

New Book Reveals the Uncensored History of AIDS

Analysis by Dr. Joseph Mercola Fact Checked

July 09, 2023

STORY AT-A-GLANCE

- Journalist Celia Farber is the author of “Serious Adverse Events: An Uncensored History of AIDS.” In it, she highlights the work of virologist and retrobiologist Peter Duesberg, who since 1987 has insisted that HIV doesn’t cause AIDS
- According to Duesberg, retroviruses such as HIV are harmless and do not cause disease. And, up until Dr. Robert Gallo claimed he’d discovered HIV in his laboratory in 1984, and determined that it caused AIDS, this was the scientific consensus
- Duesberg was vehemently attacked by AIDS researchers and activists, and internationally discredited by media for not going along with the AIDS narrative promoted by the medical establishment, led by Dr. Anthony Fauci
- As with COVID-19, one of the key tools used to promote the “HIV causes AIDS” narrative was the use of the PCR test. There are also other similarities to what happened with COVID, including the vilification and discrediting of scientists and therapies that could effectively address the disease
- Bactrim was an inexpensive generic drug that effectively treated AIDS-related pneumocystis carinii pneumonia, which was frequently fatal. This drug, like ivermectin, was withheld. Instead, Fauci insisted AIDS patients be treated with AZT, a horrendously toxic and expensive cancer drug that was never proven to work, and which killed an estimated 300,000 AIDS patients, most of them gay men

In this video, I interview journalist Celia Farber about her recently republished book, "[Serious Adverse Events: An Uncensored History of AIDS](#)." As a young reporter working for SPIN magazine, Farber started questioning the official narrative around AIDS, and this book is the outgrowth of her decades-long investigation into and writing about this "hot potato" topic.

Long before censorship went mainstream, Farber was put through the wringer. In 2006, she published an article in Harper's Magazine titled "Out of Control: AIDS and the Corruption of Medical Science." In it, she highlighted the work of virologist and retrobiologist Peter Duesberg, who insisted that HIV doesn't cause AIDS.

In my view, Duesberg was brilliant, but like so many other brilliant scientists, he was widely discredited for not going along with the narrative promoted by the conventional medical establishment.

As a result of her reporting, Farber was vehemently attacked by leading AIDS researchers and activists,¹ so much so, she ended up suing three of the attackers for defamation. The New York County Supreme Court dismissed² her claim in 2011 and upheld the verdict in 2013. Still, she did not quit or back down, and kept searching for the truth.

'The Passion of Duesberg'

As explained by Farber, Duesberg worked at the Max Planck Institute in Germany, one of the most well-respected scientific institutions in the world. After moving to the United States, he became a professor at the University of California, Berkeley.

In 1987, he published a paper in Cancer Research, proposing that retroviruses are not the cause of cancer, nor the cause of AIDS. According to his scientific biographer, this was the paper that "sealed his scientific doom forever after." Farber notes:

"Duesberg mapped the genetic structure of retroviruses. So to him, yes, they were entities, but no, they didn't do anything. They didn't infect or kill cells. They were harmless. And he had phrases like, 'HIV, that's a pussycat. It's not going to do anything. Saying that HIV is going to cause AIDS is like saying you're going to conquer China by killing three soldiers a day.'

In other words, there's no 'there' there. There was no cell death. And fascinatingly, or disturbingly, the HIV orthodoxy never contested that. So, I would say they had a supernatural belief in HIV. They would say, 'We just know HIV causes AIDS,' and anybody who doesn't know that is dangerous, homophobic, murderous and so forth."

Mid-Air Flip in the 'Scientific Consensus'

As explained by Farber, up until Dr. Robert Gallo claimed he'd discovered HIV in his laboratory in 1984, and determined that it caused AIDS, the scientific consensus had been that retroviruses, as a class, were not pathogenic.

"So, there's this very strange midair complete flip where everything changes overnight," Farber says. "It's like a revolutionary change, and the classical scientists of integrity were so thrown by this. They didn't even attend the press conference.

They didn't think there was any chance, as they said, that this would fly, this press conference where Robert Gallo announces that a so-called retrovirus is the cause of AIDS.

Back to Peter. What he does that's so monumental in the history of American science, post 1980s, is that he, first of all, dissents. And he has no idea that he's doing anything dangerous, never mind career annihilating. And he's conducting himself as a scientist should. He's innocent in what he's doing, and it's like a building just falls on him.

