

The Blessed Hope!

“Looking for that blessed hope, and the glorious appearing of the great God and our Saviour Jesus Christ;” –(Titus 2:13)

Diamond & Nugget #311

Current Events through a Biblical World View!

CDC Study Confirms COVID Jab Lowers Male Life Expectancy by 24 Years. An official new study has confirmed that men who receive the Covid vaccine will tragically suffer a 24-year loss in their life expectancy.

Mar 16, 2024

From Hal Turner: An official new study has confirmed that men who receive the Covid vaccine will tragically suffer a 24-year loss in their life expectancy.

Scientists have analyzed the official data from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the UK government to determine the long-term harms of mRNA jabs.

The study aimed to weigh the balance of risk for the vaccines by calculating how long the negative side effects of mRNA vaccines take to reduce over time after a person receives each dose.

Disturbingly, researchers found that the damage doesn't lessen over time but, instead, increases with each shot.

The CDC All-Cause Mortality data has shown that each vaccine dose increased mortality by seven percent in the year 2022 compared to the same figures for 2021.

The data revealed that each year, every vaccinated person becomes more and more likely to die at a rate of seven percent per dose, per year.

This means that the mRNA vaccines and booster shots are a “slow-acting genetic poison,” according to the study. The study also found that the human body cannot recover from any amount of mRNA injections.

So taking 2021 as the baseline, a person who received five doses would be 350% more likely to die in 2031, 700% more likely to die in 2041, and 1050% more likely to die in 2051 than an unvaxxed person.

It is just like compound interest.

Using this result, we can calculate the loss in life expectancy for a 30-year-old male.

If we take the average life expectancy for the U.S., UK, and Canada, men are expected to live to around 80 years old today.

Life expectancy does increase over time but we can use 80 as a baseline for comparison.

Based on today's life expectancy data, a 30-year-old unvaxxed male should expect another 50 years of life.

The findings from the study show that the life expectancy of a 30-year-old fully vaxxed person – two vaccines and three boosters – is now just 56 years.

When the data is analyzed compared to the number of vaccines, the study shows:

1 shot reduced the life expectancy of 30-year-old men by 13 years
2 shots reduced the life expectancy of 30-year-old men by 18 years
3 shots reduced the life expectancy of 30-year-old men by 20 years
4 shots reduced the life expectancy of 30-year-old men by 23 years
5 shots reduced the life expectancy of 30-year-old men by 24 years

[The implications of this report are profound and supports Dr. David L. Martin's allegations from June of 2020 was correct all along and implies that this was intended to reduce the number of individuals receiving Social Security and Medicare benefits now and going forward into the future years of the Social Security Act. However; the Covid 'scamdemic' had multiple purposes or reasons to be executed against humanity. Unable to resolve the issue of the depletion of the Social Security Trust Fund (which no longer exists), was only one of the benefits to the U.S. government as four years have revealed.]

It's hard to believe and impossible to justify. But it is true. While the American people diligently paid into the Social Security Trust Fund, Congress systematically took the money and spent it on just about every government program out there. Republicans like to blame the Democrats and point out that Social Security money was spent on Obamacare. That's true, it was. And the Democrats, blame the Republicans, saying that Social Security money was spent on the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. That's also true, it was. Money from our Social Security Trust Fund has helped justify tax cuts and spending plans.

Congress has spent every last dime. Today, so-called leaders of both political parties say they are worried about the “future” of “entitlements.” They call for “reform.” And they refuse to accept responsibility. Those very people who made the decisions to raid our Social Security Trust Fund are now asking retirees to sacrifice while taking no blame themselves.

They criticize the Seniors Center and our members and they even deny the raid saying they always planned to pay the Social Security Trust Fund back. They’re outraged that we exposed their lies. And they rightly point out that repaying the Social Security Trust Fund won’t fix everything.

And they’re right. Repaying the Social Security Trust Fund won’t fix everything. But it’s a good start. Repaying the Social Security Trust Fund will ensure solvency for 16 years. And it’s the right thing to do.

Spending the money in our Social Security Trust Fund was just one of the things that Congress has done to damage its future. Through neglect, ignorance, and financial malfeasance, Congress has sent the Social Security Trust Fund on a path towards bankruptcy.

It’s time for Congress to start the painful process of repairing the damage they’ve done. Don’t hold your breath on that happening anytime soon or in the near future. Now with more than 20-million illegal immigrants in the country the government will simply roll the illegals into the system that will deplete the Social Security Trust Fund obligation into a single pot from which will enable the government to cover its dirty tracks.

The reduction of elderly no longer receiving their Social Security benefits may not be the primary reason for the Covid ‘scamdemic’ but one can see from the reduce live expectancy in the numbers on page 2 above, it stands to benefit from the damage done to what was the growing life expectancy of American men in the U.S. The study does not mention how the life expectancy of women is changed but it is likely to trend in the same pattern direction.

The greatest crime against humanity in the U.S. and most of the industrialized nations of the first world was predicted to decline by the Deagel Report as early as 2009. The government/CIA-connected Deagel Report predicted the U.S. population would decline by 260+ million. This page was deleted from the Deagel Report during the Covid ‘scamdemic’ and the reasons for burying their report become quite obvious since making such predictions become proof-positive that the U.S. government, or the CIA dared to publish such predictive and indicting prognosticating on their web site.

