

NWO Depopulation Agenda – Holistic Doctors Who Died Mysteriously Exposed the Dangers of Vaccines and Cures for Cancer

I am not sure who the author of this article of the elite who believe there are too many people and their quotes. I share this since it does confirm what I have been saying since college required reading of Dr. Paul Ehrlich's book, *'The Population Bomb'* published in 1968. As a junior in college that year, I had to read this book in three different classes: Economics, Political Science, and Population Demographics. Since I have posted 21 articles on **"Depopulation #1 Global Issue Since 1968"**, it is appropriate to provide the names of those most influential and responsible for the agenda as it is revealed in the first commandment on the Georgia Guidestones down in Elberton, Georgia. I do not see Bill Gates name on the list but he above all should be at the head of the list.

6 Billion Humans to Be Killed by the Elite – NWO Depopulation Agenda – Holistic Doctors Who Died Mysteriously Exposed the Dangers of Vaccines and Cures for Cancer: <https://rumble.com/embed/vgi7g5/?pub=jgf7z#?secret=W48FNIQ32N>

Back in 2015 reports of the suspicious deaths of holistic doctors who exposed the many deadly dangers of vaccines and the correlation to autism began. The mysterious deaths of holistic doctors continue, without any mainstream media reporting, as many of the deaths have "plausible deniability", which is the top facet of all mass media manipulation and deception. Since 2015, there has been a major campaign to disprove all of these deaths as "conspiracy theories." I knew back then that these holistic doctors were killed to silence their voices regarding the dangers of vaccines and the increasing rise of autism. But there may have been another reason. Once the COVID-19 "scamdemic" was launched these doctors would have been screaming at the top of their voices. Many physicians who survive have screamed about the dangers of the COVID-19 mRNA injections, like Dr. Joseph Mercola and Dr. Sherri Tenpenny. These physicians have a huge following. The doctors who died "mysteriously" were not nearly as well known, but all had influence and would have trumpeted the dangers of the mRNA vaccines creating a large chorus. Could that be why there was a barrage of mysterious holistic doctor deaths over the course of a few short years? Very possible.

As I have mentioned many times, the world has been engaged in a silent war waged by the global elite fought with quiet weapons since 1954. The COVID-19 scamdemic is the culmination of the elite's 63 year plan to reduce the world population to 500,000,000. We are in the 'end phase' of their clandestine depopulation agenda that is hidden in plain sight for all to see, read and hear. The elite talk about it and they write about it. These

facts cannot be denied.

<https://rumble.com/embed/vdike7/?pub=jqf7z#?secret=Hg7Y0lch9830>


Population Control Quotes That Show That The Elite Truly Believe That Humans Are A Plague Upon The Earth The following are 30 population control quotes which show that the elite truly believe that humans are a plague upon the earth and that a great culling is necessary...

1. UK Television Presenter Sir David Attenborough:



"We are a plague on the Earth. It's coming home to roost over the next 50 years or so. It's not just climate change; it's sheer space, places to grow food for this enormous horde. Either we limit our population growth or the natural world will do it for us, and the natural world is doing it for us right now"


2. Paul Ehrlich, a former science adviser to president George W. Bush and the author of “The Population Bomb”:



“To our minds, the fundamental cure, reducing the scale of the human enterprise (including the size of the population) to keep its aggregate consumption within the carrying capacity of Earth is obvious but too much neglected or denied”


3. Paul Ehrlich again, this time on the size of families: *“Nobody, in my view, has the right to have 12 children or even three unless the second pregnancy is twins”*

4. Dave Foreman, the co-founder of Earth First:



"We humans have become a disease, the Humanpox."

5. CNN Founder Ted Turner:



“A total world population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal.”

6. Japan's Deputy Prime Minister Taro Aso about medical patients with serious illnesses:



"You cannot sleep well when you think it's all paid by the government. This won't be solved unless you let them hurry up and die."

7. David Rockefeller:



“The negative impact of population growth on all of our planetary ecosystems is becoming appallingly evident.”

8. Environmental activist Roger Martin:



“On a finite planet, the optimum population providing the best quality of life for all, is clearly much smaller than the maximum, permitting bare survival. The more we are, the less for each; fewer people mean better lives.”

9. HBO personality Bill Maher:



“I’m pro-choice, I’m for assisted suicide, I’m for regular suicide, I’m for whatever gets the freeway moving – that’s what I’m for. It’s too crowded, the planet is too crowded and we need to promote death.”

10. MIT professor Penny Chisholm:



“The real trick is, in terms of trying to level off at someplace lower than that 9 billion, is to get the birthrates in the developing countries to drop as fast as we can. And that will determine the level at which humans will level off on earth.”

