

# *Judas Goats*

## *The New Ecumenism*



A Judas goat is a trained goat used in general animal herding. The Judas goat is trained to associate with sheep or cattle, leading them to a specific destination. In stockyards, a Judas goat will lead sheep to slaughter, while their own life is spared. Judas goats are also used to lead other animals to specific pens and onto trucks.

Long before I became a Bible-believing Christian, I knew what a Judas goat was. As teenagers, several of us would go up to a Kosher-slaughter house a distance away from where we lived. We would hide in the hay outside the pens and watch how they used a goat to lead the animals in their pens into the chutes where they slaughtered the lambs

and cows for butchering. This came to mind when I shared the information on how the Biden administration was going to spend \$1.9-billion for promotion, advertising, and public service announcements. I shared this information in my article **“Crisper” The Technology That Changes & Re-Writes Your DNA**. I believe it was in the next day or two that former President Trump came out in response to Dr. Fauci begging Trump to endorse the new “not” really vaccines, assuming that his supporters would line up for their “shots”. It would be more laughable were it not so pathetic that people are being led to the slaughter by “Judas” goats!

If you have not read my article on **“CRISPR”**, it will explain why the vaccines of Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca, Johnson & Johnson are not really vaccines! They are “gene Therapy”. It is sort of like the “Big box” store advertisement of “bait and switch”.

Weeks ago Albert Mohler, President of Southern Baptist Seminary in Louisville, KY came out and endorsed the vaccines, and about the same time Dr. Robert Jeffress, of Dallas, TX First Baptist Church, called these “not” vaccines a “gift from God”. Last week President Trump took the bait from Dr. Anthony “Jesuit” Fauci, and said it was, well let you read and decide if he was all that excited: Former President Donald Trump endorsed getting a Covid-19 vaccine during his most recent Fox News interview — kind of, maybe, who knows?

In classic Trump style, he tried to have it both ways in response to Maria Bartiromo’s question about whether he would advise viewers to get one.

*“I would recommend it, and I would recommend it to a lot of people that don’t want to get it, and a lot of those people voted for me, frankly,”* he said during the Tuesday phone interview. *“It is a great vaccine. It is a safe vaccine and it is something that works.”*

But Trump’s endorsement was not unequivocal. Immediately after recommending his supporters get vaccinated, Trump offered an apology for those who refuse, saying, *“we have our freedoms, and we have to live by that. And I agree with that also.”* This kind of endorsement may have been sending a subtle response, to us not to take it!

Trump’s comments marked only the second time he publicly endorsed the coronavirus vaccine since the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine was approved for emergency use by the Food and Drug Administration in December. The first came during his CPAC speech on February 28 when he did so in an even more perfunctory way in the middle of attacking President Joe Biden.

*“He [Biden] got his vaccine. He forgot. It shows you how unpainful all that vaccine shot is. So, everybody, go get your shot,”* Trump said. *“He forgot, so it wasn’t very traumatic obviously, but he got his shot, and it’s good that he got his shot.”* Trump’s hesitancy and waffling has always reflected his lack of real genuine support for something, or someone, that he reveals his reluctance to have to respond to a question like this. He tries but you can’t have it both ways!

So what do Franklin Graham, Al Mohler, Robert Jeffress and Pope Francis all have in common? These religious leaders have enlisted in the ecumenical wing of the Great Reset campaign to compel you to take the shot! The “Great Reset” is the larger plan to bring about the New World Order of Klaus Schwab, Prince Charles of Wales, and the Zionist House of Rothschild. It’s kind of “Sustainable Development” and “Depopulation” wrapped in a sugar-coated trick!

Welcome to the COVID inoculation propaganda campaign, aka “psychological operation”. “Biologos”, the *‘New England Journal of Medicine’*, and Bill Gates told you this was coming. Should you trust them?

Consider what they are not telling you:

- They are not telling you of the numerous deaths from the jab. (Over a dozen EU countries stopped giving one of the shots precisely for this reason)
- They are not telling you that this experimental drug **is not approved** by the FDA. It only has “emergency” approval.
- They are not telling you about the manipulation of your God-given DNA by the jab.
- They are not telling you of the potential long-term side effects admitted by the CDC.

So what should you do instead?

God commands us in His Ten Commandments to preserve life and to tell the truth. You can obey God. Ignore their bad advice. Do not take a shot that harms life and plays along with the lies of the Vatican! Do you want to play Russian Roulette with your life?



Above photo: Bill Gates, Francis Collins, and Dr. Anthony “Jesuit” Fauci.

For those unsure of the right path to choose please consider the research on Dr. Francis Collins. Doctor Collins is a Vatican scientist, head of the NIH and founder of the ecumenical scientific organization known as “Biologos”. Dr. Collins recently endorsed the “not” vaccines on Pat Robertson’s CBN program a few weeks ago.

Brian Shilhavy Editor, Health Impact News recently wrote this about what was occurring in Israel from the “not” vaccine shots.

The entire world is watching in horror as death rates have skyrocketed in Israel since the Israeli government brokered a secret deal with Pfizer to inject the entire population with their experimental COVID shots, which are now being mandated as a condition to participate in society. Death Rates Skyrocket in Israel Following Pfizer Experimental COVID “Vaccines”. Over 200 deaths as of today, March 23, 2021. Related side effects reported are in the thousand count so far.

The *‘National File’* reported this past week that a group of Israeli doctors, lawyers, campaigners and concerned citizens have hired the services of Tel Aviv-based firm A. Suchovolsky & Co. Law to file a criminal complaint in the International Criminal Court, stating that the mandatory vaccine laws are a violation of the Nuremberg Code.

Israel became one of the first nations in the world to mandate COVID-19 vaccines, and to introduce a COVID passport system that would only allow individuals to participate in society – including commerce – after they received the vaccine and were approved to join the system.

Now, a group of Israeli Jews are suing the Netanyahu administration in international court, making the case that Israel is violating the Nuremberg Code by essentially making Israelis subject to a medical experiment using the controversial vaccines.

Reporting for *‘Church Militant’*, Jules Gomes wrote:

“The Anshe Ha-Emet (People of the Truth) fellowship — comprising Israeli doctors, lawyers, campaigners and concerned citizens — complained to the ICC prosecutor at the Hague, accusing the government of conducting a national *“medical experiment”* without first seeking *“informed consent”*.”

*“When the heads of the Ministry of Health as well as the prime minister presented the vaccine in Israel and began the vaccination of Israeli residents, the vaccinated were not advised, that, in practice, they are taking part in a medical experiment and that their consent is required for this under the Nuremberg Code,”* the Anshe Ha-Emet suit states.

Tel Aviv-based firm A. Suchovolsky & Co. Law argues that Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu's agreement with Pfizer and Netanyahu's own admission make it clear that Israel's warp-speed vaccination campaign *"is indeed a medical experiment and that this was the essence of the agreement."*

The complaint has now been accepted by the International Criminal Court (ICC), and will be considered.

