

The Blessed Hope!

“Looking for that blessed hope, and the glorious appearing of the great God and our Saviour Jesus Christ;” –(Titus 2:13)

Diamond & Nugget #287

WEF Demands Crackdown on Public Coffee-Drinking to ‘Fight Climate Change’



Globalists at the World Economic Forum (WEF) have set their sights on yet another new target – coffee drinkers.

According to WEF elites, they need to stop the public from drinking coffee in order to “save the planet” from the “climate crisis.”

The consumption of coffee is causing CO₂ to pollute the environment, unelected bureaucrats attending the WEF summit are now claiming.

Speaking last Wednesday at the globalist confab in Davos, Switzerland, Swiss banker Hubert Keller [said](#) coffee drinkers should be mindful that they're contributing tons of CO2 pollution into the atmosphere.

"Basically the coffee that we all drink emits between 15 and 20 tonnes of CO2 per tonne of coffee," Keller said in a viral clip from the conference.

"So we should all know that."

"This is, every time we drink coffee we are basically putting CO2 into the atmosphere," he claimed.

"...And one of the reasons is because most of the coffee plantation or most of the coffee is produced through monoculture and monoculture is also affected by climate change," Keller said.

"The quality of these nature assets is deteriorating quite rapidly."

The globalists' attack on coffee, the delicious black beverage that millions worldwide enjoy daily, is illustrative of how the WEF wants the "useless eater" peasant class to have nothing and be happy, under the guise of saving the planet.

In the past, the WEF has been urging people to [transition to a plant-based diet](#) and to [use electric](#) instead of gas-powered vehicles, despite the obvious flaws of both.

Meanwhile, elite WEF attendees are unconcerned with their own CO2 output, as evidenced in a recent video of Biden climate czar John Kerry struggling to justify the carbon footprint of his numerous jet trips to the Davos conference.

Of course, the real enemy of the WEF is not carbon or climate change.

"Carbon emissions" is just another euphemism for humans.

The WEF doesn't want to eliminate "carbon," it wants to rid the planet of most of the human population.

The World's Largest Cruise Ship is a Climate Liability

When [Royal Caribbean's *Icon of the Seas*](#) embarks on its first official voyage on Jan. 27, the journey is sure to make waves. The world's largest cruise ship, the *Icon* is over 1,000 feet long (360 meters) and weighs in around 250,000 gross registered tons. It boasts 20 different decks; 40 restaurants, bars and lounges; seven pools; six water slides and a 55-foot waterfall. Royal Caribbean says its boat will usher in "*a new era of vacations.*"

Maybe so. But the *Icon* is also a doubling down on a negative aspect of cruising's current era: greenhouse gas emissions.



In 2022, Bryan Comer, director of the Marine Program at the International Council on Clean Transportation examined the carbon footprint of cruising as compared to a hotel stay plus air travel — since cruises are effectively floating hotels. His analysis found that a person taking a U.S. cruise for 1,200 miles (2,000 kilometers) on the most efficient cruise line would be responsible for roughly 1,100 pounds (500 kilograms) of CO₂, compared with 518 pounds (235 kilograms) for a round-trip flight and a stay in a four-star hotel. In other words: Taking a cruise *generates “about double the amount of total greenhouse gas emissions”* as flying, Comer says.

Not to mention, *“usually people fly to take a cruise,”* notes Stella Bartolini Cavicchi, marine policy advisor at OceanMind, a nonprofit that uses satellite and other technologies to understand humans' impact on the sea. Flying to a cruising port means you *“end up with quite a carbon-intensive holiday,”* she says.

A Royal Caribbean spokesperson says the *Icon* is designed to operate 24% more efficiently than the international standard for new ships, which per International Maritime Organization (IMO) regulations must already be 30% more energy-efficient than those built in 2014. The company will also monitor the *Icon* *“over the next six to 12 months to ensure that we’re getting what we were designing the ship to be,”* the spokesperson said.

In 2022, the most recent year for which data is available, Royal Caribbean direct (or Scope 1) emissions totaled 5.5 million tons of CO2 equivalent, up from 5.3 million tons in 2019.

Just a few years ago, the future of cruising seemed like it was in dire straits. When Covid-19 travel restrictions grounded ships for months on end, there was major concern in the industry that passenger interest would be slow to return. But as soon as restrictions were lifted, *“people did not think twice,”* Bartolini Cavicchi says. *“The Oceania world cruise sold out within one day in January 2021. People were just dying to get back out there.”*

The Cruise Lines International Association (CLIA), which says its membership accounts for roughly 95% of global cruise trips, predicts passenger volume will hit 36 million this year, up from almost 32 million in 2023 and 30 million in 2019. Last year, the ClimateTrace coalition (of which OceanMind is a member) published an analysis that found cruise ship emissions are already 6% higher than they were before the pandemic. Cruise ships’ climate impact isn’t limited to emissions that trap heat in the atmosphere. The enormous vessels also spew a soot-like substance known as black carbon, which absorbs sunlight and traps heat on the ground. In the Arctic, which is playing host to a growing number of cruises, black carbon can settle on snow and ice, speeding up the rate at which glaciers melt. Bartolini Cavicchi says that while cruise ships account for around 1% of the global fleet, they’re responsible for 6% of black carbon emissions.

