


“You and Your Strawman”

I need to provide additional background here that explains the purpose of this article and its relationship to why the Democrats have been allowing the flooding of the U.S. illegals into the U.S. the past few years, going back at least to President Barack Hussein Obama; and even previous administrations. **This information is so critical in understanding what is happening to the America of the past century.** I briefly touched on this in a recently posted article on Friday, 11/19/2021 Link below:

[Biden’s Bounty on Your Life: Hospitals’ Incentive Payments for COVID-19](#)

On page 12 of the above article link, I noted that the Federal Government of the U.S. has placed a value on every human which is worth about \$10-million dollars. Roughly 14.5 million illegal aliens live across the United States, costing American taxpayers about \$134 billion every year, a new study reveals. These are the words of Breitbart News for January 27, 2021. There are at least another 4 million that illegally entered the U.S. since the beginning of the year 2021. Other groups suggest that there may be as many as between 20 and 30-million illegals in the U.S.

Conservatively speaking we can agree, that there are 18-million illegals in the U.S., that number represents a value of \$180-Trillion dollars! Think of this as a sudden windfall (A sudden, unexpected piece of good fortune or financial gain) to the U.S. Treasury. The National Debt as of November 23, 2021 is **\$28.982,820,xxx,xxx** trillion. The National Debt clock is moving so fast I had to insert the **x**'s that appear in the total just above.



This windfall is not new or sudden! It has been around for decades. Breitbart News above in the second paragraph says it costs Americans \$134 billion a year. What none of the news media, the government, think tanks and brain trusts, etc., are not about to tell you is this: **Illegals willing to work for less are replacing the Middle Class of America.** But that is only the beginning of this crime! The illegal aliens represent largely Roman Catholic Latinos, future Democratic voters once settled and registered.

Let's simply say they will not be too picky in what kind of work they will accept and at much lower wages, but significantly more than they earned in Mexico, Central and South America. Several news sites have reported that the bulk of the illegals making the trek from below the border are young men in their twenties and younger. The government has given Catholic Charities hundreds of billions of dollar to settle these illegals across the country that is radically changing the economics of Middle Class America. Biden wants to give each new immigrant \$450,000 to settle in as new Americans. That is chump change when you understand the government can and will borrow about \$10-million on each illegal alien by using his "Straw Man" ALL CAPS name. How do I know that? They have been doing it for longer than I have been alive and I am in my mid-80s.

The United States has an aging population, and when Social Security was created during President Franklin D. Roosevelt's first term in 1935, the average age of a man at death was only 58.7 years. They never anticipated longevity to be as long as it is today. Life expectancy for a man today is 85 and 88 for a woman.

Biden has also proposed an amnesty for nearly the entire illegal alien population, a policy that would add millions of foreign nationals to the U.S. labor market at a time of mass unemployment where 18 million Americans remain jobless. But that is just for openers!

The real kicker in this crime of our government is that the U.S. Government can borrow trillions more dollars against the earning potential for each of these illegal immigrants coming into the U.S. through their "Straw Man" created by the means of monetizing each individual's birth certificate. The rest of this article will explain further how the U.S. Government has used each and every living citizen to collateralize its debt of endless wars, political corruption and crime. Think of yourself as being a parent asked to be a co-signer for a son or daughter's purchase of a new car. At the average price for a new car today at \$42,000 we are talking some serious debt.

The money taken out in Juan or Jose's name will be used to pay for government pork barrel spending, and Juan and Jose will pay the interest on those funds taken out in their name in the way of paying income tax. The Federal Reserve Act was signed into law on Christmas Eve, 1913. The 16th Amendment, which was never legally ratified, but implemented the following year to collect the interest on what the government borrowed using your name, your "Straw Man" ALL CAPS name as collateral. This is one of the Rothschild's sneakiest ways to create a debt slave!

Now, do you sign your name in ALL CAP letters? Of course not, but everything you receive in the way of where government is involved arrives in your mail in ALL CAPITAL LETTERS!

In the movie "From Freedom to Fascism", the producer Aaron Russo, confronted the IRS Commissioner to produce the law. A lot of the history about the scam has been removed from the Internet, such as Bill Benson and the 16th Amendment cover up.

Amazon by the way lists his book, "*The Law that Never Was: The Fraud of the 16th Amendment and Personal Income Tax*", used copies at just under \$14,000 a copy. It was published in 1985 and was the definitive proof the 16th Amendment was never ratified by the U.S. Congress. The original 2-volume work sold at \$150 when published in 1985. Mr. Benson passed away a few years ago. Need I say more about why the government wants that book to disappear?

I posted a series of article on the Five Doves web page back in 2015 on history you were not taught in public school. I stated that Americans pay a percentage of their income tax to the Bank of England (40%) and the larger cut to the Vatican (60%). Of that, a portion goes to the Rothschild's Bank of England, and a cut also is disbursed to the Queen of England via the IRS and the IMF. The IRS is not a U.S. government agency, but rather it is an agency of the International Monetary Fund, which in turn, is an agency of the United Nations. The article was a part of a series on "History That You Are Not Taught in Public School!"



For many of you this will be a shock to your nervous system. First off, the IRS is not a U.S. agency! The Bureau of Internal Revenue (BIR), a.k.a. the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), was never created by any Act of Congress. It is not an agency of the Department of Treasury. The only mention of the IRS appears in 31 U.S. Code Section 301-315 stating that the President is authorized to appoint an Assistant General Counsel in the U.S. Department of Treasury to be the Chief Counsel for the IRS.

In the 1979 case of Chrysler vs. Brown (441 U.S. 281), the U.S. Supreme Court admitted that, after searching back to the Civil War, no organic Act for the IRS could be found. The Guarantee Clause in the Constitution establishes a Federal rule of law

(Article I, Section 4). We are supposed to be a nation of laws --- not a Nation of Globalist' edicts. The IRS is not a U.S. agency because there is no such U.S. law creating it. The IRS is a fraud, a charade, bilking us only because we have let them get away with it.

The IRS is a Puerto Rican Trusts operated by the Secretary of the Treasury, as the Trustee. The settler and beneficiaries of these trusts are unknown, and conveniently enough, the Puerto Rican laws governing trusts keeps these entities secret. Actually, they're not totally secret any longer, the cat got out of the bag with former World Bank lawyer, and whistle blower Karen Hudes, who has been interviewed by quite a few Internet web sites, Karen let it out that the Jesuits and Vatican control the world's money. In one of her interviews in 2014 or 2013, she noted the 60/40 split of the taxpayer payments; 40% to the Bank of England (Rothschild's) and 60% to the Vatican.