The Nuremberg Code was *"written after Nazi doctors were put on trial for performing their medical experiments on concentration camp prisoners, stipulates that it is deeply unethical to force or coerce a person to take part in medical experiments,"* according to a Jewish anthropologist. Those behind the lawsuit believe this is especially relevant after Pfizer CEO Albert Bourla called Israel the "world's lab" due to its ready acceptance of the company's COVID-19 vaccine.

This comes after an Israeli group decried the country's green passport system, which allows only those who have taken the COVID-19 vaccine or developed immunity from the virus to engage in commerce and leave their homes, as *"demonic"* and a *"second Holocaust."*

In an interview that has now been viewed by over a half million people, Ilana Rachel Daniel has made an emotional outcry for help from Jerusalem, the capital of Israel.

*"Civil rights are put aside and people can only participate in society again after vaccination,"* told Ilana to Flavio Pasquino in the BLCKBX studio via a live stream connection, who tracked down Ilana after an – even – more emotional audio clip on Telegram.

Ilana talks about the Green Pass, the Freedom Bracelet, the mRNA vaccine and human rights violations.

*"Currently reminiscent of the Holocaust,"* said the Jewess who emigrated from the US to Jerusalem 30 years ago.

Ilana Rachel is active in Jerusalem as a health advisor and information officer for a new political party (Rappeh) that is heavily opposed by the regime. Opening a bank account is not possible and members of the party are also thwarted in their daily lives.

But we need to examine more closely at this Francis Collins, Director of the NIH. Who is Francis Collins and what does he believe? Dr. Fauci, Dr. Redfield, and Bill Gates get much of the media attention, but Dr. Collins is a player in this agenda to vaccinate the world, and the U.S. population.

Greg Bentley and Dr. Lucija Tomljenovic have provided us an insight to this professed Evangelical Christian. Doctor Francis Collins is a world renowned physician-geneticist



specializing in biomedical science. In 2009, Dr. Collins was appointed Director of the National Institutes of Health (NIH)<sup>[1]</sup> and is the supervisor of Dr. Anthony Fauci<sup>[2]</sup>.

Not only is Dr. Collins a famous scientist, he is a self-professed Evangelical Christian having a conversion experience while reading the C.S. Lewis novel *'Mere Christianity'*<sup>[3]</sup>, which has long been regarded a classic exposition of the Christian faith.



Oddly enough, not one Bible verse is quoted in the first half of the book and only three partial verses are found in the latter half. There are no Bible references in the entire book. Now, how can we present Christianity without its foundation – the Word of God?

Greg Bentley and Dr. Lucija Tomljenovic are exactly right on this point, I had to read *'Mere Christianity'* as a seminary student at Asbury Theological Seminary, and I was not impressed with the book at all. I did not hesitate in sharing my comments to the professor who taught the class. I did a written critique of the book that questioned the fact it was required reading with no basis or relevance to the Christian Faith!

In fact, it is precisely because of his extremely low view of Scripture that C.S. Lewis has been a bridge to Rome.<sup>[4]</sup>

Moreover, his novel is a cornerstone credited for the conversion of other famous ecumenical figures such as the late Charles Colson. Mr. Colson [saw the light](#) in *'Mere Christianity'* during a jail house conversion while serving time for the Watergate scandal of the 1970's.<sup>[5]</sup> He went on to form Prison Fellowship International and in 1994, he drove a stake into the heart of the Evangelical Church by orchestrating Evangelicals and Catholic Together (ECT) for the sake of political ecumenism and the demise of the Protestant Reformation<sup>[6]</sup>. In essence he went from Watergate to the Wide gate.

C.S. Lewis would have no doubt been very proud of his disciple Colson, given that Lewis congratulated himself for having helped to bridge the chasm between Protestant denominations and Roman Catholicism<sup>[7]</sup>. An apple evidently does not fall too far from the tree, and as we are about to show, Dr. Francis Collins much like Charles Colson followed in the footsteps of his spiritual father C.S. Lewis. Both C.S. Lewis and Dr. Collins in fact share three things in common which are utterly destructive of true Evangelical Christianity – rejection of Scripture inerrancy, commitment to ecumenism and Darwin’s evolutionary theory. And, since the Whore of Rome will waste no ally, it is not in the least surprising that the Pope in 2009 appointed Dr. Francis Collins to the Vatican’s Pontifical Academy of Sciences<sup>[8]</sup>.

Collins had been active in orchestrating a form of scientific ecumenism by forming BioLogos<sup>[9]</sup> with funding from the Templeton Foundation<sup>[10]</sup>. The same foundation just awarded Collins the \$1.3 million Templeton prize boasting, “*his endeavors to encourage religious communities to embrace the latest discoveries of genetics and the biomedical sciences as insights to enrich and enlarge their faith.*”<sup>[11]</sup> The Templeton foundation is world renowned for its embrace of evolutionary theodicy derived from Carmelite monastic tradition<sup>[12]</sup>. Many may not be aware but the Roman Catholic Church affirms evolution.<sup>[13]</sup>

Accordingly, the current Jesuit Pope tells us that it is very easy to misinterpret the creation in which God created Heaven and Earth in six days and rested on the seventh:

*“When we read the creation story in Genesis we run the risk of imagining that God was a magician, with a magic wand which is able to do everything.”*<sup>[14]</sup> [emphasis ours]

It appears quite plain that in the opinion of Pope Francis, Genesis chapter 1 is a story, not history!

In his best-selling book, ‘*The Language of God: A Scientist Presents Evidence for Belief*’ (2006), Collins therefore speaks the very language of Rome when he argues for the compatibility of Darwin’s theory of natural selection with the existence of a creator God.<sup>[15]</sup> Rejecting both creationism and intelligent design, Collins espoused “theistic evolution” as an explanation for the existence of the universe and life.”<sup>[16]</sup> Moreover, consistent with his scientific ecumenism, “*Dr. Collins urges believers to trust more in the power of science, saying that it answers the “how?” questions, while Christianity answers the “why?” questions.*”<sup>[17]</sup> Dr. Collins thus says we should trust the science-falsely-so-called according to which God did not really mean what He said when He declared that He created the world and all the plant and animal life as well as the first human couple in six literal days (Genesis 1:3-31). Dr. Collins here speaks the very same language of the serpent, “**Yea, hath God said. . . ?**” -(Genesis 3:1).

Further, according to Dr. Collins, God was evidently mistaken when He “**saw every thing that He had made, and, behold, it was very good**” -(Genesis 1:31). But how could everything be “**very good**” when Dr. Collins and his ilk say that death, decay and disease entered the world billions of years before there ever was a man! Moreover, that

first man allegedly evolved from an ape-like ancestor and was thus no more than a highly evolved animal and hence not a unique creature created in the image of God as the Bible affirms -(Genesis 1:26-27).

