

The Blessed Hope!

“Looking for that blessed hope, and the glorious appearing of the great God and our Saviour Jesus Christ;” –(Titus 2:13)

Diamond & Nugget #236

US House Speaker Johnson Proposes Funding Government Without Aid to Ukraine and Israel

The speaker of the U.S. House of Representatives, Mike Johnson (R-LA), has introduced a bill to temporarily fund the federal government. His proposal does not include aid to Ukraine or Israel but does include funds for the defense of the U.S. southern border.

According to the bill, part of the government programs also related to transport, energy and military construction will be financed by January 19th, which would be the funding deadline for those programs and agencies which are covered under regular appropriations bills pertaining to agriculture, rural development, and Food and Drug Administration.

Funds for energy and water development, military construction and Veterans Affairs together with Transportation, Housing, and Urban Development will also be ceased.

The allocation of money for the rest of the government sectors will be calculated until February 2nd, 2024.

Johnson expects some Republicans to vote against his bill, but in that case he expects Democrats to support it, *‘Politico’* reports. However, even if approved in the House, the bill may not pass in the Senate.

“This two-step continuing resolution is a necessary bill to place House Republicans in the best position to fight for conservative victories... Separating out the CR from the supplemental funding debates places our conference in the best position to fight for fiscal responsibility, oversight over Ukraine aid, and meaningful policy changes at our Southern border,” Johnson said in a statement on Saturday.

White House spokeswoman Karine Jean-Pierre called the proposal “a recipe for more Republican chaos and more shutdowns”, claiming that Republicans were “wasting precious time with an unserious proposal that has been panned by members of both

parties". The statement urged Republicans to *"work in a bipartisan way to prevent a shutdown"*.

"My opposition to the clean CR just announced by the Speaker ... cannot be overstated. Funding Pelosi level spending & policies for 75 days — for future 'promises,'" House Freedom Caucus member Rep. Chip Roy (R-Texas) wrote on X, opposing the legislation.

Earlier this year, U.S. President Joe Biden's request to Congress for \$24 billion in aid to Ukraine almost caused a government shutdown after Republican congressmen refused to approve a budget that included those funds. As a result, a temporary budget was adopted without a clause on support for Ukraine.

Biden has already said that he would not sign the bill on allocating aid to Israel without Ukraine if it is approved by Congress.

In related matters, sadly, it appears House Speaker Mike Johnson has aligned himself with the New Apostolic Reformation.

...Additionally, the NAR's organizational structure allows for unchecked authority and lack of accountability among its self-proclaimed apostles. This absence of a codified doctrinal statement or ethical code enables leaders to operate without accountability, fostering an environment where false teachings can proliferate unchallenged. To say the least, the New Apostolic Reformation is a false gospel that attracts false teachers, goats, and reprobates, while its adherents blaspheme the Holy Spirit regularly.

So why does it matter if Johnson has aligned himself with this movement? Well not because, as Rolling Stone complains, he holds stringent positions on abortion, thinks homosexuality is a lifestyle choice that should not be recognized under legal protections against discrimination, defends young earth creationism, blames school shootings on the sexual revolution of the 1960s, or because he questions the framework of the separation of church and state. These are actually good positions to hold; and we hope that the policies he promotes and endorses align with these views...

The New Apostolic Reformation (NAR) is a movement [citation needed] which seeks to establish a fifth branch within Christendom distinct from Catholicism, Protestantism (which includes classical forms of Pentecostalism, the Charismatic movement, and Evangelicalism), Oriental Orthodoxy, and Eastern Orthodoxy.

The New Apostolic Reformation, or NAR, is an unbiblical religious movement that emphasizes experience over Scripture, mysticism over doctrine, and modern-day "apostles" over the plain text of the Bible. Of particular distinction in the New Apostolic Reformation are the role and power of spiritual leaders and miracle-workers, the reception of "new" revelations from God, an over-emphasis on spiritual warfare, and a pursuit of cultural and political control in society. The seeking of signs and wonders in the NAR is always accompanied by blatantly false doctrine.

The New Apostolic Reformation, or NAR, is an unbiblical religious movement that emphasizes experience over Scripture, mysticism over doctrine, and modern-day “apostles” over the plain text of the Bible. Of particular distinction in the New Apostolic Reformation are the role and power of spiritual leaders and miracle-workers, the reception of “new” revelations from God, an over-emphasis on spiritual warfare, and a pursuit of cultural and political control in society. The seeking of signs and wonders in the NAR is always accompanied by blatantly false doctrine.

Growth in the New Apostolic Reformation is driven primarily through small groups and church planting, often completely independent of a parent congregation. The movement is not centrally controlled, and many of its followers will not self-identify as part of it or even recognize the name. All the same, thousands of churches and millions of believers adhere to the teachings of the New Apostolic Reformation. Popular teachers associated with the New Apostolic Reformation include Bill Johnson, Rick Joyner, Kim Clement, and Lou Engle.

The New Apostolic Reformation teaches that God’s intended form of church governance is apostles and prophets, holding leadership over evangelists, pastors, and teachers. However, this has not been the case for the vast majority of Christian history. So, according to the New Apostolic Reformation, God began to restore prophets and apostles over the last thirty to forty years. Only now, as the church is properly guided by the appropriate spiritual leaders, can it fulfill its commission. This commission is seen as more than spiritual, as it includes cultural and political control.

In the New Apostolic Reformation, apostles are seen as the highest of all spiritual leaders, being specially empowered by God. True maturity and unity, per the New Apostolic Reformation, is only found in those who submit to the leadership of their apostles. According to this teaching, as the church unifies behind the apostles, these leaders will develop greater and greater supernatural powers. Eventually, this will include the ability to perform mass healings and suspend the laws of physics. These signs are meant to encourage a massive wave of converts to Christianity. These apostles are also destined to be recipients of a great wealth transfer (in the end times), which will enable the church to establish God’s kingdom on earth.

Prophets in the New Apostolic Reformation are almost as important as apostles. These people have been empowered to receive “new” revelations from God that will aid the church in establishing dominion. According to the New Apostolic Reformation, only prophets, and occasionally apostles, can obtain new revelations. Evangelists, pastors, and teachers cannot. The prophets’ new revelations are crucial to overcoming the world, and the success of the church depends on the apostles following through on the information prophets provide. Most of their prophecies are extremely vague and easy to re-interpret, and the New Apostolic Reformation is willing to modify them, since they set no standard of infallibility for themselves.

According to New Apostolic thinking, mankind lost its dominion over earth as part of the fall of Adam. So Jesus’ sacrifice on the cross not only resolved our sin debt, but it

empowered mankind—specifically, Christians—to retake control of the earth. The New Apostolic Reformation sees seven areas in which believers are supposedly empowered and expected to dominate: government, arts, finances, education, religion, family, and media. Of these, the New Apostolic Reformation sees government as the most important because of its ability to influence all of the other facets of life. As a result, the New Apostolic Reformation overtly encourages Christian control over politics, culture, and business. In some ways, this is nothing unusual, as people should be expected to vote and lobby according to their convictions. The New Apostolic Reformation, however, is often accused of pushing for outright theocracy.

Spiritual warfare, according to the New Apostolic Reformation, is meant to resolve worldly concerns. For example, economic troubles or health problems in a particular city are seen as the result of a demonic spirit's influence. Prayer, research into the specific name of that demon, and other spiritual disciplines are then applied in an effort to combat this presence. This is necessary not only for the health of the region, but also because the church cannot take "dominion" over that area until the demonic control has been lifted.

Biblically, there are major problems with the New Apostolic Reformation. Claiming that Christians have access to certain spiritual gifts is one thing, but their distinctive approach to the role of apostles and prophets is a stretch from what is found in the Bible. More to the point, the office of apostle requires traits that are impossible today. For example, true apostles must be personal eyewitnesses of the risen Christ -(1st Corinthians 9:1; 15:7–8), specifically designated as apostles by Jesus -(Galatians 1:1; Acts 1:2; Luke 6:13), and already verified by miraculous signs -(Matthew 10:1; 2 Corinthians 12:2; Acts 5:12).

The idea of new revelations from God, especially those that come in the form of vague, easily reinterpreted mysteries, runs counter to the idea of a faith delivered "once for all" to mankind -(Jude 1:3). The fact that New Apostolic Reformation prophecies frequently turn out to be false suggests a false spirit behind those predictions (Deuteronomy 18:22). The tendency of the New Apostolic Reformation to treat spiritual warfare as a type of Christianized voodoo is not only unbiblical, but dangerous.

Likewise, the emphasis on an earthly kingdom contradicts Jesus' own declaration that the Kingdom of God was spiritual, not political (John 18:36). It places an unhealthy emphasis on political and worldly approval, rather than Christlike influence.

Though it uses the word new, the New Apostolic Reformation is actually a reworking of a very common, very old approach. Since the beginning of Christianity, various groups have claimed to have a "*new revelation*" from God to correct all of the errors of the present world. These movements contend that "real" spirituality or maturity or truth is found only by those who listen to their leadership. Some of these sects, such as the Jehovah's Witnesses and Mormonism, endure and become religions in their own right. Others fade away.

Much of what the New Apostolic Reformation teaches has at least some basis in Scripture, albeit carried much further than the Bible intends. That, however, still makes those doctrines unbiblical, and Christians should flatly reject the New Apostolic Reformation's teachings and those who choose to be associated with it.

