

The Pentagon, Big Pharma, and Globalist War

The Pentagon, Big Pharma, and Globalist War
by Richard Hugus
January 26, 2023

Covid-19 began as, and still is, a worldwide operation. While biological warfare agents may have been created for nefarious purposes in a secret lab, these agents would probably have minimal effect on the world population. The real attack was relentless propaganda about a pandemic and coercing “*everyone on the planet*” (as Bill Gates put it) into taking an injection of the actual bio-warfare agent — the C-19 “*vaccine*.” That injection has now killed and injured millions of people in many different countries. The cover-up for this is ongoing, despite the appalling evidence of harm and sudden death.

There is nothing new under the sun, but it’s always a surprise to hear the details of how governments have once again betrayed the people they claim to serve. ‘Betrayed’ is putting it mildly. In the past month, we’ve seen government criminality on three fronts:

1) the Covid-19 narrative on social media being micromanaged by FBI agents and White House staff who directly violated the 1st Amendment by telling Facebook and Twitter who and what to censor on their platforms,

2) government spokespeople making statements that anyone who disagrees with the official medical fascist narrative is spreading disinformation and is a threat to society, and

3) a trail of contracts between federal bureaucracies proving the Covid-19 operation was run not by the supposed health authorities — CDC, FDA, etc. — but by the war authority, the U.S. Department of Defense.

We already know mainstream media is the propaganda arm of the state. And we know that propagandists don’t allow alternative views. So let’s concentrate on item 3 — the DoD contracts.



Meme “Davos kicked off with Uncle Klaus spinning his Wheel of Misfortune” — sourced from [OffGuardian](#)

You have to hand it to bureaucracies — they follow the rules. It turns out they keep records and make proper legal contracts even when engaged in mass murder. According to documents obtained through FOIA by [Sasha Latypova and Katherine Watt](#), the U.S. government saw Covid-19 as terrorism, to which the appropriate response was counterterrorism. In other words, C-19 was not a health emergency but a national security emergency, one so sensitive that the public couldn’t even be informed about it. **The contracts describe the development of pharmaceutical products which would act as “countermeasures” — namely, mRNA “vaccines” never before used on a mass scale.** Beginning in 2020 under Operation Warp Speed, the federal government used an Emergency Use Authorization and other legal measures to go around normal procedures for testing and approval of new medical products, controlling the quality of these medical products, and coercing the public into taking them. Product safety was not a priority. All this was done without American citizens ever being told they were supposedly being attacked by a “foreign threat.” It is now almost three years since the Covid-19 operation started, and the documents uncovered by Latypova and Watt are the first news most of us have ever heard about a foreign threat.

This means that the U.S. government treated Covid-19 as an act of war. What are the implications of this?

First, who was attacking us? Was it Russia? Not likely, as Russia was involved in the same program — declaring a pandemic and treating it with their own “countermeasure” — Sputnik. Was it China? Not likely, as China declared a pandemic and also massively

locked down. China then rolled out its “*countermeasure*” — Sinovac. It is also widely reported that China worked cooperatively with the US on gain of function research. According to Latypova, a large Chinese company called Fosun Pharmaceuticals had cooperative agreements with Pfizer, BioNtech, and the Israeli government to develop an mRNA product to be used as the countermeasure. Most governments around the world followed the Covid-19 script, declaring a pandemic on cue and using purposely generated fear to push medical products from Pfizer/BioNtech, Moderna, Johnson & Johnson, and Astra Zeneca. Finally, the foreign threat is not identified in any of the documents. They have been heavily redacted.

Since it appears the war on corona in the U.S. was in the hands of the national security establishment, we were apparently wasting our time blaming the clown directors of the NIAID, CDC, and FDA for incompetence, malfeasance, and fraud. They were just running cover for the DoD as it mobilized to defend us from an outbreak of biological warfare so dastardly that American citizens of the U.S. couldn't even be informed. In this scenario, Fauci, Collins, and Walensky agreed to look like they were in charge, take flak, and deceive us, for our own good — a kind of patriotic duty. And, as career bureaucrats, they knew they were covered legally because, after all, our country was under attack — we were at war. This might explain their galling arrogance.

The 2020 war on viruses has a lot in common with the 2001 war on terror. One was enabled by a factitious pandemic, the other was enabled by a factitious terror attack. In both cases, the designated enemy was not a nation or group but a concept, invisible, uncapturable, and ever-changing — the perfect enemy if you want an unlimited budget and a pretext for attacks on civil liberties and wars there would otherwise be no justification for. Wars are more often than not started in this way. The U.S. Department of Defense knows quite well how to start a war and they aren't shy about casualties either. To be sure, big pharma and the health establishment both have experience with “*interventions*” which cause injury and death, but pharma's model is more nuanced. Pharma promotes products that create chronic illness, which in turn increases product sales, so killing people is bad for business. Not so for DoD.

Covid-19 began as, and still is, a worldwide operation. While biological warfare agents may have been created for nefarious purposes in a secret lab, these agents would probably have minimal effect on the world population. The real attack was relentless propaganda about a pandemic and coercing “everyone on the planet” (as Bill Gates put it) into taking an injection of the actual biowarfare agent — the C-19 “vaccine.” That injection has now killed and injured millions of people in many different countries. The cover-up for this is ongoing, despite the appalling evidence of harm and sudden death.

This is surely the most insane war the Pentagon has ever conducted, but it is of a kind with other wars. How many died in the Philippines, in the World Wars, in Korea, in Vietnam, in Central America, in Iraq, in Palestine, in Somalia, in Sudan, in Libya, in Yemen. in Syria? How many are now dying in Ukraine in a proxy war with Russia which U.S. neocons — the same who created and led the war on terror — did everything in their power to provoke?

The question now is, do the people who seem to be running everything have any qualms about destroying the United States as well? Do they have allegiance to any particular nation, or are they a power above nations? This question is hard to avoid with all the bragging by globalists at Davos and their plans for our world. Reducing the world population is a stated goal for many of them. Is it too much to imagine the U.S. Department of Defense would be the most likely tool to use for that project? Is it too much to imagine that the people telling the DoD what to do may see no further use for the United States? Is it too much to imagine that the same people who were willing to wipe out so many innocent people everywhere else in the world would eventually turn their sights on Americans? The U.S. has not had preferential treatment –it has seen vaccine injury and death at a higher rate than most other countries. That does not seem to be a problem for whoever is running the show.

We are left to conclude from the documents published by Latypova and Watt that the “vaccine countermeasure” which was developed under the DoD was itself the attack. The un-named “foreign threat” was a just a projection of those who invented the entire operation. Whoever the perpetrators are, their enemy is not any one country, but humanity itself. Infants, pregnant women, the elderly, black, white, rich, poor, children, athletes, pilots, celebrities, high school students, husbands, wives, moms, dads, African, Asian, American — the jab targets everyone. Whether this war is for economic gain, for empire, or even the lofty goals claimed by globalists, nihilism and hatred of life pervades it all. This path only leads to darkness. It is our obligation to take the opposite path.

The above article goes a long way to validate and confirm what I have been stating since the agreement of national leaders to work toward on behalf of Prince Charles “Sustainable Development” plan which was institutionalized by the 1992 UN Global Summit known as “Agenda 21”. The Rio de Janeiro, Brazil summit was in response to the Club of Rome being created through the auspice of the UN, and major world financial interests that were fomented by the publishing of the book, ‘*The Population Bomb*’ by Dr. Paul Ehrlich and his co-author wife Anne.

Charles Mann wrote a few years ago, “As 1968 began, Paul Ehrlich was an entomologist at Stanford University, known to his peers for his groundbreaking studies of the co-evolution of flowering plants and butterflies but almost unknown to the average person. That was about to change. In May, Ehrlich released a quickly written, cheaply bound paperback, *The Population Bomb*. Initially it was ignored. But over time Ehrlich’s tract would sell millions of copies and turn its author into a celebrity. It would become one of the most influential books of the 20th century—and one of the most heatedly attacked.”

The first sentence set the tone: “*The battle to feed all of humanity is over.*” And humanity had lost. In the 1970s, the book promised, “*hundreds of millions of people are*

going to starve to death.” No matter what people do, *“nothing can prevent a substantial increase in the world death rate.”*

Published at a time of tremendous conflict and social upheaval, Ehrlich’s book argued that many of the day’s most alarming events had a single, underlying cause: Too many people, packed into too-tight spaces, taking too much from the earth. Unless humanity cut down its numbers—soon—all of us would face *“mass starvation”* on *“a dying planet.”*

Quoting Charles Mann, *“Ehrlich, now 85, told me recently that the book’s main contribution was to make population control “acceptable” as “a topic to debate.” But the book did far more than that. It gave a huge jolt to the nascent environmental movement and fueled an anti-population-growth crusade that led to human rights abuses around the world.”*

Born in 1932, Ehrlich (90 today) was raised in a leafy New Jersey town. His childhood love of nature morphed into a fascination for collecting insects, especially butterflies. Something of a loner, as precocious as he was assertive, Ehrlich was publishing articles in local entomological journals in his teens. Even then he was dismayed by environmental degradation. The insecticide DDT was killing his beloved butterflies, and rapid suburban development was destroying their habitat.

When Ehrlich entered the University of Pennsylvania he befriended some upperclassmen who were impressed by his refusal to wear the freshman beanie, then a demeaning tradition. Not wanting to join a fraternity—another university custom—Ehrlich rented a house with his friends. They passed around books of interest, including *‘Road to Survival’*, by William Vogt. Published in 1948, it was an early warning of the dangers of overpopulation. We are subject to the same biological laws as any species, Vogt said. If a species exhausts its resources, it crashes. Homo sapiens is a species rapidly approaching that terrible fate. Together with his own observations, Vogt’s book shaped Ehrlich’s ideas about ecology and population studies.

Ehrlich got his PhD at the University of Kansas in 1957, writing his dissertation on “The Morphology, Phylogeny and Higher Classification of the Butterflies.” Soon he was hired by Stanford University’s biology department, and in his classes he presented his ideas about population and the environment. Students, attracted by his charisma, mentioned Ehrlich to their parents. He was invited to speak to alumni groups, which put him in front of larger audiences, and then on local radio shows. David Brower, executive director of the Sierra Club, asked him to write a book in a hurry, hoping—“naively,” Ehrlich says—to influence the 1968 presidential election. Ehrlich and his wife, Anne, who would co-write many of his 40-plus books, produced the first draft of *‘The Population Bomb’* in about three weeks, basing it on his lecture notes. Only his name was on the cover, Ehrlich told me, because his publisher said *“single-authored books gets much more attention than dual-authored books...and I was at the time stupid enough to go along with it.”*

Though Brower thought the book was “a first-rate battle tract,” no major newspaper reviewed it for four months. The ‘*New York Times*’ gave it a one-paragraph notice almost a year after its release. Yet Ehrlich promoted it relentlessly, promulgating his message at scores or even hundreds of events.

In February 1970, Ehrlich’s work finally paid off: He was invited onto NBC’s “Tonight Show.” Johnny Carson, the comedian-host, was leery of serious guests like university professors because he feared they would be pompous, dull and opaque. Ehrlich proved to be affable, witty and blunt. Thousands of letters poured in after his appearance, astonishing the network. ‘*The Population Bomb*’ shot up the best-seller lists. Carson invited Ehrlich back in April, just before the first ‘Earth Day’. For more than an hour he spoke about population and ecology, about birth control and sterilization, to an audience of tens of millions. After that, Ehrlich returned to the show many times.