Although concealed, according to U.S. Code 31, Chapter 3, the Internal Revenue Service and the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms are all one organization. Acquired by conquest, the US gained possession of the Philippine Islands, Guam, and Puerto Rico. The Philippine Customs Administration Act was passed by the Philippine Commission between 1900 and 1902. It was created to regulate trade with foreign countries and to impose custom duties and excise taxes. This Act created the Federal government's first trust fund, Trust Fund #1 (U.S. Code 31, Sec. 1321) and was administered under the general control of the Secretary of Finance and Justice.

In 1904 another Act was passed that created Trust Fund #2 and was known as The Bureau of Internal Revenue (U.S. Code 31, Sec. 1321, Article I, Sec. 2 & 3):

"There shall be established a Bureau of Internal Revenue, the chief officer of which Bureau shall be known as the Collector of Internal Revenue. He shall be appointed by the Civil Governor, with the advice and consent of the Philippine Commission, and shall receive a salary at the rate of eight thousand pesos per anum. The Bureau of Internal Revenue shall belong to the department of Finance and Justice."

"The Collector of Internal Revenue, under the direction of the Secretary of Finance and Justice shall have general superintendence of the assessment and collection of all taxes and excises imposed by this Act or by any Act amendatory thereof, and shall perform such other duties as may be required by law."

This in effect made the Customs Administrative Act within the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Internal Revenue in the Philippines, merging the two to be responsible for "all taxes and excises imposed by this Act" - import and export excise taxes.

Prior to 1940, another Bureau of Internal Revenue was created in Puerto Rico and known as Trust Fund #62. Under the Reorganization Plan #3 of 1940 (U.S. Code 5, Section 903), the Federal Alcohol Administration (created to enforce prohibition of alcohol) was abolished and their functions transferred to the Secretary of Treasury through the BIR. Although the history has been removed from the older editions of the

USC, it can be deduced that the Federal Alcohol Administration was absorbed by the Puerto Rico Trust #62.

The China Trade Act was passed between 1904 and 1938 and dealt with opium, cocaine, and citric wines shipped out of China, which appeared to be administered in the Philippines by the BIR. The Code of Federal Regulations of the USA, Title 26 Internal Revenue Code, Chapter I, references for the first time terms such as income, credits, withholding, assessment, and collection and failure to file a return. However, the entire substance of Title 26 applies to foreign individuals, foreign corporations, foreign ships, income from sources within the possession of the United State, and citizen and domestic corporations of the USA that derived income from sources within the possessions of the USA.

All taxes covered by these laws were for imposts, excise taxes and duties to be collected by the BIR for narcotics, alcohol, tobacco, and firearms. The IRS Act of 1939 applied to all taxes and duties collected on trade between US possessions (Philippines, Puerto Rico, District of Columbia, Virgin Islands, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands) and foreign individuals, corporations and governments. A point of fact is that Al Capone was not jailed for income tax evasion --- he was jailed for unpaid tax due on alcohol imported from Canada!

The Congress passed for the years 1943 to 1944, the Victory Tax Act that duped patriotic Americans into paying taxes to support winning World War II. The federal government created the clever lie that this tax applied to all Americans by sending out tax form 1040 to everyone.

In fact, only employees of the federal government, residents of the District of Columbia, residents of naval bases, residents of military forts, U.S. citizens of the Virgin Islands, Puerto Rico, territories and possessions were lawfully required to file and pay the Victory Tax. The reason residents of the individual states were not included had to do with the Act of 1871. This Act is another huge deception which is an important story of history not told in the public schools. I will share it down the road!

When the Victory Tax law expired in 1944, the news media NEVER announced it to the public, and so the devious Federal government simply continued sending out the 1040 forms in spite of the fact that no citizen of the 48 United States was ever liable to pay the tax in the first place.

In 1853, the U.S. surrendered control over the Philippines. Many unanswered questions still remain about Trust Fund #1 (custom duties) and Trust Fund #2 (internal revenue), such as why they continue to be administered to this day, and who exactly are the settlers and beneficiaries of the trusts. From the comments of the former World Bank lawyer and whistle blower Karen Hudes, it seems we know the obvious answer. Remember, we are talking hundreds of billions of dollars being taken in by the IRS yearly.

It is no coincidence that in 1953, the Secretary of Treasury, G.K. Humphrey, under no legal authority but his own, changed the name of the BIR to Internal Revenue Service, by signing Treasury Order #150-06. Whether Humphrey changed the IRS' name in the Philippines or in Puerto Rico is unknown.

Without the approval of Congress or the President, Humphrey illegally turned the Trust(s) into a Department of Treasury agency. No one opposed or even so much as challenged it. It speaks poorly of the political leadership in power at the time, or perhaps their own corrupt agenda!

In 1954, the U.S. and Guam became partners under the Mutual Security Act. The IRS Code of 1954 was also passed and coordinated "Individual Income Tax" for the U.S. and Guam. Since the Constitution forbids un-apportioned direct taxes on the people of the United States, the Federal government had to trick people into volunteering to pay taxes as US citizens of either Guam, Puerto Rico or the US Virgin Islands.

Now, fast forward to 1972 when, again with no legal authority, Acting Secretary of the Treasury, Charles E. Walker signed Treasury Order #120-01, establishing The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms (BATF). Walker apparently branched out the IRS, creating the BATF, and then joined them back together into one entity. The Federal Register, Vol. 41, #180 (1976) states: *"The terms 'Director, Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms Division' has been replaced by the term 'Internal Revenue Service'."*

However, Walker then cancelled out the order by declaring:

"The terms 'Director, Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms Division' and 'Commissioner of Internal Revenue' whenever used in regulations, rules, and instructions, and forms, issued or adopted for the administration and enforcement of the laws specified in paragraph 2 hereof, which are in effect or in use on the effective date of this Order, shall be held to mean 'the Director'."

Walker created the BATF from Humphrey's Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms Division of the Internal Revenue Service. He then maintains that what he transferred is the same "thing" as the Commissioner of Internal Revenue. Knowing he had no authority from Congress or the President, Walker made it appear that he had done something he had not done. To compound this fraud, the Federal Register published that a person was replaced by a thing: *"the term Director Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms Division has been replaced with the term Internal Revenue Service."*

In 1935 when the Federal Alcohol Act (prohibition) was ruled unconstitutional within the 48 States, the functions of the Federal Alcohol Administration then became administered by the Secretary of Treasury through the BIR, an offshore Trust. The BIR became the IRS, and the IRS then gave birth to the BATF. On September 15, 1976, a signature somehow turned the position of Director of the BATF into the IRS.