**From the archives of Pastor Bob's Studies on
Deliverance before Judgment / Pre-Tribulation Rapture
Why the Rapture Occurs Before the Revealing of the Antichrist!**

It is important to understand that a prophecy may sometimes be describing two separate events at once, mixed together in the same section of text making it appear at first glance as if everything in that section relates to one single event. Another important point to keep in mind is that one prophecy can have multiple fulfillments and may also be separated by a gap in time. This is less obvious to Christians with a Gentile background. However, because of their understanding of the Hebrew language as well as cultural and religious background, Messianic Jews are better equipped to identify the nuances of these Biblical texts. For example, in the descriptive passage of Matthew 24:29-31 (part of the Olivet discourse), we find a prophetic picture describing two separate events chronicled within the same narrative and describing both the Rapture and the Second Coming.

“Immediately after the Tribulation of those days shall the sun be darkened, and the moon shall not give her light, and the stars shall fall from haven, and the powers of the heavens shall be shaken; And then shall appear the sign of the Son of Man in heaven: and then shall all the tribes of the earth mourn, and they shall see the Son of Man coming in the clouds of heaven with power and great glory. And He shall send His angels with a great sound of a trumpet, and they shall gather together His elect from the four winds, from one end of heaven to the other.” -(Matthew 24:29-31).

Critics of the Pre-Tribulation Rapture point to this passage to say that it refutes the Pre-Tribulation Rapture. But does it really? There are many reasons which can be closely examined leading to the conclusion that this view has faulty reasoning and can therefore be rejected. The nuances of the Greek text show a disjointed order of events.

- Jesus was talking to the Jews, His disciples (The Olivet Discourse of Matthew 24 & 25 does not present a discussion of a chronological order of events, but are a dialogue conversation naming things to come but not in a specific chronological pattern.).
- The church did not come into existence for another decade or two (Jesus first mentioned the concept of the church in Matthew 16:18 and 18:17. The use of the term “church” only occurs twice in the four Gospels. The church does not begin until Acts 2, and the term is the focus of the book of Acts and the rest of the New Testament.).

- The Olivet Discourse covers nearly two chapters (Matthew 24 & 25) noting upwards of two dozen specific things, situations or circumstances that will happen over a lengthy but undefined period of time. They are a generalized list and no way inferred or implied to follow a specific pattern.).

Here is an example of an Old Testament life experience, but it was also used as a Bible prophecy. **“Now all these things happened unto them for ensamples: and they are written for our admonition, upon whom the ends of the world are come.”** - (1st Corinthians 10:11). Some of the Corinthian believers thought that because they had professed faith, went to church, and joined in the Lord’s Supper, they could then live as they pleased. But this was a false belief, as Paul would show through the lessons learned in Israel’s history.

Believing the false notion that one can be saved and then live a faithless, Godless life can be seen in what happened to the Jews’ ancestors in the wilderness long ago. The record of their miraculous escape from slavery in Egypt by the intervention of God is recorded in the first twelve chapters of Exodus. God set them free through the use of many miracles, afforded them with Moses a strong leader, who himself was miraculously preserved and readied for the task of leading, and then guided all of them as they moved out of Egypt and headed toward the Promised Land, which God vowed to give to Abraham. God’s presence was with them in the form of a cloud by day and fire by night -(Exodus 13:21-22). When they came to the Red Sea, God brought them all safely through the waters of the sea on dry ground (Exodus 14). Note, God can bring his people through the greatest difficulties, and force a solution where man does not find it. The God of nature is not tied Himself to its laws, but, when He pleases, dispenses them for His purpose.

Despite the fact that God had performed great miracles for his people, **most of them rebelled against God**. Of the thousands who stood at the very edge of the Promised Land, only two men, Joshua and Caleb had enough faith in God to enter -(Numbers 14:5-12; Numbers 14:30). **Because of their lack of faith, God caused the people to turn back from the land and wander for forty years in the wilderness**. God destroyed them in the wilderness by causing them to wander until they died. This was God’s punishment on them for their disobedience and rebellion.

When the Israelites disobeyed, they received punishment. Likewise, when people who claim to be Christians sin with no repentance, no desire to change, and no concern for God’s laws, they too will receive punishment.

Jesus addressed the request for a sign as proof of His authority in Matthew 12:39-41. Let’s see how Jesus used Jonah as the only sign that would be given. **“But He [Jesus] answered them, “An evil and adulterous generation seeks for a sign; but no sign shall be given to it except the sign of the prophet Jonah. For as Jonah was three days and three nights in the belly of the whale, so shall the Son of Man be three days and three nights in the heart of the earth. The men of Nineveh will arise at**

the judgment with this generation and condemn it; for they repented at the preaching of Jonah, and behold, something greater than Jonah is here.”

Jonah was an example, or Type of Jesus. Jonah's experience was a prophesy Jesus was using to relate or explain something about Jesus' death. However, the Lord Jesus was not Jonah. Not hardly.

- Did the Lord Jesus Christ run away from His commitment before being killed? No.
- Was the Lord's death a punishment for the Lord, just as Jonah's being in the belly of a whale was a punishment for Jonah? No.
- Did the Lord ***begin*** His ministry after He came out of the grave, as Jonah had begun his ministry after he came out of the belly of the whale? No.
- Did Jonah's prophecy of doom for Nineveh come to pass? No. But **all of Jesus' prophecies will come to pass**. –(Luke 21:33).
- Did Jonah actually die when he was in the belly of the whale? No. But Jesus would die and be buried in the belly of the earth for three days and three nights. – (Matthew 27:63-66; 28:1-4; 28:11-15 and many more)

The prophecy was true, and we will examine why this is the case. It is a legitimate prophecy because of the parallel similitudes, comparisons or examples resembling similarities within each occurrence. Not ALL of it has to match perfectly. It just has to be a "Type", or an example – 'this is like the other' because some of their parts resemble each other. We must let Scripture shows us the definition of Types, but they do not all have to be exactly, specifically, 100% precise and correct for each and every aspect imaginable. That's what makes a comparison a comparison. Things are "like" each other but not "exact" in every respect. ALL analogies break down and are not perfect when closely scrutinized.

- Believers are compared to sheep. Does that mean that Christians are stupid? No.
- Believers are compared to being the bride. Does that mean Christians are supposed to be effeminate or all women? No.
- Believers are supposed to watch and be ready, yet told ***"no man knows"***. Does that mean we don't have to watch since it's impossible to "know"? No. This expression is a Hebrew idiom which points to a specific Jewish Feast Day. This analogy or Type could not be known in Biblical times by an observation of when it would take place because it pointed to a future fulfillment.

- Believers are told our Lord will come as a thief in the night. Does that mean that the Biblical commandment not to steal no longer applies? No.

The point of each analogy or picture or comparison, or metaphor listed above is true. It continues to be true and is not invalidated simply because individual peculiarities exist within each example which we might detect because we are viewing them through the lens of skeptical reasoning.

The spirit of the law becomes invalid when something is examined with an absolute expectation that it must be precise in every respect in order for it to be considered true. An internal apprehension in the skeptical mind brings about a belief that everything must go by the letter of the law and so has its roots in mistrust or unbelief. Who are we to say that the Typology must be absolutely clear, consistent and perfect in order to be valid? Where is it stated that this concept is a Biblical principle? In fact, the underpinnings of types (especially parables) depicted within a Biblical principle, teaching or event will often be difficult to recognize, if not impossible, for most people who are unfamiliar with Scripture.

One of the best ways to investigate the Word of God is to study it thematically. This is the conclusion I've reached in my fifty+ years of personal study experience. When a theme is repeated multiple times, it should be investigated for the deeper meaning and significance that it will most definitely carry. For example, let's look at the number 40:

- In the Old Testament, when God destroyed the earth with water, He caused it to rain 40 days and 40 nights -(Genesis 7:12).
- After Moses killed the Egyptian, he fled to Midian, where he spent 40 years in the desert tending flocks -(Acts 7:30).
- Moses was on Mount Sinai for 40 days and 40 nights -(Exodus 24:18).
- Moses interceded on Israel's behalf for 40 days and 40 nights -(Deuteronomy 9:18, 25).
- At God's command, Moses sent leaders from each tribe of Israel to scout out the Promised Land for 40 days. After a mostly negative report rooted in disbelief, all but two (Joshua and Caleb) wanted to return to Egypt. Because they didn't place their trust and faith in God, the Israelites were punished. A day for a year they would wander in the wilderness for 40 years before finally entering the Promised Land. But those twenty years and older would perish in the wilderness for their lack of faith. Only their children along with Joshua and Caleb would eventually enter the land promised to them -(Numbers 13 – 14).
- The Law specified a maximum number of lashes a man could receive for a crime, setting the limit at 40 -(Deuteronomy 25:3).

- In the New Testament, Jesus was tempted for 40 days and 40 nights -(Matthew 4:2).
- There were 40 days between Jesus' resurrection and ascension -(Acts 1:3). Thus, 40 is a number that generally symbolizes a period of testing, trial, probation or judgment, and or isolation or separation from God.