11. Julia Whitty, a columnist for Mother Jones:



“The only known solution to ecological overshoot is to decelerate our population growth faster than it’s decelerating now and eventually reverse it—at the same time we slow and eventually reverse the rate at which we consume the planet’s resources. Success in these twin endeavors will crack our most pressing global issues: climate change, food scarcity, water supplies, immigration, health care, biodiversity loss, even war.”

On one front, we’ve already made unprecedented strides, reducing global fertility from an average 4.92 children per woman in 1950 to 2.56 today—an accomplishment of trial and sometimes brutally coercive error, but also a result of one woman at a time making her individual choices. The speed of this childbearing revolution, swimming hard against biological programming, rates as perhaps our greatest collective feat to date.”

12. Colorado State University Professor Philip Cafaro in a paper entitled “Climate Ethics and Population Policy”:



“Ending human population growth is almost certainly a necessary (but not sufficient) condition for preventing catastrophic global climate change. Indeed, significantly reducing current human numbers may be necessary in order to do so.”

13. Professor of Biology at the University of Texas at Austin Eric R. Pianka:



"I do not bear any ill will toward people. However, I am convinced that the world, including all humanity, WOULD clearly be much better off without so many of us."

14. Detroit News Columnist Nolan Finley:



“Since the national attention is on birth control, here’s my idea: If we want to fight poverty, reduce violent crime and bring down our embarrassing drop-out rate, we should swap contraceptives for fluoride in Michigan’s drinking water. We’ve got a baby problem in Michigan. Too many babies are born to immature parents who don’t have the skills to raise them, too many are delivered by poor women who can’t afford them, and too many are fathered by sorry layabouts who spread their seed like dandelions and then wander away from the consequences.”

15. John Guillebaud, professor of family planning at University College London:



“The effect on the planet of having one child less is an order of magnitude greater than all these other things we might do, such as switching off lights. An extra child is the equivalent of a lot of flights across the planet.”

This presentation PROOVES WITHOUT DOUBT that America is in for a major fight that will put you and your family in the firing line, literally... So make sure you watch this presentation while it's still online...

*The reason why you should pay attention now is that is because these techniques don't come from books, they're taken from actual 21st century warzones, from lawless states where social chaos is the name of the game... .. and where not having enough time or money to prepare doesn't stop **real-world preppers from creating virtually impenetrable defenses for their families.***



16. Democrat strategist Steven Rattner:



“WE need death panels. Well, maybe not death panels, exactly, but unless we start allocating health care resources more prudently — rationing, by its proper name — the exploding cost of Medicare will swamp the federal budget.”

17. Matthew Yglesias, a business and economics correspondent for Slate, in an article entitled “The Case for Death Panels, in One Chart”:



“But not only is this health care spending on the elderly the key issue in the federal budget, our disproportionate allocation of health care dollars to old people surely accounts for the remarkable lack of apparent cost effectiveness of the American health care system. When the patient is already over 80, the simple fact of the matter is that no amount of treatment is going to work miracles in terms of life expectancy or quality of life.”

18. Planned Parenthood Founder Margaret Sanger:



"All of our problems are the result of overbreeding among the working class"

19. U.S. Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg:



“Frankly I had thought that at the time Roe was decided, there was concern about population growth and particularly growth in populations that we don’t want to have too many of.”

20. Planned Parenthood Founder Margaret Sanger:



"The most merciful thing that the large family does to one of its infant members is to kill it."

21. Salon columnist Mary Elizabeth Williams in an article entitled “So What If Abortion Ends Life?”:



“All life is not equal. That’s a difficult thing for liberals like me to talk about, lest we wind up looking like death-panel-loving, kill-your-grandma-and-your-precious-baby storm troopers. Yet a fetus can be a human life without having the same rights as the woman in whose body it resides.”



22. Alberto Giubilini of Monash University in Melbourne, Australia and Francesca Minerva of the University of Melbourne in a paper published in the Journal of Medical Ethics:



“[W]hen circumstances occur after birth such that they would have justified abortion, what we call after-birth abortion should be permissible. ... [W]e propose to call this practice ‘after-birth abortion’, rather than ‘infanticide,’ to emphasize that the moral status of the individual killed is comparable with that of a fetus ... rather than to that of a child. Therefore, we claim that killing a newborn could be ethically permissible in all the circumstances where abortion would be. Such circumstances include cases where the newborn has the potential to have an (at least) acceptable life, but the well-being of the family is at risk.”