To summarize, there is no such organization within the Department of Treasury known as "The Internal Revenue Service" or the "Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms." In Title 31 USC stating the laws applicable to the Department of Treasury and listing the organizations belonging to it, there is no IRS or BATF listed. However, both the IRS (Puerto Rico Trust #62) and BATF, are listed as entities "to be audited" by the Controller General and both are referred to as having office(s) in Puerto Rico.

The IRS code of 1939, a.k.a. IRS Code of 1954, pertains solely to alcohol, tobacco, and firearms taxes, administered by the IRS --- alias BIR, alias Virgin Islands Bureau of Internal Revenue, alias Director ATF Division, and alias IRS.

There is no law requiring the people of the United States to pay taxes to the IRS. That was the determination of Mr. William Benson, author of the two volume work, *'The Law That Never Was'*. In 1984, Mr. Benson began a project to study each of the states as to whether each had ratified the 16th Amendment. You can read for yourself the findings of Mr. William Benson by searching *'The Law That Never Was'* for the whole story on how the myth of the 16th Amendment that was never ratified by the required number of states to enact an income tax on American citizens. It's all a giant fraud on an ignorant citizenry.

It's always difficult to prove a negative, but those who know, understand there has been no law requiring citizens of The United States of America to pay taxes to any Federal Agency, much less Puerto Rican Trusts with secret owners. According to the IRS' own figures, they estimate several million U.S. citizens have not voluntarily paid federal income tax for years. The IRS has chosen not to prosecute but rather to ignore and not bring publicity to the issue that there is no law that requires people to pay income tax. The less publicity, the better their con can continue to exist.

Some point around 2010 the banks ended returning your canceled checks, but if you happened to save canceled checks, you will see the endorsement of your check to the IRS is canceled stamp on the back and deposited with a bank in Puerto Rico. My bank stopped returning canceled checks in 2010. In prior years I was always puzzled by the fact my canceled check to the IRS was deposited in a Puerto Rico bank and I lived in Western PA.

My interest was heightened in an Internet news story I will share here below. I have been audited three times in my working years and so I always took interest in those matters of paying taxes, learning as much as I could.

In 2003, a jury acquitted a Memphis-based FedEx pilot - Vernice Kuglin, age 58, of six counts of tax evasion of \$250,000 in unpaid taxes based on her income of \$920,000 for the years 1996 through 2001. The key point here is that the IRS does not want to go a jury trial, which citizens are entitled to by law. They attempt to bully and intimidate the taxpayer into compliance or making a deal. You can easily verify the validity of this by searching on "FedEx pilot acquitted on tax evasion charges". The press, beyond the local Memphis area did not cover this story and for all intent and purposes it did not

exist. I recall it as if it were yesterday, and at the time I saved the report to my hard drive. Anyone considering going up against the IRS should read the story because of how Ms. Kuglin dealt with her case and the importance of asking for a jury trial. I know personally that you can beat the IRS at their own game through a number of ways. I have been audited three times in my working life and won all three times. I felt intimidated but I also felt confident in my David/Goliath experience. Knowledge is power!

According to 44 USC, every regulation or rule must be published in the Federal Register and must be approved by the Secretary of the Treasury. If there is no regulation, there is no implementation of the law. There can be found: no regulation governing "failure to file a return"; no regulation governing "failure to file," and no computer code for "failure to file". Oddly enough there is a requirement stating where to file an income tax return, and 26 CFR, Section 1.6091-3 states that "Income tax returns are required to be filed with the Director of International Operations." Note the word "International". Who is this Director? Knowing this information on what is not in the Federal Register is nearly as good as having the FedEx pilot's defense attorney on your case.

No one in the IRS or BATF has any authority to do what they have been doing all these years. The 1986 Delegation Order #115 states that only the IRS and BATF can conduct audits, but only audits of themselves for \$750 or less. Any audit above that amount must be done by the Controller General.

No IRS or BATF agent or representative can provide any law, rule, or regulation that gives them authority to audit anyone but themselves.

Per 26 CFR, Section 1.6001-1(d), no one is required to keep records or file returns unless specifically notified by the district director by notice served to make such returns, render such statements or keep such specific records as will enable the district director to determine whether or not such person is liable for tax under Subtitle A of the Code. Furthermore, this rule also applies to State individual income taxes, where "State" solely refers to the District of Columbia, U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, Puerto Rico, territories and insular possessions.

IRS Service Publication 6209 lists computer code "TC150" for Virgin Island returns, and Codes 300-398 are listed as U.S. and UK Tax Treaty claims for taxes on narcotics that are financed in the Caymen Islands and imported into the Virgin Islands.

When people having tax problems with the IRS file a 'Freedom of Information Act' requesting their "Individual Master File" (IMF), every return has these computer codes except for the Guam returns. Every return shows that the citizen is being taxed on income that came from importing narcotics, alcohol, tobacco, or firearms in the U.S. or one of its territories/possessions, from a foreign country, or from Guam, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands or into the Virgin Islands from the Caymen Islands.

26 CFR, Sec. 601.103(a) is the only reference to who is required to file a return, provided that the person has been properly noticed by the District Director to both keep records and is required to file. **Have you ever been sent a notice from the District Director to keep records and file a return?** If you write or print your name on a line marked "taxpayer", you become the taxpayer.

Since these forms are affidavits, you commit a crime when you fill out the forms confirming what you are not, a taxpayer. You are a Citizen of the United States of America and subject to the laws of the Constitution of the United States of America.

The late William Cooper, murdered by Arizona sheriff deputies, stated it this way:

"The scam manifests itself in many different ways. In order to maintain the semblance of legality, hats are changed from moment to moment. When you are told to submit records for examination; you are dealing with Customs. When you submit an offer in compromise, you are dealing with the Coast Guard. When you are confronted by a Special Agent of the IRS, you are really dealing with a deputized United State Marshall. When you are being investigated by the alleged Internal Revenue Service, you are really dealing with an agent contracted by the Justice Department to investigate narcotics violations. When the alleged Internal Revenue Service charges you are dealing with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms. Only a small part of 26 USC is administered by the alleged Internal Revenue Service.

Most of the Code is administered by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms, including Chapters 61 through 80, which is enforcement. In addition, 27 CFR is BATF, and states in Subpart B, Definitions, 250.11, Meaning of terms: 'United States Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms office - Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms office in Puerto Rico.' Every person we find who is being prosecuted by the alleged Internal Revenue Service has a code on their IMF [Individual Master File] which puts them in 'tax class 6", which designates that they have violated a law relating to alcohol, tobacco, or firearms, in Puerto Rico." -(William Cooper, 1995).