Some, but not all, contemporary era Christian leaders attack the concept of the Pre-Tribulation Rapture, concluding that it is a relatively new doctrine or teaching. Some would have people believe the idea began in the 1830's by a young Scottish girl who had visions. But the doctrine was held by the early church up to the middle of the fourth century. So this argument is a superficial attack because there is evidence of the Rapture teaching in Scripture, therefore it is not a new teaching. Once Roman Catholicism gained prominence, it stifled theological discourse and claimed the benefits of the Bible for the Church. It was Catholicism that introduced a thoroughly heretical teaching we know today as "Replacement Theology". Dozens of the early church fathers (as they were once referred to) held to the teaching of "Deliverance before Judgment". God's plan does not and never has excluded the Jewish people from His Master Plan. On the contrary, the Jewish people are the primary focus of the last week of Daniel's 70th week prophecy. That week is the final "Seven" year period referred to as the "Great Tribulation".

Numerous Old Testament prophets taught about the twofold return of the Jews, once in unbelief and once in belief. We are presently in the time of the Jews return in unbelief, which began in the late 1800's and continues to this day. World events forming today are leading to the next phase. As anti-Semitism continues to grow in Europe and elsewhere in the world, Jewish people are returning to Israel -(Zephaniah 3:20; Zechariah 10:1-12; Ezekiel 36:21-28, and others). In 2015, the high priest of the Jewish Sanhedrin issued a world statement for Jews to return to Israel. I have written a 7-part series of Bible/Biblical Forensics© that covers this two-stage return of the Jews to their homeland.

The Christian community has been completely blinded by this apostate "Replacement Theology" teaching. The doctrine has its roots in Roman Catholicism but it was perpetuated by Protestants in the Reformation movement period which began in 1517. Most mainline Protestant denominations today are nearly all anti-Israel. The cause for this lies in the teaching of "Replacement Theology". Those adhering to "Replacement Theology" have a veil of blindness over their eyes. Because of this blindness, it will most likely lead them into the Great Tribulation thereby missing out on deliverance before judgment. It is my view, as many Bible prophecy scholars and teachers that most of the churches engaged in the Ecumenical movement will return to the folds of Rome in the One World Church lead by the Vatican. This fact will place them under judgment, and they will miss the Pre-Tribulation Rapture as a result of the Satanic spirit that rules the Vatican. There can be no question that the Vatican is controlled by Satan. Those are not my words but are from former ex-Jesuits, such as Malachi Martin and Alberto Rivera, who have become born-again Christians, but both died under mysterious

circumstances, one by poison and one by being pushed down a step staircase at his New York apartment. Next time we will discuss the Jewish Feast Day of Passover. - Pastor Bob

The Antichrist Commonwealth of Nations

(To Understand the Present and Future, you need to know the Past!)

While the simpleminded, uninformed, and great majority of the world's public continue to be entirely deceived by the British-controlled global media and Hollywood propaganda to believe that the British Empire is now almost dead and the British Monarch is now merely an outdated, impotent figurehead, the British Sovereign and her son, Prince Charles, are literally having a "field day" taking over the world, without even firing a shot.

One simply cannot fully comprehend or appreciate how Prince Charles already deceptively controls the world, together with the world's green environmental, sustainable development policies, through The Prince's Charities, Prince's Trust, International Business Leaders Forum, International Investor's Group on Climate Change, World Business Council for Sustainable Development, International Investor's Group on Climate Change, Prince of Wales Corporate Leaders Group on Climate Change, The Prince of Wales Business & the Environment Programme, The Copenhagen Communiqué, May Day Network, Business in the Community and The Prince's Rainforests Project etc. – without first understanding how the British Monarchy controls the United Nations through the Commonwealth of Nations and the Commonwealth Family.

John Dee, Queen Elizabeth I's astrologer, secret service agent 007, creator of the modern UFO, extraterrestrials/alien cult etc. was the first to introduce the term "*British Empire*" and lay the groundwork for the later establishment of the Rosicrucian Order, Freemasonry, and a world intelligence network controlled by the British Monarch. Through his *Brytannicae reipublicae* synopsis (1570) manuscript, he set down the plans in English circles to politically, economically and spiritually strengthen the Elizabethan Realm in England through imperial colonization of new lands abroad, finance, esoteric knowledge, and world trade through maritime supremacy – so that England could eventually rule the world.

Spiritually, he believed, this would be achieved by ultimately bringing forth a "*unified world religion*" by healing the breach between the Catholic and Protestant churches. This would also involve the merging of Islam and all the eastern religions together with the Catholic and Protestant churches to, in his own words, "*recapture the pure theology of the ancients.*"

Dee's occult dream was "partially" fulfilled by about 1922, when 352 years after he first published his manuscript the British Empire was at its height and had grown to become the greatest empire in world history, including 458 million people, one quarter of the world's population, and cover 13,000,000 square miles, a quarter of the Earth's total land area. As the result of this power the British Empire's culture, language, laws,

measures, finance, and Protestant spiritual power became the dominant force throughout the world.

In 1839, Sir Henry Ward said in the House of Commons, *"Look at the British Colonial empire—the most magnificent empire that the world ever saw. The old Spanish boast that the sun never set in their **dominions**, has been more truly realized amongst ourselves."*

After the Vatican was bailed out from its bankrupt state during the 1830's – 1860's by the British Rothschild's, culminating in the Lateran Treaty in 1929 between the Vatican and Mussolini's fascist government, which confiscated most of the Vatican's wealth in the Papal States in payment for about the equivalent of U.S. \$100 million (funded through Rothschild's banking agents in Italy) – with the growth and power of World Freemasonry, the Protestant Sovereign and Church of England became the spiritual masters of the world, controlling the Holy See.

However, although the biggest empire in world history, Britain still didn't completely own and control the whole world. Much of Europe, and the big powers like Russia, Germany and the United States of America were still not fully under her control.

During the 1760's and 1770's through greed, arrogance and ineptitude, relations between the tyrannical British King and the Thirteen Colonies in America became severely strained over an attempt by the British Parliament to govern and tax American colonists without their consent. This led to the American War of Independence in 1775, and the signing of Declaration of Independence against the tyranny of the King in 1776, which led to England losing her most prized possession – the Thirteen Colonies. Although Britain, through the American banks and corporations, still largely controlled the finances and commerce of the American colonies after the Declaration of Independence, the colonists had gained full political control of themselves under the slogan, *"We, the People."*

As the result, the Protestant United States of America grew to become the most prosperous, wealthy, politically and militarily most powerful, and freest, independent nation in world history. But, as so often happens with prosperity, her people lost the great "American Dream." They lost their vision, established by their great founding fathers. They lost their strong Protestant Christian faith and missionary zeal. They became fat, lazy, apathetic and corrupt. They stopped teaching their children in school to read the Protestant Bible, and value the U.S. Declaration of Independence, Bill of Rights, and they forgot about the tyranny of the British King who once tried to destroy them all in the War of Independence, burn the White House and Capitol Building, murder their representatives and in general turn them all into common peasants, surfs and slaves – and they forgot to notice that the British Monarch had, in over 200 years, never ever given up his covert plan for world domination, and was constantly picking away year by year to subtly overpower them and turn them into a giant leper colony.

After the Declaration of Independence there simply was now no way left for Britain to regain political control of America under the existing stigmatized system, because the American people had so strongly objected to being ruled by a distant, foreign, tyrannical power – so a new system had to be gradually developed to overpower them. London still secretly controlled the trade and finance of most of the world through a complex labyrinth of shareholding arrangements in the giant international banks, companies and corporations operating in various countries (which most people thought were really their own, but were not) but there was a growing resistance against Britain's overt, quickly growing dictatorial political power. Obviously, if Britain was to bring Dee's dream to fulfillment, a new, much more refined and delicate strategy was needed.

The answer to this, of course, was to gradually grant "*political independence*" to most of England's former territories and colonies so that most people throughout the world would believe that the power of the old British Empire and Monarchy was dead and Britain was now no longer a threat. Since London still already now largely controlled the finances and wealth of those countries, she would then "invite" those countries (in reality, behind the scenes, under "duress") to become "voluntary" members of two new organizations – the United Nations Organization (created in 1945) which later would be reformed to become a One World Government, and be ruled by a new successor of the British Empire, the Commonwealth of Nations (created in 1952).

In this way, through the votes of those countries formerly in the British Empire, but now in a new successor organization, the "voluntary" Commonwealth of Nations, under the "one state one vote" power structure rules set out in Chapter IV of the United Nations Charter, the United Nations General Assembly would now be ruled by the votes from the countries in the Commonwealth of Nations. Theoretically, under the one state, one vote power structure, states comprising just 8 percent of the world population can now pass a resolution by a two-thirds vote in the United Nations General Assembly. Very cleverly, the United States was given only one vote.

Today, 440 years later, John Dee's occult dream has now almost been completed.

Order of the British Empire, "For God and the Empire"

The Most Excellent Order of the British Empire, established in June 1917 by King George V, was created to honor outstanding individuals who have given meritorious service to the British Empire and Sovereign. The Order's hypocritical motto is, "*For God and the Empire.*" Notable American traitors who are Honorary members of the Order are: "Sir" Brent Scowcroft 1993, Raymond Sackler 1995, James Wolfensohn 1995 (World Bank President), André Previn 1996, Leighton W. Smith Jr. 1997, George J. Mitchell 1999, Wesley Clark 2000 (for leading U.S. troops and NZ SAS operatives to burn men, women and children at Waco), Rev. Billy Graham 2001 (for selling many fundamentalist Christians down the tubes), Steven Spielberg 2001 (for British film propaganda), James D. Watson 2001, Rudy Giuliani 2002 (for covering up the Anglo/American "inside job" evidence on the September 11, 2001, attacks on the World Trade center. Even today, the International Association of Firefighters claim he rushed to conclude the recovery of the gold and silver vaults, then cover up any remaining

evidence preventing any remains of the victims from being recovered for families), Alan Greenspan 2002 (British Banking), Murray Perahia 2004, Tommy Franks 2004, Bill Gates 2004, John Warner 2009, Edward R. Murrow 1965.

