

The Blessed Hope!

“Looking for that blessed hope, and the glorious appearing of the great God and our Saviour Jesus Christ;” –(Titus 2:13)

Diamond & Nugget #282

CONGRESS ALLOWS NATIONAL PARKS TO BAN CASH, AS PREP FOR CBDC CHINA SOCIAL CONTROL SYSTEM, VACCINE MANDATES
[JANUARY 13, 2024](#)



*Above Image: WEF World Economic Forum founder Klaus Schwab holding copy of his 2017 book “The Fourth Industrial Revolution” in which he writes: **“Any package, pallet or container can now be equipped with a...radio frequency identification (RFID) tag...similar monitoring systems will also be applied to the movement and tracking of people.”***

What better place to get people used to the idea that cash is obsolete, and soon there will be no cash? You will have a central bank-issued digital ID that links to your “money,” which is really a system of debits and credits run by the Federal Reserve. This is CBDC, Central Bank Digital Currency.

The beauty of it for the governments is those in power can limit or shut down your credits if say, you are using too much gas, or buying too much real meat, or traveling too far away from your house. Or not getting your proper booster shots or delivering up your kids for them. The bet is you will comply when you get hungry enough.

People protesting government policies in any way will summarily have their accounts frozen. In 2022 Justin Trudeau's Canadian government summarily froze the [bank accounts of the truckers convoy](#) which was protesting COVID vaccine mandates, and the accounts of anyone supporting them with donations. In a cashless society, employing Central Bank Digital Currency – CBDC – such attacks would be far easier, just the flip of a switch at a central bank's social monitoring apparatus, rather than the legal process that Trudeau had to navigate.

In fact if you do not deliver your children up for “vaccination,” you are an unfit parent. They'll take those kids right away from you and hand them over to the [pedophile elite](#). We all know what they are. Look at the [bashing Sound of Freedom took](#) in the media just for wanting to rescue children.

[From Frommer's:](#)

The Cost of Going Cashless at U.S. National Parks As Many Switch to Plastic-Only

“A growing number of sites operated by the U.S. National Park Service no longer accept cash for entrance fees or other services.

So far in 2023, Badlands National Park in South Dakota, Crater Lake National Park in Oregon, and Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore in Michigan, among others, have all transitioned to fee systems that only allow credit card, debit card, and mobile payments. Washington State's Mount Rainier National Park and Hawaii Volcanoes National Park are set to follow suit in May, succeeded by Colorado's Rocky Mountain National Park and California's Death Valley National Park in June.

Those places join at least 20 more U.S. federal lands that won't take your U.S. federal currency, including Wyoming's Devils Tower National Monument, FDR's former home in Upstate New York, the Wright Brothers National Memorial in North Carolina, and the Chaco Culture pueblos in New Mexico.

From sea to shining sea, you'd better have a credit card, prepaid pass, or smartphone equipped with a mobile payment app if you want access to those important sites of natural beauty, historical interest, outdoor recreation, and family-road-trip fodder.

The National Park Service describes going cashless as a way of improving efficiency, saving money, and tightening security. Opponents counter that an

ongoing “war on cash” in various kinds of transactions imperils privacy, limits access, and puts us all at the mercy of unholy technical glitches.”

Investment Banker, Ex- Bush Official Catherine Austin Fitts: With CBDC They Can Force Vax & Take Your Kids. ([view at Rumble](#)) ([VIEW FULL VIDEO](#))

The determination of governments to use the financial system as a weapon was also on display when COVID vaccine opponent Dr. Joseph Mercola, who sometimes prescribes vitamins to address deficiencies which may be causing disease before prescribing pharmaceutical drugs, had all his bank accounts closed in July 2023.

Central banker admits “absolute control” is the goal, Bank of International Settlements Director Augustin Carstens ([view at Rumble](#))

China CBDC central bank “money” and social credit score system ([view on Rumble](#))

Cashless society CBDC as a global system is being pushed hard by Klaus Schwab who founded the [World Economic Forum \(WEF\)](#), a private club of billionaires and the world’s most powerful people, who come together each year to plot the direction of humanity as they would like to see it.