While the U.S. Department of Justice has the power of attorney to represent federal agencies in federal court, it has no legal authority to represent the IRS since it is not a legal agency. Furthermore, the governments of all federal territories are specifically excluded from the definition of a "federal agency" by Act of Congress (5 USC Sec. 551(1)(C). **Since the IRS is domiciled in Puerto Rico, it is by definition not a federal agency that can be represented by the Department of Justice.**

However, because the President has the authority to appoint IRS Chief Counsel, he/she can appoint a delegate to appear in federal court on behalf of the IRS and IRS employees. The chain of command starts with Congress, then flows to the President, then to IRS Chief Counsel - not to the Department of Justice.

We have become a people ruled by tax laws passed by the rich and for the rich where no law exists that requires taxes to be paid. The IRS gets away with its brutal

enforcement of this scam because the Federal courts have, case after case, refused to hold them accountable. Consistently the due process afforded to us by our Constitution is completely violated, with property and records being confiscated so that American is helpless to defend themselves against the IRS and their weapons. In the David/Goliath battle, the taxpayer is constantly having to navigate a minefield. The courts are all too willing to favor on the side of the government. Legal advice, research, is not always enough for the individual to fight this battle. You are presumed guilty until proven innocent.

The 2013 Tax Advocate Service annual report bemoans IRS criminal collections, practices, which were ruled illegal by Federal courts, but just ignored and continued by the IRS. IRS lawyers, federal judges, and IRS personnel and appointees habitually lie in court cases to perpetuate IRS fraud. As has been widely reported by the IRS targeting of the Obama Administration's political enemies, the IRS has been turned into a political weapon of mass destruction that would have made President Richard Nixon blush.

Over the years, the IRS' fraudulent publications, misapplied tax code and deliberate omissions have trained lawyers and judges to continue this fraud by unwittingly paying taxes for which we are clearly not liable. The latest figures that I have researched showed that hundreds of thousands of federal government employees owe over \$5-billion dollars of unpaid taxes. Do you suppose they know something that you do not know? Count on it!

We allow our liberties and our living to be confiscated and pillaged by the lawless, criminal ruling class to the extent to which we allow lawyers and judges to willfully misapply tax laws. According to the 2013 Tax Advocate Service annual report the taxpayer has just a 2% chance of success if they challenge the IRS. In my third tax audit back in 1998, I tied up two IRS agents for more than six hours. Remember this point, audit agents are rated on their ability to recapture or recoup dollars from the taxpayer. Being one of the 2% requires preparation, study, learned skills, some that you might not want to learn but a necessity to beat them at their own game. The IRS is a criminal, thug organization that is out of control.

Former Special Agent Joe Bannister was forced to resign from the IRS because he kept asking to see the law that allowed him to confiscate people's property, cars, jewelry, art work, guns, etc. He has his own web site providing the taxpayer a source of inside information from a former IRS 'special' agent, which meant he was licensed to carry a firearm in the course of his work.

By all accounts, the IRS first puts the money people send in on April 15th into a "Quad Zero" account under an Individual Master File, (IMF) after which time can apparently do whatever it wants with the money. Several sources have confirmed for me that it lays in the IMF account in Puerto Rico for one year, and after which that it is distributed to the two noted accounts, the Bank of England and the Vatican. Sometimes it is dispersed under Treasury Order #91 (Rev. 1, 1986), which is a service agreement between the

IRS and the Agency for International Development (USAID). Supposedly, the income tax revenue is used to pay the interest on the National Debt. The U.S. taxpayers paid \$562,388,232,682.17 in interest for Fiscal Year 2021, ending September 30, 2021. \$562-billion, and each year it grows larger and larger. I hope you are beginning to see why Biden has put out the “Welcome to America” sign at the Southern Border!

In accordance with the international agreement that created the International Monetary Fund, the Secretary of Treasury is the U.S. government of the International Monetary Fund and is paid by them. The International Monetary Fund (IMF) came into existence at the United Nations Monetary and Financial conference in Bretton Woods, New Hampshire in 1944 and the U.S. became a member in 1945 (USC Title 22, Sec. 286). I consider it especially suspicious that the acronym (IMF) noted here and above also stands for (Individual Master File) as it does for (International Monetary Fund).


So what is funding our federal government? Tax researcher, Richard Standing (now deceased) believed that the U.S. funds itself with loans from the International Monetary Fund. By following checks naming the IRS as the payee, Standing found that the checks go to a Federal Reserve Bank. The money then flows to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development and is deposited into the "Quad Zero" account, which is the account from which the IRS distributes refunds (per 22 USC 286 and 31 CFR 11, Sec. 214.7). According to Richard Standing's research, whatever is left over is then transferred to the International Monetary Fund and subsequently redistributed in the form of loans to countries around the world, include the USA. These loans must then be repaid with interest to the IMF bankers.

Our own taxpayer monies are being used for loans, even to our own country, which we have to pay back with interest to the IMF. You have to wonder how much of these loans are on our national debt which has been frozen at its current amount for three weeks because the government has reached its debt ceiling or its credit limit. It may be impossible to accurately determine how much American tax dollars have been funneled to the IMF, or given away by the Federal Reserve Bank to foreign banks. We know that it [the Fed] gave hundreds of millions of dollars in loans during the banking crisis in 2007-2012 when the Congress was pressured into passing a \$750-billion bill to bail out the banks. There is no way of determining how many billions have been siphoned off for black-ops, false flags, payoffs to lobbyists and Congressmen, and for assassinations of presidents (domestic and foreign), scientists and whistle blowers. There is no question that over the course of this nation's existence, there have been fewer than twenty years when the nation was not at war. I would not be exaggerating by saying that billions have gone to line the pockets of the multi-national military industrial complex. Then there is that other Covid legislation signed into law in 2020 by President Trump and the PPP stimulus program, and Biden's huge spending plan that is pending.

It is evident the Fed has perpetually kept our country at war and conflict and debt. They have done this by keeping Americans feeling under eternal threat, both at home and abroad, thereby justifying taxes for what is made to appear as desperately-needed protection. Nothing reveals this deadly con game against the American people more

than the 9/11 false flag against the people. Since 9/11 the country has added \$2-trillion dollars to the national debt for all the military actions since 9/11 on the bogus War on Terror.

