

# Satan's Reprobate Child

CREEPY Bill Gates strikes again: Windows 10 secretly listens to everything you say and records all your keystrokes with hidden key-logger that uploads to Microsoft. This was the headline article of Mike Adams 'Natural News' on March 22, 2021.



([Natural News](#)) Hidden inside Microsoft's Windows 10 operating system software is a key-logger spyware module that [records the keystrokes and voices of users](#) and sends this private data straight to the mother ship.

Another creepy "feature" of a software platform that was originally designed by billionaire eugenicist Bill Gates, the Windows 10 key-logger add-on was supposedly "intended for testing, not day-to-day use." And yet the latter is what it became, though most users have no idea that it exists.

Microsoft reluctantly admitted when pressed that "we may collect voice information" and "typed characters" from users without their knowledge or expressed consent. We now know that the Washington-based corporation is doing precisely that.

"I said that 'I seriously doubt that the worst spyware features will remain in the finished product,'" wrote *'PC World'* contributing editor Lincoln Spector. *"I was wrong."*

The Windows 10 "speech, inking, typing, and privacy FAQ" section admits that when a user interacts with a Windows device by "speaking, writing (handwriting), or typing,

Microsoft collects speech, inking, and typing information – including information about your Calendar and People (also known as contacts) ...”

Microsoft’s Privacy Statement reiterates this, though it contains all sorts of other information in the form of 17,000 words that requires some extra time and effort to fully peruse.

More related news about the tech invasion of personal privacy can be found at [Surveillance.news](#).

### **Microsoft is guilty of committing treason with communist China**

The good news is that the Windows 10 key-logging function can be disabled, or so it would appear. Clicking *Settings* on the Start menu’s left pane, followed by clicking the *Privacy* button, a Windows 10 user will find a selection box to turn it off – all the way at the bottom, of course.

“Once in Privacy, go to the *General* section and Turn off *Send Microsoft info about how I write to help us improve typing and writing in the future*,” Spector explains.

*“While you’re there, examine the other options and consider if there’s anything else here that you may want to change.”*

To stop Windows 10 from collecting your speech, you must also go to the *Speech, inking and typing* section and click *Stop getting to know me*. This same area contains options for restricting Microsoft from collecting data from your camera, microphone, contacts and calendar.

“I wish I had that capability in Android,” Spector notes, suggesting that smartphones are prone to similar spying without the option to disable it.

It is important to note that Microsoft is a close ally of communist China, having [worked with Huawei](#), the communist Chinese corporation that President Donald Trump banned from the United States through an executive order.

As we reported, Huawei works closely with the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) to spy on people through technology and artificial intelligence (AI). The company is also under investigation, or was, for its participation in the Iran nuclear arms agreement.

Microsoft temporarily ceased its partnership with Huawei after Trump’s executive order was signed, however the company later decided to continue selling its existing inventory of Huawei laptop computers at Microsoft stores.

The official Microsoft website proclaims with pride that the company deeply values its partnership with communist China and various communist Chinese corporations.

*“Today, our most complete subsidiary and largest R&D center outside the United States is in China,” the website explains. “Microsoft boasts a robust partner ecosystem with 17,000 partners. For every RMB that Microsoft earns in China, Microsoft partners earn 16.”*

Microsoft has also boasted that it is one of the major corporations responsible for “remaking the Chinese economy.” Meanwhile, Microsoft is quietly implementing spying technologies like this that would make even the most radical Chinese communist blush with envy.

### **At What Point Do We Realize Bill Gates Is Dangerously Insane?**

Written by thehayride.com  
Published on March 22, 2021



This isn't an overreaction to Gates' latest foray into the news cycle. It's an observation based on a long pattern of statements and behavior by the founder of Microsoft and one of the richest men who has ever lived which, were any of us normal people guilty of them, would result in our being institutionalized.

*Bill Gates is crazy. And he's dangerous, because he's willing to put untold sums of money toward making the insane things he believes a reality – and all of those insane things hurt people.*

The most recent idiocy? [Impossible Burgers for all the white people.](#)

Microsoft co-founder Bill Gates recently stated that he believes “rich countries,” such as the United States and western Europe, should switch to eating 100 percent synthetic beef.