Unlike flying, whose climate impact spurred *flygskam*, or flight-shaming, cruise ships don’t always get the same environmental scrutiny. That’s in part because shipping is often seen as more energy-efficient than air travel, which is true for cargo ships thanks to their relatively small living areas and efficient use of space. Cruise ships, by contrast, are energy hogs: Paying vacationers expect more square footage, and modern cruises offer a slew of amenities.

“You’ve got things like heating the pool and keeping the lights on,” Comer says. *“[You] have heating and air conditioning; you’ve got the casino. There’s just a lot of equipment to keep running to keep everybody entertained and comfortable.”*

At the same time, cruise companies are quick to cite sustainability goals. Royal Caribbean Cruises, MSC Cruises and Norwegian Cruise Line Holdings have all pledged to reach net zero emissions by 2050, while Carnival Corp. plans to achieve carbon neutrality by 2050.

To meet those goals, cruise operators are increasingly replacing oil-based fuels with less carbon-intensive alternatives; most commonly liquefied natural gas (LNG). The CLIA says that, of the 44 new vessels on order through 2028, more than half will be powered by natural gas. The *Icon of the Seas* runs on a 300-ton LNG fuel tank.

LNG-powered ships do emit 25% less CO2 than those running on conventional marine fuels, but one 2023 investigation by environmental activists found that cruise ships

running on LNG often leak some of it directly into the atmosphere as methane, a greenhouse gas that in the short term is 80 times more potent than carbon dioxide. For three out of four engine types, the investigation determined that LNG was worse for the climate in the short term than conventional fuels.

Comer says cruise ship operators could transition to more sustainable fuels. Replacing LNG with methanol — an alternative that can be made to have little to no lifecycle emissions — would slash methane output.

The Royal Caribbean spokesperson says that when the *Icon* was designed eight years ago, LNG was the “*next new-age fuel for any ship*” and the vessel’s engine was the most efficient option. The company’s next ship — the *Utopia of the Seas*, slated for completion this spring — will have a different engine designed to reduce the risk of methane leaks. The *Celebrity Xcel*, which is part of Royal Caribbean’s’ Celebrity subsidiary and expected to begin operations in 2025, is being designed with an engine that can run on three kinds of fuel, including methanol.

The maritime industry overall is under pressure to cut emissions. Last year, the IMO began requiring companies with ships over a certain size to calculate an Energy Efficiency Ship Index (EEXI) and to begin collecting data related to an operational Carbon Intensity Indicator (CII), which factors in a ship’s emissions, the amount of cargo being transported and the distance traveled. Starting this year, ships will receive an A to E sustainability rating based on their data; those with a D rating for three consecutive years, or an E for one year, will have to submit a plan for improvement, though it’s unclear what penalties there are for poor performance.

But the cruise industry is pushing for a metric that doesn’t factor in distance traveled, as cruise ships’ time in port means they’re likely to receive a worse CII rating. The vessels’ hotel-like infrastructure still requires significant energy use even when there are fewer people on board and no nautical miles being traversed. “*The metric is not is not set up for ships that do not move continuously,*” says the Royal Caribbean spokesperson.

Recent research indicates this inconsistency could even incentivize cruise ships to adopt itineraries with more time on the move, which would increase their overall emissions. The authors suggested replacing distance traveled with percentage of time spent at sea.

Can America survive its traitors?

LEO HOHMANN

JAN 23, 2024

In the same week that the Supreme Court decides to prevent states from protecting their citizens from drugs & violence, we find out three former presidents are funding flights of illegals into the US.

This week's decision by the U.S. Supreme Court to allow the federal government to remove razor-wire barriers set up by the State of Texas to discourage illegal border crossings was a slap in the face to every law-abiding American citizen.

An estimated 5 million foreign nationals, the vast majority of them males between the ages of 18 and 40, have illegally crossed into the U.S. since Biden took office. And this doesn't include the "getaways," which are those who cross over under the radar of Border Patrol rather than giving themselves up and claiming "asylum."

This is an invasion of military-aged men, who by all accounts appear well-fed and well-clothed. We should assume most of them are here for no good reason.

If you think about the ramifications of this decision — all of the death and destruction that will take place in Texas and beyond as a result — it's not difficult to conclude that it's more than just your typical bad legal decision. No, this was a criminal decision. And it was made possible by a Trump-appointed justice, Amy Coney Barrett, who along with Chief Justice John Roberts voted with the Marxist wing of the court.

Remember this decision next time Trump brags about putting "three great justices" on the Supreme Court.

