

# The Blessed Hope!

***“Looking for that blessed hope, and the glorious appearing of the great God and our Saviour Jesus Christ;” –(Titus 2:13)***

*Diamond & Nugget #276*

**Let a Scientist Speak! Presentation Goes Viral “Because It’s True!”**

BY PATRICIA HARRITY ON JANUARY 1, 2024



A 9-minute presentation by scientist [Denis Rancourt](#) at the Christine Anderson and Eva Vlaardingerbroek *“Make It Your Business”* event in Ottawa on November 29, 2023 has gone viral on X formerly known as Twitter. As a scientist, Rancourt states that after three years of intense study and looking at hard data, he has been able to conclude that there was *“no pandemic”, “no evidence of a particularly virulent pathogen whatsoever” and there was “nothing that was spreading that was causing death.”*

According to Doctor Mike Yeadon this is because *“to those knowing even a little about this multi year “pandemic” (there are no genuine examples of similar pandemics, prompting me to conclude they’re all invented) his words ring so powerfully true.”*



Denis Rancourt holds a *B.Sc.*(1980), *M.Sc* (1981). and *Ph.D.* (1984, University of Toronto) university degrees in physics.has been a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada international post-doctoral candidate in prestigious research laboratories in both France and The Netherlands (1984-85, 1985-86). [Source](#)

He became a national NSERC University Research Fellow, in Canada and was a professor of physics at the University of Ottawa for 23 years, attaining the highest academic rank of tenured Full Professor and has developed new courses and taught over 2000 university students, at all levels, and in three different faculties and supervised more than 80 junior research terms or degrees at all levels from post-doctoral fellow to graduate students to NSERC undergraduate researchers. Several of his former graduate students are government and private-sector scientists, university professors, teachers, managers, and business leaders.



According to Denis Rancourt, when he *“reviews scientific articles, in particular, in relation to COVID-19, my personal knowledge and ability to evaluate the facts in the said scientific articles are grounded in my education, research, training and experience”* Clearly, in the past Denis Rancourt has been a valued member of the scientific community with knowledge and experience that has been entrusted to educate many future scientists. However, the esteemed scientist has recently endured censoring and fact-checking by those far less qualified due to his [studies and conclusions](#) not aligning with the non elected leaders narrative. [Source](#)

Why would we **not** listen to Denis Rancourt? These days especially the masses should know that this is the very reason we should *all* be listening to him.

Censored to *“Gone Viral”*

Well perhaps now, they do, as Denis Rancourt has gone from being *“censored”* to *“gone Viral”* in just a few weeks!

The viral presentation on the X platform can be seen below.

<https://twitter.com/i/status/1740872581987635278>

It's Gone Viral Because it's True

Commenting on the viral presentation above, Dr Yeadon says *“It's interesting being in some discussion groups where a number of medical doctors maintain there was a pandemic & a novel disease. I've long stopped believing that.”*

Dr. Yeadon continues below:

*“One member made their position clear by saying, of Rancourt’s talk, that “It’s gone viral because it’s true”.*

Here’s a note I just shared with that group, which is surely about to disassemble itself over the impossible contradiction:

Agreed.

Let anyone who takes a different view please either:

Refute Rancourt’s basic analytical methods, findings and conclusions or  
Offer an interpretation of events that squares the circle of a pandemic of a contagious & somewhat lethal pathogen, notwithstanding Rancourt’s findings.  
In the absence of 1 or 2, I must stick with my position, without prejudice to other’s positions.

Like Denis, I’m a scientist and I change my opinions based on evidence and argument. I have done so on a number of occasions through this multi-year event.

Meshing with Denis’s observations that there is reproducibly, in more than 100 countries, no increase in ACM (all causes mortality) until the alleged vaccines were rolled out, I have previously described in some detail how & why it was obviously intentional and designed-in to the gene-based preparations that multiple, discrete types of toxicity would occur. I was unable to predict the magnitude of the effects (because toxicity is always about dose or concentration response relationships & there was no data in prior literature to use for calibration purposes) but that harms would occur was never in doubt.

To those who might question whether those who designed & had manufactured these agents necessarily knew they were inherently toxic, I now pull rank. Having worked in the field called rational drug design or structure-based drug design for over 30 years, I know what is commonplace, everyday knowledge of my peers in big pharma and in biotech (having worked at senior level in both) & I’m certain that my peers knew perfectly well what they were doing.

That causing the body to make a non-self protein axiomatically initiates lethal autoimmune attack on every cell performing the instruction is close to Immunology 101. The ability of the immune system to distinguish self from non-self is legendary & unequivocal.