The Order of the British Empire is the most junior of the British orders of chivalry, and by far the largest with over 100,000 living members worldwide. Many other American traitors have been knighted by the Queen, but are members of more senior orders. For example, another order used to honor individuals who have given important service to the Commonwealth is:

Order of St. Michael and St. George. Its Latin motto is *Auspiciis melioris ævi* ('token of a better age'). One of its primary witchcraft symbols is that of St. Michael trampling over Satan. Sir Henry Kissinger was knighted by the Queen at Buckingham Palace on June 20, 1995, when he was made an Honorary Knight Commander of The Most Distinguished Order of St. Michael and St. George, one of the highest honors the Sovereign can bestow on a foreigner.

Ian Fleming's "007 James Bond" British propaganda spy character (based on John Dee's secret code 007 identity) was fictionally decorated as a Companion (CMG) of the Order in his 1953 book, *From Russia, With Love* (1957) and later, he was offered the Knight Commander (KCMG) of the Order in *The Man with the Golden Gun* (1965). During World War II, Fleming worked as the Personal Assistant of Rear Admiral John Godfrey, Director of British Naval Intelligence. Fleming also conceived of a plan to work with British occultist Aleister Crowley to trick Rudolf Hess in divulging important information, but the plan was never carried out after Hess had flown to Scotland. His position as the British Naval Intelligence Director's Personal Assistant, provided him with a great deal of privileged information to weave into his spy novels, and hence the movies made from them. His first novel, *Casino Royale*, published in 1953, first introduced John Dee's code 007 secret agent James Bond character, based on Sir William Stephenson's Camp X. Fleming based a lot of his James Bond character on Canadian, Sir William Stephenson, the head of British Intelligence in the US during WW2, and knighted in 1945. General William J. 'Wild Bill' Donovan, set up the CIA out of the old OSS as a sub-branch of British Intelligence under the direct orders of Sir William Stephenson! For his treachery to patriotic Americans, in 1946, Sir William became the first non-U.S. citizen to receive America's highest civilian award at the time, the Presidential Medal for Merit. Donovan presented the award.

The Order is the sixth most senior in the British honors system. Presently, Sir Richard Dearlove (KCMG) is a member. He was head of the British Secret Intelligence Service (MI6) from 1999 to 2004. Sir Crispin Tickell is a member of the order. He formerly was Chef de Cabinet to the President of the European Commission (1977-80), British Ambassador to the United Nations and Permanent Representative on the UN Security Council (1987-1990) and presently he sits on the Copenhagen Climate Council. Baron John Kerr of Kinlochard is a member. Previously, he was UK Permanent Representative to the European Union (1990- 1995), British Ambassador to the United States (1995-1997), Permanent Secretary of the Foreign and Commonwealth Office (1997-2002), he

wrote the EU Constitution (now Lisbon Treaty) as part of London's plan to take over the EU, and is now Deputy Chairman of Royal Dutch Shell. Another member is Baron Robertson of Port Ellen, former Secretary General of NATO (1999-2004). Yet again, another member, Shimon Peres, the current President of the State of Israel was knighted at Buckingham Palace by the Queen in November 2008. The list goes on and on.

An even higher Order still is The Most Noble Order of the Bath. During World War II, Georgy Zhkov, Dwight D. Eisenhower and Douglas MacArthur were knighted, and in 1972 Gustav Heinemann, 1989 Ronald Reagan, Lech Walesa in 1991, and Fernando Henrique Cardoso. The Queen made George H.W. Bush Sr. a Knight Grand Cross of the Most Honorable Order of the Bath on December 20, 1993, and Nicolas Sarkozy in March 2008. Turkish President Abdullah Gul, Slovenian President Dr. Danilo Turk, and most recently Mexican President Felipe Calderón have been knighted. The Queen is the Sovereign, and Prince Charles is the Great Master of the Order. The Order's two mottos are *Tria Iuncta In Uno* and *Ich Dien* (Military division). The German, *Ich Dien* 'I serve' is the motto of the Prince of Wales.

Did somebody say the Queen and Prince of Wales have no power!

In passing, some information has been erroneously written in recent times claiming the Vatican and Roman Catholic Church rules the British Monarchy and the world through such orders as the Jesuits and the Sovereign Military Hospitaller Order of Saint John of Jerusalem, of Rhodes and Malta, better known as the Sovereign Military Order of Malta (SMOM) founded in 1050, now with 12,500 members. It must be pointed out that the similar British order under the same name headed by the Queen, founded in 1831, now with 25,000 members, is not the same order. It is titled, the MOST VENERABLE Order of the Hospital of Saint John of Jerusalem (VOSJ). Words are important! The recent Prince & Grand Master of the Roman Order was Englishman, Fra' Matthew Festing. His predecessor was also English. He was removed by Pope Francis shortly after his rein began in 2014. The motto of the Roman Order is Latin, *Tuitio Fidei et Obsequium Pauperum* 'Defence of the faith and assistance to the poor.' Prince Charles has now made it very plain that he no longer sees himself as 'Defender of the faith' at all, but 'Defender of faith' (i.e., "all faiths").

Paradoxically, there is, and has been, for some considerable amount of time, a full-scale spiritual war going on by British occultists and Freemasons headed by the Monarch, to destroy both the Protestant and Catholic churches from within. In *'An Introduction to the History of the Church of England – From Earliest Times to the Present Day'*, page 463 and 484, first published in 1896, by Henry Offley Wakeman, the author writes:

"All that is wanted is that English Churchmen should resolutely face the facts ... being shaped by the divine will in furtherance of His purpose for His Church between Rome and Constantinople in some things, might, especially with a view to the world-wide

extension of the Anglo-Saxon race, eventually help to make her the pivot round which the ultimate reunion of Christians would turn?"

"It means that the Church of England is reformed because it has purged itself of mediaeval abuses, restored the Bible to its proper place in the religious life of the Church, adopted vernacular services, and declined to recognize the claim of the Pope to be universal bishop. But this means none the less that she is essentially Catholic in the fullness of historical right and regained practice. Already there are not wanting signs that this truth is forcing itself on the world. In all parts of the Church there is a movement towards England as to a common center."

The 30th Degree of American Scottish Rite Freemasonry, called the Knight Kadosh, is the Luciferian degree which reveals the secret dream of all Masons – to infiltrate the Papacy, rebuild the Temple of Solomon in Jerusalem and consecrate the world to Universal Catholic worship under the reign of their New Age "Gnostic Christ" (really Antichrist). The patriarch of American Scottish Rite Freemasonry (a sub-branch of the United Grand Lodge of England), Albert Pike, in his classic book, *'Morals and Dogma'*, pages 814-817, reveals this Knight Kadosh secret. He writes:

"Now, from the tomb in which after his murders he rotted, Clement the Fifth howls against the successors of his victims, in the Allocution of Pio Nono against the Free-Masons. The ghosts of the dead Templars haunt the Vatican and disturb the slumbers of the paralyzed Papacy, which, dreading the dead, shrieks out its excommunications and impotent anathemas against the living. It is a declaration of war ... Their secret object was the rebuilding of the Temple of Solomon on the model prophesied by Ezekiel ... rebuilt and consecrated to the Catholic worship would become, in effect, the metropolis of the Universe; the East would prevail over the West, and the Patriarchs of Constantinople would possess themselves of the Papal power ... Thus the Order of Knights of the Temple was at its very origin devoted to the cause of opposition to the tiara of Rome and the crowns of kings, and the Apostolate of Kabalistic Gnosticism was vested in its chiefs ... The Chiefs alone knew the aim of the Order: the Subalterns followed them without distrust. To acquire influence and wealth, then to intrigue, and at need to fight, to establish the Johannite or Gnostic and Kabalistic dogma, were the object and means proposed to the initiated Brethren. The Papacy and the rival monarchies, they said to them, are sold and bought in these days, become corrupt, and tomorrow, perhaps, will destroy each other. All that will become the heritage of the Temple: the world will soon come to us for its Sovereigns and Pontiffs. We shall constitute the equilibrium of the Universe, and be rulers over the Masters of the World."

At present HRH The Duke of Kent, (the Queen's cousin, who stands in for the Sovereign), is Grand Master of the United Grand Lodge of England that heads World Freemasonry. Deep down, Freemasonry hates Christianity, particularly the Roman Catholic Church because, with King Philip IV of France, it previously persecuted its founders, the Knight Templars, by burning its leaders at the stake including Grand Master, Jacques de Molay in 1314.