Next thing you know, his name becomes synonymous with 'wrong, dangerous, homophobic, murderous.' And then this culture kicks in where it becomes a sport and a career advancement to trash Duesberg if you have anything to do with AIDS research.

It was gladiatorial. They went out of their way to come up with lurid and hideous things to say about him. And it went all over the international press. So, he became this scapegoat for the errors and crimes of [Dr. Anthony] Fauci's AIDS apparatus.

Meanwhile, over in AIDS land, everything they were predicting and terrorizing people with was not coming true at all, was not panning out, whereas Duesberg's predictions and critiques were panning out exactly. And the more he was right and they were wrong, the more trashed he got.

So, in a sense, what I've covered is not just about the nitty-gritty of the science and who's correct. It's about this moment of where science becomes, under Tony Fauci, 'woke.' It wasn't called woke then. It was then called political correctness.

So, in other words, 'AIDS spreads like this or like that and is going to affect everybody,' because that's what we're supposed to say politically, not because that's true biologically or epidemiologically. So, we're all stuck now in this brand new era where you get flogged for observing $2+2 = 4$...

The question fascinated me because I just couldn't square the circle. How come these guys over here are all saying this, and then this top scientist is saying this, and then others rallied around him? Kary Mullis, who invented PCR, and was a staunch defender and friend of Duesberg, always said, 'He's absolutely right.'

So, the dissent movement was saying, 'There must be proof in science.' Gallo provided no proof that HIV was the cause of AIDS or a coherent pathogen. So, it just kept growing and growing, and with a few exceptions, I had the field to myself. Nobody wanted to interview these people because it was absolutely radioactive to your career, and I can certainly attest to that.

I actually didn't realize it was dangerous. I was naïve. And I was already way too far out at sea when the bludgeoning began and I realized how dangerous it, in fact, was, and that the people we were up against were of a much more dangerous variety than I had realized."

Download this Article Before it Disappears

[Download PDF](#)

Fauci's Legacy: A Lifelong Suppression of Science

Farber's experience is proof positive that even four decades before Fauci sold us on his destructive COVID protocols, he had the power to destroy people and convince the entire country to support a fake narrative.

"Let me speak a little bit how he did that, having lived through it. Let's say that an editor at a major magazine or newspaper became interested in a story and thought to get a reporter on it. Somehow, he had, I guess it was a surveillance network. He knew and went in there, and somehow the story dies. The reporter gets taken off it. The show gets canceled.

I had one friend who had a major local ABC show. It was a new talk show, and he had Duesberg on and myself. The next thing you know, the whole show is canceled, and he never worked again. It was GDR [German Democratic Republic] stuff and it was across the board. It was 100% consistent that anybody who touched it [was warned they'd be destroyed] ... That was their word, 'destroy.'

One top level AIDS researcher named John P. Moore sent out an open declaration of war [against AIDS] 'denialists' that said, 'We will crush you. We crush all of you.' So that was the climate of it. Now, after all these years, I'm realizing they were part of something much larger.

They were part of this new revolutionary, post-modern, 2+2 does not equal 4 science. 'It is whatever we tell you it is.' They created that empire of terror during AIDS, for sure.

It's just that not that many people knew about it because it was still within the corridors of certain risk groups and some unfortunate journalists or scientists who got caught up in it. Then with COVID, they threw a much bigger net because ... it was a little more difficult to get people into the trap."

The PCR Scam and Suppression of Useful Drugs

As with COVID-19, one of the key tools used to promote the "HIV causes AIDS" narrative was the use of the PCR test, which the inventor, Mullis, was vehemently against.

The PCR was used to measure "viral load," which was supposed to give you a sense of how sick or well you could expect to be. This kept HIV-positive patients going back to the doctor to get tested repeatedly. But it was nothing more than a numbers game, just as it was during COVID.

There are other similarities to what happened with COVID, including the vilification and discrediting of scientists and therapies that could effectively address the disease. Just like they vilified ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine, even going out of their way to fund fraudulent studies to discredit these drugs, they did the same during the AIDS epidemic.

For example, bactrim was an inexpensive drug that effectively treated AIDS-related pneumocystis carinii pneumonia, which was frequently fatal. This drug, like ivermectin, was withheld. Instead, Fauci insisted AIDS patients be treated with AZT, a horrendously toxic and expensive cancer drug that was never proven to work, and which killed hundreds of thousands of AIDS patients.