There are many movements afoot to mount a massive class-action suit against the IRS. We applaud these efforts and hope that all genuine patriots would be a clarion call to all patriotic American lawyers who have the courage and fortitude to stand up for American citizens and flood the courts with lawsuits to reveal the illegal activities of the income tax, to expose the IRS for its criminal fraud and force the government courts to uphold the U.S. Constitution.



The elite have long relied upon our not knowing the truth and not knowing what to do. Now that we know the truth, how long will we continue to allow them to take our money and ask "but what can I do?" When whistle blower Karen Hudes was dismissed from the World Bank, she has exposed bits and pieces of what goes on behind the secrecy at the World Bank. It was a result of her interviews on the alternative media that we learned of the 60/40 split of U.S. taxes distributed to the Bank of England (40%) and the Vatican (60%). This is their share from the interest paid to service the national debt.

What did Jesus call the "money-changers" at the Temple. A den of thieves and vipers!

In 1984 William J. Benson of South Holland, Illinois, began an investigation of the process of ratification of the 16th Amendment, to determine if the amendment had lawfully been made a part of the constitution. To undertake such a task, never before performed, required the review of all documents stored in various state archives, state law libraries, legislative libraries and offices of the secretaries of states, clerks of the houses and secretaries to the senates, that related to the method by which the States in the American Union in 1913 allegedly approved the amendment as a part of the constitution. Undaunted by the enormity of such a research project, Bill spent virtually the entire year traveling to the state capitols to find dusty old records regarding the actions of the states taken to adopt the amendment. This was an arduous task that Bill had been well trained for during his years as an investigator with the Illinois Department of Revenue.

The Premise

The federal government rests its authority to collect income tax on the 16th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution—the federal income tax amendment—which was allegedly ratified in 1913. In 1895, the U.S. Supreme Court had ruled that a similar federal income tax act adopted in 1894 was unconstitutional. This deprived the federal government of a potential source of tax revenue. In 1909, the 16th Amendment was proposed by Congress to circumvent that decision by the U.S. Supreme Court. By 1913, the process of ratification of the amendment was claimed to have been completed. Because of the existence of this amendment, the federal government lays claim to the power to collect this tax from all of us.

The Discovery

Starting in January 1984, Bill went first to the capitols of the New England states and performed his investigative research, using the journals of the various state legislative bodies to find out how these states acted upon the proposal by Congress to amend the U.S. Constitution to permit a federal income tax law. After review of these records, he began to see that serious problems existed as to whether these states had legally ratified the same amendment which had been proposed by Congress. When examination of the records of about 20 states showed that many had not ratified the amendment and that information regarding the action taken by these States had been sent to the U.S. Secretary of State, he determined that records in Washington, D.C. most probably existed to prove the point.

In August 1984, Bill traveled to Washington, D.C. to research the historical records in the National Archives. After several days of pursuing fruitless leads, he finally found a book that had contained within it all federal records which had been prepared during the process of amending the Constitution by the 16th Amendment. This proved to be an exceptional discovery because those documents revealed that a man named Philander Chase Knox, the Secretary of State in 1913, was fully aware that the amendment had not been ratified nonetheless. See Bill Benson's Golden Key. After making this

important discovery, Bill decided it was essential that he also study the records of all other states which the federal government claimed had ratified the amendment.

In September 1984, Bill started investigating the remainder of the states and completed the project on December 1984. When this yearlong project was finished at the end of 1984, Bill knew that not a single state had actually and legally ratified the proposal to amend the Constitution in the manner required by law. Such a conclusion obviously meant that the federal government lacked the power to legally impose and collect the federal income tax. See defects tabulated in Defects in Ratification of the 16th Amendment.

To demonstrate the merits of this argument, an examination of the evidence uncovered by Bill is essential. The federal government claims that the State of Kentucky was the second state to ratify the amendment, such action taking place on February 8, 1910. But, the records of the State of Kentucky reveal a far different picture. These records show that the Kentucky House proposed a resolution to adopt the amendment and then sent that resolution to the Senate in early February 1910. On February 8, 1910, the Kentucky Senate voted upon that resolution, but rejected it by a vote of 9 in favor and 22 opposed. The Kentucky Senate never did ratify that amendment, but federal officials, being in possession of documents showing this rejection, fraudulently claimed otherwise.

A second interesting situation involves the State of Oklahoma. Here, this proposed amendment was passed by the Oklahoma House and the language of the resolution perfectly matched the one passed by Congress. However, the Oklahoma Senate obviously disliked what Congress had proposed, so it amended the language of the 16th Amendment in such a fashion as to have a precisely opposite meaning. After all was settled and done in Oklahoma, the Oklahoma Legislature wanted an amendment which meant something entirely different than that which was proposed by Congress.

What happened in California reveals a comedy of errors. That legislative assembly never recorded any vote upon any proposal to adopt the amendment proposed by Congress. However, assuming that a nonexistent vote was taken, whatever California did adopt bore no resemblance to what Congress had proposed. And many states engaged in the unauthorized activity of amending the language of the amendment proposed by congress, a power that these states did not possess.

The State of Minnesota sent nothing to the Secretary of State in Washington, but this did not deter Philander Knox as he claimed that Minnesota ratified the amendment regardless of the absence of any documentation from the State of Minnesota.

The Fraud

Article V of the U.S. Constitution controls the amending process, which requires that three-fourths of the States ratify any amendment proposed by Congress. In 1913, there were 48 States in the American Union, so to adopt any amendment required the

affirmative act of 36 states. In February 1913, Knox issued a proclamation claiming that 38 states had ratified the amendment, including Kentucky, California and Oklahoma. But, as previously shown, Kentucky had rejected the amendment, California had not voted on it and Oklahoma wanted something entirely different. If just these 3 states are excluded from the court of those which ratified, then the amendment was not legally adopted, the number of ratifying States being only 35. But, then again, a total of 11 states failed to vote on the amendment, 33 changed the language of the amendment and Minnesota sent in nothing. If the process of the adoption of the amendment is subjected to strict legal scrutiny the amendment was adopted by none.

Today, the federal government pretends that it has all encompassing power to tax the income of everyone and that the only way to change this system is to vote for congressmen who promise to modify or, even more unlikely, to repeal these laws. The American public needs to be apprised that another alternative exists and that it is entirely possible to challenge the very foundation of this taxing power upon the grounds that the 16th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution was never adopted. This challenge can be effectively made by exercising your rights under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

When your birth certificate was monetized and converted into a UNITED STATES Government Bond shortly after your birth by your Mother, your net worth became unlimited, into Billions of Dollars, without your, or your Mother's, and Father's, knowledge.