April 22nd is celebrated every year as “Earth Day”. I well-remember the first “Earth Day” celebration in 1970, as I was a “College Traveler” for McGraw-Hill Publishing Company’s College Division. In my secular employment, it was a slang term for a sales representative. I was what was referred to as a ‘business, engineering, and hard science’ specialist. As such, I was required to participate/work at the annual American Geophysical Union gathering held in Washington, D.C. every April. McGraw-Hill is a leading publisher and I worked for the College Division of the company. Our home office was dubbed “the green building” at 330 W. 42nd Street in the area known as “Hell’s Kitchen” neighborhood of New York City. As the area became seedy and lost its image of being “off Broadway” the company built a new headquarters in 1972 located at 1221 Avenue of the Americas once known more commonly as 6th Avenue.


In my time with M-H, the former location was ideal for me. I would fly into Newark Airport, catch a Port Authority Bus into the city Port Authority Bus Terminal located almost next door to M-H. On my lunch hour I would catch the subway that took me down to the Bowery (tip of Manhattan Island) and watch the construction of the World Trade Center Towers. Street vendors catered to workers, tourists, and businesses for the lunch trade. I would watch the construction from a nearby park bench and enjoy my time with a pastrami on rye sandwich and a large soft pretzel and a soda. I digress here in my memory reflection but every April, ‘College Travelers’ like myself, about a dozen of us, along with editorial staff, had to man the product tables, keep an eye open for potential writers, listening to their pitching book projects they wanted to get published, and in the evenings socialize with those invited to McGraw-Hill nightly cocktail parties. Our McGraw-Hill contingent had an entire floor suite at the hotel where the annual convention was held every year. It is one of the largest science conventions held in the nation, with literally thousands attending the week-long event held by the American Geophysical Union which is headquartered in Washington, D.C.

Ehrlich said that he and Anne had “*wanted to call the book Population, Resources, and Environment, because it’s not just population.*” But their publisher and Brower thought this was too ponderous, and asked Hugh Moore, a businessman-activist who had written a pamphlet called “*The Population Bomb*,” if they could borrow his title. Ehrlich

reluctantly agreed. *“We hated the title,” he says now. It “hung me with being the population bomber.”* Still, he acknowledges the title *“worked,”* in that it attracted attention. That it attracted attention is an understatement!

The book received furious denunciations, many focused on Ehrlich’s seeming decision—emphasized by the title—to focus on human numbers as the cause of environmental problems, rather than total consumption. The sheer count of people, the critics said, matters much less than what people do. Population per se is not at the root of the world’s problems. The reason, Ehrlich’s detractors said, is that people are not fungible—the impact of one living one kind of life is completely different from that of another person living another kind of life.

The reason for my providing more background on Ehrlich’s book is to show the impact that this book had on the world after 1968.



It was in 1973, when the movie *“Soylent Green”* was made, the world population was only 3.9 billion. The movie was just one pop culture expression of common dystopic overpopulation fears at the time. But the movie envisioned an unimaginable world population of 8 billion — what we have now. How did they get it so wrong?

Ehrlich also says an unprecedented redistribution of wealth is needed to end the over-consumption of resources, but “the rich who now run the global system – that hold the annual ‘world destroyer’ meetings in Davos – are unlikely to let it happen”.

‘The Population Bomb’, predicted “hundreds of millions of people are going to starve to death” in the 1970s – a fate that was avoided by the green revolution in intensive agriculture.

Many details and timings of events were wrong, Paul Ehrlich acknowledges today, but he says the book was correct overall. *“Population growth, along with over-consumption per capita, is driving civilisation over the edge: billions of people are now hungry or micronutrient malnourished, and climate disruption is killing people.”*

“It is a near certainty in the next few decades, and the risk is increasing continually as long as perpetual growth of the human enterprise remains the goal of economic and political systems,” he says. *“As I’ve said many times, ‘perpetual growth is the creed of the cancer cell’.”*

It is the combination of high population and high consumption by the rich that is destroying the natural world, he says. Research published by Ehrlich and colleagues in 2017 concluded that this is driving a sixth mass extinction of biodiversity, upon which civilization depends for clean air, water and food.



High consumption by the rich is destroying the natural world, says Ehrlich. The solutions are tough, he says. *“To start, make modern contraception and back-up abortion available to all and give women full equal rights, pay and opportunities with men.”*

“I hope that would lead to a low enough total fertility rate that the needed shrinkage of population would follow. [But] it will take a very long time to humanely reduce total population to a size that is sustainable.”

He estimates an optimum global population size at roughly 1.5 to two billion, *“But the longer humanity pursues business as usual, the smaller the sustainable society is likely to prove to be. We’re continuously harvesting the low-hanging fruit, for example by driving fisheries stocks to extinction.”*

Ehrlich is also concerned about chemical pollution, which has already reached the most remote corners of the globe. *“The evidence we have is that toxics reduce the intelligence of children, and members of the first heavily influenced generation are now adults.”*

He treats this risk with characteristic dark humor: *“The first empirical evidence we are dumbing down Homo sapiens were the Republican debates in the US 2016 presidential elections – and the resultant kakistocracy. On the other hand, toxification may solve the population problem, since sperm counts are plunging.”*

Reflecting five decades after the publication of ‘*The Population Bomb*’ (which he wanted to be titled *Population, Resources, and Environment*), he says: *“No scientist would hold exactly the same views after a half century of further experience, but Anne and I are still proud of our book.”* It helped start a worldwide debate on the impact of rising population that continues today, he says.

“Too many rich people in the world it is a major threat to the human future, and cultural and genetic diversity are great human resources.”

Accusations that the book lent support to racist attitudes to population control still hurt today, Ehrlich says. *“Having been a co-inventor of the sit-in to desegregate restaurants in Lawrence, Kansas in the 1950s and having published books and articles on the biological ridiculousness of racism, those accusations continue to annoy me.”*

But, he says: *“You can’t let the possibility that ignorant people will interpret your ideas as racist keep you from discussing critical issues honestly.”*

It’s been just over a decade since the Prince of Wales has come under fire after using a speech on Islam and environmentalism as an opportunity to call for a reduction in the world’s birth rate, especially among Muslims. But what has drawn the ire of some commentators is that moments after making his controversial remarks, the prince went on to quote famed Christian writers C.S. Lewis and G.K. Chesterton in support of the thesis of his address.

Nothing has been normal since 2020, and that was not by accident, and in fact, we can know exactly what has been occurring when you grasp the larger objective of climate change being hyped at every turn in our lives. If you can stay with me a while longer, I can explain what the grand plan is all about and why you are a target to the elite of the world and have to go, and none too soon at that. I am going to share with you an article from a global publication that explains what is taking place to change life for all.

This article below appears in the [February 12, 2021 issue](#) of *'Executive Intelligence Review'*.

This “Green New Deal” which has become the obsession of a naïve world of do-gooders, save the planet, and climate change garbage underpins everything that has come out of the Davos summit and the Klaus Schwab’s “Great Reset” fronting on behalf of Prince Charles and his Agenda 21, UN Summit from 1992 in Rio de Janeiro.

IN THE FOOTSTEPS OF GEORGE III Prince Charles Invented and Runs the ‘Green New Deal’ by Richard Freeman
HRH Prince Charles, the Prince of Wales, in 2018.

Feb. 1—Prince Charles launched on January 11 the *“Terra Carta for Nature, People and Planet (Earth Charter),”* to push through a ‘green genocide program’ of radical population reduction, shutting down agro-manufacturing production, and building a \$40 trillion green speculative-financial bubble, all implemented through a central bankers’ dictatorship. If this Prince and his associates are not stopped, they will destroy the cognitive powers of man, halt capital-intensive, power-intensive development of agriculture and industry, and bring down civilization.

Using the wealth of the House of Windsor, but much more from the City of London-Wall Street banks, insurance companies, and a host of governments, HRH the Prince of Wales has been building toward this strategy for the past five decades.

[Pastor Bob’s comments we must acknowledge that it is being forced upon Americans by two major names: Larry Fink, CEO of Blackrock and Michael Bloomberg CEO of Bloomberg Media, and Bloomberg Philanthropy. The information from the article from the *EIR* shows how Prince Charles woos his admirers to part with their billions].

Charles derives this capability, certainly not from himself, but from being embedded in a network of institutions. One such institution is the monarchy, which consists not just of the royals, but hundreds of persons, from Oxford and Cambridge universities, financial institutions, and law firms. Indeed, the monarchy is embedded in a Quartet consisting of the monarchy, the City of London, the Bank of England, and the intelligence services, which has been in operation since the 1694 creation of the Bank of England.

Charles works with more than a hundred governments, but he emphasizes that corporate private business is necessary to implement his policy, as governments need to and can enunciate the policy, but do not have the power to carry it out. This is an embrace of corporatist-Synarchist top-down control, above and beyond government, as was the case for Hitler, Hjalmar Schacht, and Mussolini.

We look at three pivotal examples that indicate that Prince Charles, and those for whom he is a point person such as the Bank of England and the City of London, are the ones who began to originate and implement the green fascist dictatorship policies, often a full 10-20 years before others knew of them.

The first example is Charles' critical role, along with his father, the late Royal Consort Prince Philip, and the World Wildlife Fund, in running the precedent-setting 1992 Rio Earth Summit, which set the paradigm of harsh measures to fight the fake Global Warming; the second, is the Prince of Wales' setting up of the Green New Deal in Britain in 2008, a full decade before it was allegedly first brought forward in the United States; and the third, is Prince Charles' establishing the internal dictatorship of "only" accounting rules, a fundamental part of the guts of the "Great Reset's" plunge of the world into destruction.

Prince Philip, in 2015. Husband of Queen Elizabeth, father of Prince Charles, and co-founder of the World Wildlife Fund, he once hoped to become a deadly virus when re-incarnated.

I

Prince Charles, Prince Philip and the WWF Launch the Pioneering Green Genocide of Rio's 1992 Earth Summit.

"I just wonder what it would be like to be reincarnated in an animal whose species had been so reduced in numbers that it was in danger of extinction. What would be its feelings toward the human species whose population explosion had denied it somewhere to exist.... I must confess that I am tempted to ask for reincarnation as a particularly deadly virus."

This was Prince Philip, Duke of Edinburgh, Royal Consort of the Queen of England, in his Foreword to the 1987 book, *'If I Were an Animal'* by Fleur Cowles.

Philip repeated a variant of the quote in 1988 to the German news agency *'Deutsche Press Agentur'*.

Thus the Royal Consort expressed his view, that the *"lives not worthy to be lived"* (in the Nazis' phrase) include not only the Jews, Gypsies, the seriously ill, and other despised groups, but *the entire human population*—reserving the need for perhaps several hundred million of *"the right sort"* and their servants. Culling the human herd—his utterances constantly returned to this theme.

Philip decided, on behalf of the institution of the British Monarchy which he represented, to bring back the policies of the Nazi regime which had not succeeded in its 1933-45 mission. This would be done under the disguise of environmentalism. These policies included eugenics; the concentration work-camp policies of the Hitler-Hjalmar Schacht regime of looting those beaten down who could be looted, and killing those who could not; and conducting a central bank financial dictatorship, such as that of Hitler's Reichsbank chief Hjalmar Schacht.