Dr. Collins' spiritual mentor C.S. Lewis likewise had no objection to the notion that "man is physically descended from animals."<sup>[18]</sup> And according to "BioLogos", he "was not even committed to the most basic element of a belief in a literal Adam and Eve, namely, that it was precisely two humans who fell and from whence our species came. He writes, "We do not know how many of these creatures God made, nor how long they continued in the Paradisal state."<sup>[19]</sup>

We beg to digress here from both C.S. Lewis and "BioLogos"; maybe they do not know how many humans God originally made, but we do know because the Bible most plainly tells us it was only two -(Genesis 1:27; Genesis 2:7, 18, 21-22), and we believe it! And while we can only guess whom C.S. Lewis had in mind when he said "*we do not know. . .*", yet one thing is certain, he had not spoken here as a representative of true Evangelical Christianity because we who consider ourselves as legitimate Evangelicals (rather than Romanist apostates) never appointed him.

Now, Dr. Collins' associates at "BioLogos" do realize that their commitment to the evolutionary theory brings them in conflict with the "traditional reading of the Fall."<sup>[20]</sup> In attempting to reconcile the existence of animal death due to carnivorousness in the pre-human period of Earth's history with God's judgment of His creation as "very good", they however offer us no solution but simply state the matter remains an open question – "The question of how we should understand the violence of the pre-human evolutionary process remains an open one."<sup>[21]</sup> The Bible however does not regard this question as "an open one" but as thoroughly closed and settled – there was no carnivorousness and no animal death before the Fall because in the beginning, to every beast of the earth, and to every fowl of the air, and to every thing that creepeth upon the earth, wherein there is life, God gave every green herb for meat -(Genesis 1:30). It seems then that the "science" that Dr. Collins urges us to trust does not agree at all with the Bible and we should then just replace the Bible with the theories of modern science! In fact, according to Professor Pete Enns, who is the Professor of Biblical Studies at Eastern University and a contributor for Dr. Collins' brainchild "BioLogos"<sup>[22]</sup>, most Christians already understand that, "even though the Bible assumes a certain way of looking at the cosmos, from a scientific point of view the Bible is wrong."<sup>[23]</sup> [emphasis his] Now, this man who unabashedly ascribes errancy to the Word of God has the impudent audacity to claim that his is "The Only God-Ordained Podcast on the Internet."<sup>[24]</sup>

C.S. Lewis would certainly have agreed with Professor Enns and with the Pope in maintaining that the Bible is not scientifically authoritative, which is why "BioLogos" so often invokes and defends his fundamental heresies, including the idea that death pre-existed humanity:



*“In The Problem of Pain, Lewis discusses the problem of non-human animal pain prior to the fall. [emphasis ours] If evolution happened, it means that throughout millions of years non-human creatures experienced vast amounts of suffering that had nothing to do with human sin. Lewis recognized this: “The origin of animal suffering could be traced, by earlier generations [i.e., those that unlike Lewis and Collins’ believed in the inerrancy of the Bible], to the Fall of man—the whole world was infected by the uncreated rebellion of Adam. This is now impossible, for we have good reason to believe that animals existed long [i.e., millions and millions of years] before men. Carnivorousness, with all that it entails, is older than humanity.”<sup>{25}</sup> [emphasis ours]*

We are very grateful to “BioLogos” for actually acknowledging that there is a problem with the thesis that animal death and suffering predated the Fall. According to BioLogos’ founder however, we can apparently safely ignore that problem and *“trust more in the power of science.”<sup>{26}</sup>* However we cannot but wonder – if the Bible is so fatally wrong on such fundamental issues such as the origin of life and the account of creation, what else is it wrong about? And if we cannot trust the Biblical record concerning the first Adam, why should we trust what it says about the second Adam, that is Christ?

If Dr. Collins and his fellows at BioLogos are truly Christians they would have avoided ***“profane and vain babblings, and oppositions of science falsely so called”*** -(1<sup>st</sup> Timothy 6:20), for had they done so they would not have ***“erred concerning the faith”*** -(1<sup>st</sup> Timothy 6:21). Dear reader, if the Bible is not inerrant then it is not the Word of God, and if it errs on the first page we may as well throw out all the rest! Now such an outcome would certainly please Popery who appointed Collins to its own Scientific Pontifical Academy! For as long as in the minds of men the Bible has predominant sway, Popery cannot have her diabolical tyrannical way!

It is no wonder then that Rome saw most fit to make peace with Darwin’s theory, for Darwin with his Origins of Species in one single blow achieved that which Rome strove for centuries – he undermined the Bible’s authority transculturally and globally. Popery is indeed a symbiotic parasite that will ally itself with anyone or anything that can help fulfill her megalomaniacal and insatiable ambition for global dominion over the minds and the souls of nations and peoples. And more often than not, she paves her hellish way with most noble intentions, telling us that, *“science can purify religion from superstition”<sup>{27}</sup>* [emphasis ours] – especially, as we gather, those of the Protestant kind such as our stubborn belief in the inerrancy of the Scriptures and the literal interpretation of the Genesis 1-2 account of creation. And here again “BioLogos” (and C.S. Lewis) come faithfully to the aid of Popery in endorsing the modern Biblical textual critics – or more accurately – utter and plain heretics – according to whom the first three chapters of Genesis are clearly and simply a regurgitation and amalgamation of other ancient pagan creation myths and traditions!!

Ever since George Smith discovered and published the ancient Babylonian creation story, Enuma Elish, in 1876, theologians, biblical scholars and informed laypeople have been aware of the fact that the book of Genesis was not written in a literary or cultural vacuum. As other ancient Near Eastern creation stories have been brought to light we

have come to know a lot more about the intellectual, cultural, theological, and literary milieu within which Genesis was written, giving us an unprecedented opportunity to assess just what sort of text Genesis is [emphasis ours]. Taking all of this new evidence onboard, the majority report among contemporary biblical scholars is that the ancient texts which Genesis chapters 1-3 resemble the most are ancient Near Eastern myths—an observation which suggests that that is probably the best way to read Genesis, as well. In fact, most mainstream biblical scholarship today would understand Genesis to be an Israelite revision or version of prior mythical creation stories.

This critical consensus had more or less already been settled within mainstream scholarship by Lewis's day, and Lewis directly addresses these matters in chapter XI of *Reflections on the Psalms*. He begins by dispelling the misperception that he believes *"that every sentence of the Old Testament has historical scientific truth."* . . . Lewis was perfectly happy to grant the emerging scholarly consensus about the genre and origins of Genesis. He writes, *"I have therefore no difficulty in accepting, say, the view of those scholars who tell us that the account of Creation in Genesis is derived from earlier Semitic stories which were Pagan and mythical. . . . Lewis's belief that Genesis, as we presently have it, was fashioned out of an extended, divinely guided oral and written tradition of telling, modifying, and retelling "earlier Semitic stories which were Pagan and mythical" and that Genesis itself is "mythical" fits squarely within Lewis's incarnational and sacramental understanding of Scripture.*"<sup>[28]</sup> [emphasis ours]

Do you see then dear reader that evolutionary scientism eventually leads all the way back to the gross superstitions of Romanism?