The entire scam might have been successful were it not for the Internet becoming an everyday reference source in the “discovery” process. After all, it was the U.S. PREP Act that has given pharmaceutical companies immunity from prosecution.



The **Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness Act (PREPA)**, passed by the United States Congress and signed into law by President of the United States George W. Bush in December 2005 (as part of Pub. L. Tooltip Public Law (United States) 109–148 (text), is a controversial tort liability shield intended to protect pharmaceutical manufacturers from financial risk in the event of a declared public health emergency.

The part of PREPA that actually affords such protection is now codified at 42 U.S.C. § 247d-6d. The act specifically affords to drug makers immunity from actions related to the manufacture, testing, development, distribution, administration and use of medical countermeasures against chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear agents of terrorism, epidemics, and pandemics. PREPA strengthens and consolidates the oversight of litigation against pharmaceutical companies under the purview of the secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS). PREPA provides \$3.8 billion for pandemic influenza preparedness to protect public health in the case of a pandemic disease outbreak.

During and in the aftermath of the 2020–21 COVID-19 pandemic in the United States, PREPA is being invoked in a variety of lawsuits, many involving skilled nursing or assisted living facilities where COVID-19 countermeasures including the administration or non-administration of vaccines is said to have resulted in or contributed to resident deaths. Although PREPA was around for more than 15 years, prior to COVID-19, the act's defensive application in litigation was not widespread, but now the application of the act is being included more frequently in a variety of COVID-19 related lawsuits, including Shareholder Derivative Litigation. At present it has been reported that pending lawsuits will take ten years to adjudicate in court!

It has been confirmed through the Covid “scamdemic” from multiple sources, including the WHO, twenty million have died around the world, from being vaxxed with the “gene” therapy being promoted as vaccines are the reason for the excess mortality around the world. As the global elite plan secrets has been exposed the WEF under Klaus Schwab and HRS Prince Charles are working overtime now to shore up their deceit and crimes against humanity by trying to legalize dissent as “misinformation” and “extremism”. Canadian Prime Minister and communist Justin Trudeau wants to “criminalize” such exposing truth as “hate” speech.

U.S. Navy Medic shut down for releasing unclassified DOD Data Showing a 937% Increase in Heart Failure among Vaccinated U.S. Military Personnel

Paul Craig Roberts wrote on a recent Friday *“I provided examples of how the establishment, which can only lie, is trying to narrative manage the no longer deniable evidence that the Covid vax is deadly and harmful to health.”*

<https://www.paulcraigroberts.org/2024/03/13/big-pharma-and-its-bloomberg-news-annenberg-center-and-harvard-university-shills-adjust-the-covid-vax-coverup-and-advance-the-displacement-of-reality/>

One of the narrative management tricks is to admit the adverse effects of the “vaccine” but to sweep them under the rug as “rare.” If the dangers of the “vaccine” were rare, Big Pharma would not have its shills at work trying to discredit or dismantle the vaccine adverse events reporting system.

That the deaths and health injuries are anything but rare is evident from the report by a US Navy medic that Department of Defense data show that U.S. Navy pilots have suffered a:

937% increase in heart failure
152% increase in cardiomyopathy
69% increase in ischemic heart disease
36% increase in hypertensive disease
36% increase in hypertensive disease
63% increase in other forms of heart disease

The corrupt U.S. Department of Defense, a ramp for the excess profits of the armaments industry, tried to blame the events on the Covid virus itself.

However, *“according to information published by the US Army, 97% of active-duty U.S. troops are fully vaccinated, 90% of Army National Guard members are fully vaccinated, and 91% of U.S. Army Reserve members are fully vaccinated.”*

So, if the “vaccine” did not cause the deaths and health injuries, and the virus was responsible, obviously the “vaccine” was totally ineffective in protecting against the virus.

In actual fact, according to independent medical scientists, the “vaccine” not only did not protect but caused more deaths and worst health injuries than the virus itself. This is the honest, documented, verified conclusion of medical scientists whose research and results are not financed by Big Pharma and the universities that rely on pharmaceutical industry grants. It is the independent scientists who are motivated by truth instead of profit and career who tell the truth and suffer for it.

As the U.S. Navy medic now suffers, Lt. Ted Macie met with the female Chief of Naval Operations and her aide, and afterward found that he was blocked from access to his computer. Charges are likely pending against him.

So, we see how powerful Big Pharma is; Not even the U.S. Navy is permitted to release unclassified data that show the devastating impact of the Covid “vaccine.”

<https://disswire.com/army-whistleblower-targeted-after-exposing-973-surge-in-heart-failure-among-navy-pilots/>

In Blessed Hope #310, we noted that Trudeau wants to jail people for the exposing the truth. This leads us directly to the next article below.]

Big Tech Alliance Targets Covid-19 "Misinformation," Links it to "Extremism," Calls for Content Censorship

Big Tech alliance Global Internet Forum to Counter Terrorism (GIFTC) research "partner" Global Network on Extremism and Technology (GNET) has published an article revisiting the pandemic, always, of course, in the context of "misinformation."