The outward aspect of what had been done under Hitler and Schacht, would be changed: the myth would be presented, starting at Rio in 1992, that anthropomorphic climate change requires de-carbonization of the world economy. Such a drastic

measure would lower the world economy's energy-flux density, setting off a downward spiral of disintegration. The onrushing breakdown of the biggest derivatives-laden financial bubble in world history will make consequences even worse.

But the ultimate object targeted for destruction, is man's creative cognitive powers, which is the means for man's discovery of new physical scientific principles, which makes revolutionary scientific advances in the physical economy, which uplifts man out of poverty and into full humanity. It is these principles which man celebrates in classical art and music, and which will allow mankind to lift off from Earth, and extend his dominion over the galaxy. The oligarchy attempts to stifle those powers, and it is those powers that will allow mankind to defeat Prince Charles' scheme.

The World Wildlife Fund, later renamed the Worldwide Fund for Nature (WWF), is a nexus that the British Empire had set up in 1961, to organize for green genocide. Three principal founders give the intent of the organization:

Sir Julian Huxley in 1965. He is the founder of the British Eugenics Education Society, and helped create UNESCO, becoming its Director in 1946.

- Julian Huxley—In 1907, Julian Huxley founded the British Eugenics Education Society in Britain; he was shortly to be joined by H.G. Wells, and Leonard Darwin, one of Charles Darwin's sons. The rubble from World War II was still warm when in 1946, he helped create and made himself director of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). In a statement of direction, in a paper called "UNESCO: Its purpose and its philosophy," Huxley revealed the intent to revive eugenics:

The dead weight of genetic stupidity, physical weakness, mental instability, and disease-proneness, which already exist in the human species, will prove too great a burden for real progress to be achieved. Thus even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that the eugenic problem is examined with the greatest care, and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake so that much that now is unthinkable may at least become thinkable.

Prince Bernhard in 1999. This former member of the Nazi SS married Queen Juliana of the Netherlands and later became the first President of the World Wildlife Fund.

- Prince Bernhard—Royal Consort to Queen Juliana of the Netherlands, and first WWF president. Having joined the Nazi brownshirts on Hitler's accession in 1933, he moved on to the fierce Schutzstaffel (SS) in 1934. For cosmetic reasons, Bernhard resigned from the SS, but to show that nothing had changed, he signed his "resignation" letter, "Heil Hitler!" The Prince worked for the German chemical giant IG Farben, a central cog in the Nazi corporatist machine. He joined the statistics department of IG Farben's Berlin N.W. 7 department, the key Nazi overseas espionage center. In 1935, Bernhard became secretary to Farben's board of directors at its Paris office. Farben developed

the gas Zyklon-B, which was used to gas people in the concentration camps starting 1942. In 1937, as a respectable suitor, Bernhard married Queen Juliana of the Netherlands.

- Prince Philip—son of Prince Andrew of Greece and Denmark, husband of Queen Elizabeth II, and Duke of Edinburgh. Of Philip's four older sisters, three were married to German aristocrats who were part of Nazi circles in Germany. Philip appears to have been significantly informed and also involved in these networks. In June 1945, the British Royal Household dispatched a "former" MI-5 agent, to, in part, try to retrieve (presumably to conceal) Prince Philip's wartime correspondence with this network.

Both of Prince Charles' parents were products of this Nazi milieu, as Queen Elizabeth's father King George VI and his wife were involved in appeasement circles that at different times during World War II, tried through channels to reach an "*understanding*" with the Nazi German regime. There was, notoriously, King Edward VIII, an outright collaborator of the Nazis, who was forced to abdicate in December 1936, to make way for Elizabeth's father, King George VI. This informed the upbringing of the Prince of Wales, the heir-to-the-throne.

There were several other environmental organizations: The International Union for the Conservation of Nature, Friends of the Earth, etc. But Prince Philip used the WWF as his home platform for his attempt to transform the world economy.

Prince Charles' Transformation: The 1992 Rio Summit

In 1989, Princes Philip and Bernhard, King Juan Carlos of Spain, and various prominent City of London financiers, decided to hold a singular conference in 1992, the United Nations Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro. This WWF crew decided to use the Canadian Maurice Strong, a leading idea promoter for Prince Philip, and a money-raiser for the WWF who had founded the 1001 Club in 1970 as a means for super-wealthy patrons to fund the WWF.

Maurice Strong in 2010. A leading idea promoter for Prince Philip, he founded the 1001 Club in 1970 as a means for the super-rich to fund the WWF.

Strong expressed his charming view to the '*National Review*' on September 1, 1997:

"If we don't change, our species will not survive.... Frankly, we may get to the point where the only way of saving the world will be for industrial civilization to collapse."

Consider what Strong must have meant by "*the world,*" thus "*saved,*" in that statement.

An oil developer in Alberta, first executive director of the United Nations Environment Program, and a leading figure in the WWF, Strong would be the Secretary General of the Earth Summit.

But with Philip's and Strong's mentoring, Prince Charles would now take on a leadership role. Charles had delivered his first environmental speech in 1970 two years following the publication of Paul Ehrlich's book, *'The Population Bomb'*, at age 22. He then took on more responsibilities. But for the Rio summit, he was placed in a leadership position to personally organize for the conference, overcome differences between nations, and help shape the agenda.

Author Jonathan Dimbleby in his 1994 authorized *'The Prince of Wales: A Biography'*, described what happened:

"By 1991, the momentum generated by the Prince's speeches had secured him an international reputation. In the run-up to the Rio summit, which was planned for 1992, the Prince was determined to have his own input by bringing together key international figures in an attempt to achieve a degree of harmony between the conflicting attitudes of Europe, the United States and the developing nations, led by Brazil. He alighted on the idea of using the royal yacht [the Britannia] as the base for a two-day international seminar at the end of an official tour of Brazil in April 1991."

Among others, he invited Senator Albert Gore; senior officials from the World Bank, chief executives from such as Shell [the Anglo part of the Anglo-Dutch Royal Dutch Shell oil company] and BP [formerly British Petroleum Company]; the principal non-governmental organizations; European politicians, including the British ministers of Overseas Aid and the Environment; and, most important of all, the host of the prospective summit, President Fernando Collor of Brazil.

The Rio Summit, Agenda 21, and 'Divine Nature'

The Rio Earth summit was an extravaganza attended by more than 100 heads of state, and 38,000 people. It made three big shifts.

First, when the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (UN IPCC) was founded in 1988, it defined climate change as *"any change in climate over time whether due to natural variability or as a result of human activity."* But at the Rio Earth Summit, that phrase was replaced by a UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), which stated, *"human activities have been substantially increasing the atmospheric concentration of greenhouse gases."*

Second, the Conference adopted a policy called Agenda 21, which stated: *"All energy sources will need to be used in ways that respect the atmosphere."* Agenda 21 was the first UN document to identify roles and responsibilities for local and federal governments to pursue *"sustainable development,"* and stated that these governments would have to come up with a way to reduce greenhouse gases by a large percentage, voluntarily. The next UN summit, in 1997 in Kyoto Japan, made these sharp reductions in greenhouse gases of the Agenda 21 mandatory—the "Kyoto Protocols."

Third, in a 1992 essay, Maurice Strong evaluated one of the strong points of the 1992 Rio Summit:

“It is simply not feasible for sovereignty to be exercised unilaterally by individual nation-states, however powerful. It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the imperatives of global environmental cooperation.”

Not only was sovereignty to be abrogated, but Strong gave the 1992 conference a pagan religious undercurrent. At the plenary session, as Secretary-General of the conference he stated:

“The responsibility of each human being today is to choose between the force of darkness and the force of light. We must therefore transform our attitudes and values, and adopt a renewed respect for the superior law of Divine Nature.”

The Rio Summit was a model-precedent. From that 1992 Earth Summit forward, the UN decided to hold a global conference every five years on either the environment or sustainable development; and the agenda and discussions of those subsequent UN summits were usually framed and based on the principal ideas and agenda of the first 1992 Rio Earth Summit. When the next of the UN sustainable development conferences that was explicitly on the subject of the Earth was held in 2002, it was referred to as Rio+10, and so on.

When Prince Charles played his leading role in 1991-92 for the Rio Earth Summit, he was only 44 years old. By comparison, look at what others who are participating in the green finance agenda today were doing: Mark Carney was 27 years old and working on his economics doctorate at Oxford, while making money at Goldman Sachs. Christine Lagarde was 36 years old, and concentrating on working her way up the financial hierarchy in France. Bernie Sanders first got elected to the U.S. House of Representatives. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez was three years old, and Greta Thunberg wasn't yet born. Charles was the one creating policy.

II

Prince Charles and His Allies Created the Green New Deal.

Common folklore/mythology, backed by the media, has it that Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (D-NY) and Sen. Ed Markey (D-MA), came up with the idea of a Green New Deal in 2017. This was discussed for a few years, and then introduced as a joint resolution into the Congress by Ocasio-Cortez and Markey in February 2019. The Green New Deal is at the heart of the Biden administration's policy initiatives.

Jonathan Porritt, CBE, in 2009. Effectively a director of the Optimum Population Trust, he has been one of the more murderous environmentalists in developing the Green New Deal in Britain under Charles.

In truth, the Green New Deal was developed in the United Kingdom explicitly by the policy networks of Prince Charles. Two of the most important men in developing the Green New Deal in Britain, under Charles' supervision, are Tony Juniper and Jonathan Porritt, two of the more murderous environmentalists in the world. Juniper and Porritt have both served as Special Adviser to Prince Charles on the environment, and have

been in his inner circle for decades. They created the Green New Deal in 2008, and exported it to the United States.

We now look in turn, at Juniper and Porritt, and then how they created the Green New Deal. They might be called the Prince of Wales' little green men.

Author Jonathan Dimbleby wrote in the authorized biography cited above, about Charles' inner circle:

By this time [1986], the Prince had started to form around him a core of environmentalist advisers, which he described in a letter to a friend as *“a small team of knowledgeable people who can help me put as much pressure on international agencies, governments, and so on, via speeches, lunches, and dinners, as possible.”*

Most prominent are Juniper and Porritt.

From 1992 until 2008, Tony Juniper led many of the operations of the eco-extremist Friends of the Earth (FOE), including serving from 2003 to 2008 as the director of FOE's United Kingdom operations. Juniper was also a leader of Britain's Green Party. Charles chose Juniper to co-author/ghost-write two of Charles' books: *Harmony* (2010), and *Climate Change* (2017).

Juniper led the entire operation to have the Parliament of the United Kingdom adopt the Climate Change Act of 2008, one of the first laws of its kind anywhere in the world. **The Act made it the duty of the British Secretary of State to ensure that the net UK carbon account for all six Kyoto greenhouse gases—carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O), hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆)—for the year 2050 is at least 80% lower than the 1990 baseline, supposedly to avoid dangerous climate change.** This follows from the 1997 Kyoto Protocols, which in turn follow from the terms set by Prince Charles' 1992 Rio Summit. The January 26, 2019 *Times* of London referred to Juniper as *“Prince Charles' Green Champion.”*

Jonathan Porritt was a leading member of Britain's radical Ecology Party since its founding in 1976 and its director as of 1979. In 1985, the party underwent a name change to the Green Party of England and Wales, and for a time, he was co-chairman. In 1986, Charles anointed Porritt as his trusted adviser, meaning that Charles and Porritt have worked together for 35 years. In 2000, Labour Prime Minister Tony Blair made Porritt the inaugural chair of Britain's newly created Sustainable Development Commission (SDC), whose charge was to advise the UK on steps to be taken towards *“sustainable development,”* including cutting physical-economic production to reduce *“greenhouse gases.”* Porritt served as chairman of SDC for nine years.