It seems that in almost every crucial decision, either Justice Barrett or Justice Kavanaugh sells conservatives out. These two Trump-appointed justices do not hold anything close to a coherent or consistently conservative legal philosophy.

And this latest decision outs Barrett as an outright traitor to her country.

I've heard some conservatives suggest that maybe Barrett had her life or the lives of her family members threatened. If this is the case, she needs to resign and go public. She needs to call a press conference and explain to the American people that she is resigning because, in 2024, being a Supreme Court justice is no longer safe. And if that's true, it means we live in a banana republic run by narco-mafia terrorists using violence to get their way.

So let's not try to make her into some kind of martyr. More likely, Barrett, like Roberts and Kavanaugh, are not experiencing serious threats to their safety. It's more likely that they are simply not what we were told they were at the time of their appointment to the court. These are wolves in sheep's clothing. Not to be trusted.

The same could be said for three former presidents of the United States who have started a new non-governmental organization with the explicit purpose of chartering flights to import illegal aliens into the United States.

According to a report by [Just The News](#), the group Miles4Migrants, founded by former Presidents Barack Obama, George W. Bush, and Bill Clinton, is teaming up with two other organizations, American Express Global Business Travel and Welcome.US, to

expand upon a previous effort that focused on importing Afghan refugees following the disastrous withdrawal of American forces from Afghanistan in 2021.

Even before 2021, the United States has imported tens of thousands of Afghan refugees, some of which have been involved in horrific crimes that I documented in my book, [Stealth Invasion](#).

Welcome.US was originally launched for the purpose of bringing in at least 85,000 Afghan refugees, and also has ties to the far-left billionaire George Soros and his Open Society Foundation, *Just the News* reports. The new coalition between Welcome.US, Miles4Migrants, and American Express Global Business Travel will seek to raise money to import illegals from Cuba, Haiti, Nicaragua, Venezuela and Ukraine.

“Donations are needed to fund the flights for newcomers to travel to the United States,” states the initiative’s webpage, adding, “Those forced to flee often leave behind all but what they can carry, and the costs of international travel can be prohibitive. Welcome Connect Travel removes the cost of travel as a barrier for both sponsors in the United States and the displaced families they are supporting through humanitarian sponsorship.”

This new effort by the NGO is in addition to ongoing importation efforts by the Biden regime, with the Department of Homeland Security [chartering flights to bring illegals](#) further into the interior of the United States.

The Roman statesman Cicero once stated (some say the quote actually originated from author Taylor Caldwell), *“A nation can survive its fools and even the ambitious. But it cannot survive treason from within.”*

‘No cash accepted’ signs are bad news for millions of Americans

JANUARY 23, 2024

[by The Conversation](#)

How many people don’t have a bank account? And just how difficult has it become to live without one?

These questions are becoming increasingly important as [more businesses refuse to take cash in cities across the U.S.](#) People without bank accounts are shut out from stores and restaurants that refuse to accept cash.

As it happens, a lot of people are still “unbanked”: [roughly 6 million](#) in the U.S., the latest data shows, which is about the population of Wisconsin. And outside of the U.S., [more than a billion people](#) don’t have a bank account.

[I am a business school professor who researches society’s transition](#) from cash to electronic payments. I [recently visited Seattle and was amazed](#) by the mixed signals I saw in many storefronts. Numerous shops had one sign proudly proclaiming how

welcoming and inclusive they were — next to another sign saying “No cash accepted.” This tells people without bank accounts that they aren’t welcome.



Not far from Seattle, Mount Rainier National Park stopped accepting cash in May 2023.

Why not have a bank account?

Why would someone want to avoid using banks? Every two years, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation surveys households about their connections to the banking system and asks people without bank accounts why they don’t have one. People can respond with multiple answers. In 2021, the top reason — with over 40% of respondents choosing it — was that they didn’t have enough money to meet the minimum balance.

This is consistent with data showing that poorer households are less likely to have bank accounts. About one-quarter of those earning less than \$15,000 a year are unbanked, the FDIC found. Among those earning more than \$75,000 a year, almost every person surveyed had some type of bank account.

The second- and third-most common answers show that some people are skeptical of banks. Roughly one-third of survey respondents agreed that “Avoiding a bank gives more privacy,” while another one-third said they simply “don’t trust banks.”

Rounding out the top five reasons were costs of dealing with a bank. More than one-quarter of respondents felt bank account fees were too high, and about the same proportion felt fees were too unpredictable.

While many middle-class and wealthy people don't pay directly for their bank accounts, fees can be costly for those who can't maintain a minimum balance. A recent Bankrate survey shows basic monthly service fees range between \$5 and \$15. Beyond these steady fees, banks earn \$4 to \$5 each time people withdraw cash from an ATM or need services like getting cashier's checks. Unexpected bills can result in overdraft fees of about \$25 each time an account is overdrawn.



While many middle-class and wealthy people don't pay directly for their bank accounts, fees can be costly for those who can't maintain a minimum balance.