"AZT is one of the darkest, most shocking chapters. AZT was a chemotherapy compound that was shelved in the early '60s for being too toxic for human use. For reasons that cannot be fathomed, they pulled that compound out of the drawer, put it in capsule form and made it the first drug to treat AIDS, a condition of immune devastation

...

The estimate I've heard is that upward of 300,000, mostly gay men, died from high-dose AZT in the early years. That's 1,200 to 1,800 milligrams. All of a sudden, Fauci drops the dose to 500 mg and people start dying less, which incredibly he spun into that he was saving lives because they lowered the dose of what was killing people.

So, a lot of these dark tricks are exactly the same as COVID. AZT was a black swan event, I would say, in medicine. But what it achieved, that we're still suffering from, was this demolition of the formerly conservative FDA drug approval process, which was turned into something bad, evil. 'You only support [the FDA drug approval process] if you hate people and you want them to die. You want it to take 10 years to test a drug? That's cruel' ...

So, a lot of what we're in today, like these insane ways of medicating and treating people without any regard for safety or possibility of death, a lot of these concepts were put into place during the AIDS epidemic."

AIDS Activists Played Into Fauci's Hands

Farber also reviews how AIDS activists empowered Fauci to circumvent historical safety protocols to get experimental drugs to patients as quickly as possible. AIDS activists also acted as Fauci's foot soldiers or henchmen in that they helped him quash the opposition. In many ways we saw this during COVID as well. People brainwashed into believing masks could block viruses, for example, acted as civilian enforcers of Fauci's clearly unscientific recommendations.

"It's a good question 'Who was Fauci in the beginning there?' How did he transform into somebody so ruthless, so unaccountable? And I'm being nice right now. As an historian of all of this, I place a lot of credence in the symbiosis between Fauci and the AIDS activists, because the AIDS activists were revolutionary, and they did have a revolutionary creed, which was, 'By any means necessary, we demand what we demand.'

And [Fauci] was a bureaucrat. A trained Jesuit ... I think he's a perfect general in a much bigger war that seeks to destroy many things outside of science. That's my take on it. I think this is the big international war that seeks domination over human beings,

period. Full stop. And these spectral virus diseases are a good revolutionary tool to get us there.

We made the mistake of seeing them as genuine outbreaks of something ... I don't believe any of that anymore. I think this is all part and parcel of the great leap forward."

Fauci Spent a Lifetime Undermining Health Wisdom

Farber continues:

"One thing Fauci really honed over so many years is that nothing [but drugs or vaccines] makes a difference. There's no terrain. Nutrition doesn't matter. No research went to that, and it was absolutely scorned, again, both by Fauci and by the AIDS activists and so forth.

So, it was a culture of 'You're a machine, you've got this bad bug in you.' It's the machine model of biology. The bad bug is eating up your T-cells on an algorithm that's inevitable and unstoppable, and nothing will influence that. Getting out in the sun, swimming in the ocean, eating well, what you think, whether you meditate or pray, none of that's going to affect it.

So, in that sense, he's advocating for a complete inversion of everything we all know to be true about health. And that's really his legacy. He spent 40, 50 years getting Americans to think about everything else but how to stay healthy."

How We Can Undermine the Public Health Tyranny

In addition to that, Fauci has also played a central role in furthering the ideology of technocracy and transhumanism, which aims to implement a One World Government under the veil of global biodefense. What we're facing now is public health tyranny, in the sense that food and medicine are being turned into tools to control and manipulate entire populations.

"With AIDS, there was still choice," Farber says. "You were heavily brainwashed. But if you got tested and you tested HIV positive, you still had a choice to take the drugs or not. What they are going to do next is, of course, what we're all worried about.

I think people are largely woken up, very much so. But does it matter how awake you are if they have seized control of the whole apparatus of functional life? That's what we have to stop, and I want to talk about how. How is that done? I think, by and large, it's done by keeping your body healthy, keeping your mind clear, keeping your soul clear, and then you can go from there.

We can't necessarily control whatever they're going to try to do. But the good news is, to my mind, how stupid they are, how sloppy they are, how many mistakes they've made, and how much people hate them right now."

More Information

To learn more, be sure to pick up a copy of "[Serious Adverse Events: An Uncensored History of AIDS](#)." You can also subscribe to [Farber's Substack, The Truth Barrier](#).

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, EvanTeachr@aol.com
www.pastorbobreid.com