As time quickly progresses, as soon as the KJV Bible celebrations in 2011 or the Queen's Jubilee in 2012, the invalid Pope Benedict XVI in Rome, who was no less than a Masonic puppet, holding friendly discussions with the Archbishop of Canterbury about taking the unusual steps to merge the Anglican Church of England with the Roman Catholic Church, the crowning of Prince Charles, not as king of Great Britain as Defender of the Faith, but as the Masonic, coming "World Philosopher King," "Defender of Faith" – all faiths – now of all the pagan cultures and religions of the world. With the forcing of Pope Benedict XVI retirement resignation, Prince Charles received a "gift" in the form of Pope Francis to become a cheerleader for Prince Charles.

Commonwealth of Nations

In 1884, while visiting Australia, Lord Rosebery once described the changing British Empire, when some of its colonies were becoming more independent, as more of a "Commonwealth of Nations." As the "Empire" declined and the "Commonwealth" grew, Britain and the pre-1945 dominions became informally known as the "Old Commonwealth." Lord David Davies, who had taken a leading part in establishing the League of Nations Union in Britain in 1932, founded the New Commonwealth Movement, of which Winston Churchill was President. This "New Commonwealth" was to lead the League of Nations, which was later replaced by the United Nations Charter, drafted in Dumbarton Oaks (21 August to 7 October 1944) and San Francisco (25 April to 26 June 1945).

Officially, the Commonwealth of Nations grew out of a series of separate documents over time and was not established by a single event. Important dates relevant to its forming are the Statute of Westminster 11 December, 1931, the London Declaration 28 April, 1949, and the Meeting of Commonwealth Prime Ministers in 1952.

In 1931, the unitary British monarchy throughout the Empire was split into legally distinct crowns for each of the Commonwealth realms. After WWII, the former colonies and dominions became independent of Britain, bringing the British Empire to an end. George VI, and his successor, Elizabeth II, adopted the title Head of the Commonwealth as the symbol of the free association of the independent countries comprising the Commonwealth of Nations.

While not all current members were once British colonies, the Commonwealth of Nations is the modern successor to the old British Empire. The Headquarters are at Marlborough House, London. Presently the Commonwealth of Nations is an intergovernmental organization comprising 54 countries and sovereign states including one currently suspended member, (Fiji, on 1 September 2009). Within the 54 Commonwealth members, 16 are sometimes referred to as Commonwealth realms, where Elizabeth II serves separately as head of state. Another 32 members are republics in the Commonwealth. These countries do not have Elizabeth as head of state, but instead have elected presidents. Four countries, Brunei, Lesotho, Swaziland and Tonga have monarchs of their own. Malaysia operates a constitutional elective monarchy, a slightly different form. The Fijian Great Council of Chiefs recognizes Elizabeth II as its traditional Queen, but the Fijian President serves as head of state.

The Commonwealth covers six inhabited continents, contains a combined population of 2.1 billion people, almost a third of the world population, covering a land area of about 12,200,000 sq. miles or about 21% of the world's total land area. In 2006, the New Zealand Secretary-General Don McKinnon recommended that Israel and Palestine should join the Commonwealth, and other countries have been approached as well.

The Commonwealth of Nations has its own flag which is a golden globe, surrounded by 61 gold spears or radiating rays of light in the form of the letter "C" (for 'Commonwealth') on a United Nations blue field. Queen Elizabeth II had a new, personal flag to go with her title, and this is used in her role as Head of the Commonwealth.

The role of the Head of the Commonwealth is like that of a "ceremonial" president, but for life. Unlike a chairman, president, or secretary-general of any other organization or government, the Head of the Commonwealth is a symbol of "association," without any executive power, more like a figurehead, yet still playing a powerful role above the Commonwealth Secretary-General (currently Kamalesh Sharma) and Commonwealth Chairperson-in-Office (currently Patrick Manning). The title is not vested in the Crown at all as shared amongst the Commonwealth realms, and the Commonwealth members may not automatically agree on the next monarch who should succeed to the position of Head of the Commonwealth, upon accession to the Throne. The position of Head of the Commonwealth was discussed at the 1997 Edinburgh Commonwealth Heads of Government Meeting, and the consensus was that the title should remain with the Sovereign.

In its current role, the Head of the Commonwealth is recognized by its members as the "*symbol of their free association*," attends Commonwealth Heads of Government Meetings (CHOGM), the quadrennial Commonwealth Games and on every Commonwealth Day, the second Monday in March, broadcasts a message to all member countries.

When Queen Elizabeth II came to the throne in 1952, she became Head of the Commonwealth, and on her accession she announced: "*The commonwealth bears no resemblance to the empires of the past. It is an entirely new conception built on the highest qualities of the spirit of man: friendship, loyalty, and the desire for freedom and peace.*"

In December 1960, the Queen had a personal flag created to symbolize her as an individual and not associated with her role as Queen of the United Kingdom. Over time, the flag started to be used in place of the Royal Standard when the Queen visited Commonwealth countries where she was not head of state and for Commonwealth occasions in the United Kingdom to symbolize the Queen's role as Head of the Commonwealth. When the Queen visited Marlborough House in London, headquarters of the Commonwealth Secretariat, her personal standard is raised and not the Royal Standard.

The changing process is very esoteric and cunning, and for most, extremely difficult to understand. The Greek pagan philosopher Plato, (considered the founder of modern socialism) in about 400 BC wrote in his classic book, *The Republic*, about his dream for a world republic, not headed by a hereditary constitutional monarch or even a president – but by a “royal philosopher king” who would be elected to rule the world by the “will” (worship) of all nations and people – not through hereditary right! It would be totally voluntary! That is what the Head of the Commonwealth and Commonwealth of Nations is all about! All of the wealth of the world would ultimately be privatized, and transferred into one giant, socialist “Corporation of Earth,” on behalf of the people (of course!), and then this “philosopher king,” because he was so respected for his self-sacrifice, philosophical wisdom, and widespread charity (The Prince’s Charities) – would universally be “*elected with the will of all the people*,” cultures, nations and languages, to unselfishly oversee the wealth of humanity and rule the world. The upcoming COP28 event in a matter of a few weeks will embody Plato’s dream Republic.

Commonwealth Secretariat

The two main divisions of power within the organization are the Commonwealth Secretariat and Commonwealth Family. The Commonwealth Secretariat has offices in London and New York. The New York Office, at 800 2nd Avenue, is the nineteen thousand square foot base through which The Joint Office for Commonwealth Permanent Missions to the United Nations operates. The office also assists with important meetings with the World Bank, the United Nations Development Programme, the United Nations Environment Programme, and many other powerful global organizations.

The Monarchy’s frantic move to “reform” the United Nations Organization to soon finally become a dictatorial world government “United Commonwealth of Nations” or something similar is now quickly accelerating with such carefully orchestrated Internet propaganda plots as the creation of the cybernations alliance, United Commonwealth of Nations. http://cybernations.wikia.com/wiki/United_Commonwealth_of_Nations

Commonwealth Family

As opposed to the Speech from the Throne, which is not written by the head of state who reads it, but rather by Ministers of the Crown in Cabinet, the Queen’s (King’s) Royal Christmas Message is written by the Monarch. Since she has been Monarch, during her many Christmas Messages delivered over her long reign, Queen Elizabeth II has often referred to what she calls her “*Family of Nations*.” This is not just a general term as most people think, but is a veiled reference to arguably what now has become the most powerful organization in the world, controlling another powerful network of 82 giant, affiliated Commonwealth organizations within it whose membership is “voluntary” – the Commonwealth Family! These “organizations,” whose membership is voluntary, now literally control the world!

See: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_organisations_in_the_Commonwealth_Family

To illustrate the massive power of the Commonwealth Family, which now indirectly controls almost every major “association” in the world today, examples of just two key “associations” in it out of the full 82 provides a brief glimpse of its overwhelming control:

Commonwealth Broadcasting Association. Founded in 1945, based at 17 Fleet Street, London, this organization now controls virtually all broadcasting services in the Commonwealth, and indirectly, all other nations as well, through the BBC, Islam Channel, 120 Manx Radio, Red Bee Media, Sony Professional Solutions Europe, The Thompson Foundation, World Radio Network, United States International Academy of Television Arts and Sciences, European Broadcasting Union, World Radio Network, Asia-Pacific Broadcasting Union, North American Broadcasters Association, TV5MONDE, BBC World – the list simply goes on and on. The U.S. International Academy of Television Arts and Sciences alone, founded in 1969, is a massive organization of global broadcasters in its own right, with members from 70 countries represented by over 400 companies with 66 per cent of their Boards of Directors coming from outside of the United States, representing the world’s largest production, distribution and broadcasting companies.

See:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Commonwealth_Broadcasting_Association

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International_Academy_of_Television_Arts_and_Sciences

Commonwealth Press Union. This powerful association, was founded following the first Imperial Press Conference in 1909. Composed of 750 members in 49 countries, including newspaper groups with several hundred newspapers, individual newspapers, news agencies, proprietors, publishers and senior executives. It has for 100 years almost totally controlled the world’s entire printed media and propaganda – from London.

On 31 December, 2008, it was wound up, and deceptively renamed the Commonwealth Press Training and Education Trust in January 2009.

See: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Commonwealth_Press_Union

The basis of all “mind-control” is the “control of information.” In the Dark Ages, the Roman Catholic Church hierarchy controlled its largely blind flock by excluding ordinary people from reading the Bible themselves in the vernacular, (their own tongue), and having a List of Forbidden Books through which they censored sensitive information critical of the Church. Of course, this upset a lot of people, even some of the priests themselves. Following the Reformation, the Protestant Church hierarchy therefore learnt from the past mistakes of their predecessor, and became much more cunning and resourceful to control their flock. Determined not to make the same blatant mistakes, the British Sovereign now censors all information at source, and monitors it through the Commonwealth Broadcasting Association and Commonwealth Press Union (now Commonwealth Press Training and Education Trust) and there is now hardly a soul in the wide world who has any idea about what is subtly happening!

