

The Blessed Hope!

“Looking for that blessed hope, and the glorious appearing of the great God and our Saviour Jesus Christ;” –(Titus 2:13)

Diamond & Nugget #234

More Climate Pseudo-Science Bovine Excrement The Antichrist Agenda in Full Swing!

WEF Orders Govt's To Begin Putting 'Cigarette-Style' Warnings on Meat Products

November 11, 2023

The World Economic Forum has ordered government's to begin putting cigarette-style warning labels on all meat products to discourage the public from consuming meat.

The new labels will read: **“Warning: Eating meat contributes to climate change.”**

The WEF-funded scientists, who falsely claim meat consumption is detrimental to health and the environment, began pushing for the labels after conducting a study at the UK's Durham University.

The scientists took a group of 1,000 meat-eating adults and put them into four groups. Depending on what group a participant was in, they were shown photos of hot meals assigned climate, health, or pandemic warning labels or no warning label at all.

“All the labels deterred meat consumption, with 7-10 percent of the participants choosing a non-meat meal.” However, when participants were asked how anxiety-inducing and believable they found each of the labels, they reported the climate change warning as the most believable.

Thefederalist.com reports: This prompted the scientists to advocate for government-mandated climate change warning labels on meat. *“Reaching net zero is a priority for the nation and the planet,”* said study author Jack Hughes. *“As warning labels have already been shown to reduce smoking as well as drinking of sugary drinks and alcohol, using a warning label on meat-containing products could help us achieve this if introduced as national policy.”*

Climate activists are ramping up their war on meat every day. Efforts to stigmatize meat-based diets as socially unacceptable and even unhealthy have become their latest

strategy to curb consumption. Former UN Climate Chief Christiana Figueres suggested in 2018 that society should ostracize meat carnivore eaters in the same way it did smokers. “How about restaurants in 10-15 years start treating carnivores the same way that smokers are treated,” she said. “If they want to eat meat, they can do it outside the restaurant.”

Some climate activists are embracing far more dystopian means to eliminate the more than two million-year tradition of meat as a staple in the human diet. WEF-linked “bioethicist” Dr. Matthew Liao proposed the idea of scientists genetically modifying humans to be allergic to meat. Liao also discussed shrinking the physical size of humans via eugenics or hormone injections so they consume fewer resources.

Few know that the C40 Cities Climate Leadership Group, a globalist Michael Bloomberg-run climate organization made up of nearly 100 cities across the globe, including 14 American cities, has a goal of completely eradicating meat and dairy consumption by 2030.

In June, the U.S. Agriculture Department approved the consumption and sale of lab-grown meat in restaurants and, eventually, supermarkets. Ironically, “Analysis finds the carbon footprint of cultivated meat is likely to be higher than beef if current production methods are scaled up because they are still highly energy-intensive,” reports New Scientist.

Meat Is Good For You

By virtually every standard, protein from meat, which has been the basis of the human diet since the inception of the species, is far superior to plant protein. Dr. Benjamin Bikman, author of the 2020 book *Why We Get Sick: The Hidden Epidemic at the Root of Most Chronic Disease—and How to Fight It*, explained why on “The Ultimate Health Podcast.”

“By every metric, every single animal protein is superior to every single plant protein,” Bikman said. *“A person can eat a modest amount of animal protein and know that they are literally getting every single amino acid they could possibly need in a good ratio. If it’s plant protein, well, then you kind of have to guess, and you hope you’re getting it all.”*

Plant proteins, Bikman added, *“are enriched with things called ‘anti-nutrients,’”* which are *“molecules that will inhibit the intestines’ ability to digest the protein.”*

“So that’s kind of adding insult to injury,” Bikman explained, *“because when someone’s trying to get all their protein from plant proteins, not only are they getting an inferior source of amino acids and an inferior profile of amino acids, they’re not even digesting the amino acids in the proteins they think they’re getting.”*

While academic researchers on far-left campuses from Durham to Harvard lead the assault on meat, the evidence to suggest meat-based diets are detrimental to human health fails to stand close scrutiny. In her book, *The Big Fat Surprise: Why Butter, Meat*

and Cheese Belong in a Healthy Diet, nutrition journalist Nina Teicholz spent almost a decade researching the science behind health authorities' recommendations for a low-fat, meat-restricted diet. Her findings were breathtaking.

"Almost nothing that we commonly believe today about fats generally and saturated fat in particular appears, upon close examination, to be accurate," she wrote.

Teicholz outlined how the data to support a low-fat diet was manipulated with selective findings to back pre-determined conclusions. The landmark Seven Countries study, for example, the legacy project of American Physiologist Ancel Keys to support a low-fat diet, omitted data from 15 countries that would have contradicted any correlation between dietary fat and heart disease.

Further, a paper published by the National Library of Medicine in April debunked the conventional narrative that red meat consumption is responsible for the proliferation of non-communicable diseases. Researchers assessed mean meat intake in different regions of the world and found that while some academics claim red meat is hazardous to human health, only slight increases in disease risk were reported in areas where meat consumption was well above the global average. Even then, *"there is little to no effect on absolute risk,"* they wrote, *"and the certainty of evidence remains low to very low based on the best available summary evidence."*

"Regrettably, the scientific discussion on the potential associations between meat and noncommunicable diseases is often no longer a transparent assessment of the evidence, but is affected by agendas, including vested interests and ideologies," they concluded.

Meat Is Good For The Planet

If there's any confusion about environmentalists being on the side of big food, a quick glance at this year's list of "100 Best Corporate Citizens" based on Environmental, Social, and Governance Standards (ESG) will remove any doubt.

The list ranks 1,000 of the largest U.S. public companies every year on their compliance and transparency efforts to *"align with the Sustainable Development Goals and rebuild an equitable economy post-pandemic."* The nation's largest food corporations routinely rank highly on the list despite their use of endocrine-disrupting pesticides that are terrible for the environment.

This year's list celebrated seven major food processors, including PepsiCo, which was 6th, Hershey at 10, Mondelez at 45, Kellogg at 40, and General Mills at 49. Kraft Heinz was listed at 61, and Coca-Cola at 79.

Sustainably raised livestock, meanwhile, are actually good for the planet. In his book, Food Fix: How to Save Our Health, Our Economy, Our Communities, and Our Planet — One Bite at a Time, Dr. Mark Hyman writes about the important benefits of regenerative farm practices on both our health and planet.

“Regenerative grass-fed meat can restore ecosystems, improving soils while sucking carbon from the atmosphere and increasing water storage in soils,” Hyman wrote, urging readers to “choose regeneratively raised animal products whenever possible.”

“They are better for you and better for the animals and help draw down carbon and reverse climate change,” Hyman added.