In a [recent interview](#) with the MIT Technology Review, Microsoft co-founder Bill Gates discussed his environmental impact efforts and various green initiatives aimed at reducing global carbon emissions. One suggestion Gates proposed was for wealthy and developed nations to move entirely to synthetic beef in efforts to reduce the carbon emissions from livestock.

Explaining the suggestion, Gates told the MIT Tech Review:

*“For Africa and other poor countries, we’ll have to use animal genetics to dramatically raise the amount of beef per emissions for them. Weirdly, the US livestock, because they’re so productive, the emissions per pound of beef are dramatically less than emissions per pound in Africa. And as part of the [Bill and Melinda Gates] Foundation’s work, we’re taking the benefit of the African livestock, which means they can survive in heat, and [crossing in the monstrous productivity](#) both on the meat side and the milk side of the elite US beef lines.”*

*So no, I don’t think the poorest 80 countries will be eating synthetic meat. **I do think all rich countries should move to 100% synthetic beef.** You can get used to the taste difference, and the claim is they’re going to make it taste even better over time. Eventually, that green premium is modest enough that you can sort of change the [behavior of] people **or use regulation to totally shift the demand.***

*So for meat in the middle-income-and-above countries, I do think it’s possible. But it’s one of those ones where, wow, you have to track it every year and see, and the politics [are challenging]. There are [all these bills](#) that say **it’s got to be called, basically, lab garbage** to be sold. They don’t want us to use the beef label.*

This isn’t even the scariest idea-biscuit Gates has floated. [Remember this?](#)

It sounds like a wacky idea out of science-fiction – but it’s funded in part by billionaire Microsoft founder Bill Gates and backed by top scientists at Harvard University.

The researchers believe that a fleet of specially-designed aircraft could spray sulfate particles into the lower stratosphere to cool down our planet and offset the effects of climate change.

A test of the technology has been proposed for this year, the [Daily Mail reports](#), with the [Stratospheric Controlled Perturbation Experiment](#) (SCoPEX) seeing a bag of carbonate dust released into the atmosphere 12 miles up.

If that experiment proves successful, the researchers will move on to releasing the dust from planes.

The researchers suggest that jets flying 12 miles up would complete over 60,000 missions in 15 years, starting with a fleet of eight and moving up to 100 planes.



As bad as denying people in First World countries access to beef might be (and putting a massive industry employing more than half a million people out of business), creating an artificial Nuclear Winter is even worse.

*More people freeze to death in extreme cold weather than die of heat-related causes when it's hot, you know.*

And then there's the population control piece, which the Left – many if not most of whom operate on a Malthusian principle and don't actually disagree with Gates – denies he's for.

How you deny this is a question...

We're not going to get into the vaccine stuff, much of which is the product of Alex Jones-style conspiracy theories every bit as crazy as Gates is. There's a lot out there, though, and not all of it is good. Gates' influence with public health bureaucrats like Dr. Anthony Fauci, *who he has clearly bought off*, is something of a concern.

*He's an unelected software developer with no medical training; nothing about him suggests he's qualified to make public policy on healthcare for an entire planet, no matter how heavily he wants to buy his way in.*

What this comes down to, though, is that Bill Gates has been so rich for so long that he's spent the bulk of his adult life without anyone telling him he's wrong. That has the same corrosive effect on character and sanity that you see in the case of kings and dictators. People want some of Bill Gates' money, so they constantly suck up to him and tell him his ideas are great even when they're atrocious, and the guardrails normal people live between don't exist in his case.

So he throws money around at insane things. That he isn't outwardly off his rocker like Howard Hughes was is small comfort; [Hughes mostly kept to himself in that hotel suite in Las Vegas as he descended into madness](#). Gates is everywhere.

Gates said that he modeled his charitable foundation after the one the Rockefeller family founded. But the Rockefellers took decades to become obsessed with globalist-utopian causes; Gates fell out of the philanthropic womb that way.