Being unbanked in America

The FDIC calls people without a bank account “the unbanked.” People with a bank account but who primarily rely on alternative services such as check cashing outlets are called “the underbanked.”

The latest FDIC data shows almost 6 million unbanked and 19 million underbanked U.S. households. Given that 2.5 people live in the average household, this means there are over 15 million people living in a home with no connection to banks, and 48 million more in homes with only a tenuous connection to banks.

Combining the two figures means roughly one out of every five people in the U.S. has little or no connection to banks or other financial institutions. That can leave them shut out from stores, restaurants, transportation and medical providers that don't take cash.

The true number of unbanked people is likely higher than the FDIC estimates. The questions on being banked or unbanked are supplemental questions added to a survey given to people at their homes. This means it misses homeless people, transients without a permanent address and undocumented immigrants.

These people are likely unbanked because you need a verified address and a government-issued tax-identification number to get a bank account. Given roughly 2.5 million migrants crossed the U.S.-Mexico border in 2023 alone, there are millions more people in the cash-only economy than the FDIC estimates.

How many people globally are unbanked?

While the U.S. has relatively high rates of people with bank accounts, the picture is different in other parts of the world. The World Bank has created a database that shows the percentage of each country's population that has access to financial services. The World Bank's definition of being banked is broader than the FDIC's, since it includes anyone who uses a cellphone to send and receive money as having a bank account.

Overall, the World Bank estimates about one-quarter of the world's adults don't have access to a bank or mobile-phone account. But that varies dramatically by region. In countries that use the Euro, almost everyone has a bank account, while in the Middle East and North Africa, only about half the population does.

A more inclusive economy

Many of us swipe our credit cards, tap our phones or insert a debit card to pay without thinking. However, there are at least 6 million people in the U.S. and almost 1.5 billion worldwide who are unbanked.

When businesses stop accepting cash, the unbanked are forced to use payment methods like prepaid debit cards. However, these prepaid cards are costly. For example, Walmart, one of the largest U.S. retailers, offers a reloadable basic debit card. The card costs \$1 to buy and charges \$6 per month in fees, in addition to \$3 each time someone wants to load the card with cash at Walmart's registers. Paying a minimum of \$10 just to set up a debit card for a few purchases is a steep price.

The next time you see a sign in a shop or restaurant window stating "No cash accepted," you're really looking at a business excluding many unbanked and underbanked people. Insisting that all businesses accept cash is a simple way to ensure everyone is financially included in the modern economy.

Article written by [Jay L. Zagorsky](#), Clinical Associate Professor of Markets, Public Policy and Law, [Boston University](#)

Cultural Replacement: Why The Immigration Crisis Is Being Deliberately Engineered

January 25, 2024



By Brandon Smith

There is absolutely no doubt – Elements of our own government are deliberately encouraging the acceleration of illegal immigration across the southern border and they are actively sabotaging any attempts to stop the madness. There are two questions we need to ask: Why are they doing this? And, what can be done to stop them?'

In a move that I think debunks any claims that the Biden Administration wants a secure border, the federal government recently challenged Texas efforts to install border fencing and barbed wire to prevent the massive influx of foreigners raiding the U.S. This is after several incidents in which convicted criminals and terrorists have been identified among migrant groups. The measures were working, so obviously, Biden felt the need to intervene.

A majority of the Supreme Court has also taken Biden's side, forcing Texas Governor Greg Abbott to declare the situation an invasion that threatens the security of his state and America at large. The conflict is leading to a showdown between federal agencies and Texas. I would argue that it is a showdown that NEEDS to happen, the sooner the better.

The expulsion of unwanted or destructive groups of people has been an emergency measure used by civilizations for thousands of years. The cultures that don't protect their own gates end up being erased or absorbed into a completely different population that may not hold the same values and principles. The majority of nations on the planet today have strict immigration rules, yet, America and the EU are the only regions attacked for wanting to limit the flow of illegals.

Why is that?

The open borders propaganda common to the corporate media and Hollywood movies is part of a larger establishment agenda that has been active for many years in the US, but it has been accelerating since 2021. The Biden Administration in particular has overseen the largest spike in illegal migration in U.S. history, with [over 300,000 border violations](#) in December alone (that we know of). To put this in perspective, that's the equivalent of a city the size of Pittsburgh moving across the U.S. border and demanding welfare, subsidies, housing, jobs, free food, etc., every single month.

This is unsustainable and the establishment knows it. In fact, Biden has actively tried to hide the crisis from the view of the American people for years, denying that there is any threat and claiming that the border is more rigid today than ever before. Anyone who questions the validity of this claim is immediately accused of racism, white supremacy and conspiracy theory.

Border states have become so angered over leftist denials that they've started busing thousands of migrants into blue "sanctuary cities" like New York, Washington DC and Chicago. The result has been a calamity for their welfare programs and local economy. Even progressive voters in these areas are enraged by the flood of migrants into their neighborhoods.