Though I don’t know where “spike protein” comes from & is immaterial for my point, causing the body to manufacture a known toxin is obviously not a good thing.

My third example of intentional toxicity is the use of lipid nanoparticle formulations. These macromolecule carriers have been known for over a decade to deposit their

cargoes preferentially in ovaries of every species tested, a property confirmed by experiments conducted for the Japanese market on the Pfizer product. No one reading this can be in any doubt about the likely effect of such a formulation decision.

The extraordinary planning that must have been required to have pulled off this global assault, coupled with the timing of it rules out for me the possibility of a natural emergence of a pathogen. For reasons I've argued above in this thread, it's not necessary for there to have been an additional cause of death in order to create circumstances in which the pandemic claimed could be made to stick. There are several reasons why the bad actor would be most unlikely to release or allow escape of such a pathogen (they would immediately lose control of events, which would be untypical & unacceptable to them).

Notice our leaders were never themselves frightened of interacting with people indoors, maskless, even at the claimed height of the alleged pandemic. "PartyGate" in U.K. led to resignations." <https://t.me/DrMikeYeadon>

Can we dare to hope that in 2024 we will see more people turning to the truth told by scientists who are without fear or favour working to expose the horrendous narrative forced upon us by those who do not have our health or best interests at heart, but aim to have total control over us?

In the video Dr. Rancourt puts the blame on the CIA. The Deagel Report predicted a reduction of the population of the U.S. and many nations back in 2015, and the report was removed during the Covid Scamdemic.



2017		Rank	%	World Figures
Currency:	US Dollar USD (\$)	--	--	--
Year:	2017	--	--	2017
Population:	327 million	3	4.4	7.4 billion
Surface:	9.6 million sq. km.	3	7.2	188 million sq. km.
Density:	34 inhabitants / sq. km.	157	62	56 inhabitants / sq. km.
Gross Domestic Product:	\$19 trillion	1	24	\$70 trillion
GDP per capita:	\$59,274	14	655	\$10,710
Purchase Power Parity:	\$59,500	15	360	\$16,976
Budget:	\$6.3 trillion	1	34	\$26 trillion
Military Budget:	\$67 billion	1	36	\$1.7 trillion
Imports:	\$2.4 trillion	1	14.5	\$16 trillion
Exports:	\$1.6 trillion	2	9.5	\$17 trillion
<b>Forecast 2025</b>				
Population:	100 million	14	1.4	6.9 billion
Density:	10 inhabitants / sq. km.	168	19.6	51 inhabitants / sq. km.
Gross Domestic Product:	\$2.4 trillion	6	5.8	\$65 trillion
GDP per capita:	\$24,661	24	261	\$9,421
Purchase Power Parity:	\$16,374	67	141	\$11,634
Military Budget:	\$12 billion	6	2.8	\$1.2 trillion

**By 2025 Deagel predicts US population will be reduced from 327 to 100 million.**



**United States of America**

	Rank	%	World Figures
Currency:	US Dollar USD (\$)	-	-
Year:	2017	-	2017
Population:	327 million	3	4.4 7.4 billion
Surface:	9.6 million sq. km.	3	7.1 135 million sq. km.
Density:	34 inhabitants / sq. km.	157	62 55 inhabitants / sq. km.
Gross Domestic Product:	\$19 trillion	1	24 \$79 trillion
GDP per capita:	\$59,274	14	953 \$10,719
Purchase Power Parity:	\$59,500	15	350 \$16,909
Budget:	\$6.3 trillion	1	24 \$26 trillion
Military Budget:	\$637 billion	1	36 \$1.7 trillion
Imports:	\$2.4 trillion	1	14.4 \$16 billion
Exports:	\$1.5 trillion	2	4 \$17 trillion

**Forecast 2025**

Population:	100 million	14	1.4 6.9 billion
Density:	10 inhabitants / sq. km.	188	20.0 50 inhabitants / sq. km.
Gross Domestic Product:	\$2.4 trillion	6	3.8 \$65 trillion
GDP per capita:	\$24,961	24	261 \$9,421
Purchase Power Parity:	\$18,374	57	141 \$11,634
Military Budget:	\$32 billion	6	2.8 \$1.2 trillion

**Deagel's Forecast Shows: 2025 America... As A 3rd World Nation...**

Population:	54 million	20	0.8 8.9 billion
Density:	6 inhabitants / sq. km.	173	12.0 50 inhabitants / sq. km.
Gross Domestic Product:	\$221 billion	11	1.6 559 trillion
GDP per capita:	\$16,956	45	195 \$8,684
Purchase Power Parity:	\$11,304	78	108 \$10,500
Military Budget:	\$8.0 billion	23	0.8 \$1.0 trillion

**What Does Deagel Know That We Don't Know?**

n October 2020, Swiss lawyer Michael Lusk wrote an article on his LinkedIn page urging that, in the wake of the coronavirus “pandemic,” Deagel’s 2025 Forecast be given serious attention. Lusk’s article focused on the economic well-being of people comparing citizens of NATO and non-NATO countries.