Take a good look at the maniacal leaders of the near future: Prince Charles, Klaus Schwab, and Bill Gates.

Perhaps it's time to start taxing charitable foundations which engage in public policy-related activities. That could easily devolve into a mess, but the alternative could be that we increasingly have to live under the cracked worldview of out-of-touch billionaires like Bill Gates.

Dr. Joseph Mercola posted this report below and as always was spot on.

Written by Dr. Joseph Mercola  
Published on March 21, 2021



This is a really important article. It catalyzed my understanding of what the heck is going on. The facts are obvious; the entire [response to the global pandemic](#) was facilitated by the World Health Organization. Their recommendations were followed lock-step by virtually every government on Earth.

No one will dispute this fact. The next data point is: Who controls the WHO? Some will dispute this, but the evidence is pretty clear and solid. It is Bill Gates, who became the [WHO's biggest funder](#) when then-President Trump removed U.S. support last year.

What does Gates have to benefit from controlling the WHO? How about the best investment he ever made, with many tens of billions of dollars running through his "nonprofit" [GAVI Vaccine Alliance](#)? The maniacal suppression and censorship of any inexpensive natural alternative for COVID-19 makes perfect sense now.

These natural therapies, [nebulized hydrogen peroxide](#) being the best example, would be serious competition for the vaccines. If everyone knew that these remedies were readily available, highly effective and practically free, who would risk their life for a vaccine? Virtually no one. It all makes perfect sense.

With that framework, enjoy the information our team has compiled that expands on this general concept. Every day we are putting the pieces of the puzzle together, and the

more pieces we fit together, the sooner you will see the bigger picture. More to come in the very near future.

### **WHO Insider Speaks Out**

In July 2020, four German attorneys founded the German Corona Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee (Außerparlamentarischer Corona Untersuchungsausschuss<sup>1</sup>).<sup>2,3</sup> In the video above, the founding members, led by Dr. Reiner Fuellmich,<sup>4</sup> interviews Astrid Stuckelberger, Ph.D., a WHO insider, about what she discovered about Bill Gates and GAVI, the Vaccine Alliance.

Stuckelberger has served as deputy director of the Swiss national program of aging since the 1990s, and is the president of the WHO-funded Geneva International Network on Ageing.

According to her bio,<sup>5</sup> she “is an internationally recognized expert on issues related to evaluating scientific research for policymakers, in particular in health and innovation assessment, pandemic and emergency management training and in optimizing individual and population health and well-being.”

She’s also a published author, with a dozen books to her credit, as well as more than 180 scientific articles, policy papers and governmental and international reports. Stuckelberger points out that much of the research done was and still is highly politicized and primarily done to support and justify political decisions.

For the past 20 years, since 2000, she’s been involved with public health at the WHO, and was part of their research ethics committee for four years. In 2009, she got involved with the WHO’s international health regulations.

Stuckelberger points out that the whole purpose of WHO’s international health regulations is to prepare member states to be ready for a pandemic, to be able to not only prevent outbreaks but also respond swiftly when an outbreak occurs. However, the WHO has actually been actively preventing and undermining this pandemic preparedness training.

### **The Center of Corruption**

According to Stuckelberger, Switzerland is at the heart of the corruption, largely thanks to it being the headquarters for GAVI, the Vaccine Alliance, founded by Bill Gates. In 2009, the GAVI Alliance was recognized as an international institution and granted total blanket immunity.<sup>6</sup>

As explained by Justus Hoffmann, Ph.D., one of the German Corona Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee members, GAVI has “qualified diplomatic immunity,” which is odd, considering the organization has no political power that would warrant diplomatic immunity. Odder still is that GAVI’s immunity clauses go beyond even that of diplomats. GAVI’s immunity covers all aspects of engagement, including criminal business dealings.

“*They can do whatever they want,*” Stuckelberger says, without repercussions. The police, for example, are barred from conducting an investigation and collecting evidence if GAVI were to be implicated in a criminal investigation. “*It’s shocking,*” she says. GAVI is also completely tax exempt, which Stuckelberger notes is “very strange.”