When the UNITED STATES declared bankruptcy in 1933 under the bankruptcy (Straw man) law known as HJR 192, pledged all Americans as collateral (debt slaves) against the national debt to the International Bankers; gave all the land to the international bankers (Federal Reserve Corporation); and confiscated and outlawed all the gold except for one ounce for each person; thus, eliminating the lawful means (Gold and Silver Coins) by which you could legally pay your debt, the UNITED STATES also assumed legal responsibility for providing a new way for you to pay.

In 1933, the UNITED STATES Government declared that they would pay all of YOUR debts with the money they receive from your labor, birth certificate, and Social Security registered number by what is known as your Reserve Account worth Billions!

The UNITED STATES Corporation Government did that by providing what is known as the Exemption Account.

The bankers loan credit and not money, because there has not been any lawful money since 5 June 1933.

The Exemption Account is your exemption from having to pay for anything.

In practical terms, though, this meant giving each American something to pay with, and that something is your credit.

This secret has been hidden for over 85 years.

Your value to society was then and is still calculated using actuarial tables.

At birth, average value bonds were created from your birth certificate.

I understand that this is currently ten million dollars, but until recently in the last two or three years was between one and two million dollars at your birth when your mother unknowingly gave her baby, you, away to the UNITED STATES Government.

These birth certificate bonds were collateralized by your birth certificate and your mother's maiden name under an Act of Congress in 1921.

Then your birth certificate bond became a "negotiable instrument" just like any security instrument under UCC Article 3, code of commercial law in which the world trade falls under.

The bonds are hypothecated (pledged as security or collateral without delivery of title or possession); and traded on the stock market until their value is unlimited for all intents and purposes.

People all over the world buy and sell your bond every day over the stock markets as investments.

All that credit created is technically, and rightfully, yours.

In point of fact, you should be able to go into any store in America and buy anything and everything in sight, telling the clerk to charge it to your Exemption Account, which is identified by a nine-digit number that you will recognize as your Social Security number, without the dashes.

It is your EIN, which stands for Exemption Identification Number from the UNITED STATES CORPORATION of America."

Many of you will know the EIN as Employer Identification Number deceptively.

Years ago, I was a sales representative for CCH Tax Code & Regulations. They go by another name these days. Most medium and large corporations and law firms will have a tax law library for its tax and legal staff. They will have a tax librarian who maintains the "tax reporters", the bible of tax accountants, for the states they do business in, federal, and international. I went to work for CCH right at the time just before they began offering the "tax reporters" on CD format. The CD media format greatly reduced the need for a self-contained tax and law library, and greatly reduced one's potential

commissions, and so I saw diminished earnings in the future. I was a graduate of the H&R Block tax preparation service and also a licensed or certified IRS tax return processor for ten years. One of my reasons for doing such work as a side business was to be a “troll” on this monster we know as the IRS.

Hundreds of thousands of Americans have ceased paying income tax and have gone through the complex maze to become sovereign citizens. Had I been much younger when I learned about the “Strawman” scam of the U.S. government, I would have definitely tackled the legal steps to take back my “Strawman”. One thing that I discovered in my research ten years ago was that all debts, such as bankruptcies, delinquent student loans, credit card debts are offset against a person’s “Strawman” account.

I can’t be positive on this, but at death, whatever balance exists in your EIN reverts to the federal government. My suspicion is that it does and there have been comments to the affect that it may have played a part in the fact that the average age of Covid deaths is 72-74. In a 2020 presentation by Dr. David Martin, one certainly could conclude that the Trump \$2.2-Trillion CARES Act protected the insurance industry before it took care of individuals. That brings me back full circle to my article about [Biden’s Bounty on Your Life: Hospitals’ Incentive Payments for COVID-19](#) This bill incentivized the health industry to kill anyone they could tag with Covid-19 and their “Death” protocols.

Herewith is a source that addressed this issue of how to take back your “Strawman”.

Take Back Your Strawman

UCC-1-Uniform Commercial Code-Take back your Strawman.

On April 5, 1933, then President Franklin Delano Roosevelt, under Executive Order, issued April 5, 1933, declared: "All persons are required to deliver on or before May 1, 1933 all Gold Coin, Gold Bullion, & Gold Certificates now owned by them to a Federal Reserve Bank, branch or agency, or to any member bank of the Federal Reserve System."

James A. Farley, Postmaster General at that time, required each postmaster in the country to post a copy of the Executive Order in a conspicuous place within each branch of the Post Office. On the bottom of the posting was the following:

CRIMINAL PENALTIES for VIOLATION of EXECUTIVE ORDER

\$10, 000 fine or 10 years imprisonment, or both, as provided in Section 9 of the order.

Section 9 of the order reads as follows: "Whosoever willfully violates any provisions of this Executive Order or of these regulations or of any rule, regulation or license issued thereunder may be fined not more than \$10,000, or if a natural person, may be imprisoned for not more than 10 years, or both; & any officer, director or agency of any corporation who knowingly participates in any such violation may be punished by a like fine, imprisonment, or both.

NOTE: Stated within a written document received September 17, 1997, from the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Legal Counsel, Office of the Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Richard L. Shiffin, in response to a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), was the following:

"A fact that is frequently overlooked is that Executive Orders & proclamations of the President normally have no direct effect upon private persons or their property, & instead, normally constitute only directives or instructions to officers or employees of the Federal Government. The exception is those cases in which the President is expressly authorized or required by laws enacted by the Congress to issue an Executive order or proclamation dealing with the legal rights or obligations of members of the public. Such as issuance of Selective Service Regulations, establishment of boards to investigate certain labor disputes, & establishment of quotas or fees with respect to certain imports into this country."

Note: it seems rather obvious that President Franklin D. Roosevelt was not "expressly authorized or required" to "issue an Executive Order or proclamation" demanding the public (private) to relinquish their privately held gold.

The order (proclamation) issued by Roosevelt was an undisciplined act of treason. Two months after the Executive Order, on June 5, 1933, the Senate & House of Representatives, 73d Congress, 1st session, at 4:30 p.m. approve [House Joint Resolution \(HJR\) 192](#): Joint Resolution To Suspend The Gold Standard & Abrogate The Gold Clause, Joint resolution to assure uniform value to the coins & currencies of the United States.

HJR-192 states, in part, that "Every provision contained in or made with respect to any obligation which purports to give the oblige a right to require payment in gold or a particular kind of coin or currency, or in any amount of money of the United States measured thereby, is declared to be against public policy, & no such provision shall be contained in or made with respect to any obligation hereafter incurred. Every obligation, heretofore or hereafter incurred, whether or

not any such provisions is contained therein or made with respect thereto, shall be discharged upon payment, dollar for dollar, in any such coin or currency which at the time of payment is legal tender for public & private debts."