Schwab wrote in his 2017 book “**The Fourth Industrial Revolution**”:

“Any package, pallet or container can now be equipped with a sensor, transmitter or radio frequency identification (RFID) tag that allows a company to track where it is as it moves through the supply chain—how it is performing, how it is being used....In the near future, similar monitoring systems will also be applied to the movement and tracking of people.”

So catastrophic to individual rights and liberties would a cashless society be, that even a Federal Reserve regional bank president, [Neel Kashkari](#), has sounded the warning. Kashkari, president of the Minneapolis Fed, cautioned that a cashless, CBDC society would allow the federal government to **“monitor every one of your transactions.” “I get why China would be interested. Why would the American people be for that?”**



Neel Kashkari, president of the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis

A column in mainstream **Newsweek**, [“CBDCs Will Be the End of American Freedom”](#) says:

“CBDCs would create an authoritarian surveillance state...”

Dire warnings against allowing the Federal Reserve to issue digital credits replacing money can be heard across the political spectrum, from figures ranging from [Tulsi Gabbard](#) to [Robert F. Kennedy Jr.](#) to [Tucker Carlson](#) to [Glenn Beck](#) to [Ron Paul](#). Former [US Congressman Justin Amash](#) recently called Federal Reserve “money” “one of the most dangerous developments in history.” It is [widely speculated](#) that some sort of financial crisis driven by perhaps a cyberattack on financial infrastructure would use as the pretext for mass roll-out of the centralized, cashless system. Former Wall Street – Blackrock fund manager Ed Dowd explains possible CBDC mass roll-out scenarios. ([view at Rumble](#))

Tulsi Gabbard explains to Tucker what impact CBDC will have on freedom and liberty ([view on Rumble](#))

Action by Congress Against CBDC in Motion but Slow

In Congress some are aware of the threat posed by cashless, CBDC society, and are working to thwart it.

[Financial Regulation News](#) reports on Sept. 22, 2023:

“The House Financial Services Committee recently passed a bill that prevents the Federal Reserve from issuing a central bank digital currency, or CBDC, to individuals.

The CBDC Anti-Surveillance State Act (H.R. 5403), offered by Rep. Tom Emmer (R-MN), prevents the Federal Reserve from issuing a CBDC directly or indirectly to individuals or maintaining accounts on behalf of individuals. “

In May of 2023, Florida Gov. Ron DeSantis signed the first in the nation state law banning a cashless CBDC system in Florida.

Watchdogs against CBDC warn that it is certain that the system will at first be presented as a “voluntary” improvement for consumers, just as governments at first said that COVID vaccinations would [never be made mandatory](#). But eventually the system will spread its tentacles to every part of society until no alternative is available, and cash is openly abolished. The only way to stop it, say critics, is to ban the creation of any central bank digital currency outright.

WEF Plotting to Unleash ‘Disease X’ to Force WHO’s ‘Pandemic Treaty’

Hunter Fielding January 13, 2024



A world-renowned scientist has blown the whistle to warn the public that the World Economic Forum (WEF) is plotting to unleash “Disease X” in order to force governments to sign the World Health Organization’s (WHO) “Pandemic Treaty.”

Experts are warning that “*Disease X*” would be “*20 times worse than Covid*” and would wipe out large swathes of the human population in order to meet the globalists’ objectives.

The WEF’s annual meeting starts next week in Davos where they’ll give center stage to their unelected health counterparts to warn about a non-existent virus that they claim could be twenty times worse than COVID.

The WHO Director-General Tedros Ghebreyesus will share the stage on January 17 with WEF Executive Committee member, Shyam Bishen, at a forum [titled](#) “Preparing for Disease X.”

According to the event description, “*There are fresh warnings from the World Health Organization that an unknown ‘Disease X’ could result in 20 times more fatalities than the coronavirus pandemic.*”

“*What novel efforts are needed to prepare healthcare systems for the multiple challenges ahead?*” the description asks.

The WEF has been a [proponent](#) of the WHO’s Pandemic Treaty, with the goal of obtaining a “*legally binding agreement*” between the United Nations “health” agency’s 194 member countries, including the United States.

However, leading expert Dr. Peter McCullough has blown the whistle to alert the public that “Disease X” is part of the “business plan” of Bill Gates, the WEF, and the WHO.

The WEF and WHO have both downplayed the concerns of citizens and politicians who note that the Pandemic Treaty would result in countries ceding power to unelected Non-Governmental Organizations.