Despite the fear-mongering over global livestock emissions, a trio of Spanish researchers published a study in April finding emissions from wildlife comparable to domesticated animals raised in natural grazing systems. In other words, contrary to climate alarmists’ warnings that livestock capital will pollute the planet into an environmental apocalypse, the elimination of animal emissions requires the extinction of natural species.

Warning Labels Belong On Biscuits, Not Brisket

If the government steps in to slap warning labels on anything at grocery stores to manipulate the American diet, it should be ultra-processed foods. Often saturated in seed oils and several different kinds of added sugars while deprived of fiber and healthy fat, these toxic ultra-processed products make up nearly three-fourths of the U.S. food supply. It’s no wonder 6 in 10 Americans suffer from at least one chronic disease while 4 in 10 suffer from at least two.

For years, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) allowed food manufacturers to market chemically processed grains drenched in sugary syrups as cereal with a “healthy” label slapped on the box. If you want to know why the most sugary cereals are lined up at waist height in the grocery store, take a look next time at who walks next to parents down the “breakfast” aisle. Hint: it’s exactly who cereal companies want to beg their parents to buy the Fruit Loops.

Researchers from Spain, Brazil, and the United States published a paper in the British Medical Journal last month examining 281 studies across 36 countries and found the prevalence of food addiction “similar to the levels of addiction seen for other legal substances in adults.”

While not officially recognized by the American Psychiatric Association’s diagnostic guide on mental disorders, commonly known as the DSM-5, researchers analyzed food addiction using the Yale Food Addiction Scale (YFAS). The YFAS assesses all 11 criteria for substance use disorders outlined in the DSM-5 to examine compulsive food consumption as a legitimate substance disorder. Researchers concluded in their October paper that levels of food addiction rival rates of addiction to alcohol and cigarettes. Ultra-processed products high in refined carbohydrates and added fats were the most likely to be found addictive, stimulating a dopamine response “*seen with addictive substances such as nicotine and alcohol.*”

But forget cigarettes. Let's talk about cocaine. A 2013 paper from French researchers found sugar can stimulate a reward response in the brain stronger than that of even cocaine. Believe artificial sugar sweeteners are the antidote? Think again. The 2013 paper identified "sweetness," not necessarily just "sugar," as the culprit stimulant. Their findings corroborated similar conclusions in another landmark study on sugar and its addictive value by French researchers in 2007.

Beyond addiction, ultra-processed foods are dangerous. A February study from London's Imperial School of Public Health linked consumption of ultra-processed products to early mortality. In other words, those Pillsbury Biscuits might not kill you tomorrow, but they may take over 30 years. If an ultra-processed diet doesn't kill you early, it's certain to make you sick. Another 2021 paper from Brazil found higher consumption of ultra-processed food and drinks was *"positively associated with obesity and associated with the development of all [noncommunicable chronic diseases], mainly hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia."*

Ultimately, the scientists pushing for meat warning labels are anti-science. Meat is healthy and good for the planet. If labels are to be put on anything, it should be ultra-processed foods. Since these scientists are not interested in warning the public about the foods that are causing obesity and chronic disease, that suggests they are not interested in genuine wellness and are instead pushing an agenda and a dangerous, unhealthy one at that.

WEF Warns 'Climate Change' Causing Sudden Heart Attack Surge

The World Economic Forum has published a new study claiming that the sudden spike in fatal heart attacks and strokes are caused by 'man-made climate change.'

The [WEF-funded](#) UPenn, which is also [financed](#) by Bill Gates, published the results of its study in the Journal of the American Heart Association (JAHA).

The WEF team of scientists, led by Sameed Ahmed M. Khatana, concluded that *"climate change is causing an increase in extreme heat."*

They claim that this *"increase in extreme heat"* is triggering a *"deadly wave"* in *"heat-associated cardiovascular deaths in the United States."*

NN reports: According to Khatana and his Bill Gates-funded team, cardiovascular deaths linked to extreme heat could triple to almost 5,500 extra deaths per year by 2050.

The scientists insist that taking action now to tackle the so-called *"climate crisis"* could help to lower the numbers of deaths caused by soaring heart attacks and strokes.

However, they admit that heat-related cardiovascular deaths are still likely to more than double to 4,300 extra deaths per year even if the United States stays on its current planned path of green agenda emissions reductions.

The researchers warn that even more must be done to accelerate the WEF's "Net Zero" targets to slow down the alleged heat-related deaths.

Khatana, a cardiologist and assistant professor at the University of Pennsylvania's Perelman School of Medicine, argues that those who are "*most vulnerable*," such as black Americans, are most at risk from the cardiovascular death wave.

"The public health impact of climate change is falling on individuals who live on the margins of our society," says Khatana.

"Any policy action or mitigation efforts really need to be tailored towards individuals who are most vulnerable."

The prediction originates with Khatana's group at the University of Pennsylvania.

The team [previously modeled](#) the relationship between current deaths from heart attack and stroke and the rising number of "*extreme heat days*" (defined as having a heat index—a measure of apparent temperature that is a product of ambient temperature and relative humidity—at or above 90 degrees Fahrenheit).

Using data from the 3,108 counties in the contiguous U.S. between 2008 and 2017, they found rising rates of cardiovascular deaths along with a trend of increasing numbers of extreme heat days.

By 2019, they said, there were 54 extreme heat days per year, and 1,651 people died annually as a result.

However, despite the claims linking the deaths to "*climate change*," data for heart attacks and stroke deaths have only been recorded in recent years.

Temperate data has been recorded for much longer and records show that recent summer heats are nothing out of the ordinary.

The data for average temperatures has only been recorded for roughly 150 years and it still shows past summers have been far hotter.

The summer [heatwave of 1936](#) for example, was in an entirely different league to this year's temperatures, which were fairly normal.

Before the 19th century, there were no temperature records for North America at all, making it impossible to compare "extreme heat" data.

As The People's Voice previously [reported](#), cardiovascular deaths have been soaring globally since 2021.

The spike has led some to suggest that Covid has been responsible for the spike.

However, a recent major new autopsy-based study concluded that COVID-19 is not responsible for the “explosion” in heart-related deaths since the pandemic.

Renowned cardiologist Dr. Peter McCullough [commented](#) on the study by arguing that the results “should be the nail in the coffin in ruling out COVID-19 illness as a cause of fatal myocarditis.”

“Despite the virus being found in heart tissue, it was not causing significant inflammation,” he said.

This means that the “*explosion of fatal myocarditis*” as inferred from the reports and autopsies of unexplained cardiac arrest, “*must have another explanation than SARS-CoV-2 infection,*” he added.

McCullough argues that the mRNA shots that were rolled out to the public during the pandemic are responsible for the soaring heart attack deaths.