Essentially, GAVI is a nongovernmental organization (NGO) that is allowed to operate without paying any taxes, while also having total immunity for anything they do wrong, willfully or otherwise. This is rather unprecedented, and raises a whole host of questions. It’s particularly disturbing in light of evidence Stuckelberger claims to have found showing that GAVI is “*directing, as a corporate entity, the WHO.*”

Furthermore, documents cited by Stuckelberger show the WHO has assumed what amounts to dictatorial power over the whole world. The director general has the sole power to make decisions — including decisions about which tests or pandemic medications to use — that all member states must then obey.

### **The Nation-State of Gates**

What’s more, Stuckelberger discovered that, in 2017, Gates actually requested to be part of the WHO’s executive board — like a member state — ostensibly because he gives them so much money. Indeed, his funding exceeds that of many individual member states.

Like Stuckelberger says, this is truly incredible — the idea that a single man would have the same power and influence over the WHO as that of an entire nation. It’s a brazen power grab, to say the least. While there’s no evidence that Gates was ever officially granted the status of a member state, one wonders whether he doesn’t have it unofficially.

One thing that raises Stuckelberger’s suspicion is the fact that Swissmedic, the Food and Drug Administration of Switzerland, has entered into a three-way contract agreement with Gates and the WHO. “*This is abnormal,*” she says.

Essentially, in summary, it appears that when he did not get voted in as a one-man nation state, Gates created three-party contracts with member states and the WHO, essentially placing him on par with the WHO. As mentioned earlier, whatever the director general of the WHO says, goes. They’ve effectively turned global health security into a dictatorship.

The question is, is Gates the real power behind the curtain? Does he tell the director general what to do? When you look back over the past year, it seems Gates has often been the first to announce what the world needs to do to address the pandemic, and then the WHO comes out with an identical message, which is then parroted by world leaders, more or less verbatim.

As noted by Fuellmich, it's becoming clear that many private-public partnerships have been hijacked by the private side — and they're immune from liability. “*This has got to stop,*” he says.

A complete review and overhaul of the United Nations, which established the WHO, is also required as the U.N. has done nothing to prevent or rein in undemocratic and illegal activity. As noted by Fuellmich, we probably need to reconsider whether we even need them.

### **Changed Definition of Pandemic Allowed Health Dictatorship**

In the interview, they also highlight the WHO's role in setting the stage for a global health dictatorship by changing the definition of “pandemic.” The WHO's original definition, pre-2009, of a pandemic was:<sup>7,8</sup>

*“... when a new influenza virus appears against which the human population has no immunity, resulting in several, simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness.”*

The key portion of that definition is “enormous numbers of deaths and illness.” This definition was changed in the month leading up to the 2009 swine flu pandemic.

The change was a simple but substantial one: They merely removed the severity and high mortality criteria, leaving the definition of a pandemic as “a worldwide epidemic of a disease.”<sup>9</sup> This switch in definition is why COVID-19 was and still is promoted as a pandemic even though it, at no point, has caused any excess mortality.<sup>10,11,12</sup>

We now have plenty of data showing the lethality of COVID-19 is on par with the seasonal flu.<sup>13,14,15,16,17</sup> It may be different in terms of symptoms and complications, but the actual lethality is about the same. Yet we're told the price we must all pay to keep ourselves and others safe from this virus is the relinquishing of our civil rights and liberties.

In short, by removing the criteria of severe illness causing high morbidity, leaving geographically widespread infection as the only criteria for a pandemic, the WHO and technocratic leaders of the world were able to bamboozle the global population into giving up our lives and livelihoods.

### **WHO Rewrites Science by Changing Definition of Herd Immunity**

The WHO has also radically [altered the definition of “herd immunity.”](#) Herd immunity occurs when enough people acquire immunity to an infectious disease such that it can no longer spread widely in the community. When the number susceptible is low enough to prevent epidemic growth, herd immunity is said to have been reached.

Prior to the introduction of vaccines, all herd immunity was achieved via exposure to and recovery from an infectious disease. Eventually, as vaccination became widespread, the concept of herd immunity evolved to include not only the naturally

acquired immunity that comes from prior illness, but also the temporary vaccine-acquired immunity that can occur after vaccination.