But by far, the most dangerous feature of Porritt, which makes him most endearing to the Prince of Wales, is that he is one of the most open ultra-Malthusians in the world, calling, in effect, for halving the world's population. In 2008, Porritt became a patron (effectively a director) of the Optimum Population Trust, which is perhaps the

world's leading advocate for genocide. According to the March 22, 2009 *Times* of London, Porritt delivered a speech in which he praised research by the Optimum Population Trust, “*suggesting that the UK’s population must be cut to 30 million [from then 62 million—ed.] if the country wants to feed itself sustainably.*” In November 2018 Porritt became president of the OPT, now renamed Population Matters.

For all this work, upon Charles’ recommendation, Queen Elizabeth designated Porritt a Commander of the British Empire (CBE). [It is customary of UK Royalty to knight, title, or honor their cronies as a sign of their loyalty to the Crown’s goals!]

Sir David Attenborough in 2015. A father-figure and adviser to Charles since Charles was eight years old is one of those so honored.

The other patrons of Population Matters make clear its murderous intent. One patron is Sir David Attenborough, who has been described by several media as effectively a member of the Royal Family. Attenborough has been a father-figure and adviser to Charles since Charles was 8. He is one of Queen Elizabeth’s closest non-Royal friends and trusted advisers. Attenborough has stated:

“The human population can no longer be allowed to grow in the same old uncontrolled way. If we do not take charge of our population size, then nature will do it for us.”

Another patron is Dame Jane Goodall, who prefers apes to people. A third is Paul Ehrlich, author of the discredited 1968 farce, ‘*The Population Bomb*’, who has publicly advocated reducing the world’s population by several billion people.

This fully resonates with the Prince of Wales. In a speech on June 10, 2010 to his Oxford [University] Center for Islamic Studies, Charles vented that the population of Lagos in Nigeria has risen from 300,000 to 20 million during his lifetime. He continued, “*I could have chosen Mumbai, Cairo, or Mexico City; wherever you look, the world’s population is increasing fast.*” He then said the Earth could not “*sustain us all,*” particularly in the developing sector if a “*vast proportion*” is consuming natural resources at “*Western levels.*” President Barack Obama copied Charles’ remarks in a tirade to young Africans a few years later.

Britain’s Green New Deal and Its Program

Under the supervision and ideology of Charles, Porritt, and Juniper—along with some others, particularly the leftist New Economics Foundation—the **Green New Deal was created in 2008, to serve as the program for Britain, but especially as a basic template for the American one, which imitated its broad outline and several of its particulars.**

The imprimatur of Charles is shown by four of the eight directors of Britain’s Green New Deal group in 2008:

- Tony Juniper—director/initiator.

- Caroline Lucas—director. Lucas was recruited as a protégé of genocidalist Porritt. Lucas herself reported that she was “utterly inspired” by reading Porritt’s book *Seeing Green* and therefore decided to join the Green Party. She thought, “I’m going there now. I’m going to dedicate myself to this [Green Party].” Porritt was the dominant force in the Green Party. Lucas would become Green Member of Parliament for Brighton Pavilion, and helped launch the Green New Deal.

- Charles Secrett—director. His curriculum vitae says that Secrett is Senior Associate of the University of Cambridge Programme for Sustainability Leadership (CISL). Not only is Charles the patron and dominant force of this CISL, but he is working with CISL on a program for “Rewiring the Economy” by sustainability. And it is this which has lately been renamed by many, “The Great Reset.”

- Colin Hines—initiator/director. Hines was an ongoing collaborator of Jonathan Porritt. They issued a joint paper in the November 2017 *Journal of Population and Sustainability* titled “Progressive Parties in the UK Must Now Address the Immigration Challenge Head-On if Brexit Is to Be Avoided.” Porritt commented that the paper argues that leftists and liberals should “get real” about the population problem.

As for the program, Britain’s Green New Deal called for government-led investment in energy efficiency and microgeneration which would make “every building a power station”; the creation of green jobs to enable low-carbon infrastructure reconstruction; a windfall profit tax on oil and gas companies to provide revenue for government spending on renewable energy and energy efficiency; providing financial incentives for green investment and reduced energy usage. It also called for “Ensuring more realistic fossil fuel prices that include the cost to the environment, and are high enough to tackle climate change;” the recommendation was for carbon taxes. Reflecting input from the New Economics Foundation, it also called for breaking up the mega-banks, and increased scrutiny on financial derivatives.

The moniker **Green New Deal**—falsely associating it with Franklin Roosevelt—the call for a large-scale shift to green energy; cutting out carbon-based fuels and related production; and creating green jobs—all this was the remit of Charles and his little green men Porritt and Juniper and *premised on radical population reduction*. Export to America was intended.

III

Imposing the Reporting

Mechanism for the Great Reset—Toward Bankers’ Dictatorship

Prince Charles, acting on behalf of the financier power, the Bank of England, et al., has, since 2004, drawn up and attempted to enforce with increasing intensity, a body of green “accounting-integrated reporting rules,” upon industrial and energy corporations, farms, etc. This is called “taxonomy” in “green finance” circles. He has created, or modified existing regulatory bodies, endowing them with the authority to impose harsh penalties upon corporations that don’t comply with anti-greenhouse gas accounting rules—which could place non-abiding corporations into bankruptcy. In Charles’ world, all

physical production increases anthropogenic Climate Change. Man violates primitive Nature through scientific progress.

The skeptic rejoins, “How are accounting/reporting rules, which are the provenance of accountants, finance teams, insurance companies and the like, going to force companies to go green? It all looks mushy.”

Charles had helped organize the UN’s 1992 Rio Summit on Climate change, keenly followed the 1997 UN Kyoto Climate Change conference, and had spoken at the 2002 UN World Summit on Sustainable Development. Charles thought that these conferences were valuable in setting parameters for climate change regulations, but corporations could pay lip service, and then evade the proposed rules, with no penalty to themselves. Thus the rules would for the most part, never go into effect. He believes rules—called integrated reporting rules—have to be surgically inserted into corporations, to make them comply and not evade. **Corporations must reveal every significant investment or expenditure they made from the standpoint of whether it would increase Climate change.**

In 2004, Charles and HSBC Bank (the Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation), the world’s leading drug bank, founded Accounting for Sustainability, or A4S. On its website A4S states, under the heading “Why Sustainability and Finance”:

[Corporate] finance teams are an asset to their organization and a vital element in building a sustainable world. To live up to this potential they need to recognize the risks and opportunities associated with sustainability and be able to act on them.

Finance teams in an organization are critical in an organization because they control the flows of money and finance that are critical in enabling or blocking what the organization does. [Emphasis added.]

Finance teams could control the flows of money: Charles and the City of London wanted them to depart fossil fuel-based electricity, manufacturing, infrastructure, and scientific research and development, and move into a burgeoning green speculative bubble.

Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Denmark

Prince Charles at COP15 in 2009. He has helped organize the UN’s climate summits as valuable in setting parameters for “climate change” regulations.

To make this work, Charles and his team developed a series of integrated reporting risks, risks which would supposedly say how dangerous an investment is, strictly from the standpoint of whether it would allegedly increase Climate Change. These are some of what are officially called “*physical risks*,” “*legacy risks*,” “*financial risks*,” “*production risks*,” “*competitive risks*,” “*litigation risks*,” and “*reputational risks*.”

The production of fossil fuel-fired electricity by energy companies is supposed to produce CO₂ emissions and global warming, therefore they are assigned a high

“physical risk,” which is posted in their integrated reporting statement. Simultaneously, environmental organizations are targeting fossil fuel-fired electricity plants for shut-down.

Pay close attention to where this leads! But what about a machine tool company whose energy is supplied by a fossil-fuel energy-fired electricity plant? It is now loaded with the sin of transmitting the “physical” risks of the fossil-fuel electricity company, abetting the fossil-fuel plant by purchasing electricity from it. Further, what about the bank/financial institution that lends to the machine tool company whose fuel source is fossil fuels? It has risks attributed to the fossil fuel plant and machine tool companies, and, according to the accountants, it also faces “financial risks,” because the fossil-fuel plant and the machine tool company may go under, and default, due to their “irremediable” risks. And the bank or financial institutional faces “reputational and litigation risks,” because a group of its stockholders may sue it for contributing to global warming.

A company can be assigned a risk for any activity that contributes to “global warming,” no matter how many steps removed from the supposed global warming-initiating incident it is.

Previously companies reported on their accounting statements and balance sheets, only profit and loss, the size of borrowings and investments, etc., and the financial risks that the company could accrue, based on losses in its business operations.

Now “risk management teams” which the companies have been compelled to hire, may report to the company’s board of directors that the company has serious risks that threaten its stability. Heads of government regulatory bodies, whose teeth have been sharpened on environmentalism, may rule that the company is not in compliance, and must make immediate changes. Credit rating agencies—like S&P Global Ratings (previously Standard & Poor’s) and Moody’s Investor Service—may strip away positive credit ratings. A company will fear being accidentally or otherwise caught up in regulatory action which would cause them to be delisted, put out of business or even prosecuted.

These climate change-connected risks are still in the voluntary phase, but in 2021, some regulatory agencies are pushing to make them mandatory. [We are seeing this being carried out as Covid-19 led to the shutdown of global economies around the world. Due to the size of the United States economy, they were able to shut down small family businesses with relative ease but yet still able to keep the giant companies operating as essential for society.]

All told, the corporatist alliance he pulled together in the leading and most powerful financial institutions in the world represented combined assets under management, or in the case of banks, direct assets of the bank, totaling more than \$30 trillion. The organizations that he lined up to support his objectives had a combined membership of more than 9 million people.

Charles, his personal advisers and academic advisers from Oxford and Cambridge see these “*climate change-related risks*” as the inner disciplinary mechanism that will force companies to shut down production, shift to regressive technologies like windmills, and invest in a worthless green speculative bubble. In the 1990s and the first decade of the 21st Century, almost no one outside of Prince Charles saw the value of this mechanism. Charles now had to get it into the mainstream, through getting mass corporatist support for his plan, and then get the plan to be made mandatory. This required creating the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosure, nestled within the Bank for International Settlements. That part of the plan was achieved in 2015. How?

Creation of Accounting for Sustainability

As noted, Prince Charles established the Accounting for Sustainability (A4S) in 2004 with the support of the HSBC bank (Hong Kong and Shanghai Bank, with an infamous history in the Opium Wars). HSBC lent Prince Charles one Russell Picot, who would be a shared asset, and whom Charles would deploy over the next dozen years. Picot would become HSBC’s Chief Accounting Officer. As the July 2019 *By All Accounts* magazine reported:

The opportunity to combine [Picot’s] personal convictions with the environmental and social aspects of reporting came in 2004 when the Prince of Wales invited HSBC’s chairman, along with some other corporates, to help set up Accounting for Sustainability.

Picot heaped praise on Charles, saying “*The Prince is an extraordinary man.... He has championed environmental and climate issues for many decades.*”

Charles’ A4S set up the Accounting Bodies Network (ABN) as a collaboration between A4S and professional bodies across the globe, including most of the top accounting bodies in the world. Together the Network represents over 2.5 million professional accountants and students across 179 countries, representing two-thirds of the world’s accountants; they support the A4S agenda.