GIFTC's founding members are Microsoft, Facebook, X (Twitter), and YouTube (Google), while "general members" include these four and pretty much every tech company you've ever heard of, from Amazon and Airbnb to BitChute and Giphy.

GIFTC has previously come under criticism for censorship practices without oversight, whereas GIFTC now goes after "*Covid misinformation*" - including by conflating it with extremism, and is urging "*interventions to address the spread of problematic content.*"

The piece claims that its goal is to understand the mechanisms that allow for "*problematic information*" to disseminate across platforms and then spread between the world's regions, all for the sake of being able to stop that "*diffusion.*"

It looks into things like the geographical location of different participants in the "*diffusion,*" their cultural and linguistic similarities, as well as thematic similarity of content (such as religious and political themes).

The study also clearly positions itself ideologically when it, in passing, refers to former U.S. and Brazilian presidents Trump and Bolsonaro as having "*extremist predispositions.*"

With that in mind, the choice of topics - the pandemic, misinformation, as well as *"methodology and findings"* become easier to understand.

Regarding the first, the authors chose to look into Facebook groups and organizations and individuals like 'Doctors for Truth' and microbiologist Didier Raoult, collectively accused of sharing *"false and misleading content"* about coronavirus, vaccines, masks, hydroxychloroquine, etc., in one form or other.

And, the goal is to find out what helped this information travel from *"Global North"* to *"Global South."*

Soon enough, what's supposed to be countered thanks to the findings from this *"research"* is referred to as extremism in online networks, suggesting that Covid *"misinformation"* qualifies.

Because the *"findings"* show that interplay tied to language, culture, and themes covered by content shared by various groups is not easy to untangle and go after, the recommendation is to come up with *"targeted network-informed interventions"* that would prevent information flowing from one part of the world to another.

"By identifying key factors influencing tie formation, policymakers, and platform moderators can implement targeted interventions to mitigate the spread of extremist content," those behind the article said.

[Passage of such dystopian legislation provides you evidence as to where this New World Order is headed for through such a totalitarian surveillance state. Books like '1984', 'Brave New World', and 'Fahrenheit 451' cannot begin to compare to the new normal as defined by the likes of Bill Gates, Klaus Schwab, or HRH Charles and their Antichrist Beast System.]

The Ever Widening War is Imminent

By Paul Craig Roberts - March 16, 2024

I have no idea why French President Macron is talking so aggressively about war with Russia, saying he will send French soldiers to Odessa and telling Russia's President Putin *"We are a nuclear power and We are ready,"* or who might have put him up to taking such an aggressive position.

I have been writing for two years that Putin needs to end the conflict as the war continues to widen and will spin out of control. The way Putin has fought this conflict is a strategic blunder of the worst kind. Russia should have seized, Kharkiv, Odessa, and Kiev immediately and left the Ukrainian army trapped in the east cut off from supplies. **If President Macron does put French soldiers in Odessa, Putin cannot complete the obvious military task in Ukraine without going to war with NATO.**

Russia has gained absolutely nothing by the pointless, endless prolongation of the conflict. The war has widened, and now European leaders are talking about preparing for war with Russia. Two more countries, Sweden and Finland, have joined NATO, so Ukraine was kept out at the cost of two other additions.

<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-13201319/French-president-Emmanuel-Macron-declares-nuclear-power-ready-response-Putins-threats-using-nukes-Kremlin-says-France-signalled-deeper-involvement-Ukraine.html>

Le Monde: Macron admits that *"he will send his people to Odessa"* 14 March 2024
Source: Le Monde

"The French authorities are considering sending their troops to Ukraine from 2023", Le Monde newspaper reported, citing sources.

"According to them, the issue of sending the French military to Ukraine was discussed in 'the strictest secrecy' at the Security Council at the Elysee Palace on June 12, 2023. Shortly before that, the French president spoke in favor of Ukraine's early entry into NATO."

"Le Monde writes that on the eve of the second anniversary of the full-scale invasion, on February 21, 2024, Macron, after the ceremony of transferring the ashes of the French Resistance movement member Misak Manushyan to the Pantheon," raised the topic of Ukraine in a conversation with those who congratulated him on his "beautiful speech". "In any case, in the next year I will have to send some of my people to Odessa," Macron said, according to the publication.

French Army Chief of Staff General Pierre Schill told the publication that Macron's words are *"primarily a political and strategic message"* to Russia about its readiness for such steps. The task of the military in this situation is *"to prepare as many options as possible to help the president make political and military decisions."*

"Emmanuel Macron said on February 26, speaking after a conference of leaders of 20 European countries, that he does not rule out sending NATO troops to Ukraine." Many Western countries, including Germany and the United States, opposed it. The Kremlin responded that the appearance of NATO troops in Ukraine would lead to a direct conflict with Russia.