23. Nina Fedoroff, a key adviser to Hillary Clinton:



"We need to continue to decrease the growth rate of the global population; the planet can't support many more people."




24. Barack Obama's primary science adviser, John P. Holdren:



“A program of sterilizing women after their second or third child, despite the relatively greater difficulty of the operation than vasectomy, might be easier to implement than trying to sterilize men.”

The development of a long-term sterilizing capsule that could be implanted under the skin and removed when pregnancy is desired opens additional possibilities for coercive fertility control. The capsule could be implanted at puberty and might be removable, with official permission, for a limited number of births.”

25. David Brower, the first Executive Director of the Sierra Club:



“Childbearing [should be] a punishable crime against society, unless the parents hold a government license ... All potential parents [should be] required to use contraceptive chemicals, the government issuing antidotes to citizens chosen for childbearing.”

26. Thomas Ferguson, former official in the U.S. State Department Office of Population Affairs: *“There is a single theme behind all our work—we must reduce population levels. Either governments do it our way, through nice clean methods, or they will get the kinds of mess that we have in El Salvador, or in Iran or in Beirut. Population is a political problem. Once population is out of control, it requires authoritarian government, even fascism, to reduce it...”*

27. Mikhail Gorbachev:



“We must speak more clearly about sexuality, contraception, about abortion, about values that control population, because the ecological crisis, in short, is the population crisis. Cut the population by 90% and there aren’t enough people left to do a great deal of ecological damage.”

28. Jacques Costeau:



"In order to stabilize world population, we must eliminate 350,000 people per day. It is a horrible thing to say, but it is just as bad not to say it."

29. Finnish environmentalist Pentti Linkola:



“If there were a button I could press, I would sacrifice myself without hesitating if it meant millions of people would die”

30. Prince Philip, husband of Queen Elizabeth II and co-founder of the World Wildlife Fund:



“In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation.”

31. Bill Gates the Eugenics Champion



At a 2010 Ted Talk, Gates appeared to suggest using vaccines as a means to get human population growth down to zero in the service of, theoretically, reducing carbon emissions:

“Now, we put out a lot of carbon dioxide every year — over 26 billion tons... And somehow, we have to make changes that will bring that down to zero... This equation has four factors, a little bit of multiplication.

$$\text{CO}_2 = \text{P} \times \text{S} \times \text{E} \times \text{C}$$

PEOPLE SERVICES PER PERSON ENERGY PER SERVICE CO₂ PER UNIT ENERGY

So you've got a thing on the left, CO₂, that you want to get to zero, and that's going to be based on the number of people, the services each person is using on average, the

energy, on average, for each service, and the CO2 being put out per unit of energy. So let's look at each one of these, and see how we can get this down to zero. Probably, one of these numbers is going to have to get pretty near to zero.

Here is the infamous full quote about vaccines lowering the population, in context:

"First we got population. The world today has 6.8 billion people. That's headed up to about 9 billion. Now if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services, we lower that by perhaps 10 or 15 percent."

I took the liberty to include the one name that did not appear in the original article. He wants the American people to eat lab-created meat,

In including the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, we cannot ignore what China has in the works when it comes to depopulation by targeting race.

China's Covert Genetic Bioweapons To Target Races Based On Specific DNA
June 29, 2021
According to a shocking new report China created a cookbook of covert [Genetic Bioweapons engineered to target races based on specific DNA](#) before COVID-19 officially began.



David Asher, a top investigator who led the US State Department's [investigation into the origins of the coronavirus](#) pandemic, an investigation that the Biden administration shut down, said that what China wrote in its declaration to the United Nations “essentially laid

out a road map of developments in biotechnology pertinent to the [biological weapons convention](#) that the Chinese indicated as particularly salient.”

“It wasn’t clear from their declaration whether this was for potential offensive use of synthetic biology and other techniques ... but it certainly appears to lay out what they felt were going to be the drivers of a more potent offense in the future decade related to biotechnology,” [reported The Australian](#) quoting Asher..

“This is probably the most disturbing thing to note that there could be a type of population-targeting or ethnic targeting using biology, according to the Chinese.

That doesn’t mean they did it in the case of Covid-19 or anything else but it definitely implied that they were fully aware that this is feasible and possible.”

When asked by Australian investigative reporter Sharri Markson about how to interpret what China wrote, Asher said, “They lay out the cookbook and they say ‘What’s the impact of the cookbook?’”

“They say (it’s the) increased threat of biological weapons using advanced technology,” he said. “They talk about increased difficulty complying with the convention.”