“The only new proven cause of heart damage in human populations is COVID-19 vaccination,” McCullough asserts.

“Vaccines used in America (Pfizer, Moderna, Janssen, Novavax) have been demonstrated to cause myocarditis as published in the peer-reviewed literature.”

Autopsies of vaccinated patients have found clear evidence of strong expression of spike protein in heart muscle.

This evidence, [according to](#) Dr. Michael Palmer and Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi, “*correlates with significant inflammation and tissue destruction.*”

From the archives of Pastor Bob's Studies on Deliverance before Judgment / Pre-Tribulation Rapture

Why the Rapture Occurs Before the Revealing of the Antichrist!

Before covering the Biblical Typology of Exodus, I want to point out that the Bible actually explains how to study and interpret Bible prophecy. Amos 8:11 describes that a “**famine for hearing the Word**” would occur in the last days. The probable cause for this was briefly mentioned in Part 13-14 of Deliverance before Judgment. Theological seminaries do not teach their students Bible prophecy. Because of this lack of thorough Biblical training, the strength of spiritual growth for future congregations which they will one day serve will become limited and even hobbled.

Even with personally possessing nearly eleven years of formal theological education almost none of it was devoted to the subject of Biblical prophecy. However, this negligence in curriculum has not held me back in the least or prevented me from studying Bible prophecy on my own, which I have done now for over fifty years. However, this is not to diminish or devalue formal theological training at all. Those years of training equipped me with foundational skills, knowledge, and training which are absolutely needed to aid in the understanding of Bible prophecy.

God has not left us without clues to the deeper understandings in His Word and these are often discovered in Bible prophecy.

- **“The things that hath been, it is that which shall be and that which is done is that which shall be done: and there is no new thing under the sun”.** – (Ecclesiastes 1:9).
- **“And I that am the LORD thy God from the land of Egypt yet make thee to dwell in tabernacles, as in the days of the solemn feast. I have also spoken by the prophets, and I have multiplied visions, and used similitudes, by the ministry of the prophets.”** –(Hosea 12:9-10).
- **“Let no man therefore judge you in meat, or in drink, or in respect of an holy day, or of the new moon, or of the Sabbath days: (the Jewish Festivals) Which are a shadow of things to come: but the body is of Christ.”** -Colossians 2:16-17).

The Apostle Paul, himself of Jewish background –(Philippians 3:5), may very well have eaten ham sandwiches. This delivers a decisive blow to the religious belief that a vegetarian diet is a Biblically binding law even today. Several religious groups require that a vegetarian diet be practiced among their congregants. But is this true for believers today or should this be viewed simply a lifestyle preference? God gave special food laws to Israel which are recorded in the Old Testament, but they were given particularly to keep God’s people separate from other nations.

This approach would no longer be productive in the New Testament period in light of Paul’s mission strategy which was to unite Jew and Gentile together in one body under Christ. Its principle of moral separation could be retained without cultural separation. Furthermore, health and food safety may have been a consideration then that is no longer a significant factor today because we have modern-day refrigeration. Food and our feelings about it, or any scruples that are not specifically condemned in Scripture, are not worth arguing about, flaunting, or judging - these should never be allowed to tear down other believers or tear apart the church. It is wrong for one believer to insist on his or her freedom when it causes others to stumble. –(Rom 14:20-22). Nonetheless, cults like the Seventh Day Adventists and others still continue to stress the importance of adhering to a vegetarian lifestyle implying that it is God’s approved way for humanity today.

- **“Now all these things happened unto them for ensamples, and they are written for our admonition, upon whom the ends of the world are come.”** – (1st Corinthians 10:11).
- **“For whatsoever things were written aforetime were written for our learning that patience and comfort of the Scriptures might have hope.”** – (Romans 15:4). The emphasis here is “for our learning” to give believers “hope”!

Even so, if it causes someone else to fall, then put it aside for the other’s sake (see also 1st Corinthians 10:23-24; 1st Corinthians 10:31-32). Therefore, mature believers would want to consider avoiding eating meat or drinking wine or doing anything else if it might cause another Christian to stumble. Truly strong believers can restrict their freedoms for the sake of others when such occasions arise where conduct or choice could result in an unintended offense.

In those areas of disagreement, Paul counsels believers to keep their beliefs between themselves and God. The brother or sister who believes in certain freedoms should not be trying to influence others with scruples to “loosen up.” Those bothered by some actions should not be judging or condemning those with freedom, nor should they be trying to force their scruples on the entire church. Instead **all believers should seek a clear conscience before God**. Believers who do so are blessed and do not condemn themselves by doing something they know is all right. This person has a good, but not insensitive, conscience –(Romans 14:20-22).

“Think not that I am come to destroy the law, or the prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfill. –(Matthew 5:17). The Law and its lesson was a template for holy living, not an end all in itself. Jesus fulfilled or brought about a comprehensive understanding of the essence of the Law when he died on the Cross. When He cried out from the cross, **“It is finished”**, Jesus was saying he completed and exceeded or surpassed the law. The Old Testament law was not rescinded per se but now must be reinterpreted and reapplied in light of Jesus. It was time to examine how the Law and the Prophets pointed to Jesus because God never changes his mind. Jesus’ coming had been part of God’s plan from Creation -(see Genesis 3:15).

Jesus mission was, **“To preach the acceptable year of the Lord.”** –(Luke 4:19). In short, He came to proclaim **the dawning of a new era for this world’s sighing, sobbing multitudes**. He presented Himself as the answer to all the ills that torment us. And it is true, whether you think of these ills in a physical sense or in a spiritual sense, Christ is the answer.

“Whom will he teach knowledge, and to whom will he explain the message? Those who are weaned from the milk, those taken from the breast? For it is precept upon precept, precept upon precept, line upon line, line upon line, here a little, there a little.” –(Isaiah 28:9-10). For our instruction in the things of God, it is needful that the same precept and the same line should be often repeated to us, that we may the better understand them. God, by his word, calls us to what is really for our

advantage; the service of God is the only true rest for those weary of the service of sin, and there is no refreshment but under the easy yoke of the Lord Jesus.

“Call unto me, and I will answer thee, and shew thee great and mighty things, which thou knowest not.”—(Jeremiah 33:3).

Two things are particularly worthy of notice in this text.

- The invitation—***“Call unto me.”***
- The promise connected with the invitation—***“And I will answer you, and show you great and mighty things, which you know not.”***

It is necessary for several things to be wrought with divine power in the soul before we can spiritually act upon this invitation. The Lord says, ***“Call unto me.”*** Can I therefore at once call unto him? Can I seek his face? Can I pray to him acceptably? I cannot, **except He is first pleased** Himself to work certain things in my soul. What are these things? A deep sense of sinfulness and guilt, a sense of our ignorance, a sense of our helplessness, an inability, and impotency in divine things, a glimpse of the things which we desire to experience. Faith in the promises; faith in God who gives them; faith in Jesus in whom they are stored; faith in the blessed Spirit through whom they are communicated; hungering, thirsting, panting, longing, and languishing after those blessings which God has to grant and the last quality is patience and perseverance to wait at God’s footstool.