The Power of the British Commonwealth over the World

The perceptive, outstanding, American author Joan Veon, an expert on the UN, wrote a revealing book about the global power of the British Monarchy and Prince Charles, published in 1997, *'Prince Charles: The Sustainable Prince – Who Will Rule the New World Order?'* In 1999, she wrote, *'The United Nations' Global Straightjacket'*, and has written a number of other leading articles about the Monarchy, British Commonwealth, and UN as well.

In January 2005, she published a succinct article entitled, "The Power of the British Commonwealth over the World", and writes:

"...I have always questioned how Britain would regain control of America when they were defeated by Andrew Jackson at the Battle of New Orleans in 1812. Are we so naïve to think that they would not try some other way to "capture the world"? In the past ten years, as I have covered the UN, I have been amazed at the number of suggestions and key reports that come from the British which influence UN policy. So, just how much power does Britain have in the world today? ...

The Normans invaded England in order to secure the throne for William of Normandy who was crowned King of England at Westminster on Christmas Day, 1066. With him came feudalism and a new aristocracy. By 1086, other than small-estate holders, there were in the whole of the land only two Englishmen holding estates of any dimension. William insisted that landowners who had land from the king produce a set quota of mounted knights which produced a new ruling class in England. In this system, those at the bottom suffered most, losing all their rights as free men and coming to be regarded as mere property, assets belonging to the manor.

Feudalistic Sustainable Development: Further restrictions and hardship came from William's New Forest Laws and his vast extension of new royal forests in which all hunting rights belonged to the king. The peasantry was deprived of a valuable food source in times of bad harvests. In 1086, the "Domesday Book" was begun and was an attempt to provide the king with every penny to which he was legally entitled. It worked only too well, reckoning the wealth of England, "down to the last pig." William sent his men into every village and had them find out how many hides there were, what land and cattle the king should have in the country, and what dues he ought to have in twelve months from the town or village. [Joan Veon: Does this sound like sustainable development and the UN Biological Diversity Treaty?]

...The objectives of the Commonwealth were set down in The Harare Declaration of 1991. While it is not a long declaration, part of it is reprinted only to show that there really is no real reason for the UK to have the Commonwealth except to control the UN through the Commonwealth. Its goals are exactly those of the UN.

...The Voting Power of the Commonwealth in the World Today: Starting with the founding of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank in 1944, an economic international infrastructure was established which was followed by a political

international infrastructure above the nation-states. Over the last 61 years, this infrastructure has been developed to include trade, law, the military and now intelligence as the result of the September 11 terrorist attacks on America. Interestingly enough, the Commonwealth of Nations operates in each of these organizations. Not once has an American President said, "Choose either the UN or the Commonwealth." On the following page, you will see the power of the Commonwealth. We are outvoted with our one vote at every turn."

Let me just make mention that on a regional basis, the U.S. and the world are also outvoted: Free Trade Areas of the Americas by 13 votes, two votes in the European Union, and seven votes at the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation!

Furthermore, whenever a committee is formed at the UN, they rotate "presidency". For example, if there are 4 Commonwealth countries that are part of a committee of 15, that means Britain is president 25% of the time. This is occurring throughout ALL of the hundreds of committees, agencies, organizations, etc. throughout the WHOLE of the UN system.

IN CONCLUSION: It becomes obvious to believe the purpose behind the construction of the international level is to transfer complete and absolute power to Britain. I can now see why Prince Charles was working behind the scenes. For him to be center-stage along with the power of the Commonwealth would look like they are in the process of using Francis Drake's pirating methods to grab the world! You can now see how the British have the majority of votes in the global organizations of the world through the Commonwealth and not one major power has questioned the ability of the Commonwealth to operate in tandem with the other global organizations!

And while we are on the subject of being outvoted, let us turn to the EU for a moment. When it came together the whole purpose was to create a "United States of Europe." Now that the travel and trade barriers are down between the European states which now total 27, and they have adopted a common currency which is giving the dollar a run for her money, and they have a common parliament in Strasbourg, how come, they still have 27 votes at the UN instead of ONE? America has 50 states and we only get ONE vote! There, the Commonwealth has two votes: Malta and the UK. Globally Queen Elizabeth II has outmaneuvered more than what her namesake did when she defeated the Spanish Armada!"

In another article Joan published on May 18 2005, Reform the UN by Eliminating The Commonwealth, she writes:

"... Operating within the United Nations is the Commonwealth. The Commonwealth not only operates in the UN; it operates throughout the entire international infrastructure: The IMF/World Bank, the Group of Eight, the Group of Seven Finance Ministers, the World Trade Organization, the Free Trade Areas of the Americas, the European Union, the Bank for International Settlements, and the International Organization of Security Commissions.

Do the British still rule? Yes. The U.S. has one vote at the UN while the British have the potential of 54 votes. They have 45 at the IMF, 44 at the World Bank, 46 at the World Trade Organization, 2 in the Group of Eight Heads of State, 2 in the Group of Seven Finance Ministers, 28 at the International Organization of Security Commission, and 38 at the Bank for International Settlements.

...The only place where the Commonwealth does not hold the majority of votes are at the Security Council. Should India and Australia, along with Canada, be made permanent partners, Britain will have finally trumped the U.S. and the world through the global system it helped create! Bottom line: Get the Commonwealth out of the UN."

"Green" 2010 Commonwealth Games, King James Bible 400th Anniversary in 2011, Queen's 2012 Diamond Jubilee, and the 2012 London Olympics

Historically speaking, the period between 2010 – 2012 is being synchronized to produce one of the most profound periods in British existence. The 2010 Commonwealth Games are scheduled to be held in New Delhi, India, between 3 October and 14 October 2010. These Commonwealth Games are to be recognized as the first ever "*Green Commonwealth Games*," and one of the logos for the games is a leaf being carried by a competitor superimposed inside a green leaf background to encapsulate the new "*Green Vision*" of the Games. The strategic intention of hosting "sustainable games" has been established by signing a Memorandum of Understanding with the United Nations Environment Programme, which will be providing technical support for the games.

The "Green" Commonwealth Games at New Delhi in 2010, will initiate an extended program that leads right up to Prince Charles, as Royal Patron, leading the King James Bible 400th year Anniversary celebrations in 2011, Queen Elizabeth's 60th anniversary Diamond Jubilee celebrations starting at the end of May in 2012 – which finally lead up to the grand opening of the London Olympic Games on July 27, 2012.

Coinciding with the Olympic torch (in reality, the Promethean torch of Lucifer – in Greek mythology, Prometheus stole fire from Olympus) making its way through Britain before opening the games on July 27, her Jubilee Procession will be mirrored by countless Luciferian, Promethean torches lit at different key vantage points across the whole nation to celebrate her 60 years of reign.

Quite appropriate really, because she has, as the hypocritical head of the Protestant Church of England, been worshipping not the God of the Bible, but the pagan god of light, Lucifer, completely throughout her long reigns.

If one looks at the Bible record, God brought judgment on Egypt and Pharaoh almost immediately after the children of Israel spent 400 years in Egypt. With Prince Charles, significantly now symbolized by a red dragon as HRH The Prince of Wales, hypocritically heading up the 400th Anniversary of the King James Bible in 2011, a book in which he reveres but doesn't believe, ("***Neither shall he regard the God of his fathers...***" Daniel 11:37) – with the English word 'royal' being derived from 'pharaoh' –

and the fact, **Shimon Peres, the then President of Israel, is now a member of the British Sovereign's pagan Royal Order of St. Michael** (the demon protector of the Roman Catholic/Church of England "Christianized" Egyptian Anubis and Greek Thanatos, god of Death) and **St. George** (Roman Catholic/Church of England "Christianized" Egyptian Osiris, Greek Zeus, Roman Jupiter) –

So one would have to seriously conclude, that because, according to Daniel 10:13,21, and 12:1, and Jude 9, the true "archangel Michael" is really the "protector of Israel," and in Revelation 12:7, he is the Godly leader of the angels who fight against the dragon – that HRH The Prince of Wales, whose primary symbol is a red dragon, with his Order of St. Michael, that the Prince, in reality, is a subtle counterfeit – or more correctly, an incarnation of the Angel of Death and an emissary of Satan.

The information in this segment is essential history and evidence that the "British Empire" has for the life of its existence perpetuated the lies of its roots in what many still believe to be linked to the Old Testament roots of King David. Today, this heresy is still alive in the world known as "British-Israelism". I know that most folks do not like history or appreciate its importance. However, that being the case today, it is easy to see how the Antichrist, of fake Messiah will easily seduce the world into thinking his brand of Plato's philosopher king is for the betterment of man and beast.


But keep this thought; Socialism is often considered the first step toward a new society after the inevitable collapse of capitalism. Vladimir Lenin saw communism as a "higher stage" of socialism, and believed that socialism would develop into communism. Marxists often refer to socialism as the first, necessary phase on the way from capitalism to communism. In *State and Revolution* (1917), Lenin asserted that socialism corresponds to Marx's first phase of communist society and communism proper to the second.