Further, in anticipating the obvious objection to the afore cited heretical proposition, "BioLogos" skillfully attempts a copout:

It should be clear by now that for Lewis *"myth"* is not a bad word [we beg to differ!]. It does not necessarily carry connotations of falsehood or contrivance or deception or muddle-headedness. Being a *"myth"* or a *"folktale"* does not, for Lewis, disqualify Genesis as the most sublime articulation of the doctrine of creation found anywhere. .

For Lewis, *"myth"* hardly means false. Lewis had no trouble calling Genesis mythological, not because he had a low view of Genesis, but because he had a high view of mythology. . . So, what follows from this for our understanding of what Lewis means when he says that Genesis 1-3 is myth? Two things are clear: First, Lewis is not using the word *"myth"* as a loose term of opprobrium, connoting falsehood or silliness or any such thing. Rather, he means *by "myth" a very specific literary genre, which he takes to be the genre of the stories of Orpheus and Eurydice, of Narcissus and the pool, of Icarus and Daedalus, and also of Adam and Eve.*"<sup>[29]</sup>

We see then that according to C.S. Lewis and his attorney "BioLogos", the notion of Genesis being a myth of the likes of Greek myths ought not in the least upset us, for after all, Lewis had a *"high view of mythology"*! And so, if he calls Genesis a myth, supposedly he is paying the Bible a great compliment!!

Nonetheless, “BioLogos” digs even deeper into absurdity to rescue and legitimize not only C.S. Lewis’ but also their own commitment to non-literal interpretation of the Genesis account of creation:

*“Moreover, Lewis makes it quite clear that Christianity, mere Christianity, depends ultimately on the miracle of the Incarnation, which Lewis takes to be the fundamental Fact at the core of human history. So there is no reason whatsoever to think that if Lewis takes Genesis to be myth, he is on a slippery slope towards taking the whole Bible to be myth as well. That’s a silly argument and people need to stop making it, whether they agree with Lewis or not.”*<sup>[30]</sup>

Now, whether, as we in fact do maintain, rejecting the authenticity of one part of the Bible leads eventually to rejecting essentially the authenticity of the whole Bible is a silly argument or not we shall examine presently. And perhaps it is best to address this question with another question – namely, how would you dear reader describe a man who:

Rejected the inerrancy of the Scriptures;

Did not confine his religious views to the Bible but recognized God’s revelation “in literary masterpieces, in other religions, in ancient world myths, and through human reason and intuition”<sup>[31]</sup>;

Was of the opinion that the Apostle John did almost as well as James Boswell in getting the facts straight, and that the Gospel of John was either a reportage, “pretty close up to the facts”, though undoubtedly containing errors, or, the product of a “novelistic realistic narrative” by some unknown writer of the 2nd century<sup>[32]</sup>;

Characterized some of the Psalms as “*fatal confusion,*” “*devilish,*” “*diabolical,*” “*contemptible,*” *petty and vulgar*<sup>[33]</sup>;

Maintained that: “*Naivety, error, contradiction, even (as in the cursing Psalms) wickedness are not removed. The total result is not ‘the Word of God’ in the sense that every passage, in itself, gives impeccable science or history*”<sup>[34]</sup>;

Rejected one of the cardinal doctrines of the Gospel – the doctrine of penal substitutionary atonement<sup>[35]</sup>;

Defended Popery’s utterly superstitious blasphemy of transubstantiation<sup>[36]</sup>;

Believed in his own peculiar version of Purgatory and in praying for the dead<sup>[37]</sup>;

Believed that sincere and good-willed pagans who never heard of Christ could be saved (as did also John Wesley<sup>[38]</sup>, Billy Graham<sup>[39]</sup> and as does Rome to this day – in fact, this is the official teaching of the Catholic Church<sup>[40]</sup>);

And last but certainly not the least, he maintained that certainly the most embarrassing verse in the Bible is Mark 13:30 – Christ’s own personal utterance:

*“Despite his pious words about Christ being the true word of God, Lewis rejected the Biblical view of both Christ and the Bible. In fact, he asserted that Christ, as well as the Scriptures, erred. Lewis referred to Mark 13:30, “Assuredly, I say to you, this generation will by no means pass away till all these things take place,” as “certainly the most embarrassing verse in the Bible.” He continued: “The one exhibition of error and the one confession of ignorance [Mark 13:32] grow side by side. That they stood thus in the mouth of Jesus himself, and were not merely placed thus by the reporter, we surely need not doubt. . . The facts, then, are these: that Jesus professed himself (in some sense) ignorant, and within a moment showed that he really was so.” [emphasis ours]*

It appears then, from the facts of the case, safe to say that C.S. Lewis was a perfect example of how a little leaven leavens the whole lump -(1<sup>st</sup> Corinthians 5:6). For as *“the serpent, wherever she gets in her head, she will wriggle in her whole body, sting and all”*<sup>[41]</sup>, so also, if one gives to erroneous and compromising principles but the least admission, soon enough all that such principles logically carry along with them will also have to be swallowed.

We would therefore ask again the reader to judge for himself from here whether or not rejecting the inerrancy of Genesis logically leads to the rejection of the inerrancy of the Bible as a whole. “BioLogos” would have us think otherwise. With all due respect, we maintain that what they propose is plain hogwash and the facts prove it so to be whether they are willing to admit it or no. The Scripture is an organic whole, and therefore, “Answers in Genesis” is most correct in pointing out that if one essentially takes Genesis as a myth they will likewise take the New Testament as a myth also<sup>[42]</sup>. For our blessed Lord and Savior Himself stated that if one does not believe Moses, neither will they believe Him. The case of Lewis proves Christ’s judgment impeccably correct, and of course, this could not be otherwise, let the textual critics and religious hypocrites say what they will, they are the ones which justify themselves before men; but God knows their hearts: for that which is highly esteemed among them is most abominable in His sight -(Luke 16:15).

“In John 5:45–47, Jesus says, **“Do not think that I shall accuse you to the Father; there is one who accuses you—Moses, in whom you trust. For if you believed Moses, you would believe Me; for he wrote about Me. But if you do not believe his writings, how will you believe My words?”** In this passage, Jesus makes it clear that one must believe what Moses wrote.” [emphasis ours; of course we should here expect another copout by “BioLogos” along the lines of – but modern textual criticism gives strong support to the idea that Moses was not the author of Genesis!

Now, one might argue that a person can still go to Heaven even though he disbelieves portions of the Bible and rejects the doctrine of verbal inerrancy. The authors of the Westminster Confession seem to disagree, saying, **“By this [saving] faith, a Christian believes to be true whatsoever is revealed in the word, for the authority of God**

**himself speaking therein. . .**” They reject the notion that the Apostle John made errors, that some of the Psalms are diabolical, that there are contradictions between Biblical statements, and that mythology is part of the Old Testament.<sup>[43]</sup> [emphasis ours]

Those therefore who have been truly renewed by the Spirit of regeneration believe that Truth is whatsoever in the Bible is written, for they believe by the faith which is not of man’s “free-willed” machination but that which is of God’s own effectual operation - (Ephesians 1:19; Ephesians 2:1, 4-5, 8; Colossians 2:12; 1 Peter 2:5). And while it is certainly true that none of us were born again with a perfect understanding of Scripture, and many of us in fact began our new life in Christ as theistic evolutionists, yet, the Spirit of Truth guides Christ’s own sheep in all Truth -(John 16:13), and none that are truly born of Him will ever have such a disdain for His Word so as to regard any part of it as a mere myth.