Moreover, through A4S and other organizations he controlled, such as the University of Cambridge Institute for Sustainability, Prince Charles established specialized organizations that ran the gamut behind his “*integrated reporting*” objective: organizations for pension managers; for Chief Financial Officers (called the CFO Leadership Network); for bankers, asset managers, and bond fund investors; for leaders of insurance companies (called ClimateWise); a Center for Sustainable Finance; and so forth.

All told, the corporatist alliance he pulled together in the leading and most powerful financial institutions in the world, represented combined assets under management, or in the case of banks, direct assets of the bank, totaling more than \$30 trillion. The organizations that he lined up to support his objectives had a combined membership of more than 9 million people.

In 2010, Charles launched the International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC), for the sole purpose of bludgeoning corporations and national governments to adopt integrated reporting. His shared asset Russell Picot took a seat on the IIRC steering committee; Sir Michael Peat, Principal Private Secretary to the Prince of Wales, was made IIRC's chairman.

Taking Over Mark and Diana Carney

Mark Carney, former Governor of the Bank of England (2013-2020), is one of the most powerful central bankers of the 21st Century. Carney also served simultaneously as chairman (2011-2018) of the Financial Stability Board (headquartered at the Bank for International Settlements in Switzerland). But Carney became a strong and open supporter of Green Finance only after Prince Charles had indoctrinated and taken him over. Charles was assisted in this by the fact that Diana Fox Carney, the banker's wife and frequently described as an "eco-warrior," answers to the Prince both as chair of the Trustees of Ashden (he is its royal patron, Porritt and Attenborough are her fellow trustees); and as board member of the World Wildlife Fund-UK's Council of Ambassadors. Prince Charles now heads the WWF, founded by his father.

Through Carney, Prince Charles has created the most powerful banker enforcement arm to date for the "Green New Deal". This is the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures, which includes 34 central banks and large private banks and funds, headed by Carney and Sir Michael Bloomberg. Carney aggressively demands that banks enforce the "taxonomy" to cut down all fossil fuel investment, saying repeatedly that companies that don't comply "will cease to exist." [Larry Fink, CEO of Blackrock sent a directive to all of the Fortune 500 companies making that point as a mandate to play ball or plan to go out of business. You might ask how so? He and Michael Bloomberg, of Bloomberg Media wield power in the world of credit and borrowing, such as who can borrow and at what interest rate! Need I say more?]

The story of Prince Charles' takeover of Carney's activity is sketched in *EIR's* October 18, 2019 [profile](#), "Mark Carney, the Prince (Charles) of Central Bankers."

Through Carney, Charles has created the most powerful banker enforcement arm to date for the Green New Deal, the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures. Carney's words at Charles' 70th birthday gathering in 2018 are indicative:

It is to HRH's credit that this happy occasion is marked by a serious discussion of the risks and opportunities posed by climate change and the transition to a low-carbon economy. His Royal Highness has provided inspirational leadership on these critical issues for decades. *Indeed if we had heeded his advice when it was first offered, we might have already solved the Tragedy of the Horizon!*

I have had the great pleasure of engaging with HRH on a number of occasions, and I can think of few people more knowledgeable about the sustainability agenda or as committed to it. *Four years ago, he rightly put me on the spot*, highlighting that climate-related risks will have serious financial impacts, and asking what regulators were doing

about them. HRH's challenges are even more germane today as the impacts of climate change continue to mount and the time to act continues to shorten. [Emphases added.]

In 2017, this central bankers' task force began issuing recommendations to companies to aid them in their disclosures of "pertinent information" related to climate-related risks. The adoption of these integrated reporting disclosures would be voluntary. But in November 2020, the Bank of England issued this statement:

The Bank of England, jointly with the other members of the Government–Regulator TCFD Taskforce, set up to examine the most effective way to approach climate-related financial disclosures, has published an interim report setting out an indicative path towards *mandatory* climate-related disclosures across the UK economy, aligned with the recommendations of the Taskforce on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD). [Emphasis added.]

This is the iron enforcement mechanism—forcing corporations and financial institutions to move out of physical production for human existence, and into an immense green speculative bubble—that the green bankers' dictatorship depends upon.

In the summer of 2018, the huge Wall Street fund manager BlackRock, Inc. took the side of the Prince and Carney, teaming with the latter at the Federal Reserve's Jackson Hole, Wyoming annual bankers' conference to add a deadly new tactic, which the BlackRock executives themselves called "regime change." Central banks should take the field of fiscal spending away from governments which are slow to act. BlackRock's role in Charles' "*re-wiring*" is dealt with in the next section of this report.

Since 2012, Larry Fink has written a series of increasingly urgent annual letters to global CEOs. In the past, critics have suggested that Fink should post his letter to his own employees, since despite the exhortations to boards and senior management teams to concentrate on the long-term, BlackRock managers still pushed for short-term returns. (See ESG investors turn up heat on BlackRock) [ESG stands for environment, social, and government related to the climate-change agenda.]

Perhaps implicitly recognizing those criticisms, Fink this past January talks a lot about what BlackRock itself is now doing. **In his latest letter he asks that companies in which BlackRock invests produce sustainability and climate-related risk disclosures against specified international frameworks and warns:**

"Given the groundwork we have already laid engaging on disclosure, and the growing investment risks surrounding sustainability, we will be increasingly disposed to vote against management and board directors when companies are not making sufficient progress on sustainability-related disclosures and the business practices and plans underlying them."

I did not expect to see the day when I would be more inspired by a 17 year-old Swedish schoolgirl than a president of the USA.

And, of course, this is not an isolated development. A proposed motion for the Barclays AGM in May will ask the bank to phase out its financing of fossil fuel companies that are active agents in driving the climate crisis.

This landmark resolution – the first climate change resolution filed at a European bank – requests that Barclays publish a plan to gradually stop the provision of financial services (including project finance, corporate finance and underwriting) to companies in the energy sector, and to gas and electric utilities that are not aligned with the goals of the Paris climate agreement.

Anyone who has children or grandchildren or godchildren in their teens or twenties won't be surprised by what I have said so far. You can take pot-shots at who might be “manipulating” Greta Thunberg, but the point I would make is that she will be your employee, your customer, your owner, maybe your regulator or even your nemesis, in just a few short years.



Take a very good look at this smiling face, HRH Prince Charles of Wales; he is the man behind the scenes, obscure from the daily news affecting the world population. He is doing it through his Green New Deal!

"The COVID-19 crisis has shown us that our old systems are not fit any more for the 21st century," said World Economic Forum Executive Chairman Klaus Schwab. "In short, we need a great reset."

This past week's Davos event of World vs. Virus was entirely devoted to the launch of the Great Reset - a project to bring the world's best minds together to seek a better, fairer, greener, healthier planet as we rebuild from the pandemic; which as this article has asserted to be the reason for the mortality resulting from what was orchestrated by our own government.

Independent journalist William Fulford states, *"As a result information such as this is now reaching the sheeple:*

A 700,000 person study from Israel showed that the double vaccinated were 27 times more likely to get re-infected with COVID, and data from England, Scotland, and northern countries in Europe show tripled vaccinated were more likely to die. – Richard Urso MD

Also bombshell new peer-reviewed scientific studies have revealed what many of us knew from the beginning: 5G radiation is not only connected to the Covid-19 pandemic, it actually induces the body to create new viruses and illnesses, including coronaviruses. I wrote an article on why one should not own a 5G phone, link here:

[It All Began With GPS](#)

11/20/22

Why You Should Not Buy or Own A 5G Cell Phone!

For those of you with a 5G Cell Phone, it contains a Cesium-137 radioactive isotope microdot that has a half-life of 30.7 years and will give you cancer long before you need to upgrade to anything newer on the market.

Benjamin Fulford continued his remarks with this:

And before the mainstream media gets hold of this study and convinces the masses that it is unimportant, you should know that these are peer-reviewed scientific studies published on the National Institute of Health website.

This means not only the pharmaceutical companies but also the IT companies were involved in an attempt to murder a large percentage of the worlds' population.

This sort of information is coming out in the mainstream now because the KM are losing control of the Fortune 500 companies. KM stands for the Khazarian Mafia.

However, the story runs much deeper than that. The reason Western drug companies are forced to create diseases and then sell the cures is because they are forbidden from producing drugs that make us smarter, happier, stronger etc. A pharmaceutical company executive once told me nothing would be easier than to make drugs that would increase our IQs but that they were forbidden from doing so. He also said making

new recreational drugs that were not harmful “would be incredibly easy.” The reason athletes are banned from “doping” to make themselves stronger, faster etc. is the same.

It is because the Octagon group at the top of the KM is deliberately holding us back as a species. They forbid drugs and genetic changes that would increase IQ etc. They also deliberately keep our lifespans artificially short so we do not have enough time to figure out the control matrix we are subject to.

In other words, defeating the KM will be much bigger than the fall of the Soviet Union. It will change everything. Computers are said to double in processing power every two years. Humans could do the same if they wished to and were allowed to.

We are also about to be liberated from horrific slavery. For example, the US government has been subsidizing child trafficking in all 50 states. This is thanks to the “adoption and safe families act,” signed into law in 1997 by Bill and Hillary Clinton Rockefeller. Over \$80 billion a year is being spent by the Federal Government for this program to give states over one million dollars for every child they seize from a family. The government itself admits that 83% of the children seized are done so under false pretenses.”

In early June, 2020 the World Economic Forum (WEF) and HRH Charles, Prince of Wales, launched the Great Reset initiative, aimed at promoting policies to tighten elitist global financial and economic control—presented as a way to rebuild from the pandemic. This was taking place at the point the world was locked-down in fear of a so-called Rogue Novel Virus. On June 3, the keynote speech was given by Kristalina Georgieva, Managing Director of the International Monetary Fund (IMF). Other speakers included the chief executives of Microsoft, BP, and Mastercard.

Since then, the WEF platform has conducted a series of Great Reset conferences, involving hundreds of speakers, to promote their “rebuilding” theme, while in fact, spelling out specifics of their worldwide Green New Deal—cutting power, industry, agriculture, infrastructure, and other means of life, wrapped up as commitments to goals of Zero Emissions and “new systems.”

All the while this evil campaign is underway, some have mischaracterized the Great Reset threat as a “global health dictatorship.” Some clarification on that is in order, given below.

It must be noted at the outset that the Great Reset is just a pandemic-period continuation of the pre-COVID-19 green, anti-development perspective of banker elites centered in London/Wall Street networks, and Prince Charles in particular, who, after all, is a close collaborator of Klaus Schwab, founder and Chairman of the World Economic Forum. The WEF supports Charles’ Sustainable Markets Council for developing ways to decarbonize the world. Charles gave the keynote on a green future at the 2020 WEF Davos meeting in January. In September, at the time of the UN

General Assembly in New York, the WEF held a forum on “*Realizing a ‘Great Reset’ for Sustainable Development,*” where Charles held forth on how the scale of the Great Reset requires a worldwide green “*Marshall-like plan*” to decarbonize the behavior of consumers, businesses, agriculture, investors, and all.

