committed by or against a national of the United States."

So, as noted by Martin in the documentary, regardless of which scenario turns out to be true, the CDC has broken the law one way or another, either by violating biological weapons laws or by filing an illegal patent. Even more egregious, on May 14, 2007, the CDC filed a petition with the patent office to keep their coronavirus patent confidential.

Now, because the CDC owns the patent on SARS-CoV, it has control over who has the ability to make inquiries into the coronavirus. Unless authorized, you cannot look at the virus, you cannot measure it or make tests for it, since they own the entire genome and all the rest.

"By obtaining the patents that restrained anyone from using it, they had the means, the motive, and most of all, they had the monetary gain from turning coronavirus from a pathogen to a profit," Martin says.

Dangers of mRNA Gene Therapy

I've written many articles detailing the potential and expected side effects of these gene therapy "vaccines." If all of this is new to you, consider reviewing "How COVID-19 Vaccine Can Destroy Your Immune System," "Seniors Dying After COVID Vaccine Labeled as Natural Causes" and "Side Effects and Data Gaps Raise Questions on COVID Vaccine."

In the lecture above, Dr. Simone Gold — founder of America's Frontline Doctors, which has been trying to counter the false narrative surrounding hydroxychloroquine — reviews the dangers discovered during previous coronavirus vaccine trials, and the

hazards of current mRNA gene therapies, including antibody-dependent immune enhancement.

Antibody-dependent immune enhancement results in more severe disease when you're exposed to the wild virus and increases your risk of death. The synthetic RNA and the nano lipid it is encased in may also have other, more direct side effects. As explained by Mikovits in our recent interview:

"Normally, messenger RNA is not free in your body because it's a danger signal. The central dogma of molecular biology is that our genetic code, DNA, is transcribed, written, into the messenger RNA. That messenger RNA is translated into protein, or used in a regulatory capacity ... to regulate gene expression in cells.

So, taking a synthetic messenger RNA and making it thermostable — making it not break down — [is problematic]. We have lots of enzymes (RNAses and DNAses) that degrade free RNA and DNA because, again, those are danger signals to your immune system. They literally drive inflammatory diseases.

Now you've got PEG, PEGylated and polyethylene glycol, and a lipid nanoparticle that will allow it to enter every cell of the body and change the regulation of our own genes with this synthetic RNA, part of which actually is the message for the gene syncytin ... Syncytin is the endogenous gammaretrovirus envelope that's encoded in the human genome ... We know that if syncytin ... is expressed aberrantly in the body, for instance in the brain, which these lipid nanoparticles will go into, then you've got multiple sclerosis.

The expression of that gene alone enrages microglia — literally inflames and dysregulates the communication between the brain microglia — which are critical for clearing toxins and pathogens in the brain and the communication with astrocytes. It dysregulates not only the immune system, but also the endocannabinoid system, which is the dimmer switch on inflammation. We've already seen multiple sclerosis as an adverse event in the clinical trials ... We also see myalgic encephalomyelitis. Inflammation of the brain and the spinal cord ..."

Making matters worse, the synthetic mRNA also has an HIV envelope expressed in it, which can cause immune dysregulation. As we discussed in previous interviews, SARS-CoV-2 has been engineered in the lab with gain-of-function research that included introducing the HIV envelope into the spike protein.

Are You in a High-Risk Group for Side Effects?

Mikovits' hypothesis is that those who are most susceptible to severe neurological side effects and death from the COVID-19 vaccines are those who have previously been injected with XMRVs, borrelia, babesia, or mycoplasma through contaminated vaccines, resulting in chronic disease, as well as anyone with an inflammatory disease like rheumatoid arthritis, Parkinson's disease or chronic Lyme disease, for example, and

anyone with an acquired immune deficiency from any pathogens and environmental toxins.

The chart below lists 35 diseases that are likely to render you more susceptible to severe side effects or death from COVID-19 gene therapy injections.

Prostate Cancer*	Crohn's Disease*	Gulf War Syndrome*
Breast Cancer *	Hashimoto's Thyroiditis*	Autism / ASD*
Multiple Myeloma*	Polymyositis*	Multiple Sclerosis*
Non-Hodgkins Lymphoma*	Sjogren's Syndrome *	Parkinson's*
Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia*	Bechet's Disease*	ALS*
Mantle Cell Lymphoma*	Primary Biliary Cirrhosis*	Fibromyalgia*
Hairy Cell Leukemia*	Inflammatory Bowel Disease*	Chronic Lyme Disease*
Bladder Cancer *	Psoriasis, Dermatitis	OCD*
Colorectal Cancer*	Diabetes*	ADHD*
Kidney Cancer *	Cardiovascular Disease*	PTSD*
Ovarian Cancer*	ME / CFS*	Psychosis*
	Lupus/SLE*	Rheumatoid Arthritis*

Many of the symptoms now being reported are suggestive of neurological damage. They have severe dyskinesia (impairment of voluntary movement), ataxia (lack of muscle control), and intermittent or chronic seizures. Many cases detailed in personal videos on social media are quite shocking. According to Mikovits, these side effects are due to neuroinflammation, a dysregulated innate immune response, and/or a disrupted endocannabinoid system.

Another common side effect from the vaccine we're seeing is allergic reactions, including anaphylactic shock. A likely culprit in this is PEG (polyethylene glycol), which an estimated 70% of Americans are allergic to.

Experimental Gene Therapy Is a Bad Idea

Circling back to where we began, COVID-19 vaccines are not vaccines. They are experimental gene therapies that are falsely marketed as vaccines, likely to circumvent

liability. World governments and global and national health organizations are all complicit in this illegal deception and must be held accountable.

Ask yourself the question Martin asked in his video: Would you agree to take an experimental chemotherapy gene therapy for cancer you do not have? If the answer is no, then why would you even consider lining up for an experimental gene therapy for COVID-19 — a set of clinical symptoms that haven't even been causally linked to SARS-CoV-2?

These injections are not vaccines. They do not prevent infection, they do not render you immune, and they do not prevent transmission of the disease. Instead, they alter your genetic coding, turning you into a viral protein factory that has no off-switch. What's happening here is a medical fraud of unprecedented magnitude, and it really needs to be stopped before it's too late for a majority of people.

Sources and References

- 1 CDC.gov Immunizations: The Basics, Definition of Terms
- ² Cornell University 15 US Code Subchapter 1: Federal Trade Commission
- ³ FTC.gov Warning Letter
- Justia Jacobson v. Massachusetts 1905
- ⁵ United States Patent 7279327 April 19, 2002
- ⁶ S.993 Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989

This will be a regular Monday posting, supporting the evidence is nothing short of Mass Murder!!

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, Evanteachr@aol.com
www.pastorbobreid.com.
http://jesusisthewaythetruththelife.com/node/22