The late Jewish Christian author, Pastor Richard Wurmbrand, wrote a succinct, outstanding book about Marxism in Communist countries entitled, *'Marx & Satan'*. In his revealing book he says that Marxism is not just another "ideology," but is pure and simply anti-Christian and anti-God. Put simply, he says, *"Marxism is Satanism!"* In his earlier years at university Marx's nickname was 'destroy.' The true aim of socialism is not justice and freedom for all, as it so often deceptively claims – it is pure and simple – destruction! – of everything!

Both Karl Marx and Hitler were themselves great students of Plato. Not only is Prince Charles a great student of Plato as well, he believes himself to be that very "Philosopher King." The United Nations Organization is founded along the same lines of Plato's socialist teachings. Socrates, Plato and Aristotle were all vegetarians, sun god and mother earth goddess worshippers, environmentalists and "tree huggers" by faith. The numbers of famous Fabian Socialists who have been or are vegetarians is outstanding. Next time we will unpack Prince Charles vegetarian style approach to "Sustainable Development!"

Broken by Design: Why The Elites Want Everything and Everyone to Have an Expiration Date - [Planned Obsolescence!]

NOVEMBER 13, 2023



By [Dr. Mathew Maavak](#)

Is the proliferation of poor-quality products, services and talent part of a creative destruction process under the New Normal – leading to universal surveillance?

“They don’t make them like they used to anymore” is an ancient lament that has resonated through the ages in various forms. It applies both to humans and their material outputs. The Greek philosopher [Socrates](#) had this to say of the youths of *his day* (circa 470 BC): “Children; they have bad manners, contempt for authority; they show disrespect for elders and love chatter in place of exercise. They no longer rise when elders enter the room, they contradict their parents and tyrannies their teachers. Children are now tyrants.”

Juvenile absolutists can indeed become thorns in the societal flesh. Think of the routine theatrics of a young Scandinavian “environmentalist” who is on a mission to save humanity? Hobnobbing with leaders who wage “eco-friendly” wars seems to be part of that salvation process.

The prophet [Isaiah](#), who preceded Socrates by three centuries, summed up this absurdity very well (Isaiah 3:12): *My people — children are their oppressors, and women rule over them. O my people, your guides mislead you and they have swallowed up the course of your paths.*” (Note: Only in a mad juvenile world can women and girls be violated by males claiming to be otherwise in designated bathrooms!)

So, are we living in an era where humanity is being led along a tragic “course in their paths”? How are our median economic, environmental, geopolitical, societal and technological conditions faring? An argument can be made that the malaise is systemic and global. Technology, in particular, is universally gauged by the quality of products but these now generate a daily tsunami of scathing reviews and complaints. Household products and popular gadgets are becoming more fragile and less durable by the day in spite of their “energy efficiency” and “eco-friendly” stamps.

Much like the manufactured stalwarts of Gens X, Y and Z, more money seems to be spent on PR blitzes than on quality control. In fact, product regression was part of a plan first articulated in 1932 by American real estate broker Bernard London. In a paper titled *Ending the Depression Through Planned Obsolescence*, London began his pro-corporate spiel by paraphrasing the notorious Thomas Malthus, who, in 1798 foresaw a future Hobson's Choice between population growth and food production.

London's paper was published at the height of the Great Depression, when the vast majority of consumers had lost their spending power. As a result, the shelf-lives of consumer items were being extended via ingenious means. London however viewed that as a cardinal obstacle to progress.

In his rather meandering tract, London complained that “people everywhere are today disobeying the law of obsolescence... They are using their old cars, their old tires, their old radios and their old clothing much longer than statisticians had expected on the basis of earlier experience.” Quality surplus products, including buffer food stocks in granaries, were making “new production unattractive and unprofitable”. He omitted to mention that the population surge of the 1930s would have resolved this imbalance if not for the mass impoverishment caused by runaway Wall Street greed. Just when did the production of durable products become a socioeconomic problem?

We see this sinister logic being repeated nearly a century later under different pretexts. The [European Union](#) and [Britain](#), for example, have pledged to ban new diesel and petrol cars from 2035 onwards. This climate-linked policy has also resulted in [senseless campaigns](#) against [livestock](#) and [vegetation](#).

Declare Your Independence!

Profit outside the rigged system! Protect yourself from tyranny and economic collapse. Learn to live free and spread peace!

London's solutions also appear to foreshadow the [Great Reset](#): *“I would have the Government assign a lease of life to shoes and homes and machines, to all products of manufacture, mining and agriculture, when they are first created, and they would be sold and used within the term of their existence definitely known by the consumer. After the allotted time had expired, these things would be legally “dead” and would be controlled by the duly appointed governmental agency and destroyed if there is widespread unemployment. New products would constantly be pouring forth from the*

factories and marketplaces, to take the place of the obsolete, and the wheels of industry would be kept going and employment regularized and assured for the masses.”

It gets worse. London urged that “*taxes should be levied on the people who are retarding progress*”. Ergo, the world needs to punish individuals who conserve resources for the sake of corporations which generate disposable junk on an industrial scale, [straining](#) our natural environments in the process. And just how do you tax people over clothes and shoes that come with an officially-mandated expiry date? Will electronic micro-sensors be embedded into products of the future? Likewise, will humans be cattle-tagged with similar sensors to ensure consumerist compliance and the profitability of corporations?

Such a universally panoptic regime is no longer a far-fetched prospect. According to the [Kenyan Post](#), the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) has just inked a deal with the Kenyan government on the roll out of a third-generation Digital Identification Document (ID) system dubbed Maisha Namba. The ID will be “assigned to every Kenyan at birth, and will be used from birth to death”. If details of this deal appear scant, it is because “most of the engagements” between Bill Gates and the Kenyan government have been “shrouded in secrecy”, as the *Post* noted. How this squares up with the BMGF’s decade-old [commitment](#) to the International Aid Transparency Initiative (IATI) is an open question.

But it gets worse. Bill Gates, along with the United Nations Development Program (UNDP), has announced plans to roll out “[digital IDs](#)” worldwide by the year 2030, and they will be ***mandatory for people who wish to participate in society***, say Reclaim the Net, who advocate for free speech and individual liberty online. Talk about the Mark of the Beast being unveiled out in the open! This is the “[New Normal](#)” of the World Economic Forum (WEF); one which effectively plots and determines the “course of your paths” as foretold by Isaiah.

Furthermore, the WEF’s mantra of “you will own nothing and be happy” by 2030 is only six years away. Yet nothing concrete has appeared from Davos’ utopian gumbo of Artificial Intelligence, the Fourth Industrial Revolution, Big Data, Reskilling and Upskilling and an assorted mélange of similar buzzwords. Where are the building blocks of this transformative global shift? Except for reductionist catchphrases and patently [anti-human](#) pronouncements, one would be hard-pressed to even detect the first scaffolds of the post-2030 global construct.

But like London who avoided fingering Wall Street for the manifold failures of his generation – which inevitably led to WWII and hundreds of millions dead – our unelected global technocracy is blaming our current planetary failings on everyone but themselves. And they can get away with these travesties as humans are becoming as fragile and as [obsolete](#) as the products they consume.

Originally published in [RT.com](#)

Dr. Mathew Maavak researches systems science, global risks, geopolitics, strategic foresight, governance and Artificial Intelligence. Follow him on X [@MathewMaavak](#) or read his latest articles at drmathewmaavak.substack.com

The Globalist Vision: “15 Minute” Prison Cities And The End Of Private Property (Smart Cities Are A Dumb Idea)

By Anthony B. Sanders

As I watch former Presidential candidate and US Secretary of State John F. Kerry (although the always bitter Hillary Clinton comes to mind as well), I roll my eyes at the staggering hypocrisy of “climate envoy” John Kerry flying the globe in his private jet while entering the US into climate scams that most Americans don’t want. And lecturing us in that droning voice on why we are bad people if we drive a gas-powered car. But Klaus Schwab and the World Economic Forum approves of Kerry’s message.

Even the [American Enterprise Institute \(AEI\) is on board with the WEF’s and UN’s 15-minute \(smart\) city idea](#): herding people into highly dense, walkable cities (like walking around New York City). AEI calls it “Walkable Oriented Development.” [And actually has a tool showing walkable areas that AEI wants cities to embrace.](#)

As an example, I live in Columbus Ohio. Here is a map of my neighborhood. The gray areas are walkable areas. Although Sawmill Road (70) is shown as a walkable area, I have NEVER seen anyone walking on Sawmill Road. Traffic is congested and it is primarily a large, disconnected outdoor shopping mall). My neighborhood is on this map, but according to AEI is not walkable, yet I chose this neighborhood for its limited traffic and walkability. Go figure. I would purposefully avoid a walkable city, but then I like driving my ICE (internal combustion engine) car and riding my bike. Riding a bike on congested Sawmill Rd would be suicide.

Walkable Oriented Development Map



Now, Federal, State and Local governments will all claim that they can't force you to live in walkable areas. But governments can sure incentivize/punish you to meet their objectives. Yes, crowding people into walkable 15-cities creates congestion, likely increases crime, and makes for a population more easily controlled. I would argue that controlling the population is the most important prerogative for politicians. When even the formerly free market-oriented AEI pushes the WEI/UN smart cities model, you know we are in serious trouble. Call it the Greta Thunberg approach to housing and urban development; scream about the horrors of what you don't like and demand everyone do what you say. John Kerry is simply Greta Thunberg, an unelected social influencer who won't answer questions from serious people. But pushes a biased narrative to influence public policy.