The French president clarified on March 4 that the authorities are not considering sending French troops to Ukraine, but are *"starting discussions and thinking about everything that can be done to support Ukraine" on its territory. Macron later added that "not ruling something out does not mean doing it."*

"At a meeting with leaders of French political parties on March 7, Macron said that there should be no restrictions on supporting Ukraine. Deputy Head of the Russian Security Council Dmitry Medvedev said in response that "then Russia no longer has any red lines in relation to France."

https://www.lemonde.fr/politique/article/2024/03/14/guerre-en-ukraine-la-metamorphose-d-emmanuel-macron-colombe-devenue-faucon_6221911_823448.html
<https://meduza.io/news/2024/03/14/le-monde-makron-v-fevrle-2023-goda-dopuskal-cto-otpraviv-svoih-lyudey-v-odessu>

Macron wants Crimea seized from Russia. No 'lasting peace' is possible without Ukraine gaining control of the Russian peninsula:

<https://www.rt.com/news/594334-macron-crimea-russia-ukraine/>

Two killed in Ukrainian shelling of Russian regional capital.

Will Moscow be next? How much humiliation can Putin stomach?:

<https://www.rt.com/russia/594350-ukraine-shelling-belgorod-drone/>

[Putin has exercised great restraint against retaliation against the UK, France, Germany, and NATO as a whole even though the NATO Alliance has been provocative in every aspect of being a warring partner with the Ukraine Nazi regime, he has been ruthlessly attacked as being a threat to the NATO Alliance community. Most people have bought the media lie that Russia is a threat to the West. In fact, Putin is merely practicing a tit-for-tat policy against the U.S. and NATO. But one is reminded that Macron once worked for a Rothschild bank and was financially backed to his current position by the Rothschild's who have no love for Putin since he threw the Rothschild's out of Russia a decade ago after Putin paid off their national debt to the Rothschild's.]

Since the Russians first entered the Ukraine on February 24, of 2022, they have given the West enough rope to commit national suicide, Putin will have plenty of evidence to justify whatever he chooses to assert in retaliation against the West. In this war, the West is the provocateurs. It would not surprise me in the least to learn that Russia and China will act very soon to call the bluff of the U.S.

In a recent interview, Vladimir Putin suggested that he sees the West as bloodsuckers and that they must understand that *"the ball of vampires"* is ending after 500 years. He's referring to the buildup of nations joining BRICS and the consequent de-dollarization.

He sees the West as having parasitized other nations, or at least that is what he claims he thinks. *"This so-called 'golden billion' for centuries, 500 years, practically parasitized other nations"*: Vladimir Putin commented on the interest of other countries in the situation in Russia.

"They associate our struggle for independence and true sovereignty with their aspirations for their own sovereignty and independent development," the president added in an interview with Dmitry Kiselev.

The Europeans had their negatives but brought civilization to these countries. The people who exploited Latin America were and are the communists. Currently, China is exploiting the world.

One of the reasons the West sees Ukraine as important is beyond any invasion that Russia is incapable of executing. It is because they want to use it as a base from which to topple Putin and Russia. They see the country as a threat to Western supremacy in the world. The West sees it as a battle of good and evil, even as it tries to incrementally erase sovereignty among its nations for a new world order. Putin sees it as a battle of good and evil, but, in his view, the West is evil.

One of the worst things the West did was to weaponize the monetary system—SWIFT. When they tied that to their goals, they told the world they'd better get free of it and the dollar. To the nations now joining BRICS, the West cannot be trusted.

Biden is destroying the U.S. domestically and internationally.

NATO military are present in Ukraine because they are the only ones who can use Western high-tech weapons, and this is an open secret, according to the report from the theater of war, published in *'The Essence of Time'* newspaper, issue 576.

While discussing the sending of the Western countries' troops to Ukraine, the Western media quoted statements that the NATO military were already in the conflict zone. For example, the Sky News TV channel reported that a small number of UK servicemen were in Ukraine to provide protection for diplomatic personnel and to train the Ukrainian militants.

The *'Telegraph'* newspaper writes that German Chancellor Olaf Scholz revealed the British military's participation in the launch of long-range Storm Shadow missiles by the Ukrainian armed units. The reliability of this information is confirmed by the fact that Scholz was criticized by Tobias Ellwood, a member of the UK Parliament, for blatant misuse of intelligence.

The French newspaper *Le Monde* writes that although Paris does not recognize the presence of its military in Ukraine in any form, at least agents of the Directorate General for External Security (DGSE) are operating there.

"High-tech Western equipment requires personnel of the same qualification, i.e. Western specialists who have undergone long-term training. Therefore, the presence of NATO military in Ukraine is an open secret. It is another matter when the deployment of thousands, if not tens of thousands, of soldiers is being discussed," The Essence of Time editorial reads.

The current situation in the conflict between Ukraine – serving (while being demolished) as a proxy for the West – and Russia, can be sketched in three broad strokes.

First, Russia now clearly has the upper hand on the battlefield and could potentially accelerate its recent advances to achieve an overall military victory soon. The West is being compelled to recognize this fact: as Foreign Affairs put it, in an article titled *"Time is Running Out in Ukraine,"* Kiev and its Western supporters *"are at a critical decision*

point and face a fundamental question: How can further Russian advances... be stopped, and then reversed?" Just disregard the bit of wishful thinking thrown in at the end to sweeten the bitter pill of reality. The key point is the acknowledgment that it is crunch time for the West and Ukraine – in a bad way.