HJR-192 goes on to state: "As used in this resolution, the term 'obligation' means an obligation (including every obligation of & to the United States, excepting currency) payable in money of the United States; & the term 'coin or currency' means coin or currency of the United States, including Federal Reserve notes & circulating notes of Federal Reserve banks & national banking associations."

HJR-192 superseded Public Law (what passes as law today is only "color of law"), replacing it with public policy. This eliminated our ability to PAY our debts, allowing only for their DISCHARGE. When we use any commercial paper (checks, drafts, warrants, federal reserve notes, etc.), & accept it as money, we simply pass the unpaid debt attached to the paper on to others, by way of our purchases & transactions. This unpaid debt, under public policy, now carries a public liability for its collection. In other words, all debt is now public.

The United States government, in order to provide necessary goods & services, created a commercial bond (promissory note), by pledging the property, labor, life & body of its citizens, as payment for the debt (bankruptcy). This commercial bond made chattel (property) out of every man, woman & child in the United States. We became nothing more than "human resources" & collateral for the debt. This was without our knowledge &/or our consent. How? It was done through the filing (registration) of our birth certificates!

The United States government -actually the elected & appointed administrators of government -took (& still do, to this day) certified copies of all our birth certificates & placed them in the United States Department of Commerce ... as registered securities. These securities, each of which carries an estimated \$1,000,000 (one million) dollar value, have been (& still are) circulated around the world as collateral for loans, entries on the asset side of ledgers, etc., just like any other security. There's just one problem, we didn't authorize it. As noted earlier that amount or value of a human to the government is currently Ten million dollars.

The United States is a District of Columbia corporation. In Volume 20: Corpus Juris Sec. § 1785 we find "The United States government is a foreign corporation with respect to a State" (see: NY re: Merriam 36 N.E. 505 1441 S. 0.1973, 14 L. Ed. 287). Since a corporation is a fictitious "person" (it cannot speak, see, touch,

smell, etc.), it cannot, by itself, function in the real world. It needs a conduit, a transmitting utility, a liaison of some sort, to "connect" the fictional person, & fictional world in which it exists, to the real world.

LIVING people, exist in a real world, not a fictional, virtual world. But government does exist in a fictional world, & can only deal directly with other fictional or virtual persons, agencies, states, etc.. In order for a fictional person to deal with real people there must be a connection, a liaison, & a go-between. This can be something as simple as a contract. When both "persons," the real & the fictional, agree to the terms of a contract, there is a connection, intercourse, dealings, there is a communication, an exchange. There is business! But there is another way for fictional government to deal with the real man & woman: through the use of a representative, a liaison, & the go-between. Who is this go-between, this liaison that connects fictional government to real men & women? It's a government created shadow, a fictional man or woman ... with the same name as ours.

This PERSON was created by using our birth certificates as the MCO (manufacturer's certificate of origin) & the state in which we were born as the "port of entry". This gave fictional government a fictional PERSON with whom to deal directly. This PERSON is a strawman.

STRAMINEUS HOMO: Latin: A man of straw, one of no substance, put forward as bail or surety. This definition comes from Black's Law Dictionary, 6th. Edition, page 1421. Following the definition of STRAMINEUS HOMO in Black's we find the next word, Strawman. STRAWMAN: A front, a third party who is put up in name only to take part in a transaction. Nominal party to a transaction; one who acts as an agent for another for the purposes of taking title to real property & executing whatever documents & instruments the principal may direct. Person who purchases property for another to conceal identity of real purchaser or to accomplish some purpose otherwise not allowed. Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary defines the term "strawman" as: 1: a weak or imaginary opposition set up only to be easily confuted 2: a person set up to serve as a cover for a usually questionable transaction. The Strawman can be summed up as an imaginary, passive stand-in for the real participant; a front; a blind; a person regarded as a nonentity. The Strawman is a "shadow", a go-between. For quite some time a rather large number of people in this country have known that a man or woman's name, written in ALL CAPS, or last name first, does not identify real, living people. Taking this one step further, the rules of grammar for the English language have no provisions for the abbreviation of people's names, i.e. initials

are not to be used. As an example, John Adam Smith is correct. ANYTHING else is not correct. Not Smith, John Adam or Smith, John A. or J. Smith or J. A. Smith or JOHN ADAM SMITH or SMITH, JOHN or any other variation. NOTHING, other than John Adam Smith identifies the real, living man. All other appellations identify either a deceased man or a fictitious man: such as a corporation or a STRAWMAN.

Over the years government, through its "public" school system, has managed to pull the wool over our eyes & keep US ignorant of some very important facts. Because all facets of the media (print, radio, television) have an ever-increasing influence in our lives, & because media is controlled (with the issuance of licenses, etc.) by government & its agencies, we have slowly & systematically been led to believe that any form/appellation of our names is, in fact, still us: as long as the spelling is correct. WRONG!

We were never told, with full & open disclosure, what our government officials were planning to do & why.

We were never told that government (the United States) was a corporation, a fictitious "person".

We were never told that government had quietly, almost secretly, created a shadow, a STRAWMAN for each & every AMERICAN, so that government could not only "control" the people, but also raise an almost unlimited amount of revenue - so it could continue not just to exist, but to GROW.

We were never told that when government deals with the STRAWMAN it is not dealing with real, living, men & women.

We were never told, openly & clearly with full disclosure of all the facts, that since June 5, 1933, we have been unable to pay our debts.

We were never told that we had been pledged (& our children, & their children, & their children, & on & on) as collateral, mere chattel, for the debt created by government officials who committed treason in doing so.

We were never told that they quietly & cleverly changed the rules, even the game itself, & that the world we perceive as real is in fact fictional -and it's all for their benefit.

We were never told that the STRAWMAN -a fictional person, a creature of the state -is subject to all the codes, statutes, rules, regulations, ordinances, etc. decreed by government, but that WE, the real man & woman, are not. We were

never told we were being treated as property, as slaves (albeit comfortably for some), while living in the land of the free -& that we could, easily, walk away from the fraud.

WE WERE NEVER TOLD, WE WERE BEING ABUSED!

There's something else you should know: Everything, since June 1933, operates in **COMMERCE!** Commerce is based on agreement, contract. Government has an implied agreement with the Strawman (government's creation) & the Strawman is subject to government rule, as we illustrated above. But when we, the real flesh & blood man & woman, step into their "process" we become the "surety" for the fictional Strawman. Reality & fiction are reversed. We then become liable for the debts, liabilities & obligations of the Strawman, relinquishing our real (protected) character as we stand up for the fictional Strawman.