Featured speakers include



Michael R. Bloomberg
Founder, Bloomberg LP &
Bloomberg Philanthropies



Christiana Figueres
Founder, Global Optimism



Larry Fink
Founder, Chairman, Chief
Executive Officer of
BlackRock, Inc



Kristalina Georgieva
Managing Director,
International Monetary Fund



Antonio Guterres
The ninth Secretary-General
of the United Nations



Christine Lagarde
President of the European
Central Bank

The enforcement arm of this is Green Finance, and among its principal figures is Mark Carney, another pal of HRH Charles. Carney was Governor of the Bank of England (2013-2020), and is on the Foundation Board of the WEF. In 2018, on Charles’ 70th birthday, Carney gave a speech in which he said that, “*His Royal Highness has provided inspirational leadership*” for decades, on the need for a green horizon.

Today Carney—besides doing private banking in his home country of Canada—serves as UN Special Envoy on Climate Action and Finance. After the 2015 Paris Agreement on climate change, an agreement for reducing human existence in the false name of saving the planet from emissions-death, Carney and his banker and billionaire cronies, including Michael Bloomberg, set up a new association to restrict credit so that it goes

for only select green purposes, and not for high-tech energy, industry, agriculture, and infrastructure. It is the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosure.

On November 9, Carney was on the opening panel of a WEF Great Reset event titled, “Green Horizon Summit—The Pivotal Role of Finance.” It ran through November 11, and was co-sponsored by the City of London Corporation, in collaboration with the Green Finance Institute and the WEF. Other speakers on the first panel, which is titled, “Cartography of Post-COVID-19 Green Growth,” include Christine Lagarde, Chairman of the European Central Bank; Andrew Bailey, Governor of the Bank of England; and the IMF’s Georgieva again. On November 10, Bill Gates spoke along with Larry Fink, CEO of BlackRock.

Just as the Great Reset features bankers and billionaires, in their own name, issuing their dictates on what they say is permissible to happen under their green rules, so other leading cartel and financial figures are active in many economic sectors for the Reset. For example, at a Great Reset summit on “Bold Actions for Food as a Force for Good,” November 23-24 (online), the World Wildlife Fund (WWF) is a co-sponsor of a panel asserting the perspective that, unless their activity is limited, humans degrade the Earth. The panel was titled, “Boosting Nature—Positive Production.” This conference is the public relations side of WWF activity around the world, in league, for example, with Cargill—the huge agro-commodities cartel, McDonalds, and others, to dictate how food is produced.

Three Pillars of the Great Reset

In her June 3 [speech](#) at the launch of the Great Reset, Ms. Georgieva stressed three pillars of the initiative. She said, “*From the perspective of the IMF, we have seen a massive injection of fiscal stimulus to help countries deal with this crisis, and to shift gears for growth to return, it is of paramount importance that this growth should lead to a greener, smarter, fairer world in the future [emphasis in the WEF transcript]. She ended her remarks saying, “And I want to say—loud and clear—the best memorial we can build to those who have lost their lives in the pandemic is to build a world that is greener, smarter, and fairer. ...”*

Translated into reality:

With “**greener growth**,” the intent is to bail out the bankrupt financial system with measures creating a Green Bubble generated by massive government spending for an “energy transition” into a “carbon-free” economy. The blueprint for that is the Green Deal policy of the European Commission. Mark Carney is its champion.

Under “**smarter growth**,” special emphasis is given to the digital economy, and overcoming the digital divide among countries in the world. Digital technologies, although important, cannot replace energy, transport, industrial and agriculture improvements, and other basic infrastructure, without which economic growth is impossible, but which the Great Reset ignores.

The third pillar, “**fairer growth**,” emphasizes the “*expansion of social programs.*” Behind the nice words, however, is the intention to manage increased poverty with handouts, rather than eliminating poverty worldwide.

In sum, the Great Reset is just a newer version of the Keynesian policy adopted by Hjalmar Schacht, Hitler’s Finance Minister, to streamline the financial system in such a way as to bail out the assets of the super-rich, ruin the middle class, and destroy the poor. As John Maynard Keynes specified in the German edition of his work in 1936, *The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money*, such policies are best implemented by authoritarian regimes.

Missing the Target

Rather than exposing and rejecting this evil policy in those terms, some have adopted a distorted version of the Great Reset, claiming that it aims at a “*health dictatorship*” run by Bill Gates and the Chinese, to force vaccination on the population and threaten with expropriation those who refuse.

While trans-Atlantic governments have failed to control the pandemic, and are restricting liberties in order to stay in power, it is dangerously misguided to present mandatory vaccination as part of a health dictatorship. Vaccination is an achievement of humanity. It existed well before Bill Gates, and to oppose it because of Bill Gates is like being against motorways because Hitler built them. The no-vax movement is a product of the same “*factory of irrationality*” that has produced radical movements to stop global warming and other imagined threats.

[None the less, distraction or not, the facts are that Bill Gates and Richard Rothschild patented the Covid-19 genome as early as 2015 cannot be ignored and relevant to paralyze and keep leaders off balance in digesting the world’s ability to respond to the World Economic Forum’s plan for a Great Reset. Klaus Schwab himself wrote about Covid-19 being an “opportunity” to unite the world into the global agenda as he wrote in his June, 2020 book on ‘*Covid-19: The Great Reset*’. We have heard it said by others “*not to let a good crisis go to waste!*” He defends his views in the sequel ‘*The Great Narrative: For A Better Future*’]

F. William Engdahl provides us strategic information on BlackRock Inc. and its unelected power to control the **ESG** agenda (environment, social, and government) below:

A virtually unregulated investment firm today exercises more political and financial influence than the Federal Reserve and most governments on this planet. The firm, BlackRock Inc., the world’s largest asset manager, invests a staggering \$10 trillion in client funds worldwide, a sum more than double the annual GDP of the Federal Republic of Germany. This colossus sits atop the pyramid of world corporate ownership, including in China most recently. Since 1988 the company has put itself in a position to de facto control the Federal Reserve, most Wall Street mega-banks, including Goldman Sachs, the Davos World Economic Forum Great Reset, the Biden Administration and, if

left unchecked, the economic future of our world. BlackRock is the epitome of what Mussolini called Corporatism, where an unelected corporate elite dictates top down to the population.

How the world's largest "shadow bank" exercises this enormous power over the world ought to concern us. BlackRock since Larry Fink founded it in 1988 has managed to assemble unique financial software and assets that no other entity has. BlackRock's Aladdin risk-management system, a software tool that can track and analyze trading, monitors more than \$18 trillion in assets for 200 financial firms including the Federal Reserve and European central banks. He who "monitors" also knows, we can imagine. BlackRock has been called a financial "Swiss Army Knife — institutional investor, money manager, private equity firm, and global government partner rolled into one." Yet mainstream media treats the company as just another Wall Street financial firm.

There is a seamless interface that ties the UN Agenda 2030 with the Davos World Economic Forum Great Reset and the nascent economic policies of the Biden Administration. That interface is BlackRock.

Team Biden and BlackRock

By now it should be clear to anyone who bothers to look, that the person who claims to be U.S. President, 78-year old Joe Biden, is not making any decisions. He even has difficulty reading a teleprompter or answering prepared questions from friendly media without confusing Syria and Libya or even whether he is President. He is being micro-managed by a group of handlers to maintain a scripted "image" of a President while policy is made behind the scenes by others. It eerily reminds of the 1979 Peter Sellers film character, Chauncey Gardiner, in Being There.

What are less public are the key policy persons running economic policy for Biden Inc. They are simply said, BlackRock. Much as Goldman Sachs ran economic policy under Obama and also Trump, today BlackRock is filling that key role. The deal apparently was sealed in January, 2019 when Joe Biden, then-candidate and long-shot chance to class Joe," that, *"I'm here to help."*

Now as President in one of his first appointees, Biden named Brian Deese to be the Director of the National Economic Council, the President's main adviser for economic policy. One of the early Presidential Executive Orders dealt with economics and climate policy. That's not surprising, as Deese came from Fink's BlackRock where he was Global Head of Sustainable Investing. Before joining BlackRock, Deese held senior economic posts under Obama, including replacing John Podesta as Senior Adviser to the President where he worked alongside Valerie Jarrett. Under Obama, Deese played a key role in negotiating the Global Warming Paris Accords.

In the key policy post as Deputy Treasury Secretary under Secretary Janet Yellen, we find Nigerian-born Adewale "Wally" Adeyemo. Adeyemo also comes from BlackRock where from 2017 to 2019 he was a senior adviser and Chief of Staff to BlackRock CEO

Larry Fink, after leaving the Obama Administration. His personal ties to Obama are strong, as Obama named him the first President of the Obama Foundation in 2019.

And a third senior BlackRock person running economic policy in the Administration now is also unusual in several respects. Michael Pyle is the Senior Economic Adviser to Vice President Kamala Harris. He came to Washington from the position as the Global Chief Investment Strategist at BlackRock where he oversaw the strategy for investing some \$9 trillion of funds. Before joining BlackRock at the highest level, he had also been in the Obama Administration as a senior adviser to the Undersecretary of the Treasury for International Affairs, and in 2015 became an adviser to the Hillary Clinton presidential bid.

The fact that three of the most influential economic appointees of the Biden Administration come from BlackRock, and before that all from the Obama Administration, is noteworthy. There is a definite pattern and suggests that the role of BlackRock in Washington is far larger than we are being told.

What is BlackRock?

Never before has a financial company with so much influence over world markets been so hidden from public scrutiny. That's no accident. As it is technically not a bank making bank loans or taking deposits, it evades the regulation oversight from the Federal Reserve even though it does what most mega banks like HSBC or JP MorganChase do—buy, sell securities for profit. When there was a Congressional push to include asset managers such as BlackRock and Vanguard Funds under the post-2008 Dodd-Frank law as “systemically important financial institutions” or SIFIs, a huge lobbying push from BlackRock ended the threat. BlackRock is essentially a law unto itself. And indeed it is “systemically important” as no other, with possible exception of Vanguard, which is said to also be a major shareholder in BlackRock.

BlackRock founder and CEO Larry Fink is clearly interested in buying influence globally. He made former German CDU MP Friederich Merz head of BlackRock Germany when it looked as if he might succeed Chancellor Merkel, and former British Chancellor of Exchequer George Osborne as “political consultant.” Fink named former Hillary Clinton Chief of Staff Cheryl Mills to the BlackRock board when it seemed certain Hillary would soon be in the White House.

He has named former central bankers to his board and gone on to secure lucrative contracts with their former institutions. Stanley Fisher, former head of the Bank of Israel and also later Vice Chairman of the Federal Reserve is now Senior Adviser at BlackRock. Philipp Hildebrand, former Swiss National Bank president, is vice chairman at BlackRock, where he oversees the BlackRock Investment Institute. Jean Boivin, the former deputy governor of the Bank of Canada, is the global head of research at BlackRock's investment institute.