The WHO claims that governments are leading the negotiations and are free to reject the accord.

However, the 2024 Davos meetings are an attempt to have them do just the opposite.

The WHO has been calling for member states, including Canada, to finalize their pandemic treaty — and pitch in more money for “*when the next pandemic strikes.*”

The WHO recently partnered with the European Union to create a globalized digital vaccine passport system for future pandemics.

The development happened within a year after the White House, on behalf of all G20 countries, declared support for the WHO to facilitate universal vaccine passports.

Failure to comply could result in sanctions

Ghebreyesus has previously denied that a Pandemic Treaty would force countries to comply with its regulations, but he's also threatened sanctions for countries that don't do so.

The WEF has also [stated](#) that it's *"not yet clear what happens if the measures are not followed."*

In December 2023, Slovakian Prime Minister Robert Fico vehemently denounced the WHO, calling it a Big Pharma creation that seeks to control governments.

Once signed, individual countries will surrender the powers of their sovereign nations to the WHO and the WEF.

The move will ultimately position the United Nations as the unelected single global government.

WEF Bureaucrats Demand Powers to Euthanize Children

Hunter Fielding January 14, 2024



Bureaucrats with ties to the World Economic Forum (WEF) are demanding powers to legally euthanize children.

WEF-linked officials in the Australian Capital Territory (ACT) Human Rights Commission are pushing new laws that would see minors killed for *"their own dignity."*

Australia's new [Voluntary Assisted Dying Bill of 2023](#) has drawn the [attention](#) of those who wish to see assisted death opened to those under the age of 18.

The Australian Capital Territory (ACT) Human Rights Commission submitted an inquiry to the Voluntary Assisted Death (VAD) Committee regarding the [changes](#) made last year.

The Commission's six-page long [inquiry](#) addresses four areas where they feel the new bill "*may fall short.*"

Most strikingly, the ACT Commission critiques that the bill does not make this form of suicide available to minors under age 18.

It claims that *"human rights principles require due consideration for the rights of children and young people, including their right to access health care without discrimination and their right to have their views taken into account."*

The members feel that if the minor meets all of the requirements of the bill and also "*demonstrate[s] maturity*" with decision making, that the child should be allowed to request voluntary assisted death.

Their second critique suggests that people who have "an inability to communicate" or have lost the capacity to make this decision should not be disqualified from seeking assisted suicide.

Instead, they feel if that person made a written request for VAD when they still had the capacity to do so, then that request should be acted upon when that person loses their capacity.

They recognized the *"fraught ethical issues"* with this idea but encouraged the VAD committee to consider this when the bill has been in place for three years — for the sake of *"human rights."*

Thirdly, the ACT Commission critiques the amount of safeguards in place to restrict eligibility for VAD to only those who qualify and those who obtain witnesses, approval from care providers, submit a formal and final request, and submit to a final assessment by their practitioner before proceeding with their suicide.

It also points out that the amount of people needed to be involved in the process is too great: the person making the request, a person to sign for those who cannot sign for themselves, a health practitioner, and two witnesses (neither of which may be a beneficiary in any way of the person's death).

It states, *"a scheme whose purpose is to facilitate access to VAD in order to help individuals end their lives with dignity, and to ensure that intolerable suffering is not*

unnecessarily prolonged, should avoid unnecessary delays that may frustrate its purpose.”

And finally, the Commission opposes the five-day limit available for requesting a review of a denied VAD request. It reasons that five days is too short a time for someone who is terminally ill or suffering intolerably to be able to *“learn about their review rights and to action them.”*

They suggest that the normal 28-day period be allowed in these cases.

All of this has been requested under the guise of human rights and the catchphrase *“death with dignity.”*

Allowing children to request legal suicide is a dangerous proposition when it is known that decision-making skills are not fully developed in humans until their early twenties.

Minors may also be more easily influenced or coerced by caregiver and parental decisions, friend groups, and social media — all of which may make voluntary assisted suicide appear as the best solution to their pain.

In reality, these efforts to broaden the scope of assisted death are likely to follow in [Canada's footsteps](#), eventually restricting life-giving and comforting health care to patients who are struggling.

Lab-Grown Fake 'Chicken' — With a Side of Heavy Metals and Rodent DNA?