Schools in New York are being emptied and shut down to make room for migrant housing. Homeless shelters in DC have been inundated with migrants begging for handouts and the citizen homeless had far less food over the holidays because the illegals ate it all. The Chicago O'Hare Airport is being turned into a migrant shelter and the city is trying to prevent the media from documenting the situation.

Democrat mayors are finally calling the event a national emergency; funny how they refused to admit to the problem until they were directly affected by it. Of course, they blame conservative governors instead of their own sanctuary city policies. In other words, Democrats are indignant because they are being forced by red states to suffer the consequences of their warped ideals. Leftists have a rule: Never admit when they are wrong, even if it means self-destruction.

And though low level progressive politicians are made to look foolish in their continued defense of sanctuary status, there is the greater issue of engineered crisis. Why have establishment elites and the Biden Administration been lying despite the clear and present danger? And why is it considered particularly wrong for western countries to defend their borders?

Perhaps because certain groups of people within the centers of power benefit greatly from the continued migrant invasion.

We've all heard of the Cloward-Piven Strategy by now, and it's not all that difficult to understand – Create social destabilization by using migrants as a weapon. But there's a

lot more going on here than meets the eye. Cloward-Piven is almost too simplistic an explanation; it doesn't really define the bigger picture. There are a few ways that this strategy could open the door to authoritarianism in the U.S. Let's examine these scenarios to better comprehend why...

Operation Garden Plot And Martial Law

In 1968 the U.S. Department of Defense at the request of the government drafted a civil disturbance plan called '[Operation Garden Plot](#)' which outlined what was essentially a martial law response to large scale social breakdown. One of the main factors listed in the plan as a trigger for martial law was the uncontrolled mass migration of minorities into the U.S., as well as riots by minorities in light of economic uncertainty.

Garden Plot has provisions designed to install a long lasting domestic military presence in the U.S. if deemed necessary, and was even tied to [programs like REX 84](#) which planned out the installation of "FEMA camps" or detention facilities meant to hold large numbers refugees during a mass migration crisis. These programs were accidentally exposed during the Iran/Contra hearings of 1987 and were kept secret from a majority of representatives in Congress.

In other words, political elites designed a set of operations to swiftly impose martial law if a migrant disaster occurred. But were these measures meant to solve the crisis? Or were they meant to use the crisis as an excuse to put boots on the ground in the U.S. and permanently end whatever constitutional protections we have left? That is to say, it may very well be the plan of the establishment to keep the borders open until illegals overwhelm the system and the public is willing to accept martial law.

Amnesty And Creating An Illegal Immigrant Military

Democrats have fielded multiple bills including [legislation in 2022](#) to give illegal immigrants the option to serve in the U.S. military and gain citizenship as a reward. Representatives mention the growing shortfall in military recruitment as a rationale for the policy (a shortfall which they created after allowing woke cultism into armed forces curriculum).

I have mentioned this in previous articles and I continue to believe that one of the main purposes for the establishment to leave borders open and entice illegals to enter is to create a migrant army; a situation in which millions of illegals will be offered easy citizenship in exchange for service. I also believe that this migrant army will be used against the American public (the real citizenry) to impose martial law measures in the wake of a national disaster.

Look at it this way: With the current military around 70% conservative and independent it is far less likely that the armed forces will follow orders to subjugate the populace, especially in the name of an increasingly unpopular leftist/globalist president like Joe Biden. It is much easier for the elites to use foreigners with no inherent regard for American culture or the American people as a suppressive force.

Cultural Replacement Theory

“Replacement Theory” is often denigrated by the media as a racist conspiracy held by white people who are *“afraid to lose power.”* This is nonsensical for a number of reasons, including the fact that if “white people” were a monolith and we had all the power, and then we would simply snuff out any threats to that power and lock down our borders. There would be nothing anyone could do to stop us.

The truth is, there is no white monolith, there is no patriarchy and there is no such thing as systemic racism. Do some leftist activists clamor for mass migration to replace white people in the U.S. and Europe? Absolutely. They call it “decolonization.” Is this the real purpose of mass migration? Probably not.

The replacement going on is not so much about replacing white people as it is about replacing western culture. The goal, I believe, is to open the floodgates to foreign elements because most of them come from more socialist systems that have no understanding of individual freedom. In this way, the establishment can dilute the American culture of independence and use the tyranny of the majority (democracy) to erase our values and principles forever.

This is why you will hear Biden and his ilk constantly pontificate on *“democracy”* and the *“defense of democracy”* and the conservative *“threat to democracy.”* This is very deliberate terminology. When they say “democracy”, they are talking about the rule of the mob; the tyranny of the majority. They are talking about collectivism, socialism and ultimately authoritarianism for the *“sake of the greater good.”*

Migrants are being used to phase out the pillars of western civilization. This is why the borders must be secured now and illegals must be kicked out of the country with haste. No martial law, no FEMA detention facilities, no amnesty, no asylum, no welfare and no subsidies. They just need to be removed and sent back to where they came from and if they return illegally they should be severely punished.