BlackRock and the Fed

It was this ex-central bank team at BlackRock that developed an “emergency” bailout plan for Fed chairman Powell in March 2019 as financial markets appeared on the brink of another 2008 “Lehman crisis” meltdown. As “thank you,” the Fed chairman Jerome Powell named BlackRock in a no-bid role to manage all of the Fed’s corporate bond purchase programs, including bonds where BlackRock itself invests. Conflict of interest? A group of some 30 NGOs wrote to Fed Chairman Powell, *“By giving BlackRock full control of this debt buyout program, the Fed... makes BlackRock even more systemically important to the financial system. Yet BlackRock is not subject to the regulatory scrutiny of even smaller systemically important financial institutions.”*

In a detailed report in 2019, a Washington non-profit research group, Campaign for Accountability, noted that, *“BlackRock, the world’s largest asset manager, implemented a strategy of lobbying, campaign contributions, and revolving door hires to fight off government regulation and establish itself as one of the most powerful financial companies in the world.”*

The New York Fed hired BlackRock in March 2019 to manage its commercial mortgage-backed securities program and its \$750 billion primary and secondary purchases of corporate bonds and ETFs in no-bid contracts. U.S. financial journalists Pam and Russ Martens in critiquing that murky 2019 Fed bailout of Wall Street remarked, *“for the first time in history, the Fed has hired BlackRock to “go direct” and buy up \$750 billion in both primary and secondary corporate bonds and bond ETFs (Exchange Traded Funds), a product of which BlackRock is one of the largest purveyors in the world.”* They went on, *“Adding further outrage, the BlackRock-run program will get \$75 billion of the \$454 billion in taxpayers’ money to eat the losses on its corporate bond purchases, which will include its own ETFs, which the Fed is allowing it to buy...”*

Fed head Jerome Powell and Larry Fink know each other well, apparently. Even after Powell gave BlackRock the hugely lucrative no-bid “go direct” deal, Powell continued to have the same BlackRock manage an estimated \$25 million of Powell’s private securities investments. Public records show that in this time Powell held direct confidential phone calls with BlackRock CEO Fink. According to required financial disclosure, BlackRock managed to double the value of Powell’s investments from the year before! No conflict of interest, or?

A Very BlackRock in Mexico

BlackRock’s murky history in Mexico shows that conflicts of interest and influence-building with leading government agencies is not restricted to just the USA. PRI Presidential candidate Peña Nieto went to Wall Street during his campaign in November 2011. There he met Larry Fink. What followed the Nieto victory in 2012 was a tight relationship between Fink and Nieto that was riddled with conflict of interest, cronyism and corruption.

Most likely to be certain BlackRock was on the winning side in the corrupt new Nieto regime, Fink named 52-year-old Marcos Antonio Slim Domit, billionaire son of Mexico’s

wealthiest and arguably most corrupt man, Carlos Slim, to BlackRock's Board. Marcos Antonio, along with his brother Carlos Slim Domit, run the father's huge business empire today. Carlos Slim Domit, the eldest son, was Co-Chair of the World Economic Forum Latin America in 2015, and currently serves as chairman of the board of America Movil where BlackRock is a major investor. Small cozy world.

The father, Carlos Slim, at the time named by Forbes as World's Richest Person, built an empire based around his sweetheart acquisition of Telemex (later America Movil). Then President, Carlos Salinas de Gortari, in effect gifted the telecom empire to Slim in 1989. Salinas later fled Mexico on charges of stealing more than \$10 billion from state coffers.

As with much in Mexico since the 1980s drug money apparently played a huge role with the elder Carlos Slim, father of BlackRock director Marcos Slim. In 2015 WikiLeaks released company internal emails from the private intelligence corporation, Stratfor. Stratfor writes in an April 2011 email, the time BlackRock is establishing its Mexico plans, that a U.S. DEA Special Agent, William F. Dionne confirmed Carlos Slim's ties to the Mexican drug cartels. Stratfor asks Dionne, *"Billy, is the MX (Mexican) billionaire Carlos Slim linked to the narcos?"* Dionne replies, *"Regarding your question, the MX telecommunication billionaire is."* In a country where 44% of the population lives in poverty you don't become the world's richest man in just two decades selling Girl Scout cookies.

Fink and Mexican PPP

With Marcos Slim on his BlackRock board and new president Enrique Peña Nieto, Larry Fink's Mexican partner in Nieto Peña's \$590 billion PublicPrivatePartnership (PPP) alliance, BlackRock, was ready to reap the harvest. To fine-tune his new Mexican operations, Fink named former Mexican Undersecretary of Finance Gerardo Rodriguez Regordosa to direct BlackRock Emerging Market Strategy in 2013. Then in 2016 Peña Nieto appointed Isaac Volin, then head of BlackRock Mexico to be No. 2 at PEMEX where he presided over corruption, scandals and the largest loss in PEMEX history, \$38 billion.

Peña Nieto had opened the huge oil state monopoly, PEMEX, to private investors for the first time since nationalization in the 1930s. The first to benefit was Fink's BlackRock. Within seven months, BlackRock had secured \$1 billion in PEMEX energy projects, many as the only bidder. During the tenure of Peña Nieto, one of the most controversial and least popular presidents, BlackRock prospered by the cozy ties. It soon was engaged in highly profitable (and corrupt) infrastructure projects under Peña Nieto including not only oil and gas pipelines and wells but also including toll roads, hospitals, gas pipelines and even prisons.

Notably, BlackRock's Mexican "friend" Peña Nieto was also "friends" not only with Carlos Slim but with the head of the notorious Sinaloa Cartel, "El Chapo" Guzman. In court testimony in 2019 in New York Alex Cifuentes, a Colombian drug lord who has

described himself as El Chapo's "right-hand man," testified that just after his election in 2012, Peña Nieto had requested \$250 million from the Sinaloa Cartel before settling on \$100 million. We can only guess what for.

Larry Fink and WEF Great Reset

In 2019 Larry Fink joined the Board of the Davos World Economic Forum, the Swiss-based organization that for some 40 years has advanced economic globalization. Fink, who is close to the WEF's technocrat head, Klaus Schwab, of Great Reset notoriety, now stands positioned to use the huge weight of BlackRock to create what is potentially, if it doesn't collapse before, the world's largest Ponzi scam, ESG corporate investing. Fink with \$10 trillion to leverage is pushing the greatest shift of capital in history into a scam known as ESG Investing. The UN "sustainable economy" agenda is being realized quietly by the very same global banks which have created the financial crises in 2008. This time they are preparing the Klaus Schwab WEF Great Reset by steering hundreds of billions and soon trillions in investment to their hand-picked "woke" companies, and away from the "not woke" such as oil and gas companies or coal. BlackRock since 2018 has been in the forefront to create a new investment infrastructure that picks "winners" or "losers" for investment according to how serious that company is about **ESG—Environment, Social values and Governance.**

Many examples are occurring in real time presently. Just a couple days ago, the Netherlands announced the shutdown of Europe's largest gas field even as the energy crisis worsens. Remember it was Joe Biden who shut down the Keystone XL pipeline which President Trump stated made the U.S. energy independence! Biden reversed that and now you know the reason.

Thursday, January 26, 2023



Europe has not been this short on energy supplies for decades, but thanks to a series of self-inflicted, politically motivated decisions, the continent is now on the brink of total economic collapse for want of more energy.

For years, European countries driven by insane left-wing 'climate change' ideology have been steadily shuttering power generation centers run by fossil fuels and replacing them with far less efficient and wholly unreliable "green" energy alternatives. Wind and solar farms may assuage liberal consciences, but they do not produce enough power by themselves to replace coal- and natural gas-fired plants, to say nothing of zero-emission nuclear power plants.

But it's not that Europeans were using a lot fewer fossil fuels for energy. Instead, European countries were buying much of it from *Russia*, thinking that as long as *they* weren't producing it and someone else was, then *they* could claim how they were 'taking care of the planet' when, of course, it was all just a sick left-wing charade.

After Russia invaded Ukraine and the United States demanded that its European allies impose energy sanctions on Russia, Vladimir Putin no longer supplied Europeans with the natural gas they need to heat their homes and power their economies. Mind you, Putin is still selling energy — mostly to China and India — so he hasn't been hurt too badly. Europe is a different story, however.

And now, the continent's ability to supply itself with enough fossil fuel energy to sustain itself is about to take another self-inflicted hit, as reported this week by *OilPrice.com*:

The Dutch government plans to close the Groningen gas field this year despite Europe's precarious supply position. Groningen is the largest gas field in Europe. The field is dangerous, a government official from the Hague *told the 'Financial Times'*, and the government has no plans to boost production from it.

Production from Groningen has been curtailed substantially, and there were plans in place to phase out production altogether because of increased seismic activity in the vicinity of the field even before the energy crisis began in 2021. As gas prices began to climb in the autumn of 2021 and then took off in the spring of 2022, some began speculating that the Netherlands could keep the field operating to contribute to filling the gap in gas supply left by Russian pipeline deliveries.

"We won't open up more because of the safety issues," Hans Vijbrief told the *'Financial Times'*. *"It is politically totally unviable. But apart from that, I'm not going to do it because it means that you increase the chances of earthquakes, which I don't want to be responsible for."*

"It's very, very simple: everybody who has some knowledge of earthquake danger tells me that it's really very dangerous to keep on producing there. I'm quite convinced it's wise to close it down," Vijbrief added.

Production from the Groningen site has been cut back significantly, and there were already plans in place to shut it down altogether due to seismic activity in the region of the field ahead of the energy crisis that worsened last year.

“As gas prices began to climb in the autumn of 2021 and then took off in the spring of 2022, some began speculating that the Netherlands could keep the field operating to contribute to filling the gap in gas supply left by Russian pipeline deliveries,” ‘OilPrice.com’ reported.

Apparently, that’s not going to happen. According to the FT, there have been around 100 quakes in the vicinity of the Groningen field since the 1980s, resulting in around 150,000 claims for property damage.

So, rather than find a new field, the Dutch have decided just to shut down Groningen and, perhaps, keep their fingers crossed and hope for the best that someone will be able to step in and provide more “politically viable” energy.

Recently Porter Stansberry came out of retirement and recently posted a video on Larry Fink and Michael Bloomberg. You can learn more about this evil pair in the video below:

[Click play to watch The Two Men Destroying America](#)

Save your link in case it disappears from your screen. Twice the video link disappeared when I was trying to watch it. On the third try I was able to view the complete video. It corroborates what we know from F. William Engdahl’s report on Larry Fink and BlackRock Co.

Continuing Mr. Engdahl’s investigation and analysis of Black Rock Co.

For example a company gets positive ratings for the seriousness of its hiring gender diverse management and employees, or takes measures to eliminate their carbon “footprint” by making their energy sources green or sustainable to use the UN term. How corporations contribute to a global sustainable governance is the most vague of the **ESG**, and could include anything from corporate donations to Black Lives Matter to supporting UN agencies such as WHO. Oil companies like ExxonMobil or coal companies no matter how clear are doomed as Fink and friends now promote their financial Great Reset or Green New Deal. This is why he cut a deal with the Biden presidency in 2019.

Follow the money. And we can expect that the ‘*New York Times*’ will cheer BlackRock on as it destroys the world financial structures. Since 2017 BlackRock has been the paper’s largest shareholder. Carlos Slim was second largest. Even Carl Icahn, a ruthless Wall Street asset stripper, once called BlackRock, “*an extremely dangerous company... I used to say, you know, the mafia has a better code of ethics than you guys.*”

F. William Engdahl is strategic risk consultant and lecturer, he holds a degree in politics from Princeton University and is a best-selling author on oil and geopolitics, exclusively for the online magazine *"New Eastern Outlook"*.

At the November 1st, 2021 COP26 meetings in Glasgow, Scotland, Billionaire Jeff Bezos and girlfriend Lauren Sanchez flew by private jet to discuss climate change with Prince Charles over a cup of tea on the eve of Cop26 - days after he and 50 guests flew choppers to Bill Gates' superyacht birthday bash.