Upside Foods, a leader in the fake meat market, has failed to scale up its production to produce meaningful amounts of synthetic meat. Meanwhile, the company has been plagued with contamination issues, including rodent DNA found in one of its chicken cell lines and samples that contained 20 times more lead than conventional ground chicken.

By [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)



Story at a glance:

- Upside Foods, a leader in the fake meat market and one of two companies allowed to sell cultured meat in the U.S. has failed to scale up its production to produce meaningful amounts of synthetic meat.
- Plagued by contamination issues, Upside had problems with rodent DNA found in one of its chicken cell lines.
- While parading its expensive stainless-steel bioreactors for the press, Upside is actually growing only small amounts of fake meat bits inside small, single-use plastic bottles.
- Fake meat, presented as a solution to save the environment, may end up being worse for the planet than real meat.
- Lab-grown meat is often made using animal components, so it's not really animal-free, and when Upside tested its fake meat for heavy metals, some samples contained 20 times more lead than conventional ground chicken.

Silicon Valley is banking on cultured meat taking off, providing animal-free “meat” to satisfy the carnivorous appetites of the world’s more than 8 billion people — most of whom eat meat.

But what started out with grandiose fanfare and backing from billionaire investors like [Bill Gates](#) and Jeff Bezos is falling flat.

The dream of creating [cultured “chicken” breasts](#) or animal-free “beef” fillets is turning out to be nothing more than a fairy tale.

[Upside Foods](#), a leader in the fake meat market and one of two companies allowed to sell cultured meat in the U.S. has resorted instead to *“[growing just minuscule numbers of chicken skin-type cells](#) in small plastic bottles, then scraping them out gram by gram to compress and mold them into a single forkful of flesh.”*

It’s not only unappetizing. Even if it succeeds, [fake meat](#), presented as a solution to save the environment, may end up being worse for the planet than real meat, while presenting consumers with another highly processed [food product](#) that may further devastate human health.

What happens when Silicon Valley gets mixed up in food production?

Putting faith in Silicon Valley to produce wholesome food was the first mistake in the race to create cultured food.

While [regenerative farmers](#) raising grass-fed cows and free-range chickens work in concert with nature to provide food in the form humans have thrived on since the beginning of time, Silicon Valley does just the opposite.

In a process completely removed from nature, venture-backed startups are using precision fermentation based on genetically engineered microbes to create synthetic food products in a lab.

At Upside, which has [received backing](#) from Richard Branson, Kimbal Musk and even meat giants Tyson Foods and Cargill, stainless steel bioreactors are paraded as a measure of progress at media events, but it’s nothing more than careful public relations, or PR.

Inside reports from employees, uncovered by The Wall Street Journal, claim that the [bioreactors are plagued by contamination](#) and rodent DNA was once found in a chicken cell line.

Illustrating the contradiction that is the fake meat industry, the “*sustainable*” lab-grown chicken is in actuality being grown in two-liter plastic bottles — hundreds of which are required to produce a few fillets.

In the U.S., a limited amount of Upside’s lab-grown chicken is available as part of a tasting menu at Bar Crenn in San Francisco.

But even Bloomberg reported this “*sustainable*” solution makes no sense:

“The company is growing them in small, [single-use plastic bottles](#), in amounts so piddling that a single night at Bar Crenn, a ‘certified plastic-free’ establishment, according to its website, could require the use of more than a hundred such bottles.”

Upside’s expensive fake chicken bits aren’t made from muscle cells

To make fake meat, cell lines are taken from a living organism. They’re then manipulated to grow quickly and consistently. While myoblasts are the type of cells that grow into muscle meat, they’re the most difficult for fake meat companies to grow and “immortalize.”

“A regular cell extracted from an animal, known as a primary cell, won’t replicate forever. Eventually, it stops, entering a phase known as senescence. If a company wants to grow significant amounts of meat and doesn’t want to have to keep taking cells from live animals or embryos, it needs to turn primary cells into immortal ones,” [Bloomberg reports](#).

Because myoblasts are difficult to immortalize, fibroblasts, which grow easily, are often used in cultured meat products.

“But when it comes to food, they’re not what most people would consider delectable. They can develop into fat and other cells, but they’re most known for their role in making connective tissue, like cartilage or what’s found in skin,” according to Bloomberg’s report.