What are some of these “great and mighty things” which thou know not?

1. One is, Divine sovereignty—that God “does according to his will in the army of heaven, and among the inhabitants of the earth; and that he will fulfill all his pleasure.” Can proud, rebellious, independent man, submit to God’s sovereignty? Yes! He can, when he is brought down by the Spirit of God.
2. The salvation of the soul by the blood and obedience of God’s only-begotten Son.
3. The reason of all our trials; the end to be answered by all the providential circumstances through which we pass; the cause of all the afflictions, temptations, and distresses that the soul has had to endure; is another of those great and mighty things which God makes known in answer to true prayer. We cannot perceive the sun behind the cloud, so we cannot see the Lord’s face when he hides himself behind a cloud of afflictions and sorrows.
4. That all things work together for good to our souls; that whatever we pass through in providence or in grace, is for our spiritual profit.
5. The super-aboundings of God’s grace over the aboundings of our sin.

6. The pardon of sin, the sweet enjoyment of God's favor, testimonies of his eternal love, smiles of his loving countenance, the witness of the blessed Spirit, the leadings, guidings, and teachings of that divine Comforter.

These are the “great and mighty things” that God's people are longing from time to time to experience. There are two leading features in every gracious man's experience—

- A sense of his own sinfulness, ignorance, and helplessness
- A longing and languishing after those blessings which God has to bestow

“Then said he unto me, Fear not, Daniel, for from the first day that thou didst set thine heart to understand, and to change thyself before thy God, thy words were hard, and I am come for thy words.”—(Daniel 10:12). While still in a foreign land, Daniel still had not forgotten the God of his fathers, and to him was given the noted vision of the “Ram and the He Goat,” But Daniel did not comprehend this strange vision, and yet he knew it was from God and had a deep and future meaning for nations and people. So, of course, he followed the bent of his religious mind and **prayed about it.** It is very clear that some unseen forces or invisible spirits are operating to hinder the answers to our prayers. Enough is revealed to know that there must be a contest in the unseen world about us between those spirits sent to minister to us in answer to our prayers and the devil and his evil spirits who seek to defeat these good spirits.

The passage furthermore gives us some intimation as to the cause of delayed answers to prayer. For “three full weeks” Daniel mourned and prayed, and for “twenty-one days” the divinely appointed angel was opposed by the “Prince of the Kingdom of Persia.”

Well was it for praying Daniel that he had the courage, fortitude and determination to persist in his praying for three weeks while the fearful conflict between good and bad spirits was going on about him unseen by mortal eyes. Well will it be for us **if we do not give up in our praying when God seems not to hear and the answer is not immediate.** It takes time to pray, and it takes time to get the answer to prayer. **Delays in answering prayer are not denials.** Failure to receive an immediate answer is no evidence that God does not hear prayer. It takes not only courage and persistence to pray successfully, but it requires much patience. ***“Wait on the Lord and be of good courage; and he shall strengthen thy heart; wait, I say, on the Lord.”***—(Psalm 27:14)

“And that from a child thou hast known the holy scriptures, which are able to make thee wise unto salvation through faith which is in Christ Jesus. All Scripture is inspired by God and profitable for teaching, for reproof, for correction, and for training in righteousness.”—(2nd Timothy 3:15-16). Timothy was one of the first second-generation Christians: he had become a Christian, not because an evangelist preached a powerful sermon, but because his mother and grandmother had taught him the Scriptures when he was a small child—(2nd Timothy 1:5). For Timothy, the Holy Scriptures were primarily from the Old Testament - Genesis to

Malachi. There were sources for Timothy's faith and these provided encouragement to continue in the faith:

- Paul, his mentor and friend, who provided an unmistakable example of God's faithfulness.
- The inerrant Scriptures that Timothy had studied and loved since childhood.
- Timothy's dear mother and grandmother who nurtured and loved him.

Scripture, God's Word, teaches about salvation; but **knowing Scripture alone saves no one** (many Jews had known Scripture from childhood, yet had opposed Jesus and the salvation He offered - see 2nd Corinthians 3:15-16; Paul himself exemplified that in his early years, Act 26:9-11). The **Scriptures show people their need for salvation and show them how to get it - by trusting in Christ Jesus.**

Timothy had known the Scriptures from childhood, so he knew that all Scripture was inspired by God. When Paul spoke of all Scripture, he was primarily referring to the Old Testament, since it was complete at that time. But the scope of Paul's assertion would include any writing that was **considered authoritative enough to be read in church meetings**, which by the end of the first century **would have included the four Gospels and Paul's writings**. According to 2nd Peter 3:15-16, Paul's writings were classified as "Scriptures."

The Scriptures, affirmed Paul, were inspired by God. A translation closer to the original Greek would be, ***"All Scripture is God-breathed."*** This tells us that every word of the Bible was breathed out from God. The words of the Bible came from God and were written by men. The apostle Peter affirmed this when he said that ***"it was the Holy Spirit who moved the prophets to speak from God"*** (2nd Peter 1:21).

Paul's words here reminded Timothy that because Scripture is inspired and infallible, it is also useful. The Bible is not a collection of stories, fables, myths, or merely human ideas about God. It is not a human book. Through the Holy Spirit, God revealed his person and plan to certain believers, who wrote down his message for his people. This process is known as **"inspiration."** The writers wrote from their own personal, historical, and cultural contexts. Although they used their own minds, talents, language, and style, **they wrote what God wanted them to write**. Scripture is completely trustworthy because **God was in control of its writing**. Its words are **entirely authoritative** for our faith and lives.

Scripture was profitable to every aspect of Timothy's ministry. Scripture:

- Can **teach us what is true**. The content and teaching of truth, which must flow from and be consistent with Scripture. By calling the Bible *"God-breathed,"* Paul was identifying its divine source; by making it the source of doctrine, he was reminding Timothy of its authority. Teaching that contradicted biblical doctrine was to be rejected, corrected, or replaced by accurate teaching.

- Can make us **realize what is wrong in our lives**. The initial impact of true doctrine involves the confrontation of false teaching and understanding. The offensiveness of some who teach biblical truth may have to be excused, but the offensiveness of biblical truth to error and evil requires no apology.
- Straightens us out by **helping us see our errors**. In the area of correction, the Scriptures have two roles:
 - They provide a complete presentation of the teaching, where only part of the truth has been present.
 - They provide for a right understanding and application where true doctrine may have been taught but has not taken effect.
- Teaches us to **do what is right by showing us how to please and glorify God**. The nature of Scripture allows us to teach it confidently to our children and to learn from it ourselves.