So that we can once again place the Strawman in the fictional world & ourselves in the real world (with all our "shields" in place against fictional government) we must send a nonnegotiable (private) "Charge Back" & a nonnegotiable "Bill of Exchange" to the United States Secretary of Treasury, along with a copy of our birth certificate, the evidence, the MCO, of the Strawman. By doing this we discharge our portion of the public debt, releasing US, the real man, from the debts, liabilities & obligations of the Strawman. Those debts, liabilities & obligations exist in the fictional commercial world of "book entries", on computers &/or in paper ledgers. It is a world of "digits" & "notes", not of money & substance. Property of the real man once again becomes tax exempt & free from levy, as it must be in accord with HJR-192.

Sending the nonnegotiable Charge Back & Bill of Exchange accesses our Treasury Direct Account (TDA). What is our TDA? Let's go to Title 26 USC & take a look at section 163(h)(3)(B)(ii), \$1,000,000 limitation: "The aggregate amount treated as acquisition indebtedness for any period shall not exceed \$1,000,000 (\$500,000 in the case of a married individual filing a separate return)."

This \$1,000,000 (one million) account is for the Strawman, the fictional "person" with the name in all caps &/or last name first. It is there for the purpose of making book entries, to move figures, "digits" from one side of ledgers to the other. Without constant movement a shark will die & quite ironically, like the shark, there must also be constant movement in commerce, or it too will die. Figures, digits, the entries in ledgers must move from asset side to debit side & back again, or commerce dies. No movement, no commerce.

The fictional person of government can only function in a fictional commercial world, one where there is no real money, only fictional funds ... mere entries, figures, & digits.

A presentment from fictional government -from traffic citation to criminal charges -is a negative, commercial "claim" against the Strawman. This "claim" takes place in the commercial, fictional world of government. "Digits" move from one side of your Strawman account to the other, or to a different account. This is today's commerce.

In the past we have addressed these "claims" by fighting them in court, with one "legal process" or another, & failed. We have played the futile, legalistic, dog-&-pony show -a very clever distraction -while the commerce game played on.

But what if we refused to play dog-&-pony, & played the commerce game instead? What if we learned how to control the flow & movement of entries, figures, & digits, for our own benefit? Is that possible? And if so, how? How can the real man in the real world, function in the fictional world in which the commerce game exists?

When in commerce do as commerce does, use the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC)? The UCC-1 Financing Statement is the one contract in the world that can NOT be broken & it's the foundation of the Accepted For Value process. The power of this document is awesome.

Since the TDA exists for the Strawman -who, until now, has been controlled by government - WE can gain control (& ownership) of the Strawman by first activating the TDA & then filing an UCC-1 Financing Statement. This does two things for US.

First, by activating the TDA we gain limited control over the funds in the account. This allows US to also move entries, figures, & digits ... for OUR benefit.

Secondly, by properly filing an UCC-1 Financing Statement we can become the holder in due course of the Strawman. This gives us virtual ownership of the government created entity. So what? What does it all mean?

Remember earlier we mentioned that a presentment from government or one of its agents or agencies was a negative commercial claim against the Strawman (&

the Strawman's account, the TDA)? Remember we told you entries, figures, & digits moved from one side of the account to the other, or to a different account? Well now, with the Strawman under our control, government has no access to the TDA & they also lose their go-between, their liaison, their "connection" to the real, living man & woman. From now on, when presented with a "claim" (presentment) from government, we will agree with it (this removes the "controversy") & we will ACCEPT IT FOR VALUE. By doing this we remove the negative claim against our account & become the "holder in due course" of the presentment. As holder in due course you can require the sworn testimony of the presenter of the "claim" (under penalty of perjury) & request the account be properly adjusted.

It's all business, a commercial undertaking, & the basic procedure is not complicated. In fact, it's fairly simple. We just have to remember a few things, like: this is not a "legal" procedure -we're not playing dog-&-pony. This is commerce, & we play by the rules of commerce. We accept the "claim", become the holder in due course, & challenge whether or not the presenter of the claim had/has the proper authority (the Order) to make the claim (debit our account) in the first place. When they cannot produce the Order (they never can, it was never issued) we request the account be properly adjusted (the charge, the "claim " goes away).

If they don't adjust the account a request is made for the bookkeeping records showing where the funds in question were assigned. This is done by requesting the Fiduciary Tax Estimate & the Fiduciary Tax Return for this claim. Since the claim has been accepted for value & is prepaid, & our TDA account is exempt from levy, the request for the Fiduciary Tax Estimate & the Fiduciary Tax Return is valid because the information is necessary in determining who is delinquent &/or making claims on the account. If there is no record of the Fiduciary Tax Estimate & the Fiduciary Tax Return, we then request the individual tax estimates & individual tax returns to determine if there is any delinquency.

If we receive no favorable response to the above requests, we will then file a currency report on the amount claimed/assessed against our account & begin the commercial process that will force them to either do what's required or lose everything they own -except for the clothing they are wearing at the time. This is the power of contracts (commerce) & it should be mentioned, at least this one time, that a contract overrides the Constitution, the Bill of Rights, & any other document other than another contract. We should also mention that no process of law -"color" of law under present codes, statutes, rules, regulations,

ordinances, etc. - can operate upon you, no agent &/or agency of government (including courts) can gain jurisdiction over you, WITHOUT YOUR CONSENT. You, (we) are not within their fictional commercial venue.

The Accepted for Value process, however, gives us the ability to deal with "them" -through the use of our transmitting utility/go-between, the Strawman -& hold them accountable in their own commercial world, for any action(s) they attempt to take against us. Without a proper Order, & now we know they're not in possession of such a document, they must leave us alone ... or pay the consequences.

Yes, this process IS powerful.

Yes, it CAN set us free from government oppression & control.

But remember: "What goes around, comes around". "Do unto others, as you have others do unto you." It's simple, folks, DO NOT ABUSE THIS PROCESS ... if you do it could come around & bite you.

It is a MUST to Listen to This Recording for Basic Understanding of the Dual Trusts

[Lecture on Beneficiary & Trustee Status in Court Cases](#) (.mp3 Audio File)

See Also:

[Title 31 USC §5118](#)

[Beneficiary & Trustee Status in Court Cases](#) (transcribed .pdf) (transcribed .pdf)

[The Power of Acceptance](#)

[STRAWMAN](#)

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, EvanTeachr@aol.com
www.pastorbobreid.com
<http://jesusisthewaythetruththelife.com/node/22>