**“Have therefore nothing to do with the unfruitful works of darkness -(Ephesians 5:11) of religious hypocrites: evolutionists and textual critics, for they are but blind leaders of the blind. And if the blind lead the blind, both shall fall into the ditch”** -(Matthew 15:14).

*“The fundamental question of how we know anything accurate about Christ apart from an unerring, revealed Scripture is not a question that Lewis considers.”<sup>[44]</sup> [And we may add: nor does “BioLogos”.]*

It seems then that both C.S. Lewis with his ‘*Mere Christianity*’ and Dr. Collins with his “BioLogos” stand as very useful servants of Romish religious harlotry, since they were both able to make a good case for a kind of “Christianity” that is wholly divested of Biblical authority!

To the law and to the testimony: if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them. – Isaiah 8:20.

Other than discrediting the historical credibility of the Bible, Dr. Collins has also been very busy in discrediting its moral authority. For example, working through “BioLogos”, he has made great strides in assuaging evangelical fears of the horrors of experimenting with aborted babies<sup>[45]</sup>. His pioneering research has and continues to involve the use of fetal tissue and he is strongly in favor of therapeutic human cloning.<sup>[46]</sup> “Collins, the Obama-appointed director of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) says that human fetal tissue from elective abortions “will continue to be the mainstay” for federal research.”<sup>[47]</sup>

Moreover, according to Dr. Collins, “*There is strong evidence that scientific benefits can come from fetal tissue research, which can be done with an ethical framework*” [emphasis ours]. “*Even for somebody who is very supportive of the pro-life position, you can make a strong case for this being an ethical stance. . . That if something can be done with these tissues that might save somebody’s life downstream, perhaps that’s a better choice than discarding them.*”<sup>[48]</sup>



Collins' reasoning here boils down essentially to this: since we already have tons of aborted human embryos readily available, we may as well make some good use of them rather than just wasting them! And while we thus doubt not that fetal tissue research can indeed be made perfectly compatible with the humanistic ethical framework, we positively deny that it will ever be consistent with the Biblical ethical framework. One can just imagine the pro-abortionists latching onto this one – hey, it is OK to kill your unborn baby because you may end up saving countless others by advancing medical therapies research that could not be done otherwise!

The idea that *“the end justifies the mean”* advocated here by Dr. Collins does not belong to the Bible but to the most ethically corrupt, devilish and repugnant of all Roman Catholic religious orders – the society of Jesus, better known as the Jesuits!<sup>[49]</sup>, which ever used this maxim to justify the most heinous crimes and immoralities ever perpetrated on the face of the Earth in the name of religion<sup>[50]</sup> – or, as they themselves are fond of saying – for *“The Greater glory of God”* (Ad Majorem Dei Gloriam)<sup>[51]</sup>.

We can therefore no more agree with Dr. Collins than with ‘St.’ Ignatius. Rather, along with Kristian Hawkins, the president of Students for Life of America, we believe that, *“We should no longer allow abortion vendors to profit from selling the body parts of infants who did not survive a visit to Planned Parenthood. . .A civil society does not traffic in human remains.”*<sup>[52]</sup>

Should this bother you? It does me since Dr. Collins pushed the vaccines on the Pat Robertson program several weeks ago. Dr. Francis Collins, a known evolutionists and fetal tissue researcher has a different understanding of the origins of life and the precious gift that God has created. The river of evolution and its disregard for human life flows towards genocide. Famous evolutionist of the past such as Margaret Sanger<sup>[54]</sup> are a testimony to this. There is much more to Dr. Collins than science just as there is more to the Vatican<sup>[55]</sup> than religion. Collins is a government employee who has control over human life driven by a religious agenda and on the other hand the Vatican is a religion with control over spiritual lives driven by a governmental agenda. Dr. Collins is responsible for mixing Christianity with another religion using biblical and philosophical terminology, he is practicing and promoting syncretism! Dr. Collins has spent the last two decades systematically trying to overturn the outstanding work of solid Evangelical creation ministries. He is a government employee who spends his time at the Vatican and has daily exchanges with known Jesuits of the short robe, i.e., Drs. Fauci and Redfield. He is a deep-state operative for the Roman Catholic Church.

It is bad enough that Dr. Collins believes as he does and willingly collaborates with the Vatican all the while pretending to be a Bible believing Christian. What is extremely troubling is the fact that Dr. Francis Collins oversees a multitude of government agencies that are responding to Covid-19 and the development of a world-wide vaccination program. He is intimately involved in *Operation Warp Speed*<sup>[56]</sup> which will deploy the U.S. Military in the distribution of the vaccine once it becomes available. Christians of all people should know that their body is a temple of the Holy Spirit. And

furthermore, Christians ought to be asking themselves whether or not it is right for them to take the vaccine. To some this question may seem relatively straightforward but in reality this is not at all the case. And in order to answer it properly other questions will need to be addressed, chiefly: Who exactly are the entities that are advocating mass vaccination against Covid-19 and whose interests do they really represent?



[1] About the NIH Director, available from [nih.gov](http://nih.gov).

[2] Who is Dr. Fauci and should you be concerned? Available from [us15.campaign-archive.com](http://us15.campaign-archive.com).

[3] 'Mere Christianity' makes sense, scientist tells CS Lewis Foundation, August 2, 2008, available from [christianitytoday.com](http://christianitytoday.com).

[4] J. Saunders, C.S. Lewis: A Bridge to Rome, June 2008, available from [bereanbeacon.org](http://bereanbeacon.org).

[5] Chuck Colson, Thirty-Five Years in the Light: Reflections on My Conversion, August 12, 2008, available from [descant.wordpress.com](http://descant.wordpress.com).

[6] Richard Bennett, Evangelicals and Catholics Together...ECT, available from [bereanbeacon.org](http://bereanbeacon.org).

[7] J. Saunders, C.S. Lewis: A Bridge to Rome, June 2008, available from [bereanbeacon.org](http://bereanbeacon.org).

[8] Francis X. Rocca, Pope names NIH director to Vatican think tank, Oct 15, 2009, available from [nrconline.org](http://nrconline.org).

[9] Lita Cosner, Evolutionary syncretism: a critique of BioLogos, September 7, 2010, available from [creation.com](http://creation.com).

[10] Ken Ham, Is BioLogos Promoting Heresy? February 29, 2016, available from [answersingenesis.org](http://answersingenesis.org).