China made the statements in a submission several years ago to the Seventh Review Conference of the State Parties to the Convention on the Prohibition of the Development, Production, and Stockpiling of Biological and Toxin Weapons.

The submission warned that “new kinds of biotechnology” could be used “for hostile purposes, posing a latent threat to human society,” and that the threat was “growing.” The submission said that the new technologies were making it harder to maintain “full and strict compliance with the [Biological Weapons Convention](#).”

The following are some excerpts from China’s submission:

- “Advances in synthetic biology are turning the synthesis and transformation of life into reality and mean that the basic regulation of biological research, the preparation of new drugs and the promotion of new sources of energy are groundbreaking endeavours, but they also have the potential to be used for evil ends.
- Theoretically speaking, synthetic biotechnology poses a huge latent threat to mankind, as it could be used in the future to create pathogens of even greater toxicity and infectiousness than those currently known, and which are resistant to traditional vaccines and drugs as well as hard to isolate and identify with present day technology.”
- “The sequencing of pathogen DNA has opened the way to the development of new diagnostic methods, drugs and vaccines. But the same data can also be used to synthesize new pathogens and modify pathogen antigenicity, infection

specificity, toxicity and resistance to drugs, causing traditional means of dealing with infectious disease to fail and rendering the prevention and control of such disease even harder.”

- “Thorough study of systems biology in the body can systematically analyze differences in genes and susceptibility to disease in the population and lay the theoretical foundations for an across the board improvement in levels of human health, but it can also create the potential for biological weapons based on genetic differences between races.
- Once hostile elements grasp that different ethnic groups harbor intrinsically different genetic susceptibilities to particular pathogens, they can put that knowledge into practice and create genetic weapons targeted at a racial group with a particular susceptibility.”
- “The rapid development of biological sciences and technologies may significantly increase the destructiveness of biological weapons. One way it may do so is by increasing the virulence of pathogenic micro-organisms. Microbial genomic research can enhance the virulence or pathogenicity of a pathogen by modifying its antigenic properties.
- Another way is by rendering traditional medicines and vaccines ineffective. Supergenes conferring resistance to antibiotics can be synthesized by DNA recombination technology, making pathogens highly drug-resistant. Pathogens with detoxifying genes can also be produced, as can pathogens that can evade recognition and attack by the immune system, rendering vaccines and medicines useless.
- A third way is by making the target population more susceptible to pathogenic microbes. RNA interference can inactivate specific genes in the body, inhibit expression of important bodily proteins, disrupt physiological function and heighten the effects of a bioweapon attack.
- And a fourth way is by making biological attacks more stealthy. Foreign genes or viruses can be introduced into the target population asymptotically by means of gene-therapy vectors, enabling a biological weapon attack to be mounted covertly.”
- “Developments in biotechnology have created many new problems and challenges for biological arms control and treaty monitoring, making it harder to monitor compliance with the Convention in the biological sphere. One way this occurs is through the synthesis of new agents of biological warfare.
- Theoretically speaking, synthetic biology can create microbes possessing any special attributes people may wish – new varieties of virus and bacteria more virulent, more infectious and more drug resistant than any known to mankind today.

- Gene sequencing is getting steadily faster and the costs are coming down; industrial applications of sequencing technology are already appearing and can be used to synthesize new pathogens. Another way is through making it easier to create biological weapons.
- Modern genetic technology can be used to bring about genetic modifications in traditional agents of biological warfare, making their production more efficient and increasing their stability.
- **A third way is through the gestation of a new generation of biological weapons. Research into genetic differences and susceptibility to pathogens among different populations and species can lead to the creation of racial bioweapons based on genetic differences between races.”**
- “The science and technology of synthetic biology are spreading rapidly and synthetic DNA technology has already become a basic tool of biological research; the related reagents and equipment are becoming ever easier to obtain.
- Accidental mistakes in biotech laboratories can place mankind in great danger. Synthetic biology in some civilian biotechnology research and applications may unintentionally give rise to new, highly hazardous man-made pathogens with unforeseeable consequences.”

China’s national strategy of military-civil fusion has highlighted biology as a priority, and the People’s Liberation Army could be at the forefront of expanding and exploiting this knowledge.

In 2016, the potential strategic value of genetic information led the Chinese government to launch the National Genebank, which intends to become the world’s largest repository of such data. It aims to “develop and utilize China’s valuable genetic resources, safeguard national security in bioinformatics, and enhance China’s capability to seize the strategic commanding heights” in the [domain of Biotechnology Warfare](#).

Chinese military’s interest in biology as an emerging domain of warfare is guided by strategists who talk about potential “genetic weapons” and the possibility of a “bloodless victory.”