In order for this to happen it would appear that southern states will have to enforce their own border security. But how to do this without federal interference? The American public will have to step in and step up to help states like Texas. The movement will have to be so overwhelming that the federal government is afraid to intervene.

The shape of this secure border action will require trial and error, but as long as Texas is willing to continue arresting illegals and shipping them out of the country, all that is needed is a contingent of deputized Americans to help watch the border and catch people trying to cross. There may also be a need for people to defend border walls and fences from federal sabotage.

Some will argue that this constitutes a violation, a defiance of federal authorities, and I would say yes, it is, and that’s a good thing. The Biden Administration is in dereliction of its duty to keep the country safe from foreign invasion. Doing nothing and leaving

borders undefended from mass immigration is no different from refusing to defend the border from a foreign military.

If the current trend is allowed to continue, the stage will be set for a host of emergencies that will be exploited to give elites the excuse to erase what constitutional protections we have left. This cannot be tolerated. It's time to end it. Some 25 states have come to the support of Governor Greg Abbott as of January 26th.

The Western World: RIP

[January 25, 2024](#)

Paul Craig Roberts

I have come to the depressing conclusion that the white ethnicities that constituted the nations of Western Civilization have degenerated into such insouciance and stupidity that they have no possibility of survival. They sit mindlessly in front of TV absorbing their brainwashing and indoctrination. They can't pay their rent, mortgage or car payments, but they pay endlessly for care of immigrant-invaders who are overwhelming their communities with material needs.

In the U.S., North Carolina, once a Southern state, now an immigrant staging ground, has given over its high schools to serve as immigrant-invader "resettlement areas." To Hell with the North Carolina taxpayers. Immigrant-invaders' rights preempt those of North Carolina taxpayers, whose majority white population, according to the narrative, consists of white supremacist racists exploiters.

https://thepostmillennial.com/north-carolina-high-school-to-close-make-way-for-newcomer-school-for-refugees-families#google_vignette

North Carolina has also, at taxpayers' expense, built a campus for a "head's start" program for children of immigrant invaders. The program takes over a former school property for NC American citizens. <https://www.wect.com/2023/07/07/ribbon-cutting-event-planned-east-coast-migrant-head-start-projects-new-campus/>

Wherever you look, you see the U.S. welcoming at citizens taxpayer expense endless burdens from immigrant-invaders permitted to walk unheeded across the border into the Tower of Babel that the U.S. has become. According to leaks, RINO Republican Senators are preparing an immigration bill that would force America to accept 1.8 million immigrant-invaders each year. Amazing to watch white people destroy their own country. https://dailycaller.com/2024/01/24/senate-republican-josh-hawley-mike-lee-ted-cruz-border-deal-leak-proposal/?spot_im_redirect_source=pitc

No one in America can do anything about it. Instead of stopping the invasion and over-running of the country, the U.S. government commits endless American resources to defending the aggressively expansive borders of Israel and Ukraine, while Washington dismantles the barrier put in place to defend America's borders. And the fool responsible for this policy is running for reelection as president with the media's and America's elites' support.

In what remains of European ethnic countries, the director of the EU's "border protection agency, Frontex," Hans Leijtens, said that "*nothing can stop immigrants.*" What he means is that the EU will not stop immigrant-invaders from bringing the Camp of the Saints to all of Europe and perhaps to Russia as well, considering the weakness demonstrated by a government that could be ruling the world.

<https://www.infowars.com/posts/nothing-can-stop-immigrants-eu-border-chief/>

The dumbshit Hans Leijtens, obviously a proponent of Western annihilation, says that opposition to being overrun by immigrant-invaders constitutes "*xenophobia and prejudice.*"

There you have it. If white ethnicities attempt to prevent their overthrow by invaders, they are xenophobic and racist.

How did so many white ethnicities get converted to a point of view that doesn't serve them? The English Suffer While Immigrant-invaders are Housed in Hotels. This is what white people have learned to expect from "their" governments.

<https://www.rt.com/business/591133-uk-power-cuts-energy-debt/>

Don't you think it is strange that the world's white ethnicities are prepared to commit lives and endless resources to protect the borders of Israel and Ukraine, but nothing to protect their own borders?

How can people this totally stupid continue to exist?

The United States Has No Borders

[January 24, 2024](#)

Paul Craig Roberts

I don't know if Americans have any comprehension of what is happening to their country. I think not. I think most Americans are lost in their insouciance and in their comfortable myths about the greatness and freedom of their country. Flag waving patriots who can believe no evil of their country are the easiest to tyrannize. A plethora of July 4ths and Memorial Days have done the job.

Americans are upset about foreign borders such as Ukraine's and Israel's, but even those few who try can do nothing about America's own totally undefended borders.