Based on Deagel’s Forecast 2025, Lusk wrote: “In Deagel’s [ ] image of 2025, Russia flowers while Europe is ruined. Deagel signals that Great Britain [ ] is to be ruined most comprehensively.”

Deagel.com’s infamous 2025 population forecast was removed from their website in April 2021 but the content was [reproduced by Nobul Art](#) for reference purposes. In a September 2021 article, Metallicman – who described the Deagel corporation as a minor branch of US military intelligence – [explored what the population reduction in the 2025 Deagel Forecast could mean](#) in terms of what we were witnessing at the time.

“There are all sorts of people slicing and dicing these figures,” he wrote and then went on to discuss some theories. While Metallicman didn’t agree with these theories he conceded: “Nevertheless, they do point out something interesting. That there is a direct correlation between the nations that are giving mRNA vaccinations and those that do not.”

Read more: [UN Predicts Sharp Decline in Working Age Population for Japan and Europe Beginning 2025](#)

While the forecast population reduction raises many questions, Lusk’s analysis adds another perspective considering what we are witnessing two and a half years later with the [splitting of the world into two](#) – NATO and BRICS. Below is Lusk’s article.

## Premonition of 2024

By [Michael Lusk](#), originally published on LinkedIn on 13 October 2020

[Deagel.com](#) (“Deagel”) has self-identified as a guide to military aviation and advanced technologies. Since 2014 it publishes [Forecast 2025](#), which notoriously predicts a “[western collapse](#).” Under cover of a long-planned political event vigorously promoted by the WHO, governments and media as a “coronavirus pandemic,” western governments presently engage in a systematic campaign to destroy citizens’ life, liberty and property. The formerly implausible Forecast 2025 now warrants serious attention.

This note provides an overview of the content of Deagel’s current Forecast 2025. It comprises two charts illustrating population and economic prospects for a wide range of countries, according to Deagel’s present indications. Broadly speaking, Deagel continues to foreshadow grim prospects for the US and the NATO-complex, incorporating non-NATO US allies (e.g., Australia and New Zealand) and NATO-vassal States (e.g. Libya). By contrast, Deagel foreshadows positive prospects for China, parts of Latin America and Southeast Asia, and especially for Russia.

The first chart shows the prospects for an individual’s relative economic well-being as a function of population change in the relevant country in 2025 as compared to 2017.



The second chart shows the prospects for a country’s relative economic well-being as a function of change in its military spending in 2025 as compared to 2017.



The charts show change in each country’s position from the modelled perspective of 2025 relative to the same country’s position in 2017. This does not necessarily match countries’ modelled positions relative to each other in 2025. Each chart plots a selection

of countries drawn from a common subset of 77 out of the 179 countries presented in the current Forecast 2025. In making the selections I aimed to ensure legibility by allowing only peripherally overlapping entries. In each chart, the selection has a European bias, and otherwise usually shows the more populous rather than the less populous country in case of overlap. Within the scope of the selections made, the charts accurately reflect the content of Forecast 2025. Countries are identified by codes according to [ISO 3166-1 alpha-2](#), with common surrounding symbols indicating common regions; e.g. where a country code is placed within a violet square, the violet square signifies South Asia.

Geopolitical relations, or at least perceived similarities, among countries are indicated by coloured clouds. NATO-complex countries are indicated by blue clouds. Purple clouds unite NATO-complex countries which, having regard to Deagel's Forecast 2025, seem to be breaking away from the NATO-complex in the context of the foreshadowed "western collapse." Countries outside the NATO-complex are encompassed by yellow clouds. Countries in the latter category may have no other similarity to each other.

Readers should form their own view regarding Deagel. [Wikileaks](#) and [#PIZZAGATEwiki](#) are informative sources. Blogs, e.g., [TheWatchTowers.org](#), provide relevant commentary. In a recent [interview](#), [Dr. Katherine Horton](#) explained the practice of cartel-signalling, of which Forecast 2025 may be an example. Dr. Horton's website further includes a pertinent [reference document](#) dated 29 March 2018 (metadata), citing a [post](#) by Ryan Zimmerman.