The Bible is not purely a record of the past - the history of the Jews and then of the church. Rather, **every story, every prophecy, every teaching, every admonition, and every command points beyond to the author, God, who came to us in Jesus Christ**. God confronts us in the pages of his Word - telling us how much He loves us, how we can become His children, and how we should live to please Him.

“These were more noble than those in Thessalonica, in that they received the word with all readiness of mind, and searched the Scriptures daily, whether these things were so.” -(Acts 17:11). The Bereans were of a better race, extraction, or birth, than those at Thessalonica; but the word refers more to their conduct, as a proof of their better disposition, than to their birth, or any peculiar lineal nobility. It was a maxim among the Jews, that *“none was of a noble spirit who did not employ himself in the study of the law.”* It appears that the Bereans were a better educated and more polished people than those at Thessalonica; in consequence far from persecuting:

- They heard the doctrine of the Gospel attentively.
- They received this doctrine with readiness of mind: when the evidence of its truth appeared to them sufficiently convincing, they had too much dignity of mind to refuse their assent, and too much uprightness to conceal their appreciation and respect.
- They searched the Scriptures, i.e. of the Old Testament, to see whether these things were so: to see whether the promises and types corresponded with the alleged fulfillment in the person, works, and sufferings of Jesus Christ.
- They continued in this work; they searched the Scriptures daily, whether those things were so.

“Knowing this first that no prophecy of the scripture is of any private interpretation. For the prophecy came not in old time by the will of man: but holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost.” -(2nd Peter 1:20-21)

The **collective lesson to be learned** from this selection of passages is to explain how the Bible is to be studied, understood, and interpreted. In the process we discover that the Scriptures often have multiple meanings or even exceed gaps of time. One of the finest examples of this “gap”, (sometimes referred to as the “Gap” theory), (a meaning that goes beyond an event from one book to another) is shown in how Jesus teaches using a passage from Isaiah.

In Luke 4:16-21, Jesus reads from Isaiah, and only quotes part of it, because not all of the verse was fulfilled at Jesus’ first coming, over 1,900+ years ago. ***“And He came to Nazareth, where He had been brought up: and, as His custom was, He went into the synagogue on the Sabbath day; and stood up for to read. And there was delivered unto Him the book of the prophet Esaias. And when He had opened the book, He found the place where it was written, The Spirit of the Lord is upon me, because He hath anointed Me to preach the gospel to the poor; He hath sent Me to heal the brokenhearted, to preach deliverance to the captives, and recovering of sight to the blind, to set at liberty them that are bruised, to preach the acceptable year of the Lord, And He closed the book, and He gave it again to the minister, and sat down. And the eyes of all them that were in the synagogue were fastened on Him. And He began to say unto them, this day is this Scripture fulfilled in your ears.”***

Turning to Isaiah 61:1, we observe that the wording includes additional text that Jesus did not read in His Luke 4 explanation above. The portion that is underlined in the above paragraph, is what he did read. But there is more beyond the text ***“to preach the acceptable year of the Lord.”*** He left off and did not read the following portion: ***“and the day of vengeance of our God: to comfort all that mourn;”*** Jesus purposely did not read this last part of Isaiah 61:2. Why? Because the day of vengeance was a future event and will occur at the Great Tribulation. Now, if there can be an unstated time gap of nearly 2,000 years in this one single verse, then it is **unreasonable to conclude** that it is “unbiblical” for there to be another unstated time gap of seven years between the Rapture and Jesus’ Second Coming which also may exist in another Biblical verse. In other words, there are **several identified verses which contain multiple fulfillments** in more than one book or passage of Scripture. Not all are in the same book, and not all have fulfillments at the exact same time as the example shown in Isaiah.

There are some **24 specific examples** found in Scripture where a time “gap” exists or where a specific portion of a prophecy appears and then later, elsewhere in the Bible, we find the second part of that same prophecy continuing on to its complete fulfillment, yet it was unstated in the passage. There are those who would argue that “gaps” in

Scripture do not exist but they argue from an uneducated position or lack understanding concerning this topic.

Another example of a “multiple fulfillment” is found at John 2:19, ***“Destroy this temple, and in three days I will raise it up.”*** This one verse contains at least three fulfillments that we can identify:

1. Jesus spoke of His body, which was destroyed and raised from the dead.
2. Jesus spoke of the literal temple in Jerusalem, which was destroyed in 70 AD, - (Matthew 24:2) and will be raised up in the near future.
3. Jesus spoke of the body of believers, which have been persecuted and killed, and will be raised up soon, on the “third day” according to Hosea 6:2, ***“After two days will He revive us: in the third day He will raise us up, and we shall live in His sight.”***

The phrase “three days” appears **75X times**. This reference to “after three days” is found a total **20X** with **12X** of those sited shown as “in (or) on three days” – all but three of them are found in the Gospels:

- Matthew 16:21; 17:23; 20:19; 26:61; 27:40, 64.
- Mark 9:31; 10:34; 14:58; 15:29
- Luke 9:22; 13:32; 18:33; 24:7; 24:21; 24:46
- John 2:19-20
- Acts 10:40
- 1st Corinthians 15:4
- Revelation 11:11 (mentions 3 ½ days)

The explanation of this phrase “after three days” or “in three days” has a precedent in Genesis 40:18, where we read: ***“And Joseph answered and said, this is the interpretation thereof: The three baskets are three days:”*** God not only provided a concept but then gave us the interpretation of its meaning as well. This should serve as an excellent example showing how and why the study of the repetitive use of words, numbers and phrases should never be discounted as something of no consequence or purpose. Another sample which is a most astonishing example of God’s incredible Divine design and architecture carefully woven within the word choices of the Scriptures is found at Acts and in Joel.

In Acts 2:17 Peter, filled with Holy Spirit is quoting from Joel 2:28, explains to the crowds who had gathered in Jerusalem that this specific prophecy had been fulfilled during the outpouring of the Holy Spirit at Pentecost. But, only a portion of the prophecy in Joel has been fulfilled. **We are still waiting for the “Day of the Lord”**. This instance provides a third example of a 2,000-year time gap between fulfillments in Scripture, where the Scripture passage does not specifically make mention of any “time gaps” whatsoever in the verse itself, yet the gap exists all the same. This entire issue will be

covered in a future segment in greater detail. There is an imbedded Divine Pattern that requires further examination and a closer look! Pastor Bob

Eternal Consequences: Only 3% Of Children Have A Biblical Worldview

By Ken Ham

November 11, 2023



It's been said that children have more or less developed their worldview by the time they are 13 years old. That's why it's utterly vital that parents and churches tirelessly obey God's command to be always speaking of the things of the Lord with their children, discipline them in the truth. But sadly, the vast majority of parents do not do this—[and it shows in survey results](#).