Adipocytes, or fat cells, are also sometimes used, often mixed with plant proteins. In a dossier for the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Upside named genetically engineered immortalized fibroblasts and a naturally immortalized myoblast cell line as options for its fake meat.

But Samir Qurashi, a former Upside employee, shared doubts the company had a myoblast cell line capable of being used in production.

“It’s next to near impossible,” he told Bloomberg, and, indeed, the fake meat Upside is serving at upscale Bar Crenn is made from fibroblasts, the type that typically forms connective tissue.

Further, [according to Bloomberg](#):

“The chicken doesn’t even include immortalized cells; it’s made of primary fibroblast cells that at some point will stop replicating and at best grow only into connective tissue.”

This means that to make more chicken, scientists will eventually have to go back to an embryo and remove more cells, a process that, even when it works, also kills the embryo. (Bar Crenn didn’t provide comment.)

“It’s an admission that has left experts both confused and amused. ‘I scratch my head,’ says David Kaplan, director of the Tufts University Center for Cellular Agriculture. ‘Why would you ever use primary cells?’”

The myth of animal-free meat

One of the foundational principles behind cultured meat is the ability to produce food without killing animals. But fake meat is often made using animal components, negating this principle.

Normally, cells grow in a structure in your body.

The cell lines being grown in labs are grown in a thin film or growth medium. In the body, the growth medium is your blood, Dutch investigative journalist [Elze van Hamelen](#) reports a complex substance that laboratories try to replicate using fetal bovine serum (FBS) — blood taken from living calf fetuses.

“It’s really [gruesome how this is harvested](#),” she says, pointing out that this contradicts the narrative that lab-grown meats are made without animals. FBS is often used to grow cultured cells because of the proteins and vitamins it contains.

A [2013 study stated](#), *“In many common culture media, the sole source of micronutrients is fetal bovine serum (FBS).”*

When lab-grown chicken made by U.S. startup Eat Just debuted in Singapore in 2020 — marking the first cultured meat to be [sold at a restaurant](#) — it was produced using FBS.

Upside stated in 2021 that it had developed a way to grow fake meat without animal components, yet its first chicken filets still depended on animal compounds.

Part of Qurashi’s role at Upside was to harvest cells from crustaceans, a process that killed them.

As [reported by Bloomberg](#):

“Qurashi had the extremely challenging task of procuring cells from live crustaceans — a job that always led to their untimely demise, costing two or three animals their life each week. ‘Literally, people cried when they saw me,’ Qurashi says of his colleagues.” To develop synthetic “blood” instead, precision fermentation and artificial hormones may be used. Micronutrients and minerals must also be sourced, making the process *“insanely expensive,”* [van Hamelen says](#).

The use of FBS-free medium may cause cultured meat to cost over [\\$20,000 per kilogram](#).

A report from the [Good Food Institute](#), a nonprofit group behind the alternative protein industry, suggested that if the cost of FBS-free mediums could be reduced, it would drive down the cost of cultured meat by 90%. This, however, is unlikely.

“The report provides no evidence to explain why these micronutrient costs will fall,” Joe Fassler, The Counter’s deputy editor, wrote in an in-depth exposé about the actual science behind [lab-grown meat](#).

Fake chicken contains more lead than real chicken

Adding to the controversy over lab-grown meat, when Upside tested its fake meat products for heavy metals, some samples contained [20 times more lead](#) than conventional ground chicken, along with about eight times more cholesterol compared to conventional chicken.

There are other concerns as well.

Writing in [Frontiers in Nutrition](#), it’s speculated that *“with this high level of cell multiplication, some dysregulation is likely as happens in cancer cells. Likewise, the control of its nutritional composition is still unclear, especially for micronutrients and iron.”*

[Synthetic dairy products](#), including milk made from genetically engineered yeast, are also raising concerns about the health risks of fake food.

Along with missing important micronutrients that are abundant in real milk, fake milk contains compounds that have never before existed in the human diet.

One analysis revealed 92 mysterious, [unknown compounds in fake milk](#) that don’t exist in real milk.

The environment also suffers from lab-grown meat

The other myth that’s part of the fake meat narrative is that it’s better for the environment than real meat.

Even with the use of renewable energy factored in, lab-grown chicken would have the same [carbon footprint](#) as conventional chicken, according to a report by CE Delft.