- [11] Templeton Prize Laureates, Francis Collins Geneticist and Physician, available from [templetonprize.org](http://templetonprize.org).
- [12] John Templeton Foundation, Does self-giving love require an evolutionary world? Evolutionary theodicy in light of the mystical tradition, available from [templeton.org](http://templeton.org).
- [13] Matthew Cserhádi, The Vatican Endorses Evolution and Rejects Genesis, available from [bereanbeacon.org](http://bereanbeacon.org).
- [14] Lizzy Davies, Pope Francis: evolution and creation both right, October 29, 2014, available from [theguardian.com](http://theguardian.com).
- [15] Francis X. Rocca, Pope names NIH director to Vatican think tank, Oct 15, 2009, available from [nrconline.org](http://nrconline.org).
- [16] Ibid.
- [17] Francis Collins on science and faith, May 23, 2020, available from [economist.com](http://economist.com).
- [18] David Williams, Surprised by Jack: C.S. Lewis on Mere Christianity, the Bible, and Evolutionary Science, December 10, 2012, available from [biologos.org](http://biologos.org).
- [19] Ibid.
- [20] [Bethany Sollereeder](http://www.bethanyollereeder.com), Challenging C.S. Lewis on Evil and Evolution, January 17, 2017, available from [biologos.org](http://biologos.org).
- [21] Ibid.
- [22] Pete Enns, BioLogos, available at [biologos.org](http://biologos.org).
- [23] Pete Enns, Evangelicals, Evolution, and the Bible: Moving Toward a Synthesis, The BioLogos Foundation, available at [recursos.facultadseut.org](http://recursos.facultadseut.org).
- [24] Pete Enns, What is the Bible and what do we do with it? Available at [peteenns.com](http://peteenns.com).
- [25] [Bethany Sollereeder](http://www.bethanyollereeder.com), Challenging C.S. Lewis on Evil and Evolution, January 17, 2017, available from [biologos.org](http://biologos.org).
- [26] Francis Collins on science and faith, May 23, 2020, available from [economist.com](http://economist.com).
- [27] John L. Allen Jr., Genesis isn't a science book: Vatican to study evolution; Benedict's trip to France; and Pius XII, September 19, 2008, available from [nrconline.org](http://nrconline.org).
- [28] David Williams, Surprised by Jack: C.S. Lewis on Mere Christianity, the Bible, and Evolutionary Science, December 10, 2012, available from [biologos.org](http://biologos.org).
- [29] Ibid.
- [30] Ibid.
- [31] John W. Robbins, Did C. S. Lewis Go to Heaven? The Trinity Review, November, December 2003, available from [trinityfoundation.org](http://trinityfoundation.org).
- [32] Ibid.
- [33] Ibid.
- [34] Ibid.
- [35] Ibid.
- [36] J. Saunders, C.S. Lewis: A Bridge to Rome, June 2008, available from [bereanbeacon.org](http://bereanbeacon.org).
- [37] Ibid.
- [38] John Hunt, Religious Thought in England From the Reformation to the End of the Last Century, A Contribution to the History of Theology, Volume III, Chapter XV, The Methodists, Strahan & Co., 56 Ludgate Hill, London, 1873, pg. 293-294, available from [googlebooks](http://googlebooks).

[39] Robert E. Kofahl, Billy Graham Believes Catholic Doctrine of Salvation Without Bible, Gospel, or Name of Christ, available from the [Bible Bulletin Board](#).

[40] Ibid.

[41] John Owen, A Display of Arminianism, Owen's Note to the Right Honourable the Lords and Gentlemen of the Committee for Religion, The Banner of Truth Trust, Edinburgh, 1967, available from the Christian Classics Ethereal Library, [CCEL](#).

[42] Ken Ham, Did Jesus Say He Created in Six Literal Days? December 20, 2007, available from [answersingenesis.org](#).

[43] John W. Robbins, Did C. S. Lewis Go to Heaven? The Trinity Review, November, December 2003, available from [trinityfoundation.org](#).

[44] Ibid.

[45] Maggie Fox, Conservative Reps Urge Trump to Fire NIH Chief Francis Collins Over Stem Cells, May 22, 2017, available from [nbcnews.com](#).

[46] Catholic News Agency, Human genome and embryology experts named to Pontifical Academy of Sciences, October 12, 2009, available from [catholicnewsagency.com](#).

[47] Susan Berry, The Obama-appointed director of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) says that human fetal tissue from elective abortions "will continue to be the mainstay" for federal research, available from [breitbart.com](#).

[48] Ibid.

[49] Hurlbert J. Beaufort, The end justifies the means : proven from Jesuit authors to have been taught for 350 years, Montreal 1890, available from [archive.org](#).

[50] Edmond Paris, Secret History of the Jesuits, 1982, published by Chick Publications Ontario Canada, available from [amazon.com](#).

[51] Rebecca Ruiz, Living "Ad Majorem Dei Gloriam", available from [ignatianspirituality.com](#). See also: What distinguishes the Jesuits –The Jesuit Charism, available from [blessedsacramenthollywood.org](#).

[52] Susan Berry, The Obama-appointed director of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) says that human fetal tissue from elective abortions "will continue to be the mainstay" for federal research, available from [breitbart.com](#).

[53] Ken Ham, The Evils of Evolution, January 1, 1987, available from [answersingenesis.org](#).

[54] Jerry Bergman, Birth control leader Margaret Sanger: Darwinist, racist and eugenicist, December 2008, available from [creation.com](#).

[55] Vatican Global Agenda, available at [bereanbeacon.org](#).

[56] Lauran Neergaard and Zeke Miller, US begins 'warp speed' vaccine push as studies ramp up, May 15, 2020, available from [apnews.com](#).

I want to focus on those who are acting as spokesmen, Judas goats, to sell the idea to you that the "not" a vaccine is safe to get.

**Samaritan's Purse** CEO and president, world-wide evangelical leader, and son of the late Billy Graham, **Rev. Franklin Graham** is an advocate for telling Christians to take the COVID-19 vaccine. Graham recently revealed in an interview that he has taken the vaccine. I can't help myself by wondering how much money the Biden "not" a vaccine advertising fund paid for Franklin Graham's endorsement.

In an ABC News Prime time special titled 'Faith & Science: The Role of Church Leaders in Vaccination Efforts,' Graham spoke with ABC News' Terry Moran on the grounds of his father's childhood home at the Billy Graham Library.



The 68 year-old Graham told ABC News, *“My father believed in modern medicine. If any time there was a vaccine that would help protect you, he was an advocate for it...he took it.”*

ABC News noted that *“Billy Graham who many evangelical leaders continue to admire years after his death was born at the height of the 1918 flu pandemic, which claimed several members of the Graham family.”*

*“I believe it is consistent with Scripture that we protect our lives and do whatever we can to save life,”* Franklin Graham said. *“So I don’t have any problem with telling a person to take an aspirin or telling a person to have a vaccine.”* Even though it is an experimental gene therapy that has not been fully tested, or confirmed for any efficacy, I suppose this does not matter to Franklin Graham if you get a shot and die.

Vaccines are a proof of God’s love Franklin Graham explained, *“I thank God for the doctors and the researchers that have put this time and effort and money to develop these vaccines and I hope that the American people will use them.”* I disagree completely with Franklin that vaccines are a proof of God’s love.