The Cultural Research Center at Arizona Christian University recently released data from a survey of 400 children. This survey sought to examine “the prominence of belief in the ‘Seven Cornerstones of a Biblical Worldview’ among adolescents” (children ages 8–12). The seven cornerstones are the following:

- **God exists and is the all-knowing, all-powerful, perfect Creator and ruler of the universe.**
- **As a sinner, the only solution to the consequences of sin is to acknowledge your sins, ask God to forgive you through Jesus Christ, and rely on Him to save you from those consequences.**
- **Sin is real, and significant; we are all sinners, by choice.**
- **Your most important reason for living is to do what God wants.**

- You trust the Bible because it is completely true and personally relevant to your life.
- The Bible provides a complete and reliable understanding of right and wrong.
- Success is consistently doing what the Bible teaches.

The survey found that just 3% of children embraced all seven of these cornerstones. The majority only agreed with one or two, rejecting or stating they were unsure about the others. As the survey report states, “That does not bode well for adolescents building a stable foundation that will lead to a robust and biblical worldview.”

So what worldview are these children forming? Researcher George Barna says it’s a worldview of syncretism—the dominant worldview in the West today as people combine a little bit of this and a little bit of that into a religion of their own making. Barna says:

They are following in the footsteps of their parents, only 2% of whom have a biblical worldview, and 96% of whom are Syncretists. That mindset and lifestyle is modeled for their children every day and has become the comfortable default position among most adults, teens, and children who call themselves Christian.

Every person has a worldview. The question is whether it is the right worldview. And the answer to that is this: “The only correct worldview is the one grounded on God’s Word.” Every other worldview is ultimately founded in man’s opinion (man’s word) and is therefore subjective and arbitrary. The only absolute worldview is the one that comes from God’s Word.

Parents, these survey results should be sobering. They should make you stop and consider what kind of priority teaching your children the things of the Lord has in your home. And that doesn’t just mean teaching children good morals from God’s Word so they do what is right. It means teaching them the theology and doctrine of God’s Word so they can look at the world through the right lens. It means teaching them the gospel so they understand what Jesus did for them and how they can be saved. It means always taking everything back to Scripture as the ultimate authority.

Yes, this is hard work, but it’s the task the Lord has called parents (and especially fathers!) to, and it has eternal consequences. Don’t delegate your children’s worldview training to the church, a Christian school, or the world. Open the Word of God with your children and teach them diligently.

The Globalist Vision: “15 Minute” Prison Cities And The End Of Private Property

November 11, 2023

By Brandon Smith



As a general rule I find that whenever the public scrutinizes any particular agenda being promoted by governments and globalists their first response is to act indignant, much like a narcissist would do when they are up to no good and they get caught. “How dare you” question their intentions and suggest they might be nefarious. How dare you suggest they are anything other than loving and benevolent. Our “leaders” have only ever wanted the best for us, right? They only want our lives to become safer, more comfortable and more convenient – This is what truly motivates your average elitist, right?

Obviously history tells us a far different story, and it boggles my mind when anyone tries to argue that things are different today compared to 100 years ago, 300 years ago, or 1000 years ago. There is nothing new under the sun. There will always be tyrants attempting to gain more and more power and those tyrants will always lie to the public, claiming they are good people with our best interests at heart.

When that doesn’t work and the citizenry remains skeptical, the tyrants go on the attack, accusing the public of “conspiracy theory.” This is meant to mock and shame free thinkers into silence – You don’t want to stand out, right? Why risk being ostracized from society? Why risk becoming a meme?

This tactic is rooted in the notion that the corporate media and government officials represent the mainstream, and therefore they represent the majority, and the majority represents reality. None of this is true or relevant, of course. Only facts matter. Sophistry is meaningless. Opinions are meaningless. The truth should be the goal, and if it’s not someone’s goal then they must be a purveyor of lies and should not be taken seriously. There are only two paths to take, there is no in-between.

I will admit there is some value to the “conspiracy theory” accusation because whenever the establishment uses it, it’s a sure sign that you are too close to the target and they are getting nervous. They could simply try to outline any evidence they might have to prove that your position is wrong, but they don’t really do that. Instead of debating your arguments and evidence, they try to undermine you as a valid critic and inoculate the public against your ideas before people ever get a chance to hear them. This is the behavior of villains, not benevolent and caring leaders.

I mention this dynamic because there is one agenda above all others that is aggressively defended by the establishment media, and anyone who remotely questions it is automatically persecuted as a “conspiracy nut” or “denier.” I am of course talking about the climate change agenda.

I have [thoroughly debunked](#) the idea of man-made climate change in [previous articles](#) and I won’t be spending time on that here. Instead, I want to examine the end goal of climate change policies – The ultimate solution, which is NOT to save the planet, but to dominate the populace.

The names used for the climate change “reset” vary, but it is often referred to by globalists and the UN as Agenda 2030 or Sustainable Development Goals. These programs wear a facade of environmentalism but they are ALL rooted in economics. That is to say, all climate change efforts exist to destroy industry and trade and establish a government/corporate partnership to dominate production. Climate change is a Trojan Horse to introduce authoritarianism.

I believe one of the most important aspects of Agenda 2030 for globalists is something called the “15 Minute City”; a project which involves hundreds of city mayors from across the US, Europe and Asia working closely with groups like the World Economic Forum. Any mention of this idea in a negative light and the [media erupts](#) with anger as [well as mockery](#) as if it’s not a real issue worthy of debate.

The establishment paints an interesting picture of 15 Minute Cities – A Utopian future in which everything you need is only a short walk away and private transportation is superfluous (or banned). You might even live in mega-complex, much like a giant mall where you also work. You could spend months within one square mile of space, never having to leave for anything.

It’s no mistake that this idea was pushed hard during the pandemic lockdowns. The public was awash in fear propaganda over a virus with a 99.8% survival rate and that fear made the unthinkable idea of staying at home all the time suddenly thinkable. Media pundits continue to call the connection between covid lockdowns and climate lockdowns a conspiracy theory, but [the idea](#) is openly admitted in UN and WEF [white papers](#).

Some people argue that most cities are already “15 Minute Cities” with necessities all within walking distance of their homes. These folks don’t understand what a 15 Minute

City really is. As numerous establishment descriptions of the project note, it's not just about convenience or close access, it's about changing every aspect of our current philosophy of living. It's not about gaining amenities, it's about making an array of sacrifices in order to appease the gods of carbon emissions.