Here is an interesting article by Brandon Smith via Alt-Market.us on walkable, 15-minute "smart cities."

[As a general rule I find that whenever the public scrutinizes any particular agenda being promoted by governments and globalists their first response is to act indignant, much like a narcissist would do when they are up to no good and they get caught.](#)

"How dare you" question their intentions and suggest they might be nefarious.

How dare you suggest they are anything other than loving and benevolent.

Our "leaders" have only ever wanted the best for us, right?

They only want our lives to become safer, more comfortable and more convenient – This is what truly motivates your average elitist, right?

Obviously history tells us a far different story, and it boggles my mind when anyone tries to argue that things are different today compared to 100 years ago, 300 years ago, or 1000 years ago. There is nothing new under the sun. There will always be tyrants attempting to gain more and more power and those tyrants will always lie to the public, claiming they are good people with our best interests at heart.

When that doesn't work and the citizenry remains skeptical, the tyrants go on the attack, accusing the public of "conspiracy theory." This is meant to mock and shame free thinkers into silence – You don't want to stand out, right? Why risk being ostracized from society? Why risk becoming a meme?

This tactic is rooted in the notion that the corporate media and government officials represent the mainstream, and therefore they represent the majority, and the majority represents reality. None of this is true or relevant, of course. Only facts matter. Sophistry is meaningless. Opinions are meaningless. The truth should be the goal, and if it's not someone's goal then they must be a purveyor of lies and should not be taken seriously. There are only two paths to take, there is no in-between.

I will admit there is some value to the “conspiracy theory” accusation because whenever the establishment uses it, it’s a sure sign that you are too close to the target and they are getting nervous. They could simply try to outline any evidence they might have to prove that your position is wrong, but they don’t really do that. Instead of debating your arguments and evidence, they try to undermine you as a valid critic and inoculate the public against your ideas before people ever get a chance to hear them. This is the behavior of villains, not benevolent and caring leaders.

I mention this dynamic because there is one agenda above all others that is aggressively defended by the establishment media, and anyone who remotely questions it is automatically persecuted as a “conspiracy nut” or “denier.” I am of course talking about the climate change agenda.

I have [thoroughly debunked](#) the idea of man-made climate change in [previous articles](#) and I won’t be spending time on that here.

Instead, I want to examine the end goal of climate change policies – **The ultimate solution, which is NOT to save the planet, but to dominate the populace.**

The names used for the climate change “reset” vary, but it is often referred to by globalists and the UN as Agenda 2030 or Sustainable Development Goals. These programs wear a facade of environmentalism but they are ALL rooted in economics. That is to say, all climate change efforts exist to destroy industry and trade and establish a government/corporate partnership to dominate production. Climate change is a Trojan Horse to introduce authoritarianism.

I believe one of the most important aspects of [Agenda 2030](#) for globalists is something called the “15 Minute City”; a project which involves hundreds of city mayors from across the US, Europe and Asia working closely with groups like the World Economic Forum. Any mention of this idea in a negative light and the [media erupts](#) with anger as [well as mockery](#) as if it’s not a real issue worthy of debate.

The establishment paints an interesting picture of 15 Minute Cities – **A Utopian future in which everything you need is only a short walk away and private transportation is superfluous (or banned).** You might even live in mega-complex, much like a giant mall where you also work. You could spend months within one square mile of space, never having to leave for anything.

It’s no mistake that this idea was pushed hard during the pandemic lockdowns. The public was awash in fear propaganda over a virus with a 99.8% survival rate and that fear made the unthinkable idea of staying at home all the time suddenly thinkable. Media pundits continue to call the connection between covid lockdowns and climate lockdowns a conspiracy theory, but [the idea](#) is openly admitted in UN and WEF [white papers](#).

Some people argue that most cities are already “15 Minute Cities” with necessities all within walking distance of their homes. These folks don’t understand what a 15 Minute

City really is. As numerous establishment descriptions of the project note, it's not just about convenience or close access, it's about changing every aspect of our current philosophy of living. It's not about gaining amenities, it's about making an array of sacrifices in order to appease the gods of carbon emissions.

The 15 Minute City is more like a recipe, containing every single ingredient of the climate change and covid lockdown agendas in a single comprehensive Orwellian vision. It includes removing motor vehicles, removing private transportation and roads, smart city and AI monitoring of each person's electricity usage, monitoring of product consumption and "carbon footprint", biometric surveillance within a compact and stacked urban landscape, the cashless society concept, equity and inclusion cultism, population control, etc.

It is the culmination, the end game; a massive prison with no bars. A place where you are conditioned to grow accustomed to artificial limitations on privacy, no civil liberties, no private property, and no work options or mobility. You are tied to the land and the land is owned by the state (or corporation). If you want a historic comparison, the closest I can find is the feudal system of Medieval Europe.

Within these cities you are a labor mechanism, nothing more. You will never be allowed to own your own property and thus own your own labor. Everything you have is given to you by the state and can be taken away by the state if you defy them. You might be able to leave the village or community you are tied to for a time, but this will change with increasing restrictions on the public's movement according to the dictates of climate ideology.

As long as you are productive and submissive you will be give the things you need to survive, but never to thrive. In the case of a technocratic feudal system you would not have any guarantees that the state would need your services. At least in feudal Europe a peasant was seen as valuable resource because of limited population. In a world where many people are considered "population excess", you could easily be replaced and booted out of the city to starve and die.

In 2016 the World Economic Forum published a document titled ['Welcome To 2030. I Own Nothing, Have No Privacy, And Life Has Never Been Better.'](#) The article was meant to promote a concept called the "sharing economy" which was first publicly fielded to the press at Davos. The article describes a "hypothetical" future in which a communistic system has ended all private property in the name of saving the planet from climate change. The benefits? Well, like all communistic systems, the big lie is that you will get to work less and most things will be free. This is how collectivist ideals have been sold to the populace for generations and it NEVER works the way the establishment claims.

The WEF has been promoting the sharing economy for years, but when it went mainstream and was widely criticized as dystopian, the media once again flipped the "conspiracy theory" switch and attacked anyone exposing the implications.

Multiple platforms published the article in 2016 but many have since taken it down (Forbes appears to have erased their published copy, for example). They are pretending as if the agenda never existed, probably because the article contains some revealing admissions, including a hint at the 15 Minute City concepts. From the article:

“My biggest concern is all the people who do not live in our city. Those we lost on the way. Those who decided that it became too much, all this technology. Those who felt obsolete and useless when robots and AI took over big parts of our jobs. Those who got upset with the political system and turned against it. They live different kind of lives outside of the city. Some have formed little self-supplying communities. Others just stayed in the empty and abandoned houses in small 19th century villages.

Once in a while I get annoyed about the fact that I have no real privacy. Nowhere I can go and not be registered. I know that, somewhere, everything I do, think and dream of is recorded. I just hope that nobody will use it against me”

In other words, the globalists imagine a future where the malcontent free thinkers and people replaced by AI are outcasts, scratching and scraping out a meaningless existence in the wastelands of the old world. To stay in the bosom of the new world you will be required to give up all freedom, even freedom of thought. Keep in mind, this article is supposed to be a “positive” promotion of the shared economy and 15 Minute-related cities. Yet, this excerpt sounds more like a threat.

It’s important to understand that these compact cities will not be designed for your comfort. They will not be designed so that you can have all the amenities you have today closer to your fingertips while also providing “sustainability.” That’s how the globalists try to sell it, but that’s not what it will be. Rather, these cities will be designed to better CONTROL you, so that you can be forced to make the sacrifices they say are necessary for sustainability to be possible.

They are erroneously billed as “decentralized communities,” but they are the exact opposite – They are utterly centralized, like a hamster cage where you are the pet. The core philosophy behind them is dependency. If you live in a place which is specifically constructed to eliminate your ability to provide for yourself, then you are a slave. Though, to be sure, even slavery can be made to look noble if people are convinced that their chains are necessary for the good of the planet.

The dumb face of “smart” government, climate enjoy John Kerry. Essentially Greta Thunberg with a role in Federal government to influence public policy. I wonder if Kerry drives a gas-powered car and lives in a highly congested 15-minutes city?

Nope. [Kerry lives on Martha’s Vineyard \(same island that President Obama lives on\).](#)

Here is John Kerry’s home on Martha’s Vineyard. [This is after he sold his Nantucket mansion.](#)



Here is John Kerry's previous mansion on Nantucket. Perhaps moving to an island slightly closer to the Massachusetts shore. Maybe that is Kerry's idea of a 15-minute city.




**The dates December 1 to the 3rd seem to be by far the most important key to the Rapture timing!
This is based upon HRM Prince Charles and Pope Francis joint-presence at COP28.**

Count down to 'Confirming the Covenant with the Many'

<p>Hanukkah 12/7/23 – 12/15/23 COP28 Begins November 30th as of 11/16/23 – 15 Days Days to end COP28 December 12th as of 11/16/23 – 26 days</p>
--

Praise God!



Blessings in the Precious Name of Jesus Christ, Lord of lords, and King of kings!

Pastor Bob, EvanTeachr@aol.com
www.pastorbobreid.com