When global average energy mixes were used, lab-grown meat had a higher carbon footprint than pork and chicken.

A preprint study from the University of California, Davis researchers also found that the [environmental impact of lab-grown meat](#) is “likely to be orders of magnitude higher than median beef production,” again highlighting the myth that fake foods are more sustainable than real foods, especially when they’re produced regeneratively.

The reality is that fake foods are far from sustainable.

[John Fagan, Ph.D.](#), a molecular biologist who worked with the U.S. National Institutes of Health for 8.5 years, explains:

“The reality is that many of the carbon footprint calculations have been done starting with the fermentation process and going forward, but where did the high fructose corn syrup come from that is the primary energy component that goes into these fermentations?”

“And you look at that industrial agriculture and you add that carbon footprint on to what they have been using in their calculations and suddenly it goes way in the wrong direction. And so we can’t even use the sustainability arguments to justify what’s being done. It just doesn’t work.”

This is about controlling the food supply

Sustainability, animal rights and human health are all buzzwords being floated around fake meat. But this isn’t about saving the planet or animals, and it’s certainly not about making people healthier.

The reason why Silicon Valley is willing to invest billions into fake food is because it knows that whoever [controls the food supply controls the population](#).

The globalists are trying to replace animal husbandry with lab-grown meat, which will allow private companies to effectively control the entire food supply.

Just as was the case with genetically modified organisms, or [GMOs](#), raising awareness about the dangers of fake meat is important, especially in this early and aggressively expanding phase.

Tell your social circle that to save the planet and support your health, it’s necessary to skip all the fake meat and dairy alternatives and opt for [real food](#) instead.

When you shop for food, know your farmer and look for regenerative, biodynamic and/or grass-fed farming methods, which are what we need to support a healthy, autonomous population.

Hertz Takes \$245 Million Hit as it Offloads 20,000 Electric Vehicles and Goes Back to Petrol

BY SALLUST

12 JANUARY 2024



Anyone who's booked in a rental car in the U.S. in the last year or so might have spotted offers on electric vehicles (EVs). They might also have spotted the lack of charging facilities, especially in the western states outside cities. An EV with a flat battery is useless at the best of times but it's a lot more useless in the middle of Nebraska than downtown Denver, just as it would be in the middle of Scotland or Dartmoor compared to Islington.

It seems the rental companies are going into reverse. Hertz has just announced it's going to take a \$245 million hit on 20,000 EVs it's bought. The bottom line is that too few people want to rent them. Ross Clark in the *Telegraph* has the [story](#).

The company in the U.S. is disposing of the 20,000 EVs it bought with great fanfare in recent years, and is replacing them with petrol models. Some of the Teslas, which are no more than two years old, have been listed for sale at \$14,000 – little more than a third of their \$40,000 price tag when new. The company says it will take a loss of \$245 million but it seems to have little choice given the lack of demand from customers and the vehicles' higher repair costs.

The implications over here are obvious. If fleets, which so far sales have depended on, are moving away from EVs, then...

It is all coming at a terrible time for the Government and the car industry in Britain. Since January 1st, when the Zero Emission Vehicle mandate came into effect, car

manufacturers have been under an obligation to ensure that 22% of the vehicles they sell are pure electric (a proportion which will rise steadily by 80% by 2030). If they fail, they could be liable for huge fines.

Yet as is becoming increasingly clear in so many areas, the Government's Net Zero ambitions are running well ahead of public appetite. People might tell opinion pollsters that they are all square behind Net Zero policies, but it is a different story when it comes to signing on the dotted line for a new EV or a heat pump (neither of which, by the way, will really get the country anywhere close to Net Zero emissions, even with universal take-up, because their manufacture involves significant carbon emissions, as generation of electricity still does).

Ross Clark though is happy to contemplate the day when EVs are fit for purpose. Until then:

Like everything to do with Net Zero, electric cars are being pushed at us too hard and too fast. One day, they may very well become ubiquitous, but as the Hertz experience shows, they are not yet even nearly ready.

Just like almost everything else being pushed on us for this unholy dash into a world we simply aren't prepared for and for which the technology is woefully underdeveloped.

Sallust is a pseudonym.

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, EvanTeachr@aol.com
www.pastorbobreid.com