However, in October 2020, the WHO upended science as we know it, revising this well-established concept in an Orwellian move that totally removes natural infection from the equation.

As late as June 2020, the WHO's definition of herd immunity, posted on one of their COVID-19 Q&A pages, was in line with the widely-accepted concept that has been the standard for infectious diseases for decades. Here's what it originally said:<sup>18</sup>

*“Herd immunity is the indirect protection from an infectious disease that happens when a population is immune either through vaccination or immunity developed through previous infection.”*

The updated definition of herd immunity, which appeared in October 2020, read as follows:<sup>19</sup>

*“‘Herd immunity’, also known as ‘population immunity’, is a concept used for vaccination, in which a population can be protected from a certain virus if a threshold of vaccination is reached. Herd immunity is achieved by protecting people from a virus, not by exposing them to it.*

*Vaccines train our immune systems to create proteins that fight disease, known as ‘antibodies’, just as would happen when we are exposed to a disease but — crucially — vaccines work without making us sick.*

*Vaccinated people are protected from getting the disease in question and passing it on, breaking any chains of transmission. With herd immunity, the vast majority of a population are vaccinated, lowering the overall amount of virus able to spread in the whole population.”*

After public — and no doubt embarrassing — backlash, the WHO revised its definition again December 31, 2020, to again include the mention of natural infection, while still emphasizing vaccine-acquired immunity. It now reads:<sup>20</sup>

*“‘Herd immunity’, also known as ‘population immunity,’ is the indirect protection from an infectious disease that happens when a population is immune either through vaccination or immunity developed through previous infection.*

*WHO supports achieving ‘herd immunity’ through vaccination, not by allowing a disease to spread through any segment of the population, as this would result in unnecessary cases and deaths.*

*Herd immunity against COVID-19 should be achieved by protecting people through vaccination, not by exposing them to the pathogen that causes the disease.”*



Home / Newsroom / Q&A Detail /  
Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): Serology

## Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): Serology

9 June 2020 | Q&A

### What is herd immunity?

Herd immunity is the indirect protection from an infectious disease that happens when a population is immune either through vaccination or immunity developed through previous infection. This means that even people who haven't been infected, or in whom an infection hasn't triggered an immune response, they are protected because people around them who are immune can act as buffers between them and an infected person. The threshold for establishing herd immunity for COVID-19 is not yet clear.



Home / Newsroom / Q&A Detail /  
Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): Serology,  
antibodies and immunity

## Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): Serology, antibodies and immunity

13 November 2020 | Q&A

### What is herd immunity?

'Herd immunity', also known as 'population immunity', is a concept used for vaccination, in which a population can be protected from a certain virus if a threshold of vaccination is reached.

Herd immunity is achieved by protecting people from a virus, not by exposing them to it. Read the Director-General's 12 October media briefing speech for more detail.

### WHO's Recommendation of PCR Test 'Intentionally Criminal'

Stuckelberger also shocks the Corona Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee by pointing out that twice — December 7, 2020,<sup>21,22</sup> and January 13, 2021<sup>23</sup> — the WHO issued medical alerts for PCR testing, warning that use of high cycle thresholds (CT) will produce high rates of false positives, that the CT value should be reported to the health care provider and that test results be considered in combination with clinical observations, health history and other epidemiological information.

Yet since the beginning of the pandemic, it has pushed [PCR testing](#) as the best way to detect and diagnose infection. This, she says, makes it intentionally criminal. The January 13, 2021<sup>24,25</sup> medical product alert was, incidentally, posted online January 20, 2021, mere hours after Joe Biden's inauguration as the President of the United States.

In this alert, the WHO stressed that the “CT needed to detect virus is inversely proportional to the patient’s viral load,” and that “Where test results do not correspond with the clinical presentation, a new specimen should be taken and retested.”