Vaccinations are the creation of one Jesuit-trained Edward Jenner, who was a quack trying to make an income with his Indian experiments he brought from India.

In a [bold statement](#), the Samaritan's Purse's President said, *"I think if there were vaccines available in the time of Christ, Jesus would have made reference to them and used them."* A statement like that to use as support of a hypothetical to strengthen his argument is both unnecessary and illogical, furthermore is so presumptuous to be nonsensical! It was an insult to my intelligence!

Taking issue with preachers who are using the pulpit to speak out against the vaccines, Graham said, *"I hope that the pastors in the pulpit would tell people how they can be saved from God's judgement and that's through faith in Jesus Christ. I think a pastor to tell someone not to take the vaccine is problematic because what would happen if that person died [from the Coronavirus] then is a pastor responsible?...I mean I would feel responsible."*

The evangelist who heavily supported President Trump and his efforts to fast track a vaccine during his presidency warned against vaccines that used aborted fetal cells. He said, *"I would be concerned with something we used fetal cells from a murdered child, but Moderna and Pfizer, we've been told from the scientists, the way they produce that vaccine these things [aborted fetal cells] were not used."*

The timeliness of Franklin Graham's remarks almost coinciding within two days after the Biden PR splash campaign role out reeks of payoff. The newly appointed Cardinal of Washington, DC kept parroting the pope's lies; an Orthodox black priest going door-to-door with public health staff knocking on the doors of Pittsburgh's Hill District was tantamount of coercion; smells to high heaven. Show me the evidence contrary to what I have read and researched about what this is "not" a vaccine can do to your DNA. Graham and these other Judas goats are, if not paid mouthpieces, nothing more than the blind leading the blind. ***"Let them alone: they be blind leaders of the blind. And if the blind lead the blind, both shall fall into the ditch."*** -(Matthew 15:14).

Albert Mohler, president of Southern Baptist Seminary says he is comfortable taking the COVID vaccine when it becomes available. But he also understands why some, especially Christians, may hesitate to roll up their sleeves when the time comes. In an article that is part praise for the vaccine and part admonishing caution in its distribution, Mohler argues the vaccine should not present a moral dilemma to Christians. In fact, taking the vaccine falls under the category of loving one's neighbor.

*"I will take this vaccine as soon as it is available to me,"* Mohler writes in *"Vaccines and the Christian Worldview: Principles for Christian Thinking in the Context of COVID,"* posted to his website. *"I will take it not only for what I hope will be the good of my own health, but for others as well."* He said he got a shot in December, 2020, when it was not even in use. Tried to figure that one out, maybe he was one of the volunteers who was paid to be a test case.

Dr. Mohler said, *“The Vaccine Should Be Celebrated”*. The problem none of these church leaders are talking about is the truth. The “not” a vaccine, it is “Gene” Therapy and so they are blind to the truth! I see nothing to celebrate about the nearly 2,000 U.S. citizens who took the jab and then died! Neither their loved ones have anything to celebrate about either.

For the grossly naïve public, being fed a line of disinformation, by an industry that is notoriously corrupt about the efficacy of poison-laced vaccines, ignorance cost them their lives. I remind the reader of the SV-40 cancer gene found in the Polio vaccine back in the 1950s and 1960s. I remind the reader of Nagalase found in the blood stream of children a decade ago, which only could have gotten in their blood stream by vaccines given to them as infants. I remind the reader of Mycoplasma that has been rained down upon the country since 1996, as if it was herbicides and pesticides sprayed on the crops of farmers. Simply look at the fines that the pharmaceutical industry has been assessed for their crimes!

### **What Should Christians Think About Taking the Vaccine?**

Mohler writes there are seven points to take into consideration as Christians grapple with the ethicality and the efficacy of the vaccine and ultimately decide whether or not to take it. Dr. Mohler is an educated man, well-schooled in the literacy of the liberal arts, the art of persuasion, sales and marketing. That said, he is still blinded by his lack or inability to see that this is far more sinister than he might want to share. This Covid hoax was a prelude event to bring in the New World Order, the reduction of the world population that is not needed in Klaus Schwab’s Fourth Industrial Revolution as part of the Global Reset that is underway.

“First, Christians do not believe in medical non-interventionism.”

It is not wrong for a Christian to seek to prevent contracting the virus by taking the vaccine, Mohler argues. *“Medical treatment is an extension of God’s common grace and Christians have always understood this,”* Mohler writes. *“That is why, throughout history, where you found Christians you found hospitals and the church treating the sick.”*

In fact, Mohler goes so far as to say Christians view the prevention and treatment of diseases as a “biblical mandate.” Christian ministers have even played a role in advocating for vaccines. In 1758, Jonathan Edwards actually died as a result of receiving a “wrongly administered inoculation,” but Mohler says the more important point than his dying is that he was willing to take the inoculation in the first place. Edwards sought to demonstrate the legitimacy of inoculations, *“based in the Christian worldview assertion that science and medicine are predicated on the world as orderly and intelligible—because the Creator made the world this way.”*

*“Secondly, we must consider the derivation of the vaccine itself—what kind of technology was involved in the development of a vaccine?”*

Mohler acknowledged that many vaccines and other medical treatments are developed with the use of “morally problematic cell lines.” The two cell lines that are used for the development of medical treatments today are problematic because one came from an aborted baby and the other from a terminal cancer patient who was not asked for her consent when the cells were extracted. As Mohler explains, the cells were obtained in 1951 (in the case of the cancer patient, Henrietta Lacks) and 1960 (the aborted baby). The cell lines developed from those original samples are so far removed from their origins that it’s hard to say that anything we have used in our modern medicine is directly related to those original unethical acts. Mohler writes:

Christians need to understand that no step in producing these vaccines had any direct involvement in an abortion of a single child. There is also the issue of proximity. The further you go in history, the harder it is to keep a clear line of culpability in morally significant events. That said, the good news about the COVID-19 vaccines is that even as these cells...were used to create the basic shape of the vaccine, no fetal tissue was used.

At the same time, Mohler does not brush off the significance of the fact that a baby was aborted in 1960 and we are using that “horrifying wrong” to our advantage. However, Mohler argues *“that does not mean that good cannot come from that harm, even as it is a good tainted by the realities of a sinful world.”* (For more information on how fetal tissue is related to the coronavirus vaccine see here.)

*“The third moral principle Christians must consider deals with efficacy and safety of the vaccine.”*

The medical community has expressed great confidence in the vaccine. However, Mohler says that as with any other vaccination, those who have a history of allergic reactions should carefully consider whether or not to take this one. Additionally, the COVID vaccine won’t be fail proof, either, but for most it will be safe and effective. This variance in reaction is not unlike other treatments, though. Mohler writes: *“With any serious sickness or disease, we often have to weigh this treatment over that treatment; this surgery over this therapy. Nothing in a fallen world is ever easy. We must, under the circumstances, do that which appears to be the most right, the safest, and the most good.”*

“The fourth issue is whether or not a medical treatment is made mandatory by governing authority.”