The 15 Minute City is more like a recipe, containing every single ingredient of the climate change and covid lockdown agendas in a single comprehensive Orwellian vision. It includes removing motor vehicles, removing private transportation and roads, smart city and AI monitoring of each person's electricity usage, monitoring of product consumption and "carbon footprint", biometric surveillance within a compact and stacked urban landscape, the cashless society concept, equity and inclusion cultism, population control, etc.

It is the culmination, the end game; a massive prison with no bars. A place where you are conditioned to grow accustomed to artificial limitations on privacy, no civil liberties, no private property, and no work options or mobility. You are tied to the land and the land is owned by the state (or corporation). If you want a historic comparison, the closest I can find is the feudal system of Medieval Europe.

Within these cities you are a labor mechanism, nothing more. You will never be allowed to own your own property and thus own your own labor. Everything you have is given to you by the state and can be taken away by the state if you defy them. You might be able to leave the village or community you are tied to for a time, but this will change with increasing restrictions on the public's movement according to the dictates of climate ideology.

As long as you are productive and submissive you will be given the things you need to survive, but never to thrive. In the case of a technocratic feudal system you would not have any guarantees that the state would need your services. At least in feudal Europe a peasant was seen as a valuable resource because of limited population. In a world where many people are considered "population excess", you could easily be replaced and booted out of the city to starve and die.

In 2016 the World Economic Forum published a document titled ['Welcome To 2030. I Own Nothing, Have No Privacy, And Life Has Never Been Better.'](#) The article was meant to promote a concept called the "sharing economy" which was first publicly fielded to the press at Davos. The article describes a "hypothetical" future in which a communistic system has ended all private property in the name of saving the planet from climate change. The benefits? Well, like all communistic systems, the big lie is that you will get to work less and most things will be free. This is how collectivist ideals have been sold to the populace for generations and it NEVER works the way the establishment claims.

The WEF has been promoting the sharing economy for years, but when it went mainstream and was widely criticized as dystopian, the media once again flipped the "conspiracy theory" switch and attacked anyone exposing the implications.

Multiple platforms published the article in 2016 but many have since taken it down (Forbes appears to have erased their published copy, for example). They are pretending as if the agenda never existed, probably because the article contains some revealing admissions, including a hint at the 15 Minute City concepts. From the article:

“My biggest concern is all the people who do not live in our city. Those we lost on the way. Those who decided that it became too much, all this technology. Those who felt obsolete and useless when robots and AI took over big parts of our jobs. Those who got upset with the political system and turned against it. They live different kind of lives outside of the city. Some have formed little self-supplying communities. Others just stayed in the empty and abandoned houses in small 19th century villages.

Once in a while I get annoyed about the fact that I have no real privacy. Nowhere I can go and not be registered. I know that, somewhere, everything I do, think and dream of is recorded. I just hope that nobody will use it against me”

In other words, the globalists imagine a future where the malcontent free thinkers and people replaced by AI are outcasts, scratching and scraping out a meaningless existence in the wastelands of the old world. To stay in the bosom of the new world you will be required to give up all freedom, even freedom of thought. Keep in mind, this article is supposed to be a “positive” promotion of the shared economy and 15 Minute-related cities. Yet, this excerpt sounds more like a threat.

It’s important to understand that these compact cities will not be designed for your comfort. They will not be designed so that you can have all the amenities you have today closer to your fingertips while also providing “sustainability.” That’s how the globalists try to sell it, but that’s not what it will be. Rather, these cities will be designed to better CONTROL you, so that you can be forced to make the sacrifices they say are necessary for sustainability to be possible.

They are erroneously billed as “decentralized communities,” but they are the exact opposite – They are utterly centralized, like a hamster cage where you are the pet. The core philosophy behind them is dependency. If you live in a place which is specifically constructed to eliminate your ability to provide for yourself, then you are a slave. Though, to be sure, even slavery can be made to look noble if people are convinced that their chains are necessary for the good of the planet.

Terra Carta Recovery Plan

Craig Bong Update - 11/11/23

One Planet Summit in 2021 was to accelerate action on the Paris Agreement. The official focus of this year’s summit was biodiversity, but the real star is the ‘Terra Carta’ (‘Earth Charter’), a new and ambitious sustainable finance initiative launched by the Prince of Wales. The Terra Carta is a 10-point recovery plan that puts industry and finance in the lead of efforts to make ‘a sustainable future the growth story of our time’

and ‘bring prosperity into harmony with nature, people and planet over the coming decade’.

COP28 UAE will be a milestone moment when the world will take stock of its progress on the Paris Agreement with 8 years down, 7 to go.

The first Global Stocktake (GST) will provide a comprehensive assessment of progress since adopting the Paris Agreement.

This will help align the efforts on climate action, including measures that need to be put in place to bridge the gaps in progress.

The COP28 UAE presidency will work to ensure that the world responds to the GST with a clear action plan.


Now with seven years left of the decade....

A **‘WORLD-AS-MARKET’ PARADIGM**

Big commitments like the Terra Carta and the Sustainable Markets Initiative (SMI) that produced it follows a ‘market environmentalist’ approach to understanding and addressing environmental problems. This approach is rooted in environmental economics and reflects an extreme, reductive and economistic worldview, which researcher Kathleen McAfee calls a ‘world-as-market’ paradigm. Things like ‘nature’, ‘sustainability’ and even ‘crisis’ are defined and assessed in ways that would seem foreign or even perverse to most people concerned with the state of the environment. Rather than conceptualizing nature as most people do – in aesthetic, eco-centric or cultural values, market environmentalist ideology considers ‘nature’ as a stock of capital, with ecosystems as providers of ‘services’ that can be measured in and managed as a balance of quantified ‘impacts’. In this framework, environmental problems are driven by ‘market failures’ and only indirectly by pollution, harmful emissions, or clear-cutting forests.

FORTRESS NATURE

The most ambitious of the proposed methods for achieving the Terra Carta’s aims is ‘the protection and restoration of a minimum of 30% of biodiversity, on land and below water, by 2030 and 50% by 2050’. A coalition of more than 50 countries, including the UK, had already signed on to the 2030 pledge, signifying the intent to convert at least 30 per cent of territory to protected areas in which all agriculture, herding and fishing will be prohibited. This is big politics, as the 30 by 30 / 50 by 50 commitment is a likely main target of the so-called ‘Paris agreement for nature’ set to be negotiated at COP28.



<https://europeanconservative.com/articles/news/privacy-fears-as-eu-moves-forward-with-digital-id/>

The European Parliament and the majority of the member states reached a provisional agreement on the establishment of the “European digital ID” or “eID”—the first central and fully digital identification system for all Europeans—on Thursday, November 9th. Conservative lawmakers and cybersecurity experts are sounding the alarms, citing concerns for potential large-scale abuse.