- **Jeff Bezos and the British royal were seen talking on Sunday night inside a Scottish mansion near Glasgow**
- **According to a post on Instagram, the pair discussed fighting climate change ahead of the Cop26 summit**
- **The meeting comes two days after Bezos was criticized for celebrating Bill Gates' 66th birthday in Turkey on the Microsoft founder's superyacht**
- **While in Turkey, Bezos reportedly travelled from his own yacht by private helicopter to attend the party**
- **Prince Charles spoke on Sunday in Rome ahead of the Cop26 climate summit in Glasgow, urging world leaders to take action on climate change before making the trip to Scotland**
- **Last month, his son - Prince William - rebuked the billionaire space race, saying: *"We need some of the world's greatest brains and minds fixed on trying to repair this planet, not trying to find the next place to go and live"***

Billionaire **Jeff Bezos** and his girlfriend Lauren Sanchez met with Britain's **Prince Charles** on the eve of the Cop26 climate summit in Glasgow, after jetting into Scotland on a \$65 million private jet.

The meeting came two days after the Amazon founder was in Turkey celebrating the 66th birthday of fellow-billionaire **Bill Gates** as the pair vacationed on superyachts.

And despite touting the importance on taking climate action, Bezos arrived in Scotland on Sunday at Prestwick Airport in his \$65 million Gulfstream, leading a 400-strong parade of carbon-emitting private jets flying in for the summit during which the world's leaders will hold crunch-talks over the global climate crisis.

The trio were seen in a photograph shared on Bezos's social media pages enjoying a cup of tea at Dumfries House, a Scottish country mansion that is a roughly 40 minute journey by car to Glasgow.

In the photograph, Bezos and Sanchez are seen seated on priceless blue Chippendale armchairs surrounded by ornate portraits hanging in the Resplendent Blue Drawing room of the country home that the Prince of Wales had renovated as part of the Prince's Trust before reopening it to the public in 2012.

Bezos is seen with a broad smile as the heir to the British crown gestures close to a cup of tea that is perched on top of a small table, while a casual looking Sanchez leans forward, listening intently.

*“The Prince of Wales has been involved in fighting **climate change** and protecting our beautiful world for five decades — far longer than most,”* Bezos gushed on Twitter and Instagram along with the photograph.

“We had a chance to discuss these important issues on the eve of #COP26 — looking for solutions to heal our world, and how the @BezosEarthFund can help.”

Earlier in the day, Prince Charles delivered a speech in Rome for the G20 summit - which saw the likes of U.S. President Joe Biden, British Prime Minister Boris Johnson and French President Emmanuel Macron convene ahead of Cop26 - before also taking a private jet to Glasgow.



Pictured: Billionaire Jeff Bezos (center) and girlfriend Lauren Sanchez (right) meet with Britain's Prince Charles (left) last night in Dumfries House's Blue Drawing Room on the eve of the Cop26 climate summit in Glasgow. *“We had a chance to discuss these important issues on the eve of #COP26 — looking for solutions to heal our world, and how the @BezosEarthFund can help”.*

The jets arriving in Scotland on Sunday caused an extraordinary traffic jam which forced empty planes to fly 30 miles to find space to park. Conservative estimates suggest the fleet jets arriving for COP26 will blast out 13,000 tons of carbon dioxide in total.

Other private jets blasted in to Scotland from destinations including Stockholm, Rome, London and Brussels - which are all served by regular commercial routes. The majority of the planes were hired from rental firms, making it difficult to work out who was on board. The problem is the elite do not fly commercial!

There was so much air traffic that some jets dropped off VIPs at Glasgow Airport and then flew 30 miles to nearby Prestwick due to a shortage of parking. Some also landed in Edinburgh, before presumably travelling by land.



Amazon airways: Jeff Bezos' Gulfstream private jet - which reportedly cost him \$65 million - arrives at Prestwick Airport near Glasgow on Sunday ahead of the Cop26 climate summit. For those of us ordering from Amazon, he has ended his charity support of customer designated contributions. The price of jet fuel at the pump is taking a bite out of Jeff's travel budget, and something has to give! But keep in mind Jeff Bezos has pledge \$10-billion to the Prince's coffers to fight climate change.

It's difficult for almost everyone to believe that the Prince could even be considered as the Antichrist referred to in the book of Revelation. He does not seem to be the type but since 1998, that has been my suggestion.

The link here will provide nearly 60 pages of supportive information that one can read and consider for themselves whether Prince Charles of Wales is the Biblical Antichrist. The link here was posted on 02/26/20: [The Satanic Talmud & The Synagogue of Satan--Part 6](#)

The world fawns over this creep simply because he is perceived as Royalty. Greg Hallett, author of *'Hitler Was A British Agent'* reveals the Royals to be some of the sickest people on the planet. The Queen was buried in a lead coffin when she died in September, 2022; this was done to prevent authorities from exhuming her body for her DNA at some future time. The Royal family lines are laced with incest and sexual relations outside the traditional Royal family narrative. Prince Andrew, Charles brother is not the only sexual deviate in the family of pedophiles, sexual perverts, **his real mistake was to be photographed with Jeffrey Epstein and caught in the Epstein logs.**

This recently led Prince Charles to order his brother to move out of Buckingham Palace. *"First his office closed last year and now his sleeping quarters,"* the insider told the *'Sun'*. *"Andrew loved having a suite at Buckingham Palace, where he was not only set up for marital life with Sarah Ferguson but used it as a bachelor's pad after his divorce."*

"He brought back a string of new girlfriends to his home in the palace — even model Caprice. A bachelor's flat in St James' Palace doesn't have the same allure for a single man," the source added.

If Andrew wishes to stay in London, Charles has reportedly told him that his only other option is his other royal residence, St. James'. Poor chap indeed!

Andrew, who was barred from using his HRH ("His Royal Highness") moniker following his association with pedophile Epstein, also lost his patronages last year.

While he is still a Royal Knight of the Garter (Senior Masonic Lodge of the world) and a Knight Grand Cross of the Royal Victorian Order, he was told face-to-face by his mother that he would no longer be known as His Royal Highness.

The monarch, who was 94 when she died in September, broke the news to the father of two at Windsor Castle in January last year. That same month, she also took away his military titles.

"With the Queen's approval and agreement, the Duke of York's military affiliations and royal patronages have been returned to the Queen," Buckingham Palace said in a statement at the time.

The retraction of Andrew's official royal labels came amid his sexual assault case filed by Virginia Giuffre, who has long claimed that Epstein made her have "disgusting" sex with the royal three times, starting in 2001 when she was just 17.

Andrew's lawyers say he *"unequivocally denies Giuffre's false allegations against him."* He settled the case out of court for an undisclosed sum last February.

Bezos and Microsoft founder Gates were slammed as hypocrites for lecturing the world on the need to combat climate change by reducing the carbon footprint, while at the same jet-setting to Turkey and staying on the superyachts - each of which emits around 7,020 tons of carbon dioxide per year, or 19 tons per day.

Bezos, who has pledged \$10 billion to his Earth Fund aimed at addressing climate change, reportedly travelled from Gokova to the resort town of Fethiye by private helicopter. The jet fuel used to power helicopters emits 21.095 pounds of carbon dioxide per gallon burned.



Pictured: A map showing Mr. Bezos' journeys on Friday in Turkey (bottom-left) and from Turkey to Glasgow on Sunday (main). The Amazon founder is said to have made the 120-mile round trip journey by chopper from Gokova to the resort town of Fethiye on Friday. The jet fuel used to power helicopters emits 21.095 pounds of carbon dioxide per gallon burned. Since helicopters use up approximately 10.75 miles per gallon,

Bezos' helicopter emitted some 215 pounds of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere. On Sunday, he then flew the roughly 2,000 miles from Gokova.

Social media users reacted with fury, noting that Bezos and Gates were contradicting their own statements about the need to tackle climate change. Prince Charles plans to ban all commercial flights in the coming years. Say goodbye to the tourist and travel industry after learning that bit of forthcoming news from Prince Charlie, a.k.a. **"Son of Perdition!"** -(John 17:12; 2nd Thessalonians 2:3).

What was it comedian George Carlin said back in the 1970s?

"It's A BIG Club & You Ain't In It!"


Don't let anyone deceive you for one second; Covid-19 vaxxes was a "bioweapon" to "Depopulate" as much as possible of the world's population. I said that from Day 1, when President Donald J. Trump declared the so-called State of Emergency; which by the way, keeps being extended needlessly by the doppelganger in the current administration calling himself the president!

All of the weird stuff occurring over the past three years has been done to keep the world economies in decline, particularly here in the U.S. Whether it was supply chain issues, arson fires that destroyed over 120 food production facilities all around the U.S. mainland, oil plant explosions and fires, pipeline breaks disrupting production and distribution, curtailment of chemical fertilizers needed for agriculture, shortages of such things as baby formula, eggs, fresh fruits, and items not available at your favorite fast food outlets, restaurants closing on weekends, salads not on the menu at Cracker Barrel, and the list goes on and on. They are all part of the **"Build Back Better"** plan of Prince Charles and Nazi Klaus Schwab Rothschild. The most recent event over the weekend was a fire at the largest egg supplier in Connecticut on January 29, 2023.

Fortunately, out of all this diabolical nonsense about the Great Reset it is not going to be quite as these evil demented liars have planned for humanity. I have been studying the Bible far too long to be deceived into thinking that all of this is for our well-being. This prince who would be king is just another pedophile, sodomized, sodomizer who has managed to deceive the world as to his true identity. Monte Judah was the first to tag this prince as the Bible's Antichrist. Tim Cohen in 1998 documented this as well in his book *'The Antichrist & A Cup of Tea'*. The book was banned in the UK for obvious reasons. Don't try to buy the book at Amazon as they advertise one copy at \$200. It's a great book but I paid \$20 for my copy back in 1998, and the Amazon price is a rip off!

If you have stayed with me to this point in understanding what is about to happen, I ask anyone reading this to consider the illustration message below. This could be your last opportunity to escape God's judgment upon an unbelieving world and disobedient Israel. What I have shared here in this article is all true. Anyone can find the supportive documents, history, geo-politics, if you know how to research the "key" words that take you to all the answers. Feel free to share it with your friends, family, co-workers, and

whoever will read and listen! If you have never read the Bible, get yourself a inexpensive King James Bible, or a New American Standard translation or a New English translation and read the Gospel of John, the fourth book of the New Testament. God's gift is irresistible as we are closing in on the End of Days. God had a plan to "remove" Bible believers in which Jesus Christ will literally "snatch victory from the jaws of defeat". A great reference on the Internet is the "Blue Letter Bible" from which you can read the entire Bible in more than a dozen translations.



**ADMIT THAT YOU
ARE A SINNER.**

**BELIEVE THAT JESUS
PAID FOR YOUR SIN
ON THE CROSS. †**

**CONFESS THAT JESUS
IS LORD AND CALL UPON
HIM FOR SALVATION.**

To pray the ABCs of salvation now, you will now become part of Christ Jesus' true Church, and you can look forward to 1,000 years of life ahead free of the evil that has prevailed in the world for six millennia. The Millennium ahead will be a period of peace, harmony, joy, and rest. It will be free of the curse that came with Adam & Eve being tricked by Satan. We will experience a regenerated body minus all the frailties, diseases, and weaknesses that comes with what is known as "Entropy". Feel free to ask any question you want to ask. My email is EvanTeachr@aol.com

Blessings and God Bless,

Pastor Bob, EvanTeachr@aol.com
www.pastorbobreid.com