Texas tried to do something, but the U.S. Supreme Court just ruled that Texas cannot protect its borders or the borders of the United States. The Supreme Court, ruling of course in line with the tyrant Abe Lincoln's destruction of states' rights, said the Biden Regime can destroy the fence Texas built to protect Texas' and America's borders.

There you have it. The so-called "*civil war*" did not only destroy the Confederate States of America. Lincoln's war also destroyed the United States of America when it destroyed states' rights.

<https://dailycaller.com/2024/01/22/supreme-court-allows-biden-admin-to-remove-texas-border-wire/>

The 5-4 decision by the Supreme Court forbids Texas from protecting its borders, and thereby the borders of the United States, from being overrun by immigrant-invaders. We often hear that the Supreme Court is a Republican court, but in actual fact it is a Tower of Babel Court committed to the total destruction of the United States as a nation. *What the Supreme Court, like the Biden Regime, the whole media, the anti-American Woke universities, The Rino Republicans, and the Democrat attorneys general and prosecutors are creating is a non-nation, a tower of babel that has no common interests, no common morals, no common religion, and no common agenda. This is the SATANIC CURSE of "diversity and multiculturalism."*

How did Americans fall for a program designed FOR THEIR OWN DESTRUCTION?!

They fell for it because they are generous and weak-minded.

The 'Daily Caller' reports: *"federal Border Patrol agents began cutting wire fences that, among other uses, helped channel individuals— unlawful migrants and U.S. citizens alike—to a lawful border crossing at a port of entry,"* Texas Solicitor General Aaron Nielson wrote. *"Border Patrol began this practice of destroying Texas's property, according to the district court's findings, in order to 'facilitate the surge of migrants into Eagle Pass,' using boats to 'literally usher' people across illegally."*

In other words, the Biden Regime cut the fence in order to allow entry into the U.S. that could not be monitored. The fence was in place to insure that immigrant-invaders had to pass through lawful border crossings, where their numbers are allegedly recorded. But this is not enough for the Biden Regime which is intent on replacing America's white population, which once constituted a nation, with a Tower of Babel.

Why are the Biden Regime, the Democrats, the Rino Republicans, the universities, the media, the corporations, and alleged human rights organizations so determined to deprive Americans of a cohesive nation and to replace the American nation with a Tower of Babel in which there is no common culture and no common values?

If white Americans are actually sufficiently braindead to have voted Biden Democrats into office, the dumbshits have committed self-suicide. People this stupid cannot expect to survive.

Yesterday I got a message from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. It was about Medicare. It was in 30 foreign languages.

[I posted the two articles by Dr. Paul Roberts to show you that the U.S. government is behind a plan to replace the citizens of the U.S. with illegal immigrants from around the world. Why so? The objective is to replace high-price labor with illegals who will work for much lower wages. America's border

crisis is about to take a turn for the worse as the U.S. Supreme Court has ruled in favor of allowing Border Patrol agents to cut razor wire that was installed along the border between the U.S. and Mexico by Texas Governor Greg Abbott, who was fed up with the influx of illegal immigrants entering his state.

The concertina wire at the center of the ruling spans approximately 30 miles of border near the city of Eagle Pass, Texas.

It was a 5 to 4 vote, with Justices Samuel Alito, Brett Kavanaugh, Neil Gorsuch and Clarence Thomas voting to prevent border control agents from taking down the wire. Meanwhile, Chief Justice John Roberts and Justices Ketanji Brown Jackson, Elena Kagan, Sonia Sotomayor and Amy Coney Barrett took the Biden administration's side. None of the justices provided explanations for their votes.

The ruling is seen as a win for the Biden administration in its long-running battle against the Texas governor over the border. They claim that Texas is preventing federal agents from performing their duties and that the barrier makes it difficult for agents to reach illegals who have already entered the country.

However, the state of Texas has argued that the administration is failing to secure the border and they had to take matters into their own hands under their Operation Lone Star plan. Texas Attorney General Ken Paxton said that this new temporary ruling *“allows Biden to continue his illegal effort to aid the foreign invasion of America.”*

Republican Senate leader Mitch McConnell (R-KY) is quietly pressuring GOP senators to promise their support for his border bill before it is released to the public for debate.

Multiple media reports say the still-secret bill is largely complete. But its release has been delayed until McConnell can lock in at least 20 of the 49 Republican senators, perhaps by making minor changes.

The draft bill is also being reviewed by the Senate's Committee on Appropriations because the Biden administration wants roughly \$15 billion to operate its migration program in 2024. The money is needed by Democrats to process, transport, feed, house, and hide arriving 2024 migrants — and [the 6.2 million migrants](#) already admitted — during the run-up to the 2024 election.

The extra spending can also be used to persuade senators who do not yet support the giveaway.

Some — not a majority — of Republican senators are denouncing the bill, which will likely provide employers with a gusher of cheap and compliant foreign workers who can replace better-paid Americans.

“Half of my Republican caucus is, as we speak, ready to sell out, and they’re ready to sell out fake border reform in exchange for what they really want, which is to send more of your tax dollars to Ukraine,” Sen. Rand Paul (R-KY) [told](#) Tucker Carlson.