Deagel apparently comprises a think tank informed by the CIA, NSA and others inside the beltway. Accordingly, it seems that Washington insiders persistently signal the imminent demise of the US empire including NATO, with China and Russia emerging as hegemons [with dominant influence or authority over others]. The picture painted by Deagel may be contrasted with the [cartoon map](#) published in the 1890 special Christmas edition of Henry Labouchère's weekly journal *Truth*. Presumably the work of British insiders, the then bizarre map foreshadowed the emergence of European "republics" and the reduction of Russia to a "desert," each being a transformation substantially achieved by the end of World War I. In Deagel's contrasting image of 2025, Russia flowers while Europe is ruined. Deagel signals that Great Britain, i.e., the principal architect of the "Russian desert," is to be ruined most comprehensively. Boris Johnson's government apparently is determined to deliver that particular outcome ahead of schedule.

On the other hand, Deagel indicates Finland, Hungary and The Netherlands may navigate the foreshadowed European upheaval with relatively little loss.

Readers are welcome to message me – [Michael Lusk on LinkedIn](#) – to request a copy of the Excel file containing data for the charts shown in this note. I would be delighted if others would extend this line of inquiry, especially with a regional focus on Africa, Asia, or Latin America.



Featured image: [The Deagel Report: An Eerily Accurate View Of What America Will Look Like In 2025 With Unfolding De-Population Events](#)

**United States of America**

	Rank	%	World Figures
Currency:	US Dollar USD (\$)	--	--
Year:	2017	--	2017
Population:	327 million	3	4.4 7.4 billion
Surface:	9.6 million sq. km.	3	7.1 135 million sq. km.
Density:	34 inhabitants / sq. km.	157	62 55 inhabitants / sq. km.
Gross Domestic Product:	\$19 trillion	1	24 \$78 trillion
GDP per capita:	\$59,274	14	553 \$10,710
Purchase Power Parity:	\$59,500	15	350 \$16,988
Budget:	\$6.3 trillion	1	24 \$26 trillion
Military Budget:	\$637 billion	1	38 \$1.7 trillion
Imports:	\$2.4 trillion	1	14.4 \$16 trillion
Exports:	\$1.6 trillion	2	5.4 \$13 trillion

**What Does Deagel Know That We Don't Know?**

Forecast 2025	Rank	%	World Figures
Population:	▼ 100 million	14	1.4 ▼ 6.9 billion
Density:	▼ 10 inhabitants / sq. km.	188	20.0 ▼ 50 inhabitants / sq. km.
Gross Domestic Product:	▼ \$2.4 trillion	6	3.8 ▼ \$65 trillion
GDP per capita:	▼ \$24,561	24	261 ▼ \$9,421
Purchase Power Parity:	▼ \$18,374	57	141 ▼ \$11,634
Military Budget:	▼ \$32 billion	6	2.8 ▼ \$1.2 trillion

**Deagel's Forecast Shows 2025 America... As A 3rd World Nation...**

Forecast 2025	Rank	%	World Figures
Population:	▼ 54 million	20	0.8 ▼ 6.8 billion
Density:	▼ 6 inhabitants / sq. km.	173	12.0 ▼ 50 inhabitants / sq. km.
Gross Domestic Product:	▼ \$921 billion	11	1.6 ▼ \$59 trillion
GDP per capita:	▼ \$18,956	45	195 ▼ \$8,884
Purchase Power Parity:	▼ \$11,304	78	108 ▼ \$10,500
Military Budget:	▼ \$8.0 billion	23	0.8 ▼ \$1.0 trillion

**Deagel's Premonitions for 2025 revisited**

I took a snap shot of the Deagel Report page several times over the past decade and so even removing it does not mean it once existed. Many researchers like myself understand the importance of saving such evidence

Dr. David L. Martin, Dr. Sherri Tenpenny, Dr. Judy Mikovitz, Dr. Peter McCullough, Dr. Betsy Eades, Dr. Mike Yeadon and many experts in their fields have called it for what it is: Democide, Eugenics, Mass Murder.

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, [EvanTeachr@aol.com](mailto:EvanTeachr@aol.com)  
[www.pastorbobreid.com](http://www.pastorbobreid.com)

"For the wages of sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life in Christ Jesus our Lord."

ROMANS 6:23

MAN

GOD

JESUS

WAGES:  
earned, deserved  
DEATH:  
separation from God

SIN

GIFT:  
free, undeserved  
ETERNAL LIFE:  
with God always