Mohler says he thinks it “doubtful” the U.S. government will make a COVID vaccine mandatory. Although, he says this with a caveat. The government at the federal and state level do exercise certain authorities that could essentially mandate vaccination in certain situations. For instance, the federal government controls who can enter and stay in the U.S. and who can fly on a plane. State governments control who can attend public schools. These entities could decide, for instance, that one can’t fly on a plane or attend public school without being vaccinated.

“The fifth principle for Christians thinking about vaccines deals with the common good—the issue of love of neighbor.”

Mohler explained that deciding to take the vaccine or not is not exclusively a matter of one’s personal health. Rather, Christians should consider the fact that *“There are third parties—people who cannot take the vaccine or do not yet have access to it that could still be infected by those who refuse to take the vaccine.”*

Thinking of others while taking a vaccine falls under the “common good *argument*” that Mohler says is akin to loving our neighbors as ourselves. Put another way, it falls under the second greatest commandment Jesus gave us.

“The sixth principle pertains to the integrity of the family and the authority of parents.”

Here Mohler argues that Christians ought to be “wary” of government intrusion into the family. In the case of the COVID vaccine, Mohler says *“we should stand against government policies that give vaccines to children and adolescents over and against (or without the knowledge of) the convictions of their parents.”*

*“I will seek to encourage others to take the vaccine,”* Mohler writes. However, he points out that encouragement is different from coercion. No one, he believes, should be coerced into taking the vaccine.

“The seventh and final moral principle has to do with access and priority,” Mohler writes.

Simply put, those at greatest risk and those serving on the front lines, such as health-care workers, should be the ones to receive the vaccine first.

In conclusion, Mohler points back to the extraordinary accomplishment the vaccine represents. *“The creation of vaccines in such a short amount of time is something to be celebrated.”*

Pope Francis suggested that people have a moral obligation to receive one of the new coronavirus vaccines as soon as possible, revealing in a new interview that he expects to get his own first dose this week.

*“I believe that morally everyone must take the vaccine,”* the pontiff said in a Jan. 10 interview for Italy’s TG5 news program. *“It is the moral choice because it is about your life but also the lives of others.”* Pope Francis was speaking to a reporter in a screen grab from clips of the January 10<sup>th</sup> interview.

The pope, who said he has already made an appointment with the Vatican’s health service for his own inoculation, also lamented that some people are saying they will not

take a vaccine. *"I do not understand why some say that this could be a dangerous vaccine,"* said Francis. *"If the doctors are presenting this to you as a thing that will go well and doesn't have any special dangers, why not take it?"*

*"There is a suicidal denialism that I would not know how to explain but today people must take the vaccine,"* the pontiff continued. Francis' remarks are the latest in a series of firm signals to the world's 1.3 billion Catholics that he and the Vatican strongly support the global vaccination effort.

Earlier in December, the Vatican's doctrinal office issued an unusually prompt note saying it is "morally acceptable" for Catholics to take the new vaccines. And the Vatican's own health service is about to begin offering the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine to the city-state's residents, staff members, and their families.

Francis did not say specifically when he would receive the vaccine, and the Vatican has not offered further comment on the pope's plans. The pontiff also spoke in the interview about the violent January 6<sup>th</sup> attack on the U.S. Capitol, saying it left him *"astonished"* and surprised that such a scene could unfold in a country with a long history of practicing democracy.

Asked how his own daily life has changed because of the coronavirus pandemic, Francis said he first felt *"caged in"* during Italy's spring 2020 lockdown but then *"calmed down."* *"I took life as it came,"* said the pope. *"You pray more, you speak more, you use the telephone more."*

Francis also mentioned that he had to cancel several of his planned trips abroad, making 2020 the first year without papal travel outside Italy since 1979. The pope revealed he is not sure if his plan to visit Iraq this March will go forward.

*"In conscience, I cannot cause assemblies, no?"* said the pontiff. *"Now, I do not know if the next trip to Iraq will happen."*

*"It has changed our lives,"* Francis said of the pandemic. *"But the Lord always helps us."*

Well the pope did make his trip to Iraq, and met with Muslim leaders, and in so doing, he did not include Jewish religious as part of his meeting with Islamic leaders. By nature of the purpose of the gathering location was a snub to his Jewish counterparts.

As an old man now living beyond what Psalm 90:10 says life promises, I still trust my "street smarts" growing up in a blue-collar neighborhood of Pittsburgh's East End, A person has to earn my trust before I put my life in that person's hands. My first district superintendent once gave good advice to his student-pastors. He once told me when I was a college student-pastor these wise words of advice: The first year you are just a chaplain, the second year you are their pastor, and the third year, you are their leader provided you earn their trust. I learned well the importance of that lesson!



In light of the government's heavy handed, unconstitutional treatment, this so-called virus and "not" a vaccine heightens our need to stand united against the tyranny being used to usurp our rights which seem to be governed not by legislative representation but by NGO's, or non-government organizations and entities that are part of the corporate world. The CDC and WHO are private corporations, in business to make a profit, not protect your or my rights as a free citizen. That freedom is largely illusionary, but so long as we have a constitution, we must resist all efforts to treat us like lambs being led to slaughter by Judas goats.

I have to wonder about institutional religious leadership today. Do they really care about the little people? Talk is cheap in our world and so I only need to hear a person a few minutes to know whether they are sincere and being truthful. As I have listened to what they say in the public arena they all sound like very much alike and dishonest as an Obama \$3 bill! We have lived under the control of Rothschild central bankers since 1913, and the lie that has enslaved us by corrupt politicians for over a hundred years is about to take the U.S. dollar down and they think they can control the world through a bogus attempt to get us to sign our own death certificate is the height of stupidity.



This chart above is from the CDC's own reports. There is no justification for the heavy-handed approach in dealing with this virus, which has been determined by virologists to be a "bioweapon", which was intentionally made through "gain-of-function" to be more virulent. It was paid for with U.S. taxpayer dollars as well. It was intentionally designed to do more harm, and scare people into taking a so-called vaccine that will lead to death of a person in three months to a year, and death within five years for most. This has been spelled out by Dr. Sherri Tenpenny in my article: **"Doctor Explains How the Covid-19 Vaccine Will Kill You" posted on March 12<sup>th</sup>, 2021**". Do not forget, 18 fragments of HIV1 and 4 fragments of SARS were found inserted into the Covid-19 genome. This made it a "bioweapon" and the evidence is there to prove it!

So as Nancy Reagan once said back in the 1980s', "Just Say NO!" to these celebrities who are performing the role of the Judas goats they are. Upon her husband's election

to the presidency, she returned to Daytop Village and outlined how she wished to help educate the youth. She stated in 1981 that her best role would be to bring awareness about the dangers of drug abuse. I think her message is just as important today for adults to also “Just Say NO!”



#154231092

Blessings, in the Name above all celebrity names,

Pastor Bob, [EvanTeachr@aol.com](mailto:EvanTeachr@aol.com)  
[www.pastorbobreid.com](http://www.pastorbobreid.com)  
<http://jesusisthewaythetruththelife.com/node/22>