McConnell is backing the bill partly because Democrats refuse to support military aid for Ukraine until Republicans allows Biden’s border-bailout bill to pass.

Another opponent, Sen. Mike Lee (R-UT), [told](#) reporters Tuesday the bill is being kept hidden until top leaders have won the votes they need to rush it through the Senate:

We’ve been through these things so many times where we’re told, “Oh, there’s not a deal yet, not a deal, not a deal.” All of a sudden: ‘Oh, there’s [a] deal!’ And then we are given the equivalent of a snap election, like a snap vote, without really any opportunity to read it and no opportunity to amend it.

“What we don’t want is to receive bill text and then be asked to vote on it the next day or the next day after that or a very short period of time,” Lee told the *Hill* after the 49 Republican senators met for a weekly lunch meeting on Tuesday.

“There’s talk about maybe getting something to the floor and voting on it in a day or two,” Sen. Mike Braun (R-IN) told reporters. *“I think that would cause a real uproar ... [because] it’s going to be a large, complicated bill to where you don’t have [an] adequate amount of time to pour through it properly.”*

The [leaked](#) descriptions of the bill do not include any significant curbs on illegal or illegal migration. Instead, the bill would allow many more migrants to be imported for low-wage jobs that would otherwise go to Americans for decent wages.

The bill also includes new incentives for foreign college graduates to take jobs for low wages at Fortune 500 companies. Without the imported foreign workers, those jobs would put many young American graduates on the first rung of a career ladder.

For now, the draft bill looks less like a border security bill than a law to import more workers for Republican-backed employers, said Chris Chmielenski, president of the Immigration Accountability Project.

Because the imported workers will not have a clear route to green cards, the bill is a 2024 version of President George W. Bush’s [“Any Willing Worker”](#) program, he told Breitbart.

Sen. James Lankford (R-OK) is the Republican face of the negotiations.

But Democrats also get something from the deal, Chmielenski said, because it will help President Joe Biden and other Democrats to declare the migration over and irrelevant to the 2024 presidential election.

The workers-for-votes swap makes sense for many Democrats because they fear Biden's easy-migration policies could cost him the 2024 election.

Biden's borders policies are now the top issue in U.S. politics, according to [a January 17-18 poll](#) for Harvard University. The poll reported that 57 percent of the voters said, "*I miss Donald Trump's policies on the economy, immigration, and crime.*"

On January 18, former President Donald Trump criticized the draft Biden bailout bill, saying:

I do not think we should do a Border Deal, at all, unless we get EVERYTHING needed to shut down the INVASION of Millions & Millions of people, many from parts unknown, into our once great, but soon to be great again, Country! Also, I have no doubt that our wonderful Speaker of the House, Mike Johnson, will only make a deal that is PERFECT ON THE BORDER. Remember, without Strong Borders and Honest Elections, we don't have a Country!!!

Several Republican senators have announced they will oppose the corporate giveaway to their GOP donors. They include Paul, Lee, Sen. [Tommy Tuberville](#) (R-AL), and Sen. Ted Cruz (R-TX).

This effort to replace higher priced labor / employees did not begin last year; and in fact it goes back to the days of President Ronald Reagan who fired the Air Traffic Controllers who went on strike in August of 1981.

But who would have ever thought or could imagine we would see this global effort to pit the state against its citizens as a problem that could be achieved through illegal immigration?

I first wrote about changes coming to the Social Security as early as 2018. Those reports can be read at the links below:

Major Social Security Change Is Coming in 2022

[Major Social Security Change Is Coming in 2022](#)

[Major Social Security Change Is Coming in 2022](#) - Part 2

In the spring of 2020, I first reported that it was because of the "Broken" Social Contract that the government, military, and national health services collaborated to save billions in social security and health care costs by state democide. It was the summer of 2020 when Dr. David L. Martin who broke the story with all the details. – Pastor Bob]

WEF Tells Americans 'Your God Given Rights Are a Fiction - You Will Be Happier as Slaves' (video)

The Prisoner Citizens of the United States and other western countries who believe they have "*god given rights*" are in for a rude awakening according to the World Economic Forum which has declared that human beings have the same rights as "*jellyfish*."

Human beings must prepare to be enslaved, according to Klaus Schwab's right-hand-man, Yuval Noah Harari, because everything that humans believe about God, their nations, and themselves is fake news.

Harari's disturbing speech represents the most devastating attack by the WEF on humanity to date and signals that the elite are shifting their mission to enslave the masses into high gear.

But we've got news for the prophet of Davos and his cronies at the World Economic Forum. We the people are waking up and we are not going to be gaslighted by globalist psychopaths spewing lies and falsehoods.

<https://www.brighteon.com/8fdd1bd5-0ac1-48e9-b61c-a460734e1f09>

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, EvanTeachr@aol.com
www.pastorbobreid.com