Second, notwithstanding the above, Ukraine is not yet ready to ask for negotiations to end the war on terms acceptable to Russia, which would be less than easy for Kiev. (Russian President Vladimir Putin, meanwhile, reiterated in an important recent interview that Moscow remains principally open to talks, not on the basis of “*wishful thinking*” but, instead, proceeding from the realities “*on the ground.*”)

The Kiev regime's inflexibility is little wonder. Since he jettisoned a virtually complete – and favorable – peace deal in the spring of 2022, President Vladimir Zelensky has gambled everything on an always improbable victory. For him personally, as well as his core team (at least), there is no way to survive – politically or physically – the catastrophic defeat they have brought on their country by leasing it out as a pawn to the Washington neocon strategy.

The Pope, despite the phony brouhaha he triggered in Kiev and the West, was right: a responsible Ukrainian leadership ought to negotiate. But that's not the leadership Ukraine has. Not yet at least.

Third, the West's strategy is getting harder to decipher because, in essence, the West cannot figure out how to adjust to the failure of its initial plans for this war. Russia has not been isolated; its military has become stronger, not weaker – and the same is true of its economy, including its arms industry.

And last but not least, the Russian political system's popular legitimacy and effective control has neither collapsed nor even frayed. As, again, even ‘*Foreign Affairs*’ admits, “*Putin would likely win a fair election in 2024.*” That's more than could be said for, say, Joe Biden, Rishi Sunak, Olaf Scholz, or Emmanuel Macron (as for Zelensky, he has simply canceled the election).

In other words, the West is facing not only Ukraine's probable defeat, but also its own strategic failure. The situation, while not a direct military rout (as in Afghanistan in 2021) amounts to a severe political setback.

In fact, this looming Western failure is a historic debacle in the making. Unlike with Afghanistan, the West will not be able to simply walk away from the mess it has made in Ukraine. This time, the geopolitical blowback will be fierce and the costs very high. Instead of isolating Russia, the West has isolated itself, and by losing, it will show itself weakened.

It is one thing to have to finally, belatedly accepted that the deceptive “unipolar” moment of the 1990s has been over for a long time. It is much worse to gratuitously enter the new multipolar order with a stunning, avoidable self-demotion. Yet that is what the

EU/NATO-West has managed to fabricate from its needless over-extension in Ukraine. Hubris there has been galore, the fall now is only a matter of time – and not much time at that.

Regarding EU-Europe in particular, on one thing French President Emmanuel Macron is half right. Russia's victory "would reduce Europe's credibility to zero." Except, of course, a mind of greater Cartesian precision would have detected that Moscow's victory will merely be the last stage in a longer process.

The deeper causes of EU/NATO-Europe's loss of global standing are threefold. First, its own wanton decision to seek confrontation instead of a clearly feasible compromise and cooperation with Russia (why exactly is a neutral Ukraine impossible to live with again?) Second, the American strategy of systematically diminishing EU/NATO-Europe with a short-sighted policy of late-imperial client cannibalization which takes the shape of aggressive deindustrialization and a "Europeanization" of the war in Ukraine. And third, the European clients' grotesque acquiescence to the above.

Top German government MP calls for end to Ukraine conflict. That is the background to a recent wave of mystifying signals coming out of Western, especially EU/NATO elites: First, we have had a wave of scare propaganda to accompany the biggest NATO maneuvers since the end of the Cold War. Next Macron publicly declared and has kept reiterating that the open – not in covert-but-obvious mode, as now – deployment of Western ground troops in Ukraine is an option. He added a cheap demagogic note by calling on Europeans not to be "cowards," by which he means that they should be ready to follow, in effect, his orders and fight Russia, clearly including inside and on behalf of Ukraine. Never mind that the latter is a not an official member of either NATO or the EU as well as a highly corrupt and anything but democratic state.

In response, a divergence has surfaced inside EU/NATO Europe: The German government has been most outspoken in contradicting Macron. Not only Chancellor Scholz rushed to distance himself. A clearly outraged Boris Pistorius – Berlin's hapless minister of defense, recently tripped up by his own generals' stupendously careless indiscretion over the Taurus missiles – has grumbled that there is no need for *"talk about boots on the ground or having more courage or less courage."* Perhaps more surprisingly, Poland, the Czech Republic as well as NATO figurehead Jens Stoltenberg (i.e., the U.S.) have been quick to state that they are, in effect, not ready to support Macron's initiative. The French public, by the way, is not showing any enthusiasm for a Napoleonic escalation either. A Le Figaro poll shows 68% against openly sending ground troops to Ukraine.

On the other side, Macron has found some support. He is not entirely isolated, which helps explain why he has dug in his heels: Zelensky does not count in this respect. His bias is obvious, and his usual delusions notwithstanding he is not calling the shots on the matter. The Baltic States, however, while military micro-dwarfs, are, unfortunately, in a position to exert some influence inside the EU and NATO. And true to form, they have sided with the French president, with Estonia and Lithuania taking the lead.

It remains impossible to be certain what we are looking at. To get the most far-fetched hypothesis out of the way first: is this a coordinated bluff with a twist? A complicated Western attempt at playing good-cop bad-cop against Russia, with Macron launching the threats and others signaling that Moscow could find them less extreme, at a diplomatic price, of course? Hardly. For one thing, that scheme would be so hare-brained, even the current West is unlikely to try. No, the crack opening up in Western unity is real.