It also reminds users that “disease prevalence alters the predictive value of test results,” so that “as disease prevalence decreases, the risk of false positive increases.” The alert goes on to explain:<sup>26</sup>

*“This means that the probability that a person who has a positive result (SARS-CoV-2 detected) is truly infected with SARS-CoV-2 decreases as prevalence decreases, irrespective of the claimed specificity.*

*Most PCR assays are indicated as an aid for diagnosis, therefore, health care providers must consider any result in combination with timing of sampling, specimen type, assay specifics, clinical observations, patient history, confirmed status of any contacts, and epidemiological information.”*

Taking a patient’s symptoms into account and using a scientifically defensible CT count should have been routine practice from the beginning. It just didn’t fit the geopolitical narrative. *Since the start of the pandemic, the WHO has recommended using a CT of 45,<sup>27,28,29</sup> which guarantees an enormous number of false positives, and therefore “cases.” This alone is how they kept the pandemic fearmongering going.*

*The scientific consensus has long been that anything over 35 CTs renders the PCR test useless,<sup>30,31,32</sup> as the accuracy will be a measly 3% — 97% are false positives.<sup>33</sup> By finally recommending lower CTs and more precise criteria for diagnosis, the WHO engineered an assured end to the caseload at a desired time. Coincidentally, the next day, January 21, 2021, President Biden announced he would reinstate the U.S.’ financial support for the WHO.<sup>34</sup>*

### **Time to Put an End to the Global Health Mafia**

The WHO was created as a specialized agency of the U.N., established in 1948 to further international cooperation for improved public health conditions. It was given a broad mandate under its constitution to promote the attainment of “the highest possible level of health” by all peoples.

It is now beyond dispute that the WHO is beyond compromised. Because of its funding — a large portion of which comes from the “one-man nation-state of Gates” — it fails to complete its original mandate. Worse, WHO serves corporate masters and through its dictatorial powers is essentially destroying, not improving, the health of the world.

In June 2010, the Council of Europe Parliamentary Assembly (PACE) issued a report<sup>35</sup> on the WHO’s handling of the 2009 pandemic of novel influenza A (H1N1), which included the recommendation to use a fast-tracked vaccine that ended up causing disability and death around the world.

PACE concluded “the handling of the pandemic by the WHO, EU health agencies and national governments led to a waste of large sums of public money, and unjustified scares and fears about the health risks faced by the European public.”<sup>36</sup>

Specifically, PACE found “overwhelming evidence that the seriousness of the pandemic was vastly overrated by WHO,” and that the drug industry had influenced the organization’s decision-making — a claim echoed by other investigators as well.<sup>37,38,39,40,41</sup>

The Assembly made a number of recommendations, including greater transparency, better governance of public health, safeguards against undue influence by vested interests, public funding of independent research, and last but not least, for the media to “avoid sensationalism and scaremongering in the public health domain.”<sup>42</sup>

None of those recommendations were followed and, if anything, the WHO’s mismanagement of public health, thanks to private-public partnerships with NGOs such as GAVI, has only worsened. Other reports, two published in 2015<sup>43,44</sup> and one in 2017,<sup>45</sup> also highlighted the WHO’s failures and lack of appropriate leadership during the 2013 through 2015 [Ebola outbreak](#) in West Africa.

While the WHO is recognized as being uniquely suited to carry out key functions necessary in a global pandemic, experts at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, and the Harvard Global Health Institute, have pointed out, years ago, that the WHO has eroded so much trust that radical reforms would be required before it can assume an authoritative role.

Yet here we are, still, and no reforms ever took place. Instead, the corruption festered and metastasized, and the WHO turned into a power hub for the technocratic deep state that seeks to assume power and control over all nations.

As noted by Fuellmich, we probably need to take a long hard look at the WHO and the U.N., and decide whether they’re even worth saving. At bare minimum, the disproportionate influence by private vested interests, disguised as NGOs such as GAVI, must be thoroughly investigated and routed out.

See more here: [articles.mercola](#)

Bill Gates wants to take your steak: Billionaire says the U.S. and other wealthy countries 'should move to 100% synthetic beef' to prevent climate change - after buying up a record amount of farmland in 18 states.