“We are taking a fundamental step so that citizens can have a unique and secure European digital identity,” Nadia Calvino, the Spanish minister for economy and digitalization, representing the European Council’s Spanish presidency, said.

Under the new law, the EU will offer its citizens so-called ‘digital wallets’—on a voluntary basis, at first—which will contain digital versions of their ID cards, driving licenses, diplomas, medical records, and bank account information. These documents will be recognized as means to access online services throughout Europe, and citizens will be able to prove their identity or share electronic documents from their wallets *“with a click of a button,”* the legislators hope.

Critics, however, see the eID as the building block of a coming *“European digital surveillance state”*—a way for the EU and any government to hold all of their citizens’ personal information and track their every move.

The agreement was reached just days after 504 privacy and cybersecurity experts from 39 countries signed a joint letter “strongly” warning about the pitfalls of the legislation, as *“it fails to properly respect the right to privacy of citizens and secure online communications.”*

The researchers and academics concluded that instead of protecting personal data, the current text *“substantially increases the potential for harm,”* both by rogue actors and government abuse.

Naturally, the Commission does not plan to stop at eIDs. Another major plan that’s currently in the works is the “digital euro,” Europe’s future central bank-issued digital currency (CBDC), currently in early development phase by the European Central Bank (ECB).

Critics who warn about total government control of citizens’ personal finances through programmable money have long been saying that eIDs would be the first step toward an economy run on CBDCs. Internal Market Commissioner Thierry Breton has now even confirmed this as one of the main goals of the new legislation.

“Right after this agreement, Commissioner Breton said: ‘Now that we have a digital identity wallet, we have to put something in it...’ conservative Dutch MEP Rob Roos (ECR), also present at the meeting, said. *“What he meant is the digital euro, also known as a CBDC.”*

It’s Time for the Ambassadors to be Recalled!

A day of infamy approaches for Bible believing Christians, and whether or not you have noticed a number of countries have broken diplomatic relations with Israel and recalled their Ambassadors and diplomatic staff. I am reminded that on another date of December 8, 1941. The list currently stands at nine as of November 11, 2023.

December 7th, 1941 was as President Franklin D. Roosevelt called it, “a day that will live in infamy”. The Japanese bombed Pearl Harbor inflicting heavy casualties upon the U.S. Navy and Army Air Corps and crippled the Pacific Fleet.

Most people also know what happened on December 8, 1941. The President called upon Congress to make a formal declaration of war against Japan and the Axis powers of Germany and Italy. However, most people don’t know what happened on December 9, 1941. President Roosevelt issued an order calling all of the U.S. diplomatic ambassador’s home from Japan, Germany, and Italy. Before Roosevelt unleashed the full wrath of the American war machine on those nations, he wanted to make sure that no American civilians were in harm’s way. The wrath of America was for her enemies, not her own people.

In the same way, before God declares war on this godless world at the beginning of the Tribulation, unleashing His unmitigated wrath, He will call His Ambassadors home. *"Now then we are ambassadors for Christ, as though God did beseech you by us: we pray you in Christ's stead, be ye reconciled to God."* -- (2nd Corinthians 5:20). "Not belonging" would aptly describe an ambassador on the job, much more an ambassador of God's grace who knows that the Bible is true and that the God of the universe dwells in him -(1st Corinthians 3:16).

Remember, we do not belong here. Three times in the Old Testament God's children are referred to as "Sojourners". That may be hard for many to believe or for that matter to accept. God's wrath is not for the citizens of His heavenly kingdom. It's not for His own people. However, we have been told that there is no need for us here and that Artificial Intelligence will in two years replace our need or purpose.

At least eight countries have pulled their ambassadors from Israel since it launched a war against the militant group Hamas about a month ago, citing humanitarian concerns about the escalating military situation in the Gaza Strip.

Israel has escalated its bombardment of Gaza in recent weeks following a deadly surprise attack from Hamas on October 7 in Israel. The group is designated by the United States as a terrorist organization.

But many international humanitarian groups have warned that Israel's attacks on Gaza and its blockade on needed supplies to the territory will lead to a humanitarian crisis in the region.

In protest, at least nine countries have pulled their ambassadors from Israel. In addition, Bolivia became the first country to fully sever its diplomatic ties with Israel in the wake of the ongoing fighting.

Here are the countries that have pulled their ambassadors so far: South Africa, Jordan, Turkey, Chile, Colombia, Chad, Honduras, Bahrain, and Bolivia. Since Israel has refused any "cease fire" you can look for this list to grow in number as nations recall their ambassadors.

As Christians we know that this is not our home, and stability has not been the norm for a very long time.

The analogy is a reminder that while tribulation in the world is everywhere, as Hilton Sutton once said, *"When the bombs are descending, the saints will be ascending."* I have no doubt things will get progressively worse, but we are going home, Pre-Tribulation Rapture style! That is our "Blessed Hope". Don't give up on the Pre-Tribulation Rapture!

It appears now more probable that believers will be Raptured out of this chaotic world before HRM Prince Charles signs that Covenant during the COP28 meetings; the world's biggest climate change conference – the United Nations' annual Conference of the Parties, better known as COP28 – will begin in the UAE. More than 80,000 delegates, 5,000 journalists and 140 heads of state are expected to attend the 14-day summit at Dubai's Expo site.

With only three weeks to the COP28 meetings, we are on the threshold of Biblical history and prophecy converging, culminating in a way that most of the world will not be able to come to grips with when millions are removed from the physical realm we know as home. Those left behind will be told that *"we were taken by ET's for re-education in order to calm folks of what happened at the Rapture."* The Antichrist will sell them on the idea they can have immortality by following him, worshipping him, and taking his Mark. That has been the plan since before and after the discovery of CRISPR-Cas9 in 2013.

**The dates December 1 to the 3rd seem to be by far the most important key to the Rapture timing!
This is based upon HRM Prince Charles and Pope Francis joint-presence at COP28.**

Count down to 'Confirming the Covenant with the Many'

<p>Hanukkah 12/7/23 – 12/15/23 COP28 Begins November 30th as of 11/13/23 – 18 Days Days to end COP28 December 12th as of 11/13/23 – 29 days</p>
--

Praise God!



**ADMIT THAT YOU
ARE A SINNER.**

**BELIEVE THAT JESUS
PAID FOR YOUR SIN
ON THE CROSS. †**

**CONFESS THAT JESUS
IS LORD AND CALL UPON
HIM FOR SALVATION.**

Blessings in the Precious Name of Jesus Christ, Lord of lords, and King of kings!

Pastor Bob, EvanTeachr@aol.com
www.pastorbobreid.com