Regarding Macron himself, too-clever-by-half, counter-productive cunning is his style. We cannot know what exactly he is trying to do; and he may not know himself. In essence, there are two possibilities. Either the French president now is a hard-core escalationist determined to widen the war into an open clash between Russia and NATO, or he is a high-risk gambler who is engaged in a bluff to achieve three purposes. Frighten Moscow into abstaining from pushing its military advantage in Ukraine (a hopeless idea); score nationalist “*grandeur*” points domestically in France (which is failing already); and increase his weight inside EU/NATO-Europe by “*merely*” posturing as, once again, a new “Churchill” – whom Macron himself has made sure to allude to, in all his modesty. (And some of his fans, including Zelensky, a grizzled veteran of Churchill live action role play, have already made that de rigueur if stale comparison.)

While we cannot entirely unriddle the moody sphinx of the Elysée or, for that matter, the murky dealings of EU/NATO-European elites, we can say two things. First, whatever Macron thinks he is doing, it is extremely dangerous. Russia would treat EU/NATO-state troops in Ukraine as targets – and it won’t matter one wit if they turn up labeled “NATO” or under national flags “*only*.” Russia has also reiterated that it considers its vital interests affected in Ukraine and that if its leadership perceives a vital threat to Russia, nuclear weapons are an option. The warning could not be clearer.

Macron leads the way to Western civilization’s suicide!

Second, here is the core Western problem that is now – due to Russia undeniably winning the war – becoming acute: Western elites are split between “*pragmatists*” and “*extremists*.” The pragmatists are as Russophobic and strategically misguided as the extremists, but they do shy away from World War Three. Yet these pragmatists, who seek to resist hard-core escalationists and reign it at least high-risk gamblers, are brought up short against a crippling contradiction in their own position and messaging: As of now, they still share the same delusional narrative with the extremists. Both groupings keep reiterating that Russia plans to attack all of EU/NATO-Europe once it defeats Ukraine and that, therefore, stopping Russia in Ukraine is, literally, vital (or in Macron’s somewhat Sartrean terms “*existential*”) to the West.

That narrative is absurd. Reality works exactly the other way around: The most certain way to get into a war with Russia is to send troops to Ukraine openly. And what is existential for EU/NATO-Europe is to finally liberate itself from American “*leadership*.” During the Cold War, a case could be made that (then Western) Europe needed the U.S. After the Cold War, though, that was no longer the case. In response, Washington

has implemented a consistent, multi-administration, bipartisan, if often crude, strategy of avoiding what should have been inevitable: the emancipation of Europe from American dominance.

Both the eastward expansion of NATO, programmed – and predicted – to cause a massive conflict with Russia and the current proxy war in Ukraine, obstinately provoked by Washington over decades, are part of that strategy to – to paraphrase a famous saying about NATO – “*keep Europe down.*” And the European elites have played along as if there’s no tomorrow, which, for them, there really may not be.

We are at a potential breaking-point, a crisis of that long-term trajectory. If the pragmatists in EU/NATO-Europe really want to contain the extremists, who play with triggering an open war between Russia and NATO that would devastate at least Europe, then they must now come clean and, finally, abandon the common, ideological, and entirely unrealistic narrative about an existential threat from Moscow.

As long as the pragmatists dare not challenge the escalationists on how to principally understand the causes of the current catastrophe, the extremists will always have the advantage of consistency: Their policies are foolish, wastefully unnecessary, and extremely risky. And yet, they follow from what the West has made itself believe. It is high time to break that spell of self-hypnosis, and face facts. Macron’s poking the “Bear” threatens Western Civilization!

The Rise of the ALPR Bots, by Anon-12

SURVIVALBLOG CONTRIBUTOR MARCH 13, 2024

America is quietly being populated with Automatic License Plate Reader (ALPR) systems in towns, cities, and on highways. Most Americans drive by these devices daily unaware that they are being watched and recorded into a state database and probably a national database. The ALPR units are often very small and not easily detectable when mounted on electric poles and highway sign poles.

I work in a small city along the I-75 corridor which runs through six states from Florida and Michigan. I work in technology for a local company and know a few higher-ups in the local police department. One day I was inquiring about these new license plate readers and the officer was excited to tell me how they worked and where each of them was placed in town.

He explained that every vehicle that drives by these cameras takes a digital snapshot of the license plate number and the information about the vehicle such as if it’s a four-door, red, truck, sedan, Ford, Toyota, etc. Each snapshot reads the plate and state using character reading software and loads this data into a database. That data is then compared to a list of vehicles that are on a watch list for about any reason to be watched for. He went on to explain how fast this system responds as he tested it by entering his vehicle into the watch list. He then drove by a known camera to see if he

would get a “hit” on his phone notification. It was explained the system is so fast that his phone pinged a hit within 10 seconds of driving past the camera.



I was told that any kind of parameters can be entered into the database search such as: “Be on the lookout for a red GM 2 door with a black roof, even without a plate number.” Whenever a car fitting the description of the search drives by, the camera the officer in charge of the database will get a hit that such a vehicle just drove by going in X direction on X Street. He then dispatches an officer in the area to investigate the find to see if it’s a match.