Billionaire Bill Gates has called on the U.S. and other wealthy countries to give up eating beef entirely and switch to synthetic alternatives due to climate change.

**Bill Gates is not an authority figure.  
Bill Gates is not an elected official.  
Bill Gates has ZERO medical training.  
Bill Gates has financial interests  
invested in the CDC, WHO and  
vaccine manufacturers.**

**CDC is a FOR PROFIT organization  
WHO is a FOR PROFIT organization**

**These organizations have ZERO  
authority over you, your family  
and the decisions you make  
regarding your health.**

**This is nothing more than a  
sophisticated MARKETING  
campaign for PROFIT.**

'I don't think the poorest 80 countries will be eating synthetic meat. I do think all rich countries should move to 100% synthetic beef,' Gates the [MIT Technology Review](#) in an interview on Monday.

'You can get used to the taste difference, and the claim is they're going to make it taste even better over time. Eventually, that green premium is modest enough that you can sort of change the people or use regulation to totally shift the demand,' Gates mused. The Microsoft founder, 65, was promoting his new book, *'How To Avoid A Climate Disaster'*, which presents a range of dramatic proposals that Gates says are needed to prevent global calamity.

Cows and other ruminants produce methane as they digest grasses. Methane is a potent greenhouse gas, 28 times more effective at trapping heat than carbon dioxide, and methane emissions have risen 9 percent since 2000, according to researchers from the Global Carbon Project.

Gates in the interview touted plant-based meat alternatives such as Impossible Meats and Beyond Meat, saying they 'have a road map, a quality road map and a cost road map, that makes them totally competitive.'


*"So for meat in the middle-income-and-above countries, I do think it's possible. But it's one of those ones where, wow, you have to track it every year and see, and the politics [are challenging],"* he added.

However, plant-based synthetic 'meat' still accounts for less than 1 percent of the market.

In a separate interview with the *'New York Times'*, Gates was asked if he still eats regular burgers himself, and admitted *"I sometimes eat the real thing still."*

## **World Boycott Of Bill Gates Laboratory Food Products**

# LAND OWNED BY BILL GATES BY STATE



Beef cattle production employs more than 726,000 people across America. Texas has the most beef cows in the U.S., followed by Oklahoma, Missouri, Nebraska and South Dakota.

The tech billionaire has quietly bought up 242,000 acres of farmland in 18 states - and 268,984 of multi-use land in 19 states in total, making him the biggest agricultural landowner in the US, though far short of the biggest overall landowner in the country.

In 2018, a 'Louisiana investor', later revealed to be Gates, paid \$171 million for a large swath of farmland in the Horse Heaven Hill, making it one of the largest real estate transactions in recent memory.

In January, 2021, Bill Gates was revealed to be the largest private owner of agricultural land in America, after quietly buying up 242,000 acres of farmland in 18 states.

The tech mogul, who is the fourth richest person in the world with a net worth of \$121billion, according to Forbes, has quietly built up a massive agriculture portfolio.

His largest holdings include 69,071 acres in Louisiana , 47,927 acres in Arkansas, 25,750 acres in Arizona, 20,588 acres in Nebraska and 16,097 in Washington state.

It is unclear why Gates, better known as a self-confessed computing 'nerd', has invested in farmland so heavily, and details of the land are scarce, as revealed in The Land Report.

The acquisitions are held directly, as well as through Gates' personal investment entity, Cascade Investments.




The devil who brought us Windows, in all of its many previous versions will soon be upgrading folks with his Windows “666” version of the mRNA “operating system”. The QuantumDot/Hydrogel ID2020 and its luminescence Luciferase that can be scanned to see if one is current with the Satanic New World Order!

## Windows 666 mRNA OS

*FREE Updates for Life!\**

\*Not Compatible with Older Versions



Microsoft®  
**Windows**®  
HealthImpactNews.com

Come Lord Jesus Christ!

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, [EvanTeachr@aol.com](mailto:EvanTeachr@aol.com)  
[www.pastorbobreid.com](http://www.pastorbobreid.com)  
<http://jesusisthewaythetruththelife.com/node/22>