I went looking for these cameras and found them to be quite small. They are mounted mostly on wood electrical poles. One camera on one side of the pole looking at oncoming traffic and one camera on the other side of the same pole looking at the traffic from the other direction. I found 12 of these cameras in our little town but I’m sure I missed a few more. They are usually mounted high on a pole looking down. They sometimes have a small solar panel to power them. A small box wired to each camera provides a cellular phone network connection, sending images to a cloud server somewhere.

My source went on to explain that each city might have a database and that they can make that data public to other agencies –which he said everyone does. So, if a crime is committed in a town elsewhere or in the state the police department can search the database for a vehicle description, **or** plate number, **or** a name associated with a plate number. Supposedly, the State Patrol is now using the same system with cameras on the highways and shares the same data as the cities. So, if Chief Wiggly in the city of Podunk is looking for a car that fits the description of one used in the robbery of the local donut shop, he can enter the data in his system and search for that car. If there is a hit on it within the database system, he calls the local police department that reported it for more information and possible apprehension.

He went on the explain that a police department in another town was looking for a murder suspect. That other department entered the license plate of the car and it showed up on his system. It seems the guy worked in this town and drove by a camera every day where they ended up finding him and his vehicle at a local employer where the person of interest was apprehended.

I questioned the longevity of these databases. How long do they retain these captures and was told “*only two weeks*” but in reality, I’m sure the data is being retained in other agencies *much* longer. I told him I don’t totally agree with how this system works and I believe it’s an invasion of privacy. He replied it would be no different than someone sitting on the roadside writing down every car that drove by on a piece of paper. In a sense he is right but the fact that this is so automated that our police departments have become keyboard cops.

This brings me to another fact that many towns now have cameras in most downtown areas which monitor and record every second of activity in the streets. Much like London where it is reported to have up to 900,000 cameras throughout the city. There are also cameras on traffic poles but after further investigation, it appears these are used for vehicle detection to operate traffic lights. Is America heading down the same path of 24/7 surveillance of its citizens? Are you aware of ALPR cameras in your city or adjoining highways? As I am now aware of many of these cameras, I try to avoid them, for the simple fact I don’t wish to be recorded into a database.

A web search will lead to several companies providing license plate reader technologies to local law enforcement. Another search of “legality of plate readers” gives a plethora of stories where many citizens and legal experts are arguing the legality of their use. I think this all goes back to awareness of your surroundings. Be aware of what is around you. I have nothing to hide, have never committed a crime, And I am an upstanding Christian citizen. But I don’t agree with my movements throughout a city being tracked and stored in a database.

I read that Washington, DC has used these systems since 2011. I’m sure that ALPR data was conveniently made available to whatever three-letter agency requested it on or after January 6th, 2021.

Be thankful for your blessings. Stay Frosty, your head and on a swivel, and be aware of your surroundings.

—

JWR Adds: It is noteworthy that ALPRs are now also mounted on many police and highway patrol cars.

There are just a patchwork of ALPR laws, across the country. Many states have no restrictions whatsoever.

Granted, ALPRs have solved some crimes. However, the civil rights violation implications of this technology are not yet fully appreciated. See, for example, this video: Privacy advocates worry that consumer license plate readers are creating a nosier neighborhood watch.

ALPRs are also used to automatically generate millions of road toll and bridge toll bills. In some jurisdictions, they are also used to automatically assess fines for running red lights or even parking violations.

The next logical step in the progression of ALPR surveillance is the addition of artificial intelligence (AI). This is AI-driven *mass surveillance*. AI could be used to analyze “what is wrong with this picture? — for **EVERY** passing vehicle. Say, for instance, that a certain New Jersey license plate number is associated with a White 2018 Nissan Sentra, but it shows up on a Blue 2020 Camry. That would register an “*automobile theft*”.

Presumably, in the quest for traffic ticket revenue, ALPR discrimination could be “*cranked up*” to trigger traffic stops or investigations on parked cars for all sorts of things: Dirty license plates, missing license plates, a car carrying a plate number that is no longer registered, or one that was never registered. And, alarmingly, the databases could even be used for *politicized* or “*weaponized*” policing, such as recording the license plate numbers of everyone parked at a political rally, or at a protest, or at a gun show. Running the ALPR data against registration databases could generate a list of who was likely an attendee. Again, the civil rights implications are alarming.

Databases are notorious for housing bad data. Bad data can lead to bad policing. Since ALPRs are often used to combat vehicle theft and kidnappings, ALPR “hits” are often considered “*felony stops*”, with plenty of itchy trigger fingers. There are countless cases of mistaken identification that have led to lots of “*false felony*” hits with misdirected high drama and some potentially tragic lethal force confrontations. See, for example:

- [Families of Black girls wrongly held at gunpoint in Colorado sue police, city.](#)
- [San Francisco Woman Pulled Out of Car at Gunpoint Because of License Plate Reader Error.](#)
- [New Ninth Circuit Opinion Calls into Question Blind Reliance on License Plate Camera IDs.](#)
- [Error from license plate scanner leads to police stop that startles PV-based attorney.](#)
- [New York High Court Rejects License Plate Reader Stop.](#)
- [Deputies Sued After False ALPR Hit Leads To Guns-Out Traffic Stop Of California Privacy Activist.](#)
- [Seattle Police Department Pitches Dramatic Expansion of Vehicle Surveillance.](#)

The days of “*switching license plates*” to avoid detection are just about gone, in America. That is mostly a good thing, at least for stopping car thefts. But mass surveillance tools like ALPRs, toll road transponders, and cellphone ping tracking have essentially destroyed our privacy and our anonymous freedom of movement.

WEF Is Dictating Canada’s ‘Climate Change’ Policies, Documents Show

Frank Bergman March 16, 2024

Newly revealed documents show that the globalist World Economic Forum (WEF) has been dictating Canada’s radical “*climate change*” policies. Additionally, the docs show

that Prime Minister Justin Trudeau's far-left government has been paying the WEF with taxpayer money. The documents were unsealed in response to an Order Paper Question sent by Conservative MP Leslyn Lewis. The documents reveal Trudeau's "Environment and Climate Change" (ECCC) department paid the WEF to produce a report to draft the government's environmental agenda. The report included the economic policies for Canada's ever-increasing carbon tax.

In August 2019, the ECCC department's then-minister, Catherine McKenna, gave \$493,937 of taxpayer dollars to the WEF to produce the report, the docs show.

Specifically, the documents reveal the ECCC gave money *"to enable [the WEF] to produce and disseminate a report that will establish the business and economic case for safeguarding nature."*

"This report will be directed at senior decision-makers in governments and businesses who have the influence and ability to shift business-as-usual approach," the ECCC stated.

Six months later, the WEF provided the Canadian government with updates to the nation's "climate" policies. In its report New Nature Economy Report Series, the WEF sourced papers to justify favoring a carbon tax.

Furthermore, the report's policy recommendation stated *"Carbon pricing should also be introduced."*

The report highlighted Colombia's carbon scheme and pointed out that the taxes yielded revenues. Colombia's carbon scheme involves taxing liquid fossil fuels at \$5/ton.

Australia has signed a World Economic Forum (WEF) treaty that will see all major banks in the country close as citizens are forced to transition to a fully cashless society.

Bankwest, a subsidiary of the Commonwealth Bank of Australia (CBA), is the first bank to close its branches and move the remaining 15 CBA branches [in an effort to go fully digital by October 2024.](#)

Naturalnews.com reports: This announcement comes as Sydney-based banking software company Constantinople, a startup founded by two former executives of major bank Westpac, unveiled a new app called Business+. They claim that this app will be an all-in-one mobile app that can offer Australia's first end-to-end digital banking platform for the country's 2.4 million businesses with fewer than 10 employees.

CBA and Westpac are two of Australia's "Big Four," or the four largest banks that have traditionally dominated Australia's banking industry in terms of market share, revenue and total assets. The two others are ANZ and National Australia Bank.

Last year, Constantinople raised AU\$32 million (U.S. \$21.2 million) from investors to develop its "bank-in-a-box" platform aimed at getting more people to shift away from traditional financial institutions and toward digital banking.

Great Southern Bank, one of the country's largest credit unions, has already signed up to launch Business+. CEO and Managing Director Paul Lewis said the company had *"taken the best of the neo-bank proposition, digitally first, with what we're good at, which is the banking license side, regulatory requirements."* Neobanks are digital-only banking platforms that operate solely online.

"We've got the whole package, which I think is a first in Australia," he said, noting that other neobanks launched with only a handful of basic products such as savings accounts, but no lending capability. Small businesses would be able to sign up for an account in under 10 minutes, a time frame he called *"fantastic."*

Australian transition to cashless society hitting roadblocks

Australia is transitioning to a cashless society faster than almost anywhere else. Last year, the Australian Banking Association reported that the use of digital wallet payments on smartphones and watches had increased from AU\$746 million (U.S. \$494.28 million) in 2018 to over AU\$93 billion (U.S. \$61.62 billion) in 2022.

Nevertheless, the response to Bankwest's branch closures shows that there is still strong opposition to Australia's transition to a fully cashless society.

Many of the bank's customers, for example, are up in arms over its decision to become Australia's first major digital-only bank.

"How dare they limit our access to our own cash money and their services, which should be widely available to us," said Lyn who, after over three decades of loyalty to Bankwest, will be closing all of her accounts with the bank after its "disrespectful" move that will make vulnerable customers have a more difficult time accessing financial services.

"My main concern is not for ourselves, but for our seniors, for whom it can be very difficult to adapt to the new technological ways, physically move around, drive or travel large distances," said Lyn.

The Australian Senate has also demanded that Bankwest executives appear for an inquiry. National Party Sen. Matt Canavan, chair of the Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee, has noted how Bankwest's decision was disappointing.

"These closures will impact all the communities that they operate in, reducing banking choice and the ability to get an essential service," he said.

Welcome HRH Charles New World Order!

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, EvanTeachr@aol.com
www.pastorbobreid.com

THE ABC'S OF SALVATION

A ADMIT
Admit you are a sinner and have made mistakes.

B BELIEVE
Believe that Jesus is God's Son, died on the Cross for you, and rose up from the grave on the third day.

C CONFESS
Confess Jesus as the Lord of your life. And commit yourself to a life of following Jesus, and serving others.
(Romans 3:23; John 1:12